

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual Version 7.0

Volume 2: Residential Measures

Final: July 22, 2022

Effective: January 1, 2023

[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

Table of Contents

Volume 1: Overview and User Guide

Volume 2: Residential Measures..... 5

2.1 Appliances 5

 2.1.1 Clothes Washer5

 2.1.2 Clothes Dryer13

 2.1.3 Refrigerator22

 2.1.4 Freezer29

 2.1.5 Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling.....36

 2.1.6 Room Air Conditioner (Removed 2021)46

 2.1.7 Room Air Conditioner Recycling47

 2.1.8 ENERGY STAR Air Purifier/Cleaner50

2.2 Consumer Electronics..... 54

 2.2.1 Tier 1 Advanced Power Strip (APS)54

 2.2.2 Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips (APS) – Residential Audio Visual57

2.3 Hot Water 60

 2.3.1 Gas Water Heater60

 2.3.2 Heat Pump Water Heaters.....65

 2.3.3 Water Heater Temperature Setback.....72

 2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators76

 2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads90

 2.3.6 Domestic Hot Water Pipe Insulation100

 2.3.7 Water Heater Wrap107

2.4 Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC)..... 111

 2.4.1 Central Air Source Heat Pump111

 2.4.2 Central Air Conditioner120

 2.4.3 Boiler.....128

 2.4.4 Furnace133

 2.4.5 Furnace Blower Motor139

 2.4.6 Geothermal Source Heat Pump144

 2.4.7 Ductless Heat Pumps158

 2.4.8 Energy Recovery Ventilator165

 2.4.9 Gas Fireplace.....170

 2.4.10 Whole House Fan.....172

 2.4.11 Central Air Source Heat Pump Tune-Up174

 2.4.12 Central Air Conditioner Tune-Up177

 2.4.13 Boiler Tune-up180

2.4.14	Furnace Tune-Up	183
2.4.15	Geothermal Source Heat Pump Tune-Up	186
2.4.16	Duct Sealing	190
2.4.17	Programmable Thermostats	202
2.4.18	Advanced Thermostats	208
2.4.19	Duct Insulation.....	216
2.4.20	Advanced Thermostat Optimization Services (Removed 2021)	223
2.4.21	Gas-Fired Heat Pump.....	224
2.5	Lighting	229
2.5.1	Compact Fluorescent Lamp – Standard (Removed 2021)	229
2.5.2	Compact Fluorescent Lamp – Specialty (Removed 2021).....	230
2.5.3	LED Lamp – Standard	231
2.5.4	LED Lamp – Specialty	238
2.5.5	LED Exit Signs	249
2.5.6	LED Fixtures	254
2.5.7	LED Holiday Lights.....	260
2.6	Shell	263
2.6.1	Infiltration Control	263
2.6.2	Attic/Ceiling Insulation	272
2.6.3	Rim/Band Joist Insulation	278
2.6.4	Wall Insulation	285
2.6.5	Insulated Doors.....	291
2.6.6	Floor Insulation Above Crawlspace.....	297
2.6.7	Basement Sidewall Insulation.....	303
2.6.8	Efficient Windows.....	310
2.6.9	Window Insulation Kits.....	316
2.6.10	Storm Windows	321
2.7	Miscellaneous	328
2.7.1	Residential Pool Pumps	328

Volume 3: Nonresidential Measures

Volume 2: Residential Measures

2.1 Appliances

2.1.1 Clothes Washer

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a clothes washer meeting the ENERGY STAR or CEE Tier 2 minimum qualifications. Note if the domestic hot water (DHW) and dryer fuels of the installations are unknown (for example through a retail program) savings are based on a weighted blend using RECS data (the resultant values (kWh, therms, and gallons of water) are provided). The algorithms can also be used to calculate site specific savings where DHW and dryer fuels are known.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Clothes washer must meet the ENERGY STAR or CEE Tier 2 minimum qualifications (provided in the table below), as required by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a standard-sized clothes washer meeting the minimum federal baseline as of January 2018.¹

Efficiency Level		Top Loading >2.5 Cu ft	Front Loading >2.5 Cu ft
Baseline	Federal Standard	≥1.57 IMEF, ≤6.5 IWF	≥1.84 IMEF, ≤4.7 IWF
Efficient	ENERGY STAR	≥2.06 IMEF, ≤4.3 IWF	≥2.76 IMEF, ≤3.2 IWF
	CEE Tier 2		≥2.92 IMEF, ≤3.2 IWF

The Integrated Modified Energy Factor (IMEF) includes unit operation, standby, water heating, and drying energy use, with the higher the value the more efficient the unit; *"The quotient of the cubic foot (or liter) capacity of the clothes container divided by the total clothes washer energy consumption per cycle, with such energy consumption expressed as the sum of the machine electrical energy consumption, the hot water energy consumption, the energy required for removal of the remaining moisture in the wash load, and the combined low-power mode energy consumption."*

The Integrated Water Factor (IWF) indicates the total water consumption of the unit, with the lower the value the less water required; *"The quotient of the total weighted per-cycle water consumption for all 67 wash cycles in gallons divided by the cubic foot (or liter) capacity of the clothes washer."*²

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 14 years.³

¹ See http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/39.

² Definitions provided in ENERGY STAR v8.0 specification on the ENERGY STAR website.

³ Based on DOE Chapter 8 Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Analysis.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost assumptions are provided below:⁴

Efficiency Level	Incremental Cost	
	Top Loading	Front Loading
ENERGY STAR	\$73	\$121
CEE TIER 2	\$193	\$141

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE14 – Residential Clothes Washer

Loadshape G03 – Residential Dryer

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\left(Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEF_{base}} * N_{cycles} \right) * (\%CW_{base} + (\%DHW_{base} * \%Electric_{DHW}) + (\%Dryer_{base} * \%Electric_{Dryer})) \right] - \left[\left(Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEF_{eff}} * N_{cycles} \right) * (\%CW_{eff} + (\%DHW_{eff} * \%Electric_{DHW}) + (\%Dryer_{eff} * \%Electric_{Dryer})) \right]$$

Where:

- Capacity = Clothes Washer capacity (cubic feet)
= Actual – If capacity is unknown, assume 3.93 cubic feet⁵
- IMEFbase = Integrated Modified Energy Factor of baseline unit

Efficiency Level	IMEFbase		
	Top loading >2.5 Cu ft	Front Loading >2.5 Cu ft	Weighted Average ⁶
Federal Standard	1.57	1.84	1.84

- IMEFeff = Integrated Modified Energy Factor of efficient unit
= Actual. If unknown, assume average values provided below.

⁴ Based on cost data from Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Excel-based analytical tool. See '2017 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls' for details.

⁵ Based on the average clothes washer volume of all units that pass the new Federal Standard and have an IMEF value on the CEC database of Clothes Washer products (accessed on 04/16/2017). If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

⁶ Weighted average IMEF of Federal Standard rating for Front Loading and Top Loading units. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available non-ENERGY STAR product in the CEC database (accessed 04/16/2017). The relative weightings are as follows, see more information in "2017 Clothes Washer Analysis.xlsx":

Efficiency Level	Front	Top
Baseline	98%	2%
ENERGY STAR	27%	73%
CEE Tier 2	100%	0%

Efficiency Level	IMEFeff		
	Top loading >2.5 Cu ft	Front Loading >2.5 Cu ft	Weighted Average ⁷
ENERGY STAR	2.06	2.76	2.25
CEE Tier 2	2.92		2.92

Ncycles = Number of Cycles per year
= 250⁸

%CW = Percentage of total energy consumption for Clothes Washer operation (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

%DHW = Percentage of total energy consumption used for water heating (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

%Dryer = Percentage of total energy consumption for dryer operation (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

	Percentage of Total Energy Consumption ⁹		
	%CW	%DHW	%Dryer
Federal Standard	10%	22%	69%
ENERGY STAR	7%	24%	69%
CEE Tier 2	14%	10%	77%

%Electric_{DHW} = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be electric

DHW fuel	%Electric _{DHW}
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	30.0% ¹⁰

%Electric_{Dryer} = Percentage of dryer savings assumed to be electric

Dryer fuel	%Electric _{Dryer}
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	87.1% ¹¹

⁷ Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available product in the CEC database (accessed 04/16/2017).

⁸ Weighted average of 250 clothes washer cycles per year (based on 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) national sample survey of housing appliances section, Midwest Census Region, West North Central Census Division: <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/>. See '2017 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls' for details.

If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for single-family or multi-family homes, in a particular market, or geographical area then that should be used.

⁹ The percentage of total energy consumption that is used for the machine, heating the hot water, or by the dryer is different depending on the efficiency of the unit. Values are based on a weighted average of top loading and front loading units based on data from DOE Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Analysis. See '2017 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls' for details.

¹⁰ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used

¹¹ Default assumption for unknown is based on percentage of homes with clothes washers that use an electric dryer from EIA

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.1.1 Clothes Washer

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:¹²

Front Loaders:

	ΔkWh			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	179.3	97.6	84.8	3.1
CEE Tier 2	198.8	115.3	89.4	5.8

Top Loaders:

	ΔkWh			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	58.4	81.0	9.6	32.2
CEE Tier 2	198.8	180.6	56.4	38.2

Weighted Average:

	ΔkWh			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	98.0	86.4	34.3	22.7
CEE Tier 2	198.8	115.3	89.4	5.8

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown the prescriptive kWh savings based on defaults provided above should be:

Efficiency Level	ΔkWh		
	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR	110.0	67.9	81.7
CEE Tier 2	126.3	167.8	126.3

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

- ΔkWh = Energy Savings as calculated above
- Hours = Assumed Run hours of Clothes Washer

Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2015 for Midwest Region, West North Central Census Division If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

¹² Note that the baseline savings for all cases (Front, Top and Weighted Average) is based on the weighted average baseline IMEF (as opposed to assuming Front baseline for Front efficient unit and Top baseline for Top efficient unit). The reasoning is that the support of the program of more efficient units (which are predominately front loading) will result in some participants switching from planned purchase of a top loader to a front loader.

= 250 hours¹³

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 0.036¹⁴

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

Front Loaders:

	ΔkW			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0258	0.0141	0.0122	0.0005
CEE Tier 2	0.0286	0.0166	0.0129	0.0008

Top Loaders:

	ΔkW			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0084	0.0117	0.0014	0.0046
CEE Tier 2	0.0286	0.0260	0.0081	0.0055

Weighted Average:

	ΔkW			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0141	0.0124	0.0049	0.0033
CEE Tier 2	0.0286	0.0166	0.0129	0.0008

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown, the prescriptive kW savings should be:

Efficiency Level	ΔkW		
	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR	0.0158	0.0098	0.0118
CEE Tier 2	0.0182	0.0241	0.0182

¹³ Based on a weighted average of 250 clothes washer cycles per year assuming an average load runs for one hour.

¹⁴ Calculated from Itron eShapes, 8760 hourly data by end use for Missouri, using IA definition of summer peak period.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \left[\left[\left(Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEF_{base}} * Ncycles \right) * \left(\%DHW_{base} * \%Natural\ Gas_{DHW} * R_{eff} \right) + \left(\%Dryer_{base} * \%Gas_{Dryer} \%Gas_{Dryer} \right) \right] - \left[\left(Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEF_{eff}} * Ncycles \right) * \left(\%DHW_{eff} * \%Gas_{DHW} \%Natural\ Gas_{DHW} * R_{eff} \right) + \left(\%Dryer_{eff} * \%Gas_{Dryer} \%Gas_{Dryer} \right) \right] \right] * Therm_{convert}$$

Where:

$\%Gas_{DHW}$ = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be Natural Gas

DHW fuel	$\%Gas_{DHW}$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	70.0% ¹⁵

R_{eff} = Recovery efficiency factor

= 1.26¹⁶

$\%Gas_{Dryer}$ = Percentage of dryer savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Dryer fuel	$\%Gas_{Dryer}$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	12.9% ¹⁷

$Therm_{convert}$ = Conversion factor from kWh to Therm

= 0.03412

Other factors as defined above.

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

Front Loaders:

	$\Delta Therms$			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0	3.5	3.2	6.7
CEE Tier 2	0.0	3.6	3.7	7.3

¹⁵ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

¹⁶ To account for the different efficiency of electric and Natural Gas hot water heaters (gas water heater: recovery efficiencies ranging from 0.74 to 0.85 (0.78 used), and electric water heater with 0.98 recovery efficiency (http://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/bldrs_lenders_raters/downloads/Waste_Water_Heat_Recovery_Guidelines.pdf)). Therefore a factor of 0.98/0.78 (1.26) is applied.

¹⁷ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2015 for Midwest Region, West North Central Census Division. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used. Note that the electric dryer percentage (76%) plus the gas dryer percentage (21.2%) equals 97.2%. The remaining 2.8% accounts for those homes without dryers.

Top Loaders:

	ΔTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0	-1.0	1.7	0.7
CEE Tier 2	0.0	0.8	4.9	5.6

Weighted Average:

	ΔTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0	0.5	2.2	2.7
CEE Tier 2	0.0	3.6	3.7	7.3

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown, the prescriptive Therm savings should be:

Efficiency Level	ΔTherms		
	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR	2.9	-0.5	0.6
CEE Tier 2	3.0	1.2	3.0

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

365.25 = Days per year

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

Front Loaders:

	ΔPeakTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0000	0.0096	0.0088	0.0185
CEE Tier 2	0.0000	0.0098	0.0102	0.0201

Top Loaders:

	ΔPeakTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0000	-0.0027	0.0046	0.0019
CEE Tier 2	0.0000	0.0021	0.0133	0.0155

Weighted Average:

	Δ PeakTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0000	0.0014	0.0060	0.0073
CEE Tier 2	0.0000	0.0098	0.0102	0.0201

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown the prescriptive Therm savings should be:

Efficiency Level	Δ PeakTherms		
	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR	0.0079	-0.0013	0.0017
CEE Tier 2	0.0082	0.0032	0.0082

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta Water \text{ (gallons)} = Capacity * (IWF_{base} - IWF_{eff}) * Ncycles$$

Where:

IWF_{base} = Integrated Water Factor of baseline clothes washer
= 4.78¹⁸

IWF_{eff} = Water Factor of efficient clothes washer
= Actual – If unknown assume average values provided below

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive water savings for each efficiency level are presented below:

Efficiency Level	IWF ¹⁹			Δ Water (gallons per year)		
	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
Federal Standard	4.7	6.5	4.73	N/A		
ENERGY STAR	3.2	4.3	4.01	1,504.2	423.7	711.8
CEE Tier 2	3.2		3.20	1,504.2	1504.2	1,550.3

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-CLWA-V04-200101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023*

* This measure is overdue for a reliability review due to no utility currently offering the measure. If a utility plans to start using this measure again, it should be reviewed accordingly.

¹⁸ Weighted average IWF of Federal Standard rating for Front Loading and Top Loading units. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available non-ENERGY STAR product in the CEC database.

¹⁹ IWF values are the weighted average of the new ENERGY STAR specifications. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available ENERGY STAR and ENERGY STAR Most Efficient product in the CEC database. See “2017 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls” for the calculation.

2.1.2 Clothes Dryer

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a residential clothes dryer meeting the ENERGY STAR, ENERGY STAR Most Efficient criteria or a full heat pump clothes dryer. ENERGY STAR qualified clothes dryers save energy through a combination of more efficient drying and reduced runtime of the drying cycle. More efficient drying is achieved through increased insulation, modifying operating conditions such as air flow and/or heat input rate, improving air circulation through better drum design or booster fans, and improving efficiency of motors. Reducing the runtime of dryers through automatic termination by temperature and moisture sensors is believed to have the greatest potential for reducing energy use in clothes dryers.²⁰ ENERGY STAR provides criteria for both gas and electric clothes dryers.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Clothes dryer must meet the ENERGY STAR criteria, as required by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a clothes dryer meeting the minimum federal requirements for units manufactured on or after January 1, 2015.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 16 years.²¹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for an ENERGY STAR clothes dryer is assumed to be as follows:²²

Product Class	Incremental Cost
Vented Electric, Standard ($\geq 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	\$61
Ventless Electric, Standard ($\geq 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	\$61
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	\$127
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	\$127
Full Heat Pump, Standard	\$412
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) ($< 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	\$31
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) ($< 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	\$31
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) ($< 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	\$90
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) ($< 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	\$90
Vented Gas	\$104
Most Efficient Vented Gas	\$158

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE14 – Residential Clothes Washer

Loadshape G03 – Residential Dryer

²⁰ ENERGY STAR Market & Industry Scoping Report. Residential Clothes Dryers. Table 8. November 2011.

http://www.energystar.gov/ia/products/downloads/ENERGY_STAR_Scoping_Report_Residential_Clothes_Dryers.pdf

²¹ Based on DOE Rulemaking Technical Support Document, LCC Chapter, 2011, as recommended in Navigant ‘ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report’, May 2018

²² Based upon data from DOE Life-Cycle Cost and Payback analysis, Table 8.3.1.

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is 4.31%.²³

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left(\left(\frac{Load}{CEF_{base}} - \frac{Load}{CEF_{eff}} \right) * N_{cycles} * \%Electric \right) - PairedWasherKWhAdj + \Delta kWh_{HEAT} + \Delta kWh_{COOL}$$

Where:

Load = The average total weight (lbs) of clothes per drying cycle. If dryer size is unknown, assume standard.

Dryer Size	Load (lbs) ²⁴
Standard	8.45
Compact	3

CEFbase = Combined energy factor (CEF) (lbs/kWh) of the baseline unit is based on existing federal standards energy factor and adjusted to CEF as performed in the ENERGY STAR analysis.²⁵ If product class unknown, assume electric, standard.

Product Class	CEFbase (lbs/kWh)
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	3.11
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	3.01
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	2.73
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	2.13
Vented Gas	2.84 ²⁶

CEFeff = CEF (lbs/kWh) of the ENERGY STAR unit based on ENERGY STAR requirements.²⁷ If product class unknown, assume electric, standard.

Product Class	CEFeff (lbs/kWh)
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	3.93
Ventless Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	3.93
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	4.30
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	4.30

²³ Developed using coincident peak information from March 2015 NEEP, “Residential Electric Clothes Dryer Baseline Study” conducted by Energy Resource Solutions. https://neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP_EMV_Summary%20Report_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf

²⁴ Based on ENERGY STAR test procedures. https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clothesdry.pr_crit_clothes_dryers

²⁵ ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis

²⁶ Federal standards report CEF for gas clothes dryers in terms of lbs/kWh. To determine gas savings, this number is later converted to therms.

²⁷ ENERGY STAR Clothes Dryers Key Product Criteria. https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clothesdry.pr_crit_clothes_dryers

Product Class	CEFeff (lbs/kWh)
Full Heat Pump, Standard	10.40 ²⁸
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	3.80
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	3.80
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	3.45
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	2.68
Vented Gas	3.48 ²⁹
Most Efficient Vented Gas	3.80

Ncycles = Number of dryer cycles per year. Use actual data if available. If unknown, use 250 cycles per year.³⁰

%Electric = The percent of overall savings coming from electricity
 = 100% for electric dryers, 16% for gas dryers³¹

PairedWasherKWhAdj = Adjustment to account for new clothes dryers often being purchased paired with an ENERGY STAR clothes washer (from which dryer savings are being claimed)³²

Product Class	PairedWasherAdj (kWh)
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	44.6
Ventless Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	44.6
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	44.6
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	44.6
Full Heat Pump, Standard	44.6
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0
Vented Gas	0
Most Efficient Vented Gas	0

ΔkWhHEAT = Electric space heating impact due to waste heat either being predominately vented to outside or remaining in the home (ventless hybrid or heat pump)

²⁸ This represents the test results performed with 8.45 lb load (the standard test load size used by manufacturers for reporting performance), See ‘Blomberg “Energy Star Partner Meeting – SEDI Session October 14, 2015.” This is based upon single full heat pump models (Blomberg/Beko) available now in the US. This will be updated when additional equipment enters the market and/or when separate CEE/ESTAR specifications are released for Heat Pump Dryers.

²⁹ Federal standards report CEF for gas clothes dryers in terms of lbs/kWh. To determine gas savings, this number is later converted to therms.

³⁰ Weighted average of 250 clothes washer cycles per year, consistent with Clothes Washer measure and based on 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) national sample survey of housing appliances section, Midwest Census Region, West North Central Census Division: <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/>. See RECS-Appliances tab in ‘Clothes Dryer_Analysis_05082019.xlsx’ for calculation.

If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for single-family or multi-family homes, in a particular market, or geographical area then that should be used. <http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/specs//ENERGY%20STAR%20Dryer%20Specification%20NEEA%20Amended%20Comments%20Mar%2026%202013.pdf>. Page 7.

³¹ %Electric accounts for the fact that some of the savings on gas dryers comes from electricity (motors, controls, etc). 16% was determined using a ratio of the electric to total savings from gas dryers given by ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis. See ENERGY STAR Analysis tab in ‘Clothes Dryer_Analysis_05082019.xlsx’ for calculation.

³² Dryer savings are calculated within the Clothes Washer measure. See “Clothes Dryer Calcs_04262017.xls” for more detail.

$$= \text{kWhHEAT}_{\text{Eff}} - \text{kWhHEAT}_{\text{Base}}$$

$$\text{kWhHEAT} = \frac{(\% \text{HeatSpace} * \text{HF} * \% \text{ElecHeat} * \% \text{Conditioned} * \text{Dryer Consumption})}{\eta_{\text{HeatElectric}}}$$

Where:

%HeatSpace = Proportion of dryer heat energy remaining in space

Vented = 5%³³

Ventless = 100%

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown³⁴

= 0% for unit in unheated space

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating Fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% ³⁵

%Conditioned = Portion of homes with dryer in conditioned space

= 73%³⁶

Dryer Consumption = Load/CEF * Ncycles

$\eta_{\text{HeatElectric}}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:³⁷

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 ³⁸

³³ Professional judgement estimate.

³⁴ Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

³⁵ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

³⁶ NEEP Study found 16 of 22 sites had the dryer in a heated space; NEEP, Energy & Resource Solutions "Electric Dryer Baseline Research", p8.

<http://www.neep.org/sites/default/files/Microsoft%20PowerPoint%20-%20NEEP%20Dryer%20Presentation%20Final%2003-30-15.pdf>

³⁷ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

³⁸ Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration,

$\Delta\text{kWhCOOL}$ = Cooling impact due to waste heat either being predominately vented to outside or remaining in the home (ventless hybrid or heat pump)
 = $\text{kWhCOOL}_{\text{Base}} - \text{kWhCOOL}_{\text{Eff}}$

kWhCOOL = $(\% \text{HeatSpace} * \text{CoolF} * \% \text{Cool} * \% \text{Conditioned} * \text{Dryer Consumption}) / \eta_{\text{Cool}}$

Where:

CoolF = Cooling Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that no longer needs to be cooled

= 34% for unit in cooled space or unknown³⁹

= 0% for unit in uncooled space

%Cool = Percentage of home with cooling

Home	%Cool
Cooling	100%
No Cooling	0%
Unknown	88% ⁴⁰

η_{Cool} = Efficiency in COP of Cooling equipment

= Actual – If not available, assume 2.8 COP⁴¹

Using defaults provided above:

Product Class	CEF base	CEF eff	Base Dryer Consumption (kWh)	Eff Dryer Consumption (kWh)	Paired Washer kWhAdj	kWh HEAT Base (kWh)	kWh HEAT Eff (kWh)	kWh COOL Base (kWh)	kWh COOL Eff (kWh)	Total Waste Heat Impact	ΔkWh
Vented Electric, Standard ($\geq 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	3.11	3.93	679.5	537.7	44.6	2.0	1.6	2.7	2.1	0.1	97.3
Ventless Electric, Standard ($\geq 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	3.11	3.93	679.5	537.7	44.6	2.0	31.0	2.7	41.9	-10.3	86.9
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	3.11	4.3	679.5	491.4	44.6	2.0	1.4	2.7	1.9	0.2	143.6
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	3.11	4.3	679.5	491.4	44.6	2.0	28.3	2.7	38.3	-9.3	134.1
Full Heat Pump, Standard	3.11	10.4	679.5	203.2	44.6	2.0	11.7	2.7	15.9	-3.4	428.2
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) ($< 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	3.01	3.8	249.3	197.4	0.0	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.8	0.1	51.9

2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see “HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls”. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

³⁹ Based on 123 days where CDD $65 > 0$, divided by 365.25.

⁴⁰ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

⁴¹ Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = $\text{EER}/3.412 = 2.8\text{COP}$.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.1.2 Clothes Dryer

Product Class	CEF base	CEF eff	Base Dryer Consumption (kWh)	Eff Dryer Consumption (kWh)	Paired Washer kWhAdj	kWh HEAT Base (kWh)	kWh HEAT Eff (kWh)	kWh COOL Base (kWh)	kWh COOL Eff (kWh)	Total Waste Heat Impact	ΔkWh
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	3.11	3.93	679.5	537.7	44.6	2.0	1.6	2.7	2.1	0.1	97.3
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	3.01	3.8	249.3	197.4	0.0	14.4	11.4	19.4	15.4	1.1	52.9
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	2.73	3.45	274.8	217.5	0.0	0.8	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.1	57.4
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	2.13	2.68	352.2	279.9	0.0	20.3	16.1	27.5	21.8	1.5	73.8
Vented Gas	2.84	3.48	118.2	96.4	0.0	2.1	1.8	2.9	2.4	0.1	21.9
Most Efficient Vented Gas	2.84	3.8	118.2	88.3	0.0	2.1	1.6	2.9	2.2	0.2	30.0

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

- ΔkWh = Energy Savings as calculated above
- Hours = Annual run hours of clothes dryer. Use actual data if available. If unknown, use 200 hours per year.⁴²
- CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure =4.31%⁴³

Using defaults provided above:

Product Class	ΔkW
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	0.0210
Ventless Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	0.0187
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	0.0309
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	0.0289
Full Heat Pump, Standard	0.0923
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0.0112
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0.0114
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0.0124
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0.0159
Vented Gas	0.0047
Most Efficient Vented Gas	0.0065

⁴² Assume 250 cycles and 48 minutes per dryer cycle according to March 2015 NEEP “Residential Electric Clothes Dryer Baseline Study” conducted by Energy Resource Solutions.

https://neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP_EMV_Summary%20Report_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf

⁴³ Developed using coincident peak information from March 2015 NEEP, “Residential Electric Clothes Dryer Baseline Study” conducted by Energy Resource Solutions.

https://neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP_EMV_Summary%20Report_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Natural gas savings only apply to ENERGY STAR vented gas clothes dryers.

$$\Delta Therm = \left(\left(\frac{Load}{CEF_{base}} - \frac{Load}{CEF_{eff}} \right) * N_{cycles} * Therm_{convert} * \%Gas \right) - PairedWasherThermAdj + \Delta Therm_{HEAT}$$

Where:

Therm_convert = Conversion factor from kWh to Therm
= 0.03412

%Gas = Percent of overall savings coming from gas
= 0% for electric units and 84% for gas units⁴⁴

PairedWasherThermAdj = Adjustment to account for new clothes dryers being purchased paired with an ENERGY STAR clothes washer (from which some dryer savings are already being claimed)

Product Class	PairedWasherAdj (Therm)
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	0
Ventless Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft ³)	0
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	0
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	0
Full Heat Pump, Standard	0
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft ³)	0
Vented Gas	1.5
Most Efficient Vented Gas	1.5

ΔThermHEAT = Gas spaced heating impact due to waste heat either being predominately vented to outside or remaining in the home (ventless hybrid or heat pump)

= ThermHEATEff - ThermHEATBase

ThermHEAT = (%HeatSpace * HF * %GasHeat * %Conditioned * Dryer Consumption) / ηHeatGas

Where:

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating Fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% ⁴⁵

⁴⁴ %Gas accounts for the fact that some of the savings on gas dryers comes from electricity (motors, controls, etc.). 84% was determined using a ratio of the gas to total savings from gas dryers given by ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis. See ENERGY STAR Analysis tab in 'Clothes Dryer_Analysis_05082019.xlsx' for calculation.

⁴⁵ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

$$\text{Dryer Consumption} = \text{Load/CEF} * \text{Ncycles}$$

$$\eta_{\text{HeatGas}} = \text{Efficiency of heating system}$$

$$= 74\%^{46}$$

Product Class	CEF base	CEFeff	Base Dryer Consumption (Therms)	Eff Dryer Consumption (Therms)	Paired Washer Therm Adj	Therm HEAT Base	Therm HEAT Eff	Total Waste Heat Impact	ΔTherm
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft³)	n/a					0.56	0.44	-0.12	-0.12
Ventless Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft³)						0.56	8.86	8.30	8.30
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard						0.56	0.41	-0.15	-0.15
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard						0.56	8.10	7.54	7.54
Full Heat Pump, Standard						0.56	3.35	2.79	2.79
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft³)						0.21	0.16	-0.04	-0.04
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft³)						4.11	3.25	-0.85	-0.85
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft³)						0.23	0.18	-0.05	-0.05
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft³)						5.81	4.61	-1.19	-1.19
Vented Gas						2.84	0.61	0.50	-0.11
Most Efficient Vented Gas	2.84	0.61	0.46	-0.15	3.72	0.64	0.48	-0.16	4.70

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \frac{\Delta \text{Therms}}{365.25}$$

⁴⁶ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes - based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

Where:

Δ Therms = Therm impact calculated above
 365.25 = Days per year

Product Class	Δ Peak Therms
Vented Electric, Standard ($\geq 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	-0.0003
Ventless Electric, Standard ($\geq 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	0.0227
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	-0.0004
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	0.0206
Full Heat Pump, Standard	0.0076
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) ($< 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	-0.0001
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) ($< 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	-0.0023
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) ($< 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	-0.0001
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) ($< 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$)	-0.0033
Vented Gas	0.0063
Most Efficient Vented Gas	0.0102

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESDR-V04-200101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023*

* This measure is overdue for a reliability review due to no utility currently offering the measure. If a utility plans to start using this measure again, it should be reviewed accordingly

2.1.3 Refrigerator

DESCRIPTION

A refrigerator meeting either Energy Star/CEE Tier 1 specifications or the higher efficiency specifications of CEE Tier 2, or CEE Tier 3 is installed instead of a new unit of baseline efficiency. The measure applies to time of sale and early replacement programs.

This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The high efficiency level is a refrigerator meeting Energy Star specifications effective September 15th, 2014 (10% above federal standard), a refrigerator meeting CEE Tier 2 specifications (15% above federal standard), or meeting CEE Tier 3 specifications (20% above federal standards).

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Baseline efficiency is a new refrigerator meeting the minimum federal efficiency standard for refrigerators effective September 15th, 2014.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

17 years⁴⁷

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The full cost of a baseline unit is \$803.⁴⁸

The incremental cost to the Energy Star level is \$12, to CEE Tier 2 level is \$21 and to CEE Tier 3 is \$59.⁴⁹

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE16 – Residential Refrigeration

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh_{Unit} = kWh_{base} - (kWh_{base} * (1 - \%Savings))$$

Where:

kWh_{base} = Baseline consumption

⁴⁷ Mean from Figure 8.2.3, DOE, 2011-08-23 Technical Support Document for Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers.

⁴⁸ Configurations weighted according to table under Energy Savings. Values inflated 13.2% (cumulative rate of inflation using government CPI data) from 2009 dollars to 2017. Table 8.1.1, DOE, 2011-08-23 Technical Support Document for Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers. See 'Refrig Incremental Cost Calc. xls' for details.

⁴⁹ Configurations weighted according to table under Energy Savings. Values inflated 8.9% from 2009 dollars to 2015. Table 8.2.2, DOE, 2011-08-23 Technical Support Document for Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers. See 'Refrig Incremental Cost Calc. xls' for details.

= Based on average consumption of non-ENERGY STAR units available in 4 main product classes. See tables below.⁵⁰

%Savings = Specification of energy consumption below Federal Standard:

Tier	%Savings
Energy Star and CEE Tier 1	10%
Energy Star Most Efficient and CEE Tier 2	15%
CEE Tier 3	20%

Additional Waste Heat Impacts

For units in conditioned spaces in the home (if unknown, assume unit is in conditioned space).

$$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat} = \Delta kWh * (WHFeHeatElectric + WHFeCool)$$

Where:

ΔkWh = kWh savings calculated from either method above

WHFeHeatElectric= Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for electric heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

$$= - (HF / \eta_{HeatElectric}) * \%ElecHeat$$

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown⁵¹

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\eta_{HeatElectric}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:⁵²

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 ⁵³

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

⁵⁰ Based on data provided in the CAC Appliance Database accessed 4/2022. See 'Refrig_CAC database_04012022.xls' for more information.

⁵¹ Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

⁵² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

⁵³ Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

Heating Fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% ⁵⁴

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer.

$$= (\text{CoolF} / \eta_{\text{Cool}}) * \% \text{Cool}$$

CoolF = Cooling Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that no longer needs to be cooled

= 34% for unit in cooled space or unknown⁵⁵

= 0% for unit in uncooled space

η_{Cool} = Efficiency in COP of Cooling equipment

= Actual – If not available, assume 2.8 COP⁵⁶

%Cool = Percentage of home with cooling

Home	%Cool
Cooling	100%
No Cooling	0%
Unknown	88% ⁵⁷

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Class	Baseline Usage kWh _{base}	Unit ΔkWh			ΔkWh _{WasteHeat}			Total ΔkWh		
		ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	385.4	38.5	57.8	77.1	1.07	1.61	2.15	39.6	59.4	79.2
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	705.7	70.6	105.9	141.1	1.97	2.95	3.94	72.5	108.8	145.1
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	618.6	61.9	92.8	123.7	1.72	2.59	3.45	63.6	95.4	127.2
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	630.1	63.0	94.5	126.0	1.76	2.64	3.51	64.8	97.2	129.5

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

⁵⁴ Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results, page 19.

⁵⁵ Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, divided by 365.25.

⁵⁶ Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

⁵⁷ Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results, for residences with Central AC, page 19.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.1.3 Refrigerator

Product Class	Market Weight ⁵⁸	Total ΔkWh			ΔkWh _{WasteHeat}			Total ΔkWh		
		Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	22%	57.8	86.7	115.6	1.6	2.4	3.2	59.4	89.1	118.8
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	9%									
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	45%									
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	24%									

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left(\frac{\Delta kWh_{Unit}}{HOURS} \right) * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{Unit} = gross customer connected load kWh savings for the measure (not including ΔkWh_{wasteheat})

HOURS = Equivalent Full Load Hours
= 5280⁵⁹

WHFdCool = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat.

Refrigerator Location	WHFdCool
Cooled space	1.22 ⁶⁰
Uncooled	1.0
Unknown	1.19 ⁶¹

CF = Summer Peak Coincident Factor
= 0.709⁶²

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Class	ΔkW		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	0.0062	0.0092	0.0123
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	0.0113	0.0169	0.0226
Bottom Freezer	0.0099	0.0148	0.0198

⁵⁸ Based on the ENERGY STAR Residential Refrigerator Qualifying Product List from March 15, 2022.

⁵⁹ Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

⁶⁰ The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours.

⁶¹ The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as 1 + (0.88 * 0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours. The 88% is the percentage of homes have central cooling (based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

⁶² Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Product Class	ΔkW		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
(PC 5)			
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	0.0101	0.0151	0.0201

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

Product Class	Market Weight ⁶³	ΔkW		
		Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	22%	0.0092	0.0139	0.0185
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	9%			
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	45%			
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	24%			

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

$$\Delta Therms = \Delta kWh_{Unit} * WHFeHeatGas * 0.03412$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{Unit} = kWh savings calculated from either method above, not including the $\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$

WHFeHeatGas = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for gas heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer

$$= - (HF / \eta_{HeatGas}) * \%GasHeat$$

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown⁶⁴

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\eta_{HeatGas}$ = Efficiency of heating system

= 74%⁶⁵

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating Fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%

⁶³ Based on the ENERGY STAR Residential Refrigerator Qualifying Product List from March 15, 2022.

⁶⁴ Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

⁶⁵ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes - based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: $((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74$.

Gas	100%
Unknown	83% ⁶⁶

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Class	ΔTherms		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	-0.87	-1.31	-1.74
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	-1.59	-2.39	-3.19
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	-1.40	-2.10	-2.79
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	-1.42	-2.13	-2.85

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

Product Class	Market Weight ⁶⁷	ΔTherms		
		Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	22%	-1.30	-1.96	-2.61
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	9%			
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	45%			
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	24%			

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{(\Delta Therms)}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above
- HeatDays = Heat season days per year
= 217⁶⁸

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Class	ΔPeakTherms		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	-0.0040	-0.0060	-0.0080
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	-0.0073	-0.0110	-0.0147

⁶⁶ Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see “HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls”.

⁶⁷ Based on the ENERGY STAR Residential Refrigerator Qualifying Product List from March 15, 2022.

⁶⁸ Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

Product Class	ΔPeakTherms		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	-0.0064	-0.0097	-0.0129
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	-0.0066	-0.0098	-0.0131

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

Product Class	Market Weight ⁶⁹	ΔPeakTherms		
		Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	22%	-0.0060	-0.0090	-0.0120
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	9%			
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	45%			
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	24%			

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-REFR-V02-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

⁶⁹ Based on the ENERGY STAR Residential Refrigerator Qualifying Product List from March 15, 2022.

2.1.4 Freezer

DESCRIPTION

A freezer meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR is installed in place of a model meeting the federal standard (NAECA). Energy usage specifications are defined in the table below (note, AV is the freezer Adjusted Volume and is calculated as 1.73*Total Volume):

Product Category	Volume (cubic feet)	Federal Baseline Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year ⁷⁰	ENERGY STAR Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year ⁷¹
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	7.75 or greater	5.57*AV + 193.7	5.01*AV + 174.3
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost without an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	8.62*AV + 228.3	7.76*AV + 205.5
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost with an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	8.62*AV+312.3	7.76*AV+289.5
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	9.86*AV+260.9	8.87*AV+234.8
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost with an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	9.86*AV+344.9	8.87*AV+318.8
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	7.75 or greater	7.29*AV + 107.8	6.56*AV + 97.0
Chest Freezers with automatic defrost	7.75 or greater	10.24*AV+148.1	9.22*AV+133.3
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	8.65*AV + 225.7	7.79*AV + 203.1
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	10.17*AV + 351.9	9.15*AV + 316.7
Compact Chest Freezers	<7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	9.25*AV + 136.8	8.33*AV + 123.1

This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as a freezer meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR, defined as using at least 10% less measured energy than the minimum federal efficiency standards.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a model that meets the federal minimum standard for energy efficiency. The standard varies depending on the size and configuration of the freezer (chest freezer or upright freezer, automatic or manual defrost) and is defined in the table above.

⁷⁰ http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/43

⁷¹ ENERGY STAR V5.0 Residential Refrigerators and Freezer Program Specifications

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 12 years.⁷²

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is \$0.⁷³

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE15 – Residential Freezer

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS:

$$\Delta kWh_{Unit} = kWh_{BASE} - kWh_{ESTAR}$$

Where:

- kWh_{BASE} = Baseline kWh consumption per year.
= Based on average consumption of non-ENERGY STAR units available in 4 main product classes. See tables below.
- kWh_{ESTAR} = ENERGY STAR kWh consumption per year

Additional Waste Heat Impacts

For units in conditioned spaces in the home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

$$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat} = \Delta kWh * (WHFeHeatElectric + WHFeCool)$$

Where:

- ΔkWh = kWh savings calculated from either method above
- WHFeHeatElectric= Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for electric heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).
= - (HF / ηHeat_{Electric}) * %ElecHeat
- HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated
= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown⁷⁴
= 0% for unit in unheated space
- ηHeat_{Electric} = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment
= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:⁷⁵

⁷² 2012 EPA research on available models, as cited in the 2015 Energy Star Freezer Calculator; http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance_calculator.xlsx

⁷³ 2014 EPA research on available models, as cited in the 2015 Energy Star Freezer Calculator; http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance_calculator.xlsx

⁷⁴ Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

⁷⁵ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 ⁷⁶

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating Fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% ⁷⁷

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer.

$$= (\text{CoolF} / \eta_{\text{Cool}}) * \% \text{Cool}$$

CoolF = Cooling Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that no longer needs to be cooled

= 34% for unit in cooled space or unknown⁷⁸

= 0% for unit in uncooled space

η_{Cool} = Efficiency in COP of Cooling equipment

= Actual – If not available, assume 2.8 COP⁷⁹

%Cool = Percentage of home with cooling

Home	%Cool
Cooling	100%
No Cooling	0%
Unknown	88% ⁸⁰

Default assumptions are provided below⁸¹:

degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

⁷⁶ Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see “HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls”. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

⁷⁷ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results, page 19.

⁷⁸ Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, divided by 365.25.

⁷⁹ Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

⁸⁰ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results, for residences with Central AC, page 19.

⁸¹ CAC Data was used to inform the savings values. Please see the Analysis file for further details.

Product Category	kWh _{BASE}	kWh _{ESTAR}	Unit kWh Savings	ΔkWh _{WasteHeat}	Total ΔkWh
Upright Freezer	502.1	438.9	63.2	1.8	64.9
Chest Freezer	255.7	229.0	26.6	0.7	27.4
Compact Upright	334.1	302.5	31.6	0.9	32.4
Compact Chest	222.1	193.2	28.8	0.8	29.6

If product class is also unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

Product Class	Market Weight ⁸²	Unit kWh Savings	ΔkWh _{WasteHeat}	Total ΔkWh
Upright Freezer	62%	50.6	1.4	52.0
Chest Freezer	3%			
Compact Upright	20%			
Compact Chest	15%			

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{unit}}{Hours} * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{Unit} = Gross customer annual kWh savings for the measure (not including ΔkWh_{wasteheat})

Hours = Full Load hours per year
= 5895⁸³

WHFdCool = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat.

Freezer Location	WHFdCool
Cooled space	1.22 ⁸⁴
Uncooled	1.0
Unknown	1.19 ⁸⁵

CF = Summer Peak Coincident Factor
= 0.953⁸⁶

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Category	kW Savings
Upright Freezer	0.0122

⁸² Weighted based on numbers of models available on the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List April, 2022.

⁸³ Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

⁸⁴ The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours.

⁸⁵ The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as 1 + (0.88 * 0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours. The 88% is the percentage of homes have central cooling (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls").

⁸⁶ Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

Product Category	kW Savings
Chest Freezer	0.0051
Compact Upright	0.0061
Compact Chest	0.0055

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

Product Class	Market Weight ⁸⁷	kW Savings
Upright Freezer	62%	0.0097
Chest Freezer	3%	
Compact Upright	20%	
Compact Chest	15%	

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

$$\Delta Therms = \Delta kWh_{Unit} * WHFeHeatGas * 0.03412$$

Where:

- ΔkWh_{Unit} = kWh savings calculated from either method above, not including the $\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$
- $WHFeHeatGas$ = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for gas heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer
 = - (HF / $\eta_{HeatGas}$) * %GasHeat
 HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated
 = 59% for unit in heated space or unknown⁸⁸
 = 0% for unit in unheated space
- $\eta_{HeatGas}$ = Efficiency of heating system
 = 74%⁸⁹
- %GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating Fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% ⁹⁰

⁸⁷ Weighted based on numbers of models available on the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List 2022

⁸⁸ Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

⁸⁹ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes - based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

⁹⁰ Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Category	ΔTherms
Upright Freezer	-1.43
Chest Freezer	-0.60
Compact Upright	-0.71
Compact Chest	-0.65

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

Product Class	Market Weight ⁹¹	ΔTherms
Upright Freezer	62%	-1.14
Chest Freezer	3%	
Compact Upright	20%	
Compact Chest	15%	

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{(\Delta Therms)}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above
- HeatDays = Heat season days per year
= 217⁹²

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Category	ΔPeakTherms
Upright Freezer	-0.0066
Chest Freezer	-0.0028
Compact Upright	-0.0033
Compact Chest	-0.0030

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

⁹¹ Weighted based on numbers of models available on the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List 2022.

⁹² Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

Product Class	Market Weight ⁹³	ΔTherms
Upright Freezer	62%	-0.0053
Chest Freezer	3%	
Compact Upright	20%	
Compact Chest	15%	

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESFR-V03-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021*

* This measure is overdue for a reliability review due to no utility currently offering the measure. If a utility plans to start using this measure again, it should be reviewed accordingly.

⁹³ Weighted based on numbers of models available on the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List 2022.

2.1.5 Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from the retirement and recycling of inefficient but operational refrigerators and freezers. Savings are provided in two ways. First, a regression equation is provided that requires the use of key inputs describing the retired unit (or population of units) and is based on a 2013 workpaper provided by Cadmus that used data from a 2012 ComEd metering study and metering data from a Michigan study. The second methodology is a deemed approach based on applying program data from MidAmerican and Alliant from 2019 and 2020 to the regression equation.

The savings are equivalent to the Unit Energy Consumption of the retired unit and should be claimed for the assumed remaining useful life of that unit. A part-use factor is applied to account for those secondary units that are not in use throughout the entire year. The user should note that the regression algorithm is designed to provide an accurate portrayal of savings for the population as a whole and includes those parameters that have a significant effect on the consumption. The precision of savings for individual units will vary. This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: ERET.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The existing inefficient refrigerator is removed from service and not replaced.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing inefficient unit must be operational.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The estimated remaining useful life of the recycling units is 6.5 years.⁹⁴

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Measure cost includes the cost of pickup and recycling of the refrigerator and should be based on actual costs of running the program. If unknown, assume \$100 per unit.⁹⁵

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE16 – Residential Refrigerator

Loadshape RE15 – Residential Freezer

⁹⁴ DOE refrigerator and freezer survival curves are used to calculate RUL for each equipment age and develop a RUL schedule. The RUL of each unit in the ARCA database is calculated and the average RUL of the dataset serves as the final measure RUL. Refrigerator recycling data from ComEd, IL (PY7-PY9) and Ameren, IL (PY6-PY8) were used to determine EUL with the DOE survival curves from the 2009 TSD. A weighted average of the retailer ComEd data and the Ameren data results in an average of 6.5 years. See Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report,' May 2018.

⁹⁵ Based on program costs provided by Mid American and Alliant Energy in 2021.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ENERGY SAVINGS

Regression analysis; Refrigerators

Energy savings for refrigerators are based upon a linear regression model using the following coefficients:⁹⁶

Independent Variable Description	Estimate Coefficient
Intercept	83.324
Age (years)	3.678
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	485.037
Size (cubic feet)	27.149
Dummy: Side-by-Side (= 1 if side-by-side)	406.779
Dummy: Primary Usage Type (in absence of the program) (= 1 if primary unit)	161.857
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25	15.366
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x HDD/365.25	-11.067

$$\Delta kWh_{unit} = [83.32 + (Age * 3.68) + (Pre - 1990 * 485.04) + (Size * 27.15) + (Side - by - side * 406.78) + (Primary Usage * 161.86) + (CDD/365.25 * unconditioned * 15.37) + (HDD/365.25 * unconditioned * -11.07)] * Part Use Factor$$

Where:

- Age = Age of retired unit
- Pre-1990 = Pre-1990 dummy (=1 if manufactured pre-1990, else 0)
- Size = Capacity (cubic feet) of retired unit
- Side-by-side = Side-by-side dummy (= 1 if side-by-side, else 0)
- Single-Door = Single-door dummy (= 1 if Single-door, else 0)
- Primary Usage = Primary Usage Type (in absence of the program) dummy (= 1 if Primary, else 0)
- CDD = Cooling Degree Days = Dependent on location:⁹⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65	CDD/365.25
5 (Burlington)	1209	3.31
6 (Mason City)	616	1.69
Average/unknown	1,068	2.92

Unconditioned = If unit in unconditioned space = 1, otherwise 0

⁹⁶ Coefficients provided in July 30, 2014 memo from Cadmus: "Appliance Recycling Update no single door July 30 2014". Based on the specified regression, a small number of units may have negative energy and demand consumption. These are a function of the unit size and age, and should comprise a very small fraction of the population. While on an individual basis this result is counterintuitive, it is important that these negative results remain such that as a population the average savings is appropriate.

⁹⁷ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

Note unconditioned means a space that is not intentionally heated via furnace vents or boiler radiators. The presence of and/or leakage from a heating system in a space does not in itself imply the space is conditioned.

HDD = Heating Degree Days
 = Dependent on location:⁹⁸

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60	HDD/365.25
5 (Burlington)	4,496	12.31
6 (Mason City)	6,391	17.50
Average/unknown	5,052	13.83

Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.91.⁹⁹

Deemed approach; Refrigerators

$$\Delta kWh_{Unit} = UEC * Part Use Factor$$

Where:

UEC = Unit Energy Consumption based on Mid American and Alliant 2019 and 2020 program data¹⁰⁰:

Independent Variable Description	2019/2020 Program Data
Age (years)	22.7
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	0.21
Size (cubic feet)	19.4
Dummy: Side-by-Side (= 1 if side-by-side)	0.23
Dummy: Primary Usage Type (in absence of the program) (= 1 if primary unit)	0.72
Located in Unconditioned Space	0.62

= Dependent on climate zone as provided in table below.

Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.91.¹⁰¹

Deemed refrigerator savings are provided below:

⁹⁸ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F.

⁹⁹ Estimated using PY6 Illinois survey responses. Page 12, Impact and Process Evaluation of 2016 (PY9) Ameren Illinois Company Appliance Recycling Program, Opinion Dynamics, October 13, 2017.

¹⁰⁰ See "IA Refrig Freezer Recycling.xls" for details.

¹⁰¹ Estimated using PY6 Illinois survey responses. Page 12, Impact and Process Evaluation of 2016 (PY9) Ameren Illinois Company Appliance Recycling Program, Opinion Dynamics, October 13, 2017.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	UEC	ΔkWh per unit
5 (Burlington)	954.0	868.1
6 (Mason City)	902.5	821.3
Average/unknown	939.8	855.2

Regression analysis; Freezers:

Energy savings for freezers are based upon a linear regression model using the following coefficients:¹⁰²

Independent Variable Description	Estimate Coefficient
Intercept	132.122
Age (years)	12.130
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	156.181
Size (cubic feet)	31.839
Chest Freezer Configuration (=1 if chest freezer)	-19.709
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25	9.778
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x HDD/365.25	-12.755

$$\Delta kWh_{unit} = [132.12 + (Age * 12.13) + (Pre - 1990 * 156.18) + (Size * 31.84) + (Chest Freezer * -19.71) + (CDD/365.25 * unconditioned * 9.78) + (HDD/365.25 * unconditioned * -12.75)] * Part Use Factor$$

Where:

- Age = Age of retired unit
- Pre-1990 = Pre-1990 dummy (=1 if manufactured pre-1990, else 0)
- Size = Capacity (cubic feet) of retired unit
- Chest Freezer = Chest Freezer dummy (= 1 if chest freezer, else 0)
- CDD = Cooling Degree Days (see table in refrigerator section)
- Unconditioned = If unit in unconditioned space = 1, otherwise 0
- HDD = Heating Degree Days (see table in refrigerator section)
- Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.86.¹⁰³

Deemed approach; Freezers

$$\Delta kWh_{unit} = UEC * Part Use Factor$$

¹⁰² Coefficients provided in January 31, 2013 memo from Cadmus: “Appliance Recycling Update”. Based on the specified regression, a small number of units may have negative energy and demand consumption. These are a function of the unit size and age, and should comprise a very small fraction of the population. While on an individual basis this result is counterintuitive it is important that these negative results remain such that as a population the average savings is appropriate.

¹⁰³ Estimated using PY6 Illinois survey responses. Page 12, Impact and Process Evaluation of 2016 (PY9) Ameren Illinois Company Appliance Recycling Program, Opinion Dynamics, October 13, 2017.

Where:

UEC = Unit Energy Consumption of retired unit based on Mid American and Alliant 2019 and 2020 program data¹⁰⁴:

Independent Variable Description	2019/2020 Program Data
Age (years)	30.3
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	0.49
Size (cubic feet)	15.7
Chest Freezer Configuration (=1 if chest freezer)	0.50
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25	0.83

Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.86.¹⁰⁵

Deemed freezer savings are provided below:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	UEC	ΔkWh per unit
5 (Burlington)	962.4	827.6
6 (Mason City)	894.5	769.3
Average/unknown	943.2	811.1

Additional Waste Heat Impacts

Only for retired units from conditioned spaces in the home.

$$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat} = Conditioned * \Delta kWh * (WHFeHeatElectric + WHFeCool)$$

Where:

Conditioned = % of units in conditioned space
 = 100% if unit in conditioned space, 0% if unit in unconditioned space,
 = If unknown and for deemed approach assume 38% for refrigerators and 17% for freezers¹⁰⁶

ΔkWh_{unit} = kWh savings calculated from either method above

WHFeHeatElectric = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for electric heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

¹⁰⁴ See “IA Refrig Freezer Recycling.xls” for details.

¹⁰⁵ Estimated using PY6 Illinois survey responses. Page 12, Impact and Process Evaluation of 2016 (PY9) Ameren Illinois Company Appliance Recycling Program, Opinion Dynamics, October 13, 2017.

¹⁰⁶ Percentage of units in conditioned space is based on Mid American and Alliant program data from 2019/2020.

$$= - (HF / \eta_{\text{HeatElectric}}) * \% \text{ElecHeat}$$

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space¹⁰⁷

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\eta_{\text{HeatElectric}}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:¹⁰⁸

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 ¹⁰⁹

$\% \text{ElecHeat}$ = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating Fuel	$\% \text{ElecHeat}$
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% ¹¹⁰

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer.

$$= (\text{CoolF} / \eta_{\text{Cool}}) * \% \text{Cool}$$

CoolF = Cooling Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that no longer needs to be cooled

= 34% for unit in cooled space¹¹¹

= 0% for unit in uncooled space

η_{Cool} = Efficiency in COP of Cooling equipment

¹⁰⁷ Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

¹⁰⁸ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

¹⁰⁹ Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see “HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls”. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

¹¹⁰ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

¹¹¹ Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, divided by 365.25.

= Actual – If not available, assume 2.8 COP¹¹²

%Cool = Percentage of homes with cooling

Home	%Cool
Cooling	100%
No Cooling	0%
Unknown	88% ¹¹³

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{unit}}{HOURS} * (\%Cool * WHFdCool) * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{unit} = Savings provided in algorithm above (not including $\Delta kWh_{wasteheat}$)

HOURS = Equivalent Full Load Hours as calculated using eShapes loadprofile

Refrigerators = 5280

Freezers = 5895

WHFdCool = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat.

Refrigerator Location	WHFdCool
Cooled space	1.22 ¹¹⁴
Uncooled	1.0

CF = Coincident factor as calculated using eShapes loadprofile

Refrigerators = 70.9%

Freezers = 95.3%

Deemed approach; Refrigerators

Climate Zone (City based upon)	ΔkW per unit
5 (Burlington)	0.1252
6 (Mason City)	0.1184
Average/unknown	0.1233

Deemed approach; Freezers

¹¹² Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master’s Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

¹¹³ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

¹¹⁴ The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as $1 + (0.61 / 2.8)$). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	ΔkW per unit
5 (Burlington)	0.1436
6 (Mason City)	0.1335
Average/unknown	0.1408

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for retired units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from unconditioned space).

$$\Delta Therms_{Wasteheat} = Conditioned * \Delta kWh_{Unit} * WHFeHeatGas * 0.03412$$

Where:

ΔkWh_{Unit} = kWh savings calculated from either method above, not including the ΔkWh_{WasteHeat}

WHFeHeatGas = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for gas heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer

$$= - (HF / \eta_{HeatGas}) * \%GasHeat$$

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space¹¹⁵

= 0% for unit in unheated space

η_{HeatGas} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual, if unknown assume 74%¹¹⁶

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating Fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% ¹¹⁷

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

Deemed waste heat impacts are provided below:

¹¹⁵ Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

¹¹⁶ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes - based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

¹¹⁷ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

Unit Type	Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta\text{Therms}_{\text{WasteHeat}}$
Refrigerator	5 (Burlington)	-7.4
	6 (Mason City)	-7.0
	Average/unknown	-7.2
Freezer	5 (Burlington)	-3.2
	6 (Mason City)	-3.0
	Average/unknown	-3.2

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for retired units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from unconditioned space).

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \frac{(\Delta\text{Therms})}{\text{HeatDays}}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

HeatDays = Heat season days per year

= 217¹¹⁸

Deemed waste heat impacts are provided below:

Unit Type	Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta\text{PeakTherms}$
Refrigerator	5 (Burlington)	-0.0339
	6 (Mason City)	-0.0321
	Average/unknown	-0.0334
Freezer	5 (Burlington)	-0.0149
	6 (Mason City)	-0.0139
	Average/unknown	-0.0146

¹¹⁸ Number of days where HDD 60 > 0.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-RFRC-V05-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

2.1.6 Room Air Conditioner (Removed 2021)

This measure was archived due to no utility currently offering the measure and an out of date savings characterization. Please refer to Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual Version 4.0 Volume 2: Residential Measures; Final: August 2, 2019; Effective January 1, 2020 in which the measure was last active.

2.1.7 Room Air Conditioner Recycling

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes the savings resulting from running a drop-off service taking existing residential, inefficient Room Air Conditioner units from service prior to their natural end of life. This measure assumes that a percentage of these units will be replaced with a baseline standard efficiency unit (note that if it is actually replaced by a new ENERGY STAR qualifying unit, the savings increment between baseline and ENERGY STAR will be recorded in the Efficient Products program).

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: ERET.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

N/A. This measure relates to the retiring of an existing inefficient unit.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is the existing inefficient room air conditioning unit.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed remaining useful life of the existing room air conditioning unit being retired is 3.5 years.¹¹⁹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual implementation cost for recycling the existing unit should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= kWh_{exist} - (\%replaced * kWh_{newbase}) \\ &= \frac{Hours * BtuH}{EER_{exist} * 1000} - (\%replaced * \frac{Hours * BtuH}{CEER_{NewBase} * 1000}) \end{aligned}$$

Where:

Hours = Full Load Hours of room air conditioning unit

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Hours ¹²⁰
5 (Burlington)	330

¹¹⁹ One third of assumed measure life for Room AC, which was assumed to be 10.5 years, according to the Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy; "Energy Conservation Program, Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Clothes Dryers and Room Air Conditioners", 10 CFR Part 430 (Docket: EERE-2007-BT-STD-0010, pg. 22514).

¹²⁰ The average ratio of FLH for Room AC (provided in RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008:

http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf) to FLH for Central Cooling for the same locations (provided by AHRI: see [reference file "RoomAC_Calculator"](#)) is 31%. This

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Hours ¹²⁰
6 (Mason City)	168
Average/unknown	292

BtuH = Average size of rebated unit. Use actual if available – if not, assume 8500¹²¹

EERexist = Efficiency of recycled unit

= Actual if recorded – If not, assume 9.4¹²²

%replaced = Percentage of units dropped off that are replaced

Scenario	%replaced
Customer states unit will not be replaced	0%
Customer states unit will be replaced	100%
Unknown	76% ¹²³

CEERNewBase = Efficiency of new replacement baseline unit

= 10.5¹²⁴

Results using defaults provided above:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	ΔkWh		
	Unit not replaced	Unit replaced	Unknown
5 (Burlington)	298.4	31.3	95.4
6 (Mason City)	151.9	15.9	48.6
Average/Unknown	264.0	27.7	84.4

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

factor was applied to the ENERGY STAR FLH for Central Cooling provided for Des Moines, IA to provide an assumption for FLH for Room AC, and adjusted by CDD for the other locations.

¹²¹ Based on maximum capacity average from the RLW Report; “Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008.”

¹²² Federal efficiency standards for Room A/C manufactured between October 1, 2000 and May 31, 2014: 10 CFR 430.32(b) are at 9.8 EER- Weighted by capacity and equipment type based on available products on the EERE - Compliance Certification Database, that are non-ENERGY STAR units, as accessed 05/16/2022.

¹²³ Based on Nexus Market Research Inc, RLW Analytics, December 2005; “Impact, Process, and Market Study of the Connecticut Appliance Retirement Program: Overall Report.” Report states that 63% were replaced with ENERGY STAR units and 13% with non-ENERGY STAR. However, this formula assumes all are non-ENERGY STAR since the increment of savings between baseline units and ENERGY STAR would be recorded by the Efficient Products program when the new unit is purchased.

¹²⁴ Federal efficiency standards for Room A/C manufactured after June 1, 2014: 10 CFR 430.32(b) are 10.9 CEER- Weighted by capacity and equipment type based on available products EERE - Compliance Certification Database, that are non-ENERGY STAR units, as accessed 05/16/2022.

= 0.3¹²⁵

Results using defaults provided above:

ΔkW		
Unit not replaced	Unit replaced	Unknown
0.2713	0.0284	0.0867

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-RARC-V02-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

¹²⁵ Consistent with coincidence factors found in:

RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

(http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RA_C.pdf)

2.1.8 ENERGY STAR Air Purifier/Cleaner

DESCRIPTION

An air purifier (cleaner) meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR is purchased and installed in place of a non-ENERGY STAR model.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as an air purifier meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR as provided below.

- Must produce a minimum 30 Clean Air Delivery Rate (CADR) for Smoke¹²⁶ to be considered under this specification.
- UL Safety Requirement: Models that emit ozone as a byproduct of air cleaning must meet UL Standard 867 (ozone production must not exceed 50ppb).
- Minimum Performance Requirements for Smoke as listed below:¹²⁷

Smoke CADR Bins	Minimum Smoke CADR/W
$30 \leq \text{CADR} < 100$	1.9
$100 \leq \text{CADR} < 150$	2.4
$150 \leq \text{CADR} < 200$	2.9
$200 \leq \text{CADR}$	2.9

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a conventional unit¹²⁸ that does not meet ENERGY STAR Efficiency Requirements.¹²⁹

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 9 years.¹³⁰

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is dependent on the Air Purifier size in CADR of Smoke.¹³¹

Product Size	Average Purchase Cost (\$)	Average Incremental Cost (\$)
$30 \leq \text{CADR} < 100$	\$ 82.49	\$ 8.44
$100 \leq \text{CADR} < 150$	\$ 140.43	\$ 22.33
$150 \leq \text{CADR} < 200$	\$ 349.00	\$ 92.34
$200 \leq \text{CADR}$	\$ 264.49	\$ 44.50

¹²⁶ Measured according to the latest ANSI/AHAM AC-1 (AC-1) Standard.

¹²⁷ ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Room Air Cleaners - Eligibility Criteria V2.0.

¹²⁸ As defined as the average of non-ENERGY STAR products found in EPA research, 2011, ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator.

¹²⁹ ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Room Air Cleaners - Eligibility Criteria V2.0.

¹³⁰ ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator citing Appliance Magazine, Portrait of the U.S. Appliance Industry 1998.

¹³¹ ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package (October 11, 2019). See file "ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package_GH 05122020_VEIC.xlsx"

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape E01 – Flat

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\left(ActiveHrs * \left(\frac{CADR}{Eff_{Base} * 1,000} \right) \right) + \left(PartialOnHrs * \left(\frac{PartialOnPower_{Base}}{1,000} \right) \right) \right] - \left[\left(ActiveHrs * \left(\frac{CADR}{Eff_{ESTAR} * 1,000} \right) \right) + \left(PartialOnHrs * \left(\frac{PartialOnPower_{ESTAR}}{1,000} \right) \right) \right]$$

Where:

1,000 = Conversion factor for W to kW

ActiveHrs = Annual Hours equipment is in Active Mode
= 5844 hours¹³²

PartialOnHrs = Annual hours on Standby Mode
= 2916 hours¹³³

PartialOn_{BASE} = Power during Partial-On Mode for baseline units
= 2 Watts¹³⁴

PartialOn_{ESTAR} = Power during Partial-On Mode for ENERGY STAR efficient units
= Actual. If unknown:

Product Category	PartialOn _{ESTAR} (Watts) ¹³⁵
30 ≤ Smoke CADR < 100	0.554
100 ≤ Smoke CADR < 150	0.488
150 ≤ Smoke CADR < 200	0.554
200 ≤ Smoke CADR	0.654

CADR = Smoke Clean Air Delivery Rate (CADR) for unit¹³⁶
= Actual. If Unknown:

Product Category	Clean Air Delivery Rate (cfm)

¹³² Measured according to the latest ANSI/AHAM AC-1 (AC-1) Standard.

¹³³ Based on the Active Mode hours, the remaining hours in the day are assumed to be in standby mode. (8760 – 5840 = 2920)

¹³⁴ ICF/EPA ENERGY STAR (Leybourn, Steve) provided on 2/17/21 as additional back-up material to the ENERGY STAR v.2.0 specification data package. See files: ICF_EPA_AirPurifier_Summary Savings Calculations.xlsx which supports ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package.xlsx.

¹³⁵ Based on data from ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List, accessed April 2022. Please see Analysis file

¹³⁶ Based on data from ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List, accessed April 2022. Please see Analysis file

30 ≤ Smoke CADR < 100	74.2
100 ≤ Smoke CADR < 150	130.4
150 ≤ Smoke CADR < 200	172.7
200 ≤ Smoke CADR	301.8

Eff_{BASE} = Smoke CADR per Watt for Baseline units¹³⁷.

= Actual. If Unknown:

Product Category	Efficiency _{BASE} (CADR/W)
30 ≤ Smoke CADR < 100	1.64
100 ≤ Smoke CADR < 150	1.83
150 ≤ Smoke CADR < 200	1.94
200 ≤ Smoke CADR	1.89

Eff_{ESTAR} = Smoke CADR per Watt for ENERGY STAR units¹³⁸.

= Actual. If Unknown:

Product Category	Efficiency _{ESTAR} (CADR/W)
30 ≤ Smoke CADR < 100	2.91
100 ≤ Smoke CADR < 150	4.35
150 ≤ Smoke CADR < 200	4.65
200 ≤ Smoke CADR	5.38

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Gross customer annual kWh savings for the measure

= Actual calculated, deemed values are as follows¹³⁹:

Clean Air Delivery Rate	ΔkWh
30 ≤ CADR < 100	119.4
100 ≤ CADR < 150	245.7
150 ≤ CADR < 200	307.4
200 ≤ CADR	609.1

Hours = Average hours of use per year

¹³⁷ ICF/EPA ENERGY STAR (Leybourn, Steve) provided on 2/17/21 as additional back-up material to the ENERGY STAR v.2.0 specification data package. See files: ICF_EPA_AirPurifier_Summary Savings Calculations.xlsx which supports ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package.xlsx.

¹³⁸ Based on data from ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List, accessed April 2022. Please see Analysis file

¹³⁹ Based on data from ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List, accessed April 2022. Please see Analysis file

CF = 5844 hours¹⁴⁰
 = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
 = 66.7%¹⁴¹

Clean Air Delivery Rate	ΔkW
$30 \leq CADR < 100$	0.014
$100 \leq CADR < 150$	0.028
$150 \leq CADR < 200$	0.035
$200 \leq CADR$	0.070

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

There are no operation and maintenance cost adjustments for this measure.¹⁴²

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-AIRP-V03-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

¹⁴⁰ Consistent with ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator assumption of 16 hours per day (16 * 365.25 = 5844).

¹⁴¹ Assumes that the purifier usage is evenly spread throughout the year, therefore coincident peak is calculated as 5844/8766 = 66.7%.

¹⁴² Some types of room air cleaners require filter replacement or periodic cleaning, but this is likely to be true for both efficient and baseline units and so no difference in cost is assumed.

2.2 Consumer Electronics

2.2.1 Tier 1 Advanced Power Strip (APS)

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to Tier 1 Advanced Power Strips which are multi-plug power strips with the ability to automatically disconnect specific connected loads depending upon the power draw of a master control load, also plugged into the strip. Power is disconnected from the switched (controlled) outlets when the master control load power draw is reduced below a certain adjustable threshold, thus turning off the appliances plugged into the switched outlets. By disconnecting, the standby load of the controlled devices, the overall load of a centralized group of equipment (i.e., entertainment centers and home office) can be reduced. Uncontrolled outlets are also provided that are not affected by the control device and so are always providing power to any device plugged into it. This measure characterization provides savings for use of the Advanced Power Strip in an entertainment, office, or unknown setting.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is the use of a 4-8 plug Tier 1 master controlled advanced power strip.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For time of sale or new construction applications, the assumed baseline is a standard power strip that does not control connected loads.

For direct install programs, the baseline is the existing equipment utilized in the home.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the advanced power strip is 7 years.¹⁴³

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For time of sale or new construction, the incremental cost of a Tier 1 advanced power strip over a standard power strip with surge protection is assumed to be \$9¹⁴⁴ (\$28 for advanced power strip and \$19 for baseline).

For direct install programs, the actual full installed cost (including labor) should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE05 – Residential Multifamily Plug Load

Loadshape RE13 – Residential Single-Family Plug Load

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 80%.¹⁴⁵

¹⁴³ This is a consistent assumption with 2.2.2 Advanced Power Strip – Tier 2.

¹⁴⁴ 2016 Price survey performed by Illume Advising LLC, see “Current Surge Protector Costs and Comparison 7-2016” spreadsheet.

¹⁴⁵ In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (kWh_{office} * Weighting_{office} + kWh_{Ent} * Weighting_{Ent}) * ISR$$

Where:

kWh_{office} = Estimated energy savings from using an APS in a home office
 = 31.0 kWh¹⁴⁶

$Weighting_{Office}$ = Relative penetration of use in home office

Installation	$Weighting_{Office}$
Home Office	100%
Home Entertainment System	0%
Unknown	41% ¹⁴⁷

kWh_{Ent} = Estimated energy savings from using an APS in a home entertainment system
 = 75.1 kWh¹⁴⁸

$Weighting_{Ent}$ = Relative penetration of use with home entertainment systems

Installation	$Weighting_{Ent}$
Home Office	0%
Home Entertainment System	100%
Unknown	59% ¹⁴⁹

ISR = In service rate
 = 87%¹⁵⁰

Based on defaults provided above the following are the default savings:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{office} &= (31 * 100\% + 75.1 * 0\%) * 0.87 \\ &= 27.0 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{Ent} &= (31 * 0\% + 75.1 * 100\%) * 0.87 \\ &= 65.3 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

¹⁴⁶ NYSERDA 2011, Advanced Power Strip Research Report. Note that estimates are not based on pre/post metering but on analysis based on frequency and consumption of likely products in active, standby, and off modes. This measure should be reviewed frequently to ensure that assumptions continue to be appropriate.

¹⁴⁷ Relative weightings of home office and entertainment systems is based on Navigant, Cadmus, EmPower Maryland Final Evaluation Report – Evaluation Year 4; Residential Retrofit Programs, 2014. If the programs have improved basis for these numbers they should be used.

¹⁴⁸ NYSERDA 2011, Advanced Power Strip Research Report

¹⁴⁹ Relative weightings of home office and entertainment systems is based on Navigant, Cadmus, EmPower Maryland Final Evaluation Report – Evaluation Year 4; Residential Retrofit Programs, 2014. If the programs have improved basis for these numbers they should be used.

¹⁵⁰ Based on MidAmerican Energy Company & TetraTech "Residential Assessment Impact and Process Evaluation FINAL". December 22, 2020, APPENDIX B: IN-SERVICE RATES ANALYSIS, p. 47.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{\text{unknown}} &= (31 * 41\% + 75.1 * 59\%) * 0.87 \\ &= 49.6 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

Hours = Annual number of hours during which the controlled standby loads are turned off by the Advanced power Strip.
= 7,129¹⁵¹

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
= 0.8¹⁵²

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{\text{office}} &= 27.0 / 7129 * 0.8 \\ &= 0.0030 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{\text{Ent}} &= 65.3 / 7129 * 0.8 \\ &= 0.0073 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW_{\text{unknown}} &= 49.6 / 7129 * 0.8 \\ &= 0.0056 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-CEL-APS1-V03-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

¹⁵¹ Average of hours for controlled TV and computer from; NYSERDA Measure Characterization for Advanced Power Strips

¹⁵² In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

2.2.2 Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips (APS) – Residential Audio Visual

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a Tier 2 Advanced Power Strip / surge protector for household audio visual environments (Tier 2 AV APS). Tier 2 AV APS are multi-plug power strips that remove power from audio visual equipment through intelligent control and monitoring strategies. By utilizing advanced control strategies such as a countdown timer, external sensors (e.g., of infra-red remote usage and/or occupancy sensors, true RMS (Root Mean Square) power sensing;¹⁵³ both active power loads and standby power loads of controlled devices are managed by Tier 2 AV APS devices. Monitoring and controlling both active and standby power loads of controlled devices will reduce the overall load of a centralized group of electrical equipment (i.e., the home entertainment center). This more intelligent sensing and control process has been demonstrated to deliver increased energy savings and demand reduction compared with ‘Tier 1 Advanced Power Strips.’

To date there have been two distinct control strategies to reduce the active AV loads:

1. Infra-red only – this type will begin a count down from the last remote-control signal. Once the set time period is reached without an additional remote signal, there will be a warning (visual and/or audio) to warn the user that the units are about to be switched off. If the user does not then indicate they are still actively using the equipment by using the remote control, the system will switch off.
2. Infra-red and occupancy sensor – in addition to the remote-control signal count down, this system uses motion detection to determine if there is an active user. Only after a set period of no remote-control activity or motion is sensed in the space will a similar warning and ultimate switch off occur.

The Tier 2 APS market is a relatively new and developing one. With several new Tier 2 APS products coming to market, it is important that energy savings are clearly demonstrated through independent field trials. Due to the inherent variance day to day and week to week for hours of use of AV systems, it is critical that field trial studies effectively address the variability in usage patterns. There is significant discussion in the EM&V and academic domain on the optimal methodology for controlling for these factors and in submitting evidence of energy savings, it is critical that it is demonstrated that these issues are adequately addressed. It is therefore recommended that only models that have provided independent evidence to demonstrate an appropriate deemed savings should be eligible, please see Product Classification memo.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: DI. If applied to other program delivery types, the installation characteristics including the number of AV devices under control and an appropriate in service rate should be verified through evaluation.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is the use of a Tier 2 AV APS in a residential AV (home entertainment) environment that includes control of at least 2 AV devices with one being the television.¹⁵⁴

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The assumed baseline equipment is the existing equipment being used in the home (e.g., a standard power strip or wall socket that does not control loads of connected AV equipment).

¹⁵³ Tier 2 AV APS identify when people are not engaged with their AV equipment and then remove power, for example a TV and its peripheral devices that are unintentionally left on when a person leaves the house or for instance where someone falls asleep while watching television.

¹⁵⁴ Given this requirement, an AV environment consisting of a television and DVD player or a TV and home theater would be eligible for a Tier 2 AV APS installation.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The default deemed lifetime value for Tier 2 AV APS is assumed to be 7 years.¹⁵⁵

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Direct Installation: The actual installed cost (including labor) of the new Tier 2 AV APS equipment should be used.

Time of Sale: The full cost of a new Tier 2 Advanced Power Strip should be assumed, with a default of \$80.¹⁵⁶

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE05 – Residential Multifamily Plug Load

Loadshape RE13 – Residential Single-Family Plug Load

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 80%.¹⁵⁷

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = ERP * BaselineEnergy_{AV} * ISR$$

Where:

ERP = Energy Reduction Percentage of qualifying Tier2 AV APS product. See reference documents for Product Classification memo.

Control Strategy	ERP
Infrared Only	40%
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	25%

BaselineEnergy_{AV} = 454 kWh¹⁵⁸

ISR = In Service Rate. See reference documents for Product Classification memo.

Control Strategy	ISR
Infrared Only	73%
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	83%

Based upon default assumptions above, savings are as follows:

¹⁵⁵ There is little evaluation to base a lifetime estimate upon. Based on review of assumptions from other jurisdictions and the relative treatment of In Service Rates and persistence, an estimate of 7 years is proposed, but further evaluation is recommended.

¹⁵⁶ Based on internet review of leading manufacturers, 3/2019.

¹⁵⁷ In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

¹⁵⁸ Weighted average of assumptions derived from AESC, Inc, “Energy Savings of Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips in Residential AC Systems”, p28 and NMR Inc “Advanced Power Strip Metering Study”, October 5 2018, p19. Note that this load represents the average *controlled* AV devices only and will likely be lower than total AC usage.

Control Strategy	ΔkWh
Infrared Only	132.6
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	94.2

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Energy savings as calculated above

Hours = Annual number of hours during which the APS provides savings.
= 4,380¹⁵⁹

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
= 0.8¹⁶⁰

Based upon default assumptions above, savings are as follows:

Control Strategy	ΔkW
Infrared Only	0.0242
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	0.0172

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER AND OTHER NON-ENERGY IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-CEL-APS2-V04-200101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2024

¹⁵⁹ This is estimate based on assumption that approximately half of savings are during active hours (assumed to be 5.3 hrs/day, 1936 per year (NYSERDA 2011. "Advanced Power Strip Research Report")) and half during standby hours (8760-1936 = 6824 hours). The weighted average is 4380.

¹⁶⁰ In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

2.3 Hot Water

2.3.1 Gas Water Heater

DESCRIPTION

This measure applies to gas water heaters under the following program types:

Time of Sale or New Construction:

The purchase and installation of a new, residential gas-fired storage or tankless (or instantaneous) water heater meeting program Uniform Energy Factor (UEF) requirements, in place of a storage unit meeting Federal standards.

Early Replacement:

The early removal of an existing and functioning, residential gas-fired storage, or tankless water heater, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new unit meeting program Uniform Energy Factor (UEF) requirements. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.¹⁶¹

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a residential gas-fired storage water heater or tankless water heater meeting ENERGY STAR criteria. On January 5, 2022, a new version of the ENERGY STAR Water Heater Program Requirements will be effective (Version 4), with the following efficiency requirements:

Unit Type	Unit Capacity	ENERGY STAR Requirements (Uniform Energy Factor)
Gas Storage	≤ 55 gallons	Medium Draw Pattern UEF ≥ 0.64 High Draw Pattern UEF ≥ 0.68
	> 55 gallons	Medium Draw Pattern UEF ≥ 0.78 High Draw Pattern UEF ≥ 0.80
Gas Tankless	All	UEF ≥ 0.87

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale or New Construction: The baseline equipment is assumed to be a new, gas-fired storage residential water heater meeting minimum Federal efficiency standards. For storage water heaters with a storage capacity equal to or less than 55 gallons, the Federal energy factor requirement is calculated as $0.6483 - (0.0017 * \text{storage capacity in gallons})$ and $0.7897 - (0.0004 * \text{storage capacity in gallons})$ for greater than 55 gallon storage water heaters.¹⁶²

Early Replacement: The baseline is the efficiency of the existing gas water heater for the remaining useful life of the unit and the efficiency of a new gas water heater of the same type meeting minimum Federal efficiency standards for the remainder of the measure life.

¹⁶¹ If the existing water heater has an Energy Factor (EF) rating and the efficient model has a UEF rating, use the baseline EF and efficient UEF in the below algorithm.

¹⁶² Minimum Federal standard as of 4/16/2015;

https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=80dfa785ea350ebee184bb0ae03e7f0&mc=true&node=se10.3.430_132&rgn=div8

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 11 years for a gas storage water heater and 20 years for a gas tankless water heater.¹⁶³

For Early Replacement: The remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 3.7 for gas storage water heaters and 6.7 years for gas tankless water heaters.¹⁶⁴

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of Sale or New Construction:

The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on the type of water heater, as listed below. Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Water Heater Type	Incremental Capital Cost ¹⁶⁵	Full Install Cost ¹⁶⁶
Baseline Storage Unit	N/A	\$1,336
Efficient Storage	\$320	\$1,656
Efficient Tankless	\$1,560	\$2,896

Early Replacement: Actual full installed costs should be used where available. If actual costs are unavailable, the full installed cost is provided in the table above. The assumed deferred cost (after 4 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be \$1,336. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utility’s discount rate.¹⁶⁷

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of Sale or New Construction:

¹⁶³ 2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, “Cost Values and Summary Documentation”, California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014.

¹⁶⁴ Assumes one third of the expected equipment life.

¹⁶⁵ Measure costs based on information from DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Table 8.2.13.

¹⁶⁶ Measure costs based on information from DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Table 8.2.13.

¹⁶⁷ Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

$$\Delta Therms = (1/UEF_{Base} - 1/UEF_{EE}) * (GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{Out} - T_{In}) * 1.0)/100,000$$

Early Replacement:¹⁶⁸

$\Delta Therms$ for remaining life of existing unit (1st 3.7 years for gas storage unit and 1st 6.7 years for gas tankless unit):

$$\Delta Therms = (1/UEF_{Existing} - 1/UEF_{EE}) * (GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{Out} - T_{In}) * 1.0)/100,000$$

$\Delta Therms$ for remaining measure life (next 7.3 years for gas storage unit and next 13.3 years for gas tankless unit):

$$\Delta Therms = (1/UEF_{Base} - 1/UEF_{EE}) * (GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{Out} - T_{In}) * 1.0)/100,000$$

Where:

UEF_{Base} = UEF (efficiency) rating of standard storage water heater according to federal standards¹⁶⁹
 = For gas storage water heaters ≤55 gallons: 0.6483 – (0.0017 * storage capacity in gallons)
 = For gas storage water heaters >55 gallons: 0.7897 – (0.0004 × storage capacity in gallons)
 = If tank size is unknown, assume 0.5633 for a gas storage water heater with a 50-gallon storage capacity

UEF_{EE} = UEF rating of efficient gas water heater.
 = Actual or if unknown, assume 0.64 for gas storage water heaters ≤55 gallons, 0.78 for gas storage water heaters >55 gallons, and 0.87 for gas tankless water heaters¹⁷⁰

$UEF_{Existing}$ = UEF rating for existing gas water heater
 = Actual or if unknown, assume 0.52¹⁷¹

GPD = Gallons per day of hot water use per person
 = 17.6¹⁷²

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household ¹⁷³
Manufactured	1.96
Single-Family – Deemed	2.12
Multifamily – Deemed	1.4
Custom	Actual Occupancy or

¹⁶⁸ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may require a first year savings calculation (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input, which would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

¹⁶⁹ Minimum Federal standard as of 4/16/2015

¹⁷⁰ ENERGY STAR Product Specification for Residential Water Heaters, Version 4.0, effective January 5, 2022.

¹⁷¹ Based on DCEO Efficient Living Program Data for a sample size of 157 gas water heaters.

¹⁷² Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study 2013 Update. Water Research Foundation, 2014.

¹⁷³ Average household size by building type and water heater fuel type based on the 2007 RASS.

Household Unit Type	Household ¹⁷³
	Number of Bedrooms ¹⁷⁴

- 365.25 = Number of days per year
- γ_{Water} = Specific weight of water
= 8.33 pounds per gallon
- T_{Out} = Tank temperature
= 126.5°F¹⁷⁵
- T_{In} = Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system
= 56.5°F¹⁷⁶
- 1.0 = Heat capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)
- 100,000 = Conversion factor from Btu to therms

For example, a new 50-gallon gas storage water heater installed in a single family home under the Time of Sale program type, using defaults from above, would save:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (1/0.5633 - 1/0.64) * (17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 - 56.5) * 1.0) / 100,000$$

$$= 16.9 \text{ therms}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} / 365.25$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Gas savings from installation of efficient water heater

Other variables as defined above

For example, a new 50-gallon gas storage water heater installed in a single family home under the Time of Sale program type, using defaults from above, would save:

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = 16.9 / 365.25$$

$$= 0.0463 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

¹⁷⁴ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

¹⁷⁵ CPUC Residential Retrofit - High Impact Measure Evaluation Report Draft. Dec. 7, 2009. Pg 76. Average temperature setpoints for two utilities.

¹⁷⁶ Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-GWHT-V04-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

2.3.2 Heat Pump Water Heaters

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the installation of a heat pump domestic hot water heater in a home. Savings are presented dependent on the heating system installed in the home due to the impact of the heat pump water heater on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.¹⁷⁷

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be an ENERGY STAR Heat Pump domestic water heater.¹⁷⁸ On January 5, 2022 a new version of the ENERGY STAR Water Heater Program Requirements will be effective (Version 4), with the following efficiency requirements:

Unit Type	ENERGY STAR Requirements (Uniform Energy Factor)
Integrated HPWH	UEF ≥ 3.3
Integrated HPWH, 120 Volt / 15 Amp Circuit	UEF ≥ 2.2
Split-system HPWH	UEF ≥ 2.2

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a new electric water heater meeting federal minimum efficiency standards,¹⁷⁹ dependent on the storage volume (in gallons) of the water heater.

For units ≤55 gallons – resistance storage unit with efficiency: $0.9307 - (0.0002 * \text{rated volume in gallons})$

For units >55 gallons – assume a 50 gallon resistance tank baseline i.e. 0.9207 UEF.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 13 years.¹⁸⁰

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For Time of Sale or New Construction the incremental installation cost (including labor) should be used. Defaults are provided below.¹⁸¹ Actual efficient costs can also be used although care should be taken as installation costs can vary significantly due to complexities of a particular site.

¹⁷⁷ If the existing water heater has an Energy Factor (EF) rating and the efficient model has a UEF rating, use the baseline EF and efficient UEF in the below algorithm.

¹⁷⁸ If the water heater does not have a UEF rating, but a EF rating, revert to using the previous version of this measure.

¹⁷⁹ Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015. Medium draw pattern;

https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=80dfa785ea350ebee184bb0ae03e7f0&mc=true&node=se10.3.430_132&rgn=div8

¹⁸⁰ DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Chapter 8, Page 8-46.

¹⁸¹ Costs for <2.6 UEF are based upon averages from the NEEP Phase 3 Incremental Cost Study;

<http://www.neep.org/incremental-cost-study-phase-3>. The assumption for higher efficiency tanks is based upon averaged from NEEP Phase 4 Incremental Cost Study;

http://www.neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP%20Incremental%20Cost%20Study%20FINAL_061016.pdf. See 'HPWH Cost Estimation.xls' for more information.

For retrofit costs, the actual full installation cost should be used (default provided below if unknown).

Capacity	Efficiency Range	Baseline Installed Cost	Efficient Installed Cost	Incremental Installed Cost
≤55 gallons	<2.6 UEF	\$1,032	\$2,062	\$1,030
	≥2.6 UEF	\$1,032	\$2,231	\$1,199
>55 gallons	<2.6 UEF	\$1,319	\$2,432	\$1,113
	≥2.6 UEF	\$1,319	\$3,116	\$1,797

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE04 – Residential Multifamily Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left(\frac{(1/UEF_{BASE} - 1/UEF_{EE}) * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{in}) * 1.0}{3412} \right) + kWh_{cool} - kWh_{heat}$$

Where:

UEF_{BASE} = Uniform Energy Factor (efficiency) of standard electric water heater according to federal standards:¹⁸²

For ≤55 gallons: 0.9307 – (0.0002 * rated volume in gallons)

= Default of 0.9207 for a 50 gallon tank a typical sized Residential unit

For >55 gallons: Assume 0.9207 for a 50 gallon tank a typical sized Residential unit

UEF_{EE} = Uniform Energy Factor (efficiency) of Heat Pump water heater.

= Actual

GPD = Gallons Per Day of hot water use per person

= 45.5 gallons hot water per day per household/2.59 people per household¹⁸³

= 17.6

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household ¹⁸⁴
Manufactured	1.96
Single-Family – Deemed	2.12
Multifamily – Deemed	1.4

¹⁸² Minimum Federal Standard as of 1/1/2015. CFR, Title 10: Energy, Chapter II D §430.32(d) Energy and water conservation standards and their compliance dates. (Water Heaters).

¹⁸³ Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study Update. Forthcoming. ©2015 Water Research Foundation. Reprinted With Permission.

¹⁸⁴ Average household size by building type and water heater fuel type based on the 2007 RASS.

Household Unit Type	Household ¹⁸⁴
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ¹⁸⁵

- 365.25 = Days per year
- γ_{Water} = Specific weight of water
= 8.33 pounds per gallon
- T_{OUT} = Tank temperature
= 126.5°F ¹⁸⁶
- T_{IN} = Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system
= 56.5¹⁸⁷
- 1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)
- 3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh
- kWh_cool = Cooling savings from conversion of heat in home to water heat¹⁸⁸

$$= \left[\frac{\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{UEF_{EE}} \right) * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0 \right) * LF * 34\% * LM}{COP_{COOL} * 3412} \right] * \%Cool$$

Where:

- LF = Location Factor
= 1.0 for HPWH installation in a conditioned space
= 0.5 for HPWH installation in an unknown location¹⁸⁹
= 0.0 for installation in an unconditioned space
- 34% = Portion of reduced waste heat that results in cooling savings¹⁹⁰
- COP_{COOL} = COP of Central Air Conditioner
= Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time,¹⁹¹. If

¹⁸⁵ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

¹⁸⁶ CPUC Residential Retrofit - High Impact Measure Evaluation Report Draft. Dec. 7, 2009. Pg 76. Average temperature setpoints for two utilities.

¹⁸⁷ Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

¹⁸⁸ This algorithm calculates the heat removed from the air by subtracting the HPWH electric consumption from the total water heating energy delivered. This is then adjusted to account for location of the HP unit and the coincidence of the waste heat with cooling requirements, the efficiency of the central cooling, and latent cooling demands.

¹⁸⁹ Note unconditioned means a space that is not intentionally heated via furnace vents or boiler radiators. The presence of and/or leakage from a heating system in a space does not in itself imply the space is conditioned.

¹⁹⁰ REMRate determined percentage (34%) of lighting savings that result in reduced cooling loads (lighting is used as a proxy for hot water heating since load shapes suggest their seasonal usage patterns are similar).

¹⁹¹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America

unknown, assume 3.08 (10.5 SEER / 3.412)

LM = Latent multiplier to account for latent cooling demand
= 1.33¹⁹²

%Cool = Percentage of homes with central cooling

Cooling System	%Cool
Central Air Conditioner	100%
No Central Air Conditioner	0%
Unknown ¹⁹³	88%

kWh_heat = Heating cost from conversion of heat in home to water heat (dependent on heating fuel)

$$= \left(\frac{\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{UE_{EE}} \right) * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0 \right) * LF * 53\%}{COP_{HEAT} * 3412} \right) * \%ElectricHeat$$

Where:

53% = Portion of reduced waste heat that results in increased heating load¹⁹⁴

COP_{HEAT} = COP of electric heating system

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time,¹⁹⁵ - If not available, use:¹⁹⁶

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1

Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

¹⁹² A sensible heat ratio (SHR) of 0.75 corresponds to a latent multiplier of 4/3 or 1.33. SHR of 0.75 for typical split system from page 10 of “Controlling Indoor Humidity Using Variable-Speed Compressors and Blowers” by M. A. Andrade and C. W. Bullard, 1999: www.ideals.illinois.edu/bitstream/handle/2142/11894/TR151.pdf

¹⁹³ Based on assumption that 64% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

¹⁹⁴ REMRate determined percentage (53%) of lighting savings that result in increased heating loads (lighting is used as a proxy for hot water heating since load shapes suggest their seasonal usage patterns are similar).

¹⁹⁵ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

¹⁹⁶ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

%ElectricHeat = Factor dependent on heating fuel:

Heating System	%ElectricHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	100%
Gas	0%
Unknown heating fuel ¹⁹⁷	17%

For example, for a 2.2 UEF 50 gallon heat pump water heater in a single family home using default assumptions provided above:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{kWh}_{\text{cool}} &= (((1 - 1/2.2) * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 - 56.5) * 1.0 * 0.5 * 0.34 * 1.33) / (3.08 * 3412)) * 0.88 \\ &= 82.1 \text{ kWh} \\ \text{kWh}_{\text{heat}} &= (((1 - 1/2.2) * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 - 56.5) * 1.0 * 0.5 * 0.53) / (1.38 * 3412)) * 0.17 \\ &= 41.5 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta\text{kWh} &= ((1 / 0.9207 - 1 / 2.2) * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 - 56.5)) / 3412 + 75.2 - 38.0 \\ &= 1511.6 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Note: whenever using the unknown heating fuel defaults, an additional therm penalty (to account for the percentage of homes with gas heat) should be applied.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

- Hours = Full load hours of water heater
= 5186¹⁹⁸
- CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
= 0.33¹⁹⁹

For example, for a 2.2 UEF 50 gallon heat pump water heater using default assumptions provided above:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 1511.6 / 5186 * 0.33 \\ &= 0.0962 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

¹⁹⁷ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

¹⁹⁸ Full load hours assumption based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

¹⁹⁹ Calculated from Figure 8 "Combined six-unit summer weekday average electrical demand" in FEMP study; Field Testing of Pre-Production Prototype Residential Heat Pump Water Heaters http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/pdfs/tir_heatpump.pdf as (average kW usage during peak period) / [(annual kWh savings / FLH)] = (0.1 kW) / [(1556 kWh (default assumptions) / 5183 hours) = 0.33.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = - \left(\frac{\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{UEFEE} \right) * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0 \right) * LF * 53\%}{\eta_{Heat} * 100,000} \right) * \%GasHeat$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$ = Heating cost from conversion of heat in home to water heat for homes with Natural Gas heat²⁰⁰

0.03412 = conversion factor (therms per kWh)

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system, i.e., AFUE multiplied by distribution efficiency²⁰¹
 = Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time²⁰². - If not available, use 74%.²⁰³

$\%GasHeat$ = Factor dependent on heating fuel:

Heating System	$\%GasHeat$
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel ²⁰⁴	83%

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a 2.2 UEF 50 gallon heat pump water heater using default assumptions provided above:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= - \left(\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{2.2} \right) * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 - 56.5) * 1.0 * 0.5 * 0.53 \right) / (0.74 * 100000) \right) * 0.83 \\ &= - 12.9 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

²⁰⁰ This is the additional energy consumption required to replace the heat removed from the home during the heating season by the heat pump water heater. The variable kWh_heating (electric resistance) is that additional heating energy for a home with electric resistance heat (COP 1.0). This formula converts the additional heating kWh for an electric resistance home to the MMBtu required in a Natural Gas heated home, applying the relative efficiencies.

²⁰¹ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look-up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

²⁰² Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

²⁰³ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant space heating system is a central warm-air furnace, and energy source of natural gas (based on Energy Information Administration, 2019 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, HC6.9 for the Midwest)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74

²⁰⁴ Based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey.

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the heating season. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

- $\Delta Therms$ = Therm impact calculated above
- HeatDays = Heat season days per year
= 217²⁰⁵

For example, for a 2.2 UEF 50 gallon heat pump water heater, using default assumptions provided above:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta PeakTherms &= -12.9 / 217 \\ &= -0.0594 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-HPWH-V05-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

²⁰⁵ Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

2.3.3 Water Heater Temperature Setback

DESCRIPTION

Set point temperatures on hot water systems are often set higher than necessary. Savings are calculated for lowering the set temperature to 120-125 degrees (DOE recommended minimum to prevent Legionella contamination).

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, RNC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The high efficiency measure is a hot water tank with the thermostat reduced from its existing temperature to a lower temperature between 120-125 degrees.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a hot water tank with a thermostat setting that is higher than 120 degrees, typically systems with settings of 130 degrees or higher. Note: if there is more than one DHW tank in the home at or higher than 130 degrees and they are all turned down, then the savings per tank can be multiplied by the number of tanks.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the measure is 2 years.²⁰⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost of a setback is assumed to be \$10 for contractor time.²⁰⁷

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE04 – Residential Multifamily Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS²⁰⁸

For homes with electric DHW tanks:

²⁰⁶ Professional judgment.

²⁰⁷ Based on labor cost of \$40/h and 15min work.

²⁰⁸ Note this algorithm provides savings only from reduction in standby losses. VEIC considered avoided energy from not heating the water to the higher temperature, but determined that dishwashers are likely to boost the temperature within the unit (roughly canceling out any savings); faucet and shower use is likely to be at the same temperature, so there would need to be more lower temperature hot water being used (cancelling any savings); and clothes washers will only see savings if the water from the tank is taken without any temperature control. It was felt the potential impact was too small to be characterized.

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{(U * A * (T_{pre} - T_{post}) * Hours)}{3412 * RE_{electric}}$$

Where:

- U = Overall heat transfer coefficient of tank (Btu/Hr-°F-ft²)
= Actual if known - If unknown, assume R-12, U = 0.083
- A = Surface area of storage tank (square feet)
= Actual if know - If unknown, use the table below based on capacity of tank. If capacity unknown, assume 50 gal tank; A = 24.99ft².²⁰⁹

Capacity (gal)	A (ft ²) ²¹⁰
30	19.16
40	23.18
50	24.99
80	31.84

- Tpre = Actual hot water setpoint prior to adjustment. If unknown, assume 135 degrees
- Tpost = Actual new hot water setpoint, which may not be lower than 120 degrees. If unknown, assume 120 degrees.
- Hours = Number of hours in a year (since savings are assumed to be constant over year)
= 8766
- 3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh
- RE_electric = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater
= 98%²¹¹
= 200%²¹² for heat pump water heaters

A deemed savings assumption for single family homes, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

$$\Delta kWh = (0.083 * 24.99 * (135 - 120) * 8766) / (3412 * 0.98)$$

$$= 81.6 \text{ kWh}$$

²⁰⁹ Assumptions from Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Technical Reference Manual; Table 2 57: Terms, Values, and References for Water Heater Temperature Setback.

²¹⁰ Assumptions from Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Technical Reference Manual; (http://www.puc.pa.gov/filing_resources/issues_laws_regulations/act_129_information/technical_reference_manual.aspx). Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation.

²¹¹ Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/Search/SearchHome>

²¹² 200% represents a reasonable estimate of the weighted average event recovery efficiency for heat pump water heaters, including those that are set to Heat Pump only mode (and so have a recovery efficiency >250%) and those that are set in hybrid mode where a larger draw would kick the unit in to resistance mode (98%), or where low total water consumption can result in lower COPs due to relatively high standby losses. Note that the AHRI directory provides recovery efficiency ratings, some of which are >250% but most are rated at 100%. This is due to the rating test involving a large hot water draw, consistent with multiple showers.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

- Hours = 8766
- CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure
= 1

A deemed savings assumption, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= (81.6 / 8766) * 1 \\ &= 0.0093 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For homes with gas water heaters:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{U * A * (T_{pre} - T_{post}) * Hours}{100,000 * RE_{gas}}$$

Where

- 100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (Btu/Therm)
- RE_{gas} = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater
= Actual if known - if not, assume:
 - = 78% For SF homes²¹³
 - = 78% for MF homes with storage tank or dedicated gas DHW system,
 - = 59% if hot water through central boiler or,
 - = 69% if unknown²¹⁴

A deemed savings assumption, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

For Single Family homes or multifamily homes with dedicated gas DHW system:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= (0.083 * 24.99 * (135 - 120) * 8766) / (100,000 * 0.78) \\ &= 3.5 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

An example for multifamily homes with DHW from a central boiler is provided below (tank capacity can vary considerably so actual values should be used). This example assumes a 119 gallon tank with a surface area of 47.80ft²:

$$\Delta Therms = (0.083 * 47.80 * (135 - 120) * 8766) / (100,000 * 0.60)$$

²¹³ DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

²¹⁴ Water heating in multi-family buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. An average efficiency of 0.69 is used for this analysis as a default for multi-family buildings where water heating system is unknown.

= 8.7 Therms

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$ = Therm impact calculated above
 GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Water Heating
 = 0.002952 for Residential Water Heating

A deemed savings assumption, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

For Single Family homes or multifamily homes with dedicated gas DHW system:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta PeakTherms &= 3.5 * 0.002952 \\ &= 0.0103 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

An example for multifamily homes with DHW from a central boiler is provided below (tank capacity can vary considerably so actual values should be used). This example assumes a 119 gallon tank with a surface area of 47.80ft²:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta PeakTherms &= 8.7 * 0.002952 \\ &= 0.0257 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-TMPS-V02-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a low flow faucet aerator in a single family home or multifamily unit in unit kitchen or bathroom faucet fixture.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a low flow faucet aerator, rated at 1.5 gallons per minute (GPM)²¹⁵ or less. Savings are calculated on an average savings per faucet fixture basis.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is assumed to be a standard faucet aerator rated at 2.2 GPM²¹⁶ or greater.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 10 years.²¹⁷

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For RF and DI, the incremental cost for this measure is \$16 or program actual.²¹⁸

For TOS and NC, the incremental cost is \$0.²¹⁹

For faucet aerators provided in Efficiency Kits, the actual program delivery costs should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE04 – Residential Multifamily Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Note these savings are *per* faucet retrofitted (unless faucet type is unknown, then it is per household).²²⁰

²¹⁵ IPL program product data for 2014 Iowa Residential Energy Assessments.

²¹⁶ DOE Energy Cost Calculator for Faucets and Showerheads:
(http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/technologies/eep_faucets_showerheads_calc.html#output)

²¹⁷ 2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, “Cost Values and Summary Documentation,” California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014. "

²¹⁸ Direct-install price per faucet assumes cost of aerator and install time. (2011, Market research average of \$3 and assess and install time of \$13(20min @ \$40/hr).

²¹⁹ Based on VEIC’s market research of the lower 10th percentile of product categories, which is assumed to filter out price differences related to product quality and features, the incremental cost difference between a baseline and low-flow aerator is negligible.

²²⁰ This algorithm calculates the amount of energy saved per aerator by determining the fraction of water consumption savings for the upgraded fixture.

$$\Delta kWh = \%ElectricDHW * ((GPM_base - GPM_low) * L * Household * 365.25 * \frac{DF}{FPH}) * EPG_electric * ISR$$

Where:

%ElectricDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	30% ²²¹

GPM_base = Average flow rate, in gallons per minute, of the baseline faucet “as-used”

= Measured full throttle flow * 0.83 throttling factor²²²

If flow not measured, assume (2.2 * 0.83) = 1.83 GPM

GPM_low = Average flow rate, in gallons per minute, of the low-flow faucet aerator “as-used”

= Rated full throttle flow * 0.95 throttling factor²²³

If flow not available, assume (1.5 * 0.95) = 1.43 GPM

L = Average daily length faucet use per capita for faucet of interest in minutes

= if available, custom based on metering studies - if not, use:

Faucet Type	L (min/person/day)
Kitchen	4.5 ²²⁴
Bathroom	1.6 ²²⁵
If location unknown (total for household): Single-Family	9.0 ²²⁶
If location unknown (total for household): Multifamily	6.9 ²²⁷

Household = Average number of people per household

²²¹ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

²²² 2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings. Page 1-265. www.seattle.gov/light/Conserve/Reports/paper_10.pdf

²²³ 2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings. Page 1-265. www.seattle.gov/light/Conserve/Reports/paper_10.pdf

²²⁴ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. This study of 135 single and multi-family homes in Michigan metered energy parameters for efficient showerhead and faucet aerators.

²²⁵ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

²²⁶ One kitchen faucet plus 2.83 bathroom faucets. Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd, Illinois residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

²²⁷ One kitchen faucet plus 1.5 bathroom faucets. Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd, Illinois residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

Household Unit Type	Household ²²⁸
Single-Family - Deemed	2.51
Multifamily - Deemed	2.18
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ²²⁹

365.25 = Days in a year, on average

DF = Drain Factor

Faucet Type	Drain Factor ²³⁰
Kitchen	75%
Bath	90%
Unknown	79.5%

FPH = Faucets Per Household

Faucet Type	FPH
Kitchen or Bathroom (i.e., divide by 1 since use assumption is per faucet)	1
If location unknown (total for household): Single-Family	3.83
If location unknown (total for household): Multifamily	2.5

EPG_{electric} = Energy per gallon of water used by faucet supplied by electric water heater
 = $(\gamma_{\text{Water}} * 1.0 * (\text{WaterTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE}_{\text{electric}} * 3412)$
 = 0.0735 kWh/gal (Bath), 0.0909 kWh/gal (Kitchen), 0.0859 kWh/gal (Unknown) if resistance tank (or unknown)
 = 0.0360 kWh/gal (Bath), 0.0446 kWh/gal (Kitchen), 0.0421 kWh/gal (Unknown) if heat pump water heater

Where:

γ_{Water} = Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)
 = 8.33 lbs/gallon

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (Btu/lb-°F)

WaterTemp = Assumed temperature of mixed water

²²⁸ Average household size from U.S. Census Bureau, 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates for Iowa (Table DP04). Single-family household size based on owner-occupied estimate and multifamily household size based on renter-occupied estimate.

²²⁹ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

²³⁰ Because faucet usages are at times dictated by volume, only usage of the sort that would go straight down the drain will provide savings. VEIC is unaware of any metering study that has determined this specific factor and so through consensus with the Illinois Technical Advisory Group have deemed these values to be 75% for the kitchen and 90% for the bathroom. If the aerator location is unknown, an average of 79.5% should be used, which is based on the assumption that 70% of household water runs through the kitchen faucet and 30% through the bathroom $(0.7*0.75)+(0.3*0.9)=0.795$.

- = 86F for Bath, 93F for Kitchen 91F for Unknown²³¹
- SupplyTemp = Assumed temperature of water entering house
= 56.5²³²
- RE_electric = Average Recovery efficiency of electric water heater
= 98%²³³ for electric resistance (or unknown)
= 200%²³⁴ for heat pump water heaters
- 3412 = Converts Btu to kWh (Btu/kWh)
- ISR = In service rate of faucet aerators

Program		ISR
Direct-install, NC, or TOS		0.95 ²³⁵
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	0.74 ²³⁶
	Bathroom	0.46 ²³⁷
	Unknown	0.60 ²³⁸
Efficiency Kits –Schools ²³⁹		0.43

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95$	106.9
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.95$	52.4
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95$	32.1
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95$	92.8

²³¹ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. If the aerator location is unknown, an average of 91F should be used, which is based on the assumption that 70% of household water runs through the kitchen faucet and 30% through the bathroom:
 $(0.7*93)+(0.3*86)=0.91$.

²³² Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

²³³ Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/Search/SearchHome>

²³⁴ 200% represents a reasonable estimate of the weighted average event recovery efficiency for heat pump water heaters, including those that are set to Heat Pump only mode (and so have a recovery efficiency >250%) and those that are set in hybrid mode where a larger draw would kick the unit in to resistance mode (98%), or where low total water consumption can result in lower COPs due to relatively high standby losses. Note that the AHRI directory provides recovery efficiency ratings, some of which are >250% but most are rated at 100%. This is due to the rating test involving a large hot water draw, consistent with multiple showers.

²³⁵ ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Table 3-8.

²³⁶ Based on Cadmus, "Final Report: Iowa 2015 Energy Wise Program", January 29, 2016, p16.

²³⁷ Weighted average MidAmerican Energy Company & TetraTech "Residential Assessment Impact and Process Evaluation FINAL". December 22, 2020, APPENDIX B: IN-SERVICE RATES ANALYSIS, p. 47. The MidAmerican Report had two methods of collecting data, both Web Survey and Postcard, and these values were weighted with the Cadmus survey response.

²³⁸ Average of kitchen and Bathroom ISRs.

²³⁹ Based on results provided in "School-based interim process memo_Final_100215.doc."

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh	
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.95$	45.5	
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95$	27.8	
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95$	36.9	
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.95$	18.1	
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95$	11.1	
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95$	32.0	
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.95$	15.7	
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95$	9.6	
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.95$	55.9	
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0421 * 0.95$	27.4	
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.95$	16.8	
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.95$	57.0	
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0421 * 0.95$	28.0	
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.95$	17.1	
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF ²⁴⁰	16.8	
	Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74$	83.3
			Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.74$	40.8
			Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74$	25.0
Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW			$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74$	72.3	
Multifamily Heat Pump DHW			$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.74$	35.5	
Multifamily Unknown DHW			$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74$	21.7	
Bathroom		Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.46$	17.7	
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.46$	8.7	
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.46$	5.3	
		Multifamily Electric	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.46$	15.4	

²⁴⁰ Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see “HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls”.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh	
		Resistance DHW	$1) * 0.0735 * 0.46$		
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.46$	7.5	
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.46$	4.6	
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.60$	35.2	
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0421 * 0.60$	17.2	
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.60$	10.6	
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.60$	35.9	
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0421 * 0.60$	17.6	
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.60$	10.8	
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF ²⁴¹	10.6	
	Efficiency Kits – Schools	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43$	48.4
			Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.43$	23.7
			Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43$	14.5
			Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43$	42.0
Multifamily Heat Pump DHW			$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.43$	20.6	
Multifamily Unknown DHW			$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43$	12.6	
Bathroom		Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43$	16.7	
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.43$	8.2	
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43$	5.0	
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43$	14.5	
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.43$	7.1	
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43$	4.3	
Unknown		Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.43$	25.3	
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0421 * 0.43$	12.4	
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.43$	7.6	

²⁴¹ Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see “HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls”.

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.43$	25.8
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0421 * 0.43$	12.7
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.43$	7.7
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF ²⁴²	7.6

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = calculated value above

Hours = Annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use per faucet

$$= (GPM_base * L * Household/FPH * 365.25 * DF * 0.479^{243}) / GPH$$

Building Type	Faucet location	Calculation	Hours per faucet
Single Family Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	Kitchen	$(1.83 * 4.5 * 2.51 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 25.8$	105.1
	Bathroom	$(1.83 * 1.6 * 2.51 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 25.8$	44.9
	Unknown	$(1.83 * 9.0 * 2.51 / 3.83 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 25.8$	58.2
Single Family Heat Pump DHW	Kitchen	$(1.83 * 4.5 * 2.51 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 52.7$	51.5
	Bathroom	$(1.83 * 1.6 * 2.51 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 52.7$	22.0
	Unknown	$(1.83 * 9.0 * 2.51 / 3.83 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 52.7$	28.5
Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	Kitchen	$(1.83 * 4.5 * 2.18 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 25.8$	91.3
	Bathroom	$(1.83 * 1.6 * 2.18 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 25.8$	39.0
	Unknown	$(1.83 * 6.9 * 2.18 / 2.5 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 25.8$	59.4
Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	Kitchen	$(1.83 * 4.5 * 2.18 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 52.7$	44.7
	Bathroom	$(1.83 * 1.6 * 2.18 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 52.7$	19.1
	Unknown	$(1.83 * 6.9 * 2.18 / 2.5 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 52.7$	29.1

GPH = Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 70F temp rise (126.5-56.5), 98% recovery efficiency for electric resistance (or unknown) and 200% for heat pump water heaters, and

²⁴² Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see “HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls”.

²⁴³ 47.9% is the proportion of hot 126.5F water mixed with 56.5F supply water to give 90F mixed faucet water.

typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank
 = 25.8 for electric resistance or unknown, 52.7 for heat pump²⁴⁴

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction
 = 0.017²⁴⁵

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkW
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 106.3/105.1 * 0.017	0.0172
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 52.4/51.5 * 0.017	0.0173
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 32.1/105.1 * 0.017	0.0052
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 92.8/91.3 * 0.017	0.0173
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 45.5/44.7 * 0.017	0.0173
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 27.8/91.3 * 0.017	0.0052
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 36.9/44.9 * 0.017	0.0140
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 18.1/22.0 * 0.017	0.0140
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 11.1/44.9 * 0.017	0.0042
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 32.0/39.0 * 0.017	0.0139
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 15.7/19.1 * 0.017	0.0140
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 9.6/39.0 * 0.017	0.0042
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 55.9/58.2 * 0.017	0.0163
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 27.4/28.5 * 0.017	0.0163
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 16.8/58.2 * 0.017	0.0049
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 57.0/59.4 * 0.017	0.0163
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 28.0/29.1 * 0.017	0.0164
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 17.1/59.4 * 0.017	0.0049
Unknown		Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0049	
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 83.3/105.1 * 0.017	0.0135
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 40.8/51.5 * 0.017	0.0135
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 25.0/105.1 * 0.017	0.0040
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 72.3/91.3 * 0.017	0.0135
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 35.5/44.7 * 0.017	0.0135
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 21.7/91.3 * 0.017	0.0040
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 17.7/44.9 * 0.017	0.0069
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 8.7/22.0 * 0.017	0.0069
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 5.3/44.9 * 0.017	0.0021
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 15.4/39.0 * 0.017	0.0069
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 7.5/19.1 * 0.017	0.0069
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 4.6/39.0 * 0.017	0.0021
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 35.2/58.2 * 0.017	0.0106
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 17.2/28.5 * 0.017	0.0106
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 10.6/58.2 * 0.017	0.0032

²⁴⁴ See 'Calculation of GPH Recovery_03282018.xls' for calculation details.

²⁴⁵ Calculated as follows: Assume 18% aerator use takes place during peak hours (based on: Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. "The End Uses of Hot Water in Single Family Homes from Flow Trace Analysis," 2001.) There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is 0.18*65/365.25 = 3.20%. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be 3.20% * 142 = 4.5 hours of recovery during peak period, where 142 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use in SF homes. There are 260 hours in the peak period, so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is 4.5/260 = 0.017.

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkW
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 35.9/59.4 * 0.017	0.0106
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 17.6/29.1 * 0.017	0.0106
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 10.8/59.4 * 0.017	0.0032
		Unknown	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0032
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 48.4/105.1 * 0.017	0.0078
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 23.7/51.5 * 0.017	0.0078
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 14.5/105.1 * 0.017	0.0023
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 42.0/91.3 * 0.017	0.0078
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 20.6/44.7 * 0.017	0.0078
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 12.6/91.3 * 0.017	0.0023
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 16.7/44.9* 0.017	0.0063
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 8.2/22.0* 0.017	0.0063
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 5.0/44.9* 0.017	0.0019
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 14.5/39.0 * 0.017	0.0063
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 7.1/19.1 * 0.017	0.0064
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 4.3/39.0 * 0.017	0.0019
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 25.3/58.2 * 0.017	0.0074
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 12.4/28.5 * 0.017	0.0074
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 7.6/58.2 * 0.017	0.0022
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 25.8/59.4 * 0.017	0.0074
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 12.7/29.1 * 0.017	0.0074
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 7.7/59.4 * 0.017	0.0022
		Unknown	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0022

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \%FossilDHW * (GPM_{base} - GPM_{low}) * L * Household * 365.25 * \frac{DF}{FPH} * EPG_{gas} * ISR$$

Where:

%FossilDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by Natural Gas heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	70% ²⁴⁶

EPG_{gas} = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by gas water heater
 = (γ_{Water} * 1.0 * (WaterTemp - SupplyTemp)) / (RE_{gas} * 100,000)
 = 0.0032 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tank (Bath), 0.0039 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tank (Kitchen), 0.0037 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tank (Unknown)
 = 0.0042 Therm/gal for MF homes with central boiler DHW (Bath), 0.0052 Therm/gal for

²⁴⁶ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunsy and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

MF homes with central boiler DHW (Kitchen), 0.0049 Therm/gal for MF homes with central boiler DHW (Unknown)

= 0.0036 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW (Bath), 0.0044 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW (Kitchen), 0.0042 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW (Unknown)

Where:

RE_gas = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater

= 78% for SF homes²⁴⁷

= 78% for MF homes with storage tank, 59% if hot water through central boiler or 69% if unknown²⁴⁸

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (Btu/Therm)

Other variables as defined above

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔTherms
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.95$	4.6
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.95$	3.2
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.95$	4.0
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0052 * 0.95$	5.3
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.95$	4.5
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.95$	3.1
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.95$	1.6
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.95$	1.1
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.95$	1.4
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0042 * 0.95$	1.8
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.95$	1.6
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.95$	1.1
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.95$	2.4
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.95$	1.7

²⁴⁷ DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

²⁴⁸ Water heating in multi-family buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. An average efficiency of 0.69 is used for this analysis as a default for multi-family buildings where water heating system is unknown.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔTherms	
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0037 * 0.95$	2.5	
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0049 * 0.95$	3.3	
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.95$	2.8	
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.95$	2.0	
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	1.7	
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.74$	3.6	
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.74$	2.5	
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.74$	3.1	
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0052 * 0.74$	4.1	
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.74$	3.5	
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.74$	2.4	
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.46$	0.8	
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.46$	0.5	
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.46$	0.7	
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0042 * 0.46$	0.9	
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.46$	0.8	
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.46$	0.5	
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.60$	1.5	
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.60$	1.1	
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0037 * 0.60$	1.5	
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0049 * 0.60$	2.0	
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.60$	1.8	
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.60$	1.2	
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	1.1	
	Efficiency Kits – Schools	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.43$	2.1
			Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.43$	1.5

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔTherms
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.43$	1.8
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0052 * 0.43$	2.4
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.43$	2.0
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.43$	1.4
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.43$	0.7
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.43$	0.5
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.43$	0.6
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0042 * 0.43$	0.8
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.43$	0.7
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.43$	0.5
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.43$	1.1
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.43$	0.8
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0037 * 0.43$	1.1
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0049 * 0.43$	1.5
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.43$	1.3
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.43$	0.9
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.9

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

365.25 = Days per year

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	ΔPeakTherms
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0126
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0088
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0110
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0145

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	ΔPeakTherms
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0123
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0085
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0044
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0030
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0038
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0049
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0044
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0030
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0066
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0047
		Multifamily Gas DHW	0.0068
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0090
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0077
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0055
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0099
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0068
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0085
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0112
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0096
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0066
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0021
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0015
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0018
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0024
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0021
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0014
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0041
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0029
Multifamily Gas DHW		0.0042	
Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW		0.0056	
Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW		0.0048	
Multifamily Unknown DHW		0.0034	
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0057
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0041
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0049
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0066
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0055
	Bathroom	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0038
		Single Family Gas DHW	0.0019
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0014
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0016
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0022
	Unknown	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0019
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0014
		Single Family Gas DHW	0.0030
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0022
		Multifamily Gas DHW	0.0030

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	ΔPeakTherms
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0041
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0036
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0025
		Unknown Location	0.0025

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta Gallons = ((GPM_{base} - GPM_{low}) * L * Household * 365.25 * \frac{DF}{FPH}) * ISR$$

Variables as defined above

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔGallons
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.95$	1,176
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.95$	1,021
	Bathroom	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.95$	502
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.95$	436
	Unknown	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.95$	651
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.95$	664
Unknown Location		Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	653	
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.74$	916
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.74$	795
	Bathroom	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.46$	241
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.46$	209
	Unknown	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.60$	410
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.60$	418
Unknown Location		Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	411	
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Kitchen	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.43$	532
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.43$	462
	Bathroom	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.43$	227
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.43$	197
	Unknown	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.43$	295
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.43$	301
Unknown Location		Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	296	

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-LFFA-V05-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads

DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a low flow showerhead in a single or multifamily household.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a low flow showerhead rated at 1.5 gallons per minute (GPM) or less. Savings are calculated on a per showerhead fixture basis.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For direct-install programs, the baseline condition is assumed to be a standard showerhead rated at 2.5 GPM or greater.

For time-of-sale programs, the baseline condition is assumed to be a representative average of existing showerhead flow rates of participating customers including a range of low flow showerheads, standard-flow showerheads, and high-flow showerheads.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 10 years.²⁴⁹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For direct install programs, actual full installed costs should be used where available. If actual costs are unavailable, assume a full installed cost of \$42.22.²⁵⁰

For time of sale or new construction, actual incremental costs may be used (assume a baseline showerhead material cost of \$14.32).²⁵¹ If actual costs are unavailable, assume an incremental cost of \$14.90.²⁵²

For low flow showerheads provided in Efficiency Kits, the actual program delivery costs should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE04 – Residential Multifamily Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Note: these savings are per showerhead fixture

²⁴⁹2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, “Cost Values and Summary Documentation,” California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014. "

²⁵⁰Direct-install price per showerhead assumes cost of showerhead (\$29.22 from the California DEER Ex Ante Database) and install time of \$13 (20min @ \$40/hr).

²⁵¹ Cost of standard showerhead from California DEER Ex Ante Database.

²⁵² Incremental cost from California DEER Ex Ante Database.

$$\Delta kWh = \%ElectricDHW * (GPM_base - GPM_low) * L * Household * SPCD * \frac{365.25}{SPH} * EPG_electric * ISR$$

Where:

%ElectricDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	30% ²⁵³

GPM_base = Flow rate of the baseline showerhead

= Actual measured flow rate. If not measured assume:

Program	GPM_base
Direct-install	2.5 ²⁵⁴
Retrofit, Efficiency Kits, NC, or TOS	2.35 ²⁵⁵

GPM_low = Flow rate of the low-flow showerhead:

= Actual measured flow rate. If not measured, assume 1.5GPM

L = Shower length in minutes with showerhead

= 7.8 min²⁵⁶

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household ²⁵⁷
Single-Family - Deemed	2.51
Multifamily - Deemed	2.18
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ²⁵⁸

SPCD = Showers Per Capita Per Day

= 0.6²⁵⁹

²⁵³ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

²⁵⁴ The Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAAct) established the maximum flow rate for showerheads at 2.5 gallons per minute (gpm).

²⁵⁵ Representative value from sources 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 (See Source Table at end of measure section) adjusted slightly upward to account for program participation which is expected to target customers with existing higher flow devices rather than those with existing low flow devices.

²⁵⁶ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. This study of 135 single and multi-family homes in Michigan metered energy parameters for efficient showerhead and faucet aerators.

²⁵⁷ Average household size from U.S. Census Bureau, 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates for Iowa (Table DP04). Single-family household size based on owner-occupied estimate and multifamily household size based on renter-occupied estimate.

²⁵⁸ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

²⁵⁹ Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

365.25 = Days per year, on average

SPH = Showerheads Per Household so that per-showerhead savings fractions can be determined

Household Unit Type	SPH
Single-Family	1.79 ²⁶⁰
Multifamily	1.3 ²⁶¹
Custom	Actual

EPG_{electric} = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by electric

$$= (\gamma_{\text{Water}} * 1.0 * (\text{ShowerTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE}_{\text{electric}} * 3412)$$

= 0.1109 kWh/gal for resistance (or unknown) unit, 0.0543 kWh/gal for heat pump water heaters

Where:

γ_{Water} = Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)
= 8.33 lbs/gallon

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (Btu/lb-°)

ShowerTemp = Assumed temperature of water
= 101F²⁶²

SupplyTemp = Assumed temperature of water entering house
= 56.5²⁶³

RE_{electric} = Average Recovery efficiency of electric water heater
= 98%²⁶⁴ for electric resistance (or unknown)
= 200%²⁶⁵ for heat pump water heaters

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh (Btu/kWh)

ISR = In service rate of showerhead

Program	ISR
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	0.98 ²⁶⁶

²⁶⁰ Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

²⁶¹ 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

²⁶² Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

²⁶³ Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

²⁶⁴ Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/Search/SearchHome>

²⁶⁵ 200% represents a reasonable estimate of the weighted average event recovery efficiency for heat pump water heaters, including those that are set to Heat Pump only mode (and so have a recovery efficiency >250%) and those that are set in hybrid mode where a larger draw would kick the unit in to resistance mode (98%), or where low total water consumption can result in lower COPs due to relatively high standby losses. Note that the AHRI directory provides recovery efficiency ratings, some of which are >250% but most are rated at 100%. This is due to the rating test involving a large hot water draw, consistent with multiple showers.

²⁶⁶ Deemed values are from ComEd Illinois Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010)

Program	ISR
Efficiency Kits – Residential ²⁶⁷	0.47
Efficiency Kits – Schools ²⁶⁸	0.43

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔkWh
Direct Install	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98$	260.7
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.98$	127.6
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98$	78.2
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98$	311.8
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.98$	152.5
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98$	93.5
NC or TOS	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98$	221.6
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.98$	108.4
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98$	66.5
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98$	265.0
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.98$	129.7
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98$	79.5
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF ²⁶⁹	69.1
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.47$	106.9
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.47$	52.4
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.47$	32.1
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.47$	127.9
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.47$	62.6
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.47$	38.4

Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Table 3-8. Alternative ISRs may be developed for program delivery methods based on evaluation results.

²⁶⁷ Based on weighted average of data collected in MidAmerican Energy Company & TetraTech "Residential Assessment Impact and Process Evaluation FINAL". December 22, 2020, APPENDIX B: IN-SERVICE RATES ANALYSIS, p. 47.

²⁶⁸ Based on results provided in "School-based interim process memo_Final_100215.doc."

²⁶⁹ Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads

	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	33.3
Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.43$	97.2
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.43$	47.6
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.43$	29.2
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.43$	116.3
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.43$	56.9
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.43$	34.9
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	30.3

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = calculated value above

Hours = Annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use

$$= (GPM_base * L * Household * SPCD * 365.25 * 0.636^{270}) / GPH$$

Program	Building Type	Calculation	Hours
Direct Install	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	$= (2.5 * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8$	264.4
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= (2.5 * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7$	129.4
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	$= (2.5 * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8$	229.7
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= (2.5 * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7$	112.4
Efficiency Kits, NC and TOS	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	$= (2.35 * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8$	248.6
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= (2.35 * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7$	121.7
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	$= (2.35 * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8$	215.9
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= (2.35 * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7$	105.7

Where:

GPH = Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 70F temp rise (126.5-56.5), 98% recovery efficiency for electric resistance (or unknown) and 200% for heat pump water heaters, and typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank.

²⁷⁰ 63.6% is the proportion of hot 126.5F water mixed with 56.5F supply water to give 101F shower water.

= 25.8 for electric resistance or unknown, 52.7 for heat pump²⁷¹

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction

= 1.6% ²⁷²

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔkW
Direct Install	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 260.7/264.4 * 0.016	0.0158
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 127.6/129.4 * 0.016	0.0158
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 78.2/264.4* 0.016	0.0047
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 311.8/229.7 * 0.016	0.0217
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 152.5/112.4 * 0.016	0.0217
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 93.5/229.7 * 0.016	0.0065
NC or TOS	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 221.6/248.6 * 0.016	0.0143
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 108.4/121.7 * 0.016	0.0143
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 66.5/248.6 * 0.016	0.0043
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 265.0/215.9 * 0.016	0.0196
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 129.7/105.7 * 0.016	0.0196
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 79.5/215.9 * 0.016	0.0059
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0046
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 106.9/248.9 * 0.016	0.0070
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 52.4/121.7 * 0.016	0.0070
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 32.1/248.9 * 0.016	0.0021
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 127.9/215.9 * 0.016	0.0096
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 62.6/105.7 * 0.016	0.0096
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 38.4/215.9* 0.016	0.0029
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0023
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 97.2/248.9* 0.016	0.0063
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 47.6/121.7 * 0.016	0.0063
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 29.2/248.9* 0.016	0.0019
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 116.3/215.9* 0.016	0.0086
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 56.9/105.7 * 0.016	0.0086
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 34.9/215.9 * 0.016	0.0026
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0020

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \%FossilDHW * ((GPM_base - GPM_low) * L * Household * SPCD * \frac{365.25}{SPH}) * EPG_gas * ISR$$

Where:

²⁷¹ See 'Calculation of GPH Recovery_06122019.xls' for calculation details.

²⁷² Calculated as follows: Assume 11% showers take place during peak hours (based on: Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. "The End Uses of Hot Water in Single Family Homes from Flow Trace Analysis," 2001). There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is 0.11*65/365.25 = 1.96%. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be 1.96% * 216 = 4.23 hours of recovery during peak period, where 216 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use in SF homes with Direct Install and Retrofit/TOS measures. There are 260 hours in the peak period, so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is 4.23/260 = 0.016.

%FossilDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by Natural Gas heating

DHW fuel	%Fossil_DHW
Electric	0%
Fossil Fuel	100%
Unknown	70% ²⁷³

EPG_gas = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by gas
 = $(\gamma_{\text{Water}} * 1.0 * (\text{ShowerTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE}_{\text{gas}} * 100,000)$
 = 0.00475 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tanks
 = 0.00626 Therm/gal for MF homes with central boiler DHW, 0.00535 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW

Where:

RE_gas = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater
 = 78% For SF homes²⁷⁴
 = 78% for MF homes with storage tank, 59% if hot water through central boiler or 69% if unknown²⁷⁵
 100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (Btu/Therm)
 Other variables as defined above.

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔTherms
Direct Install	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	11.2
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	7.8
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	13.3
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.98$	17.6
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98$	15.0
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98$	10.5
NC or TOS	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	9.5
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	6.6
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	11.3
	Multifamily Gas	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.98$	14.9

²⁷³ Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

²⁷⁴ Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

²⁷⁵ Water heating in multifamily buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. An average efficiency of 0.69 is used for this analysis as a default for multifamily buildings where the water heating system is unknown.

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔTherms
	Central Boiler DHW		
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98	12.8
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.70 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98	8.9
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	7.1
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.47	4.6
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.70 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.47	3.2
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.47	5.5
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.47	7.2
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.47	6.2
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.70 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.47	4.3
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	3.4
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Single Family Gas DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.43	4.2
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 0.70 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.43	2.9
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.43	5.0
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.43	6.6
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	= 1.0 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.43	5.6
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 0.70 * ((2.35 – 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.43	3.9
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	3.1

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above
- 365.25 = Days per year

Program	Market	ΔPeakTherms
Direct Install	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0307
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0214
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0364
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0482
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0411
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0287

Program	Market	ΔPeakTherms
NC or TOS	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0260
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0181
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0309
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0408
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0350
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0244
	Unknown location	0.0194
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0125
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0088
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0150
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0198
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0169
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0118
	Unknown location	0.0094
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0115
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0079
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0137
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0181
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0153
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0107
	Unknown location	0.0085

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta Gallons = (GPM_{base} - GPM_{low}) * L * Household * SPCD * \frac{365.25}{SPH} * ISR$$

Variables as defined above

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔGallons
Direct Install	Single Family	$= (2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.98$	2349
	Multifamily	$= (2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.98$	2809
NC or TOS	Single Family	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.98$	1997
	Multifamily	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.98$	2388
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	2075
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Single Family	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.47$	964
	Multifamily	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.47$	1153
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	1002
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Single Family	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.43$	876
	Multifamily	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.43$	1048
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	910

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

SOURCES

Source ID	Reference
1	2011, DeOreo, William. California Single Family Water Use Efficiency Study. April 20, 2011.

2	2000, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo, and David Lewis. Seattle Home Water Conservation Study. December 2000.
3	1999, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential End Uses of Water. Published by AWWA Research Foundation and American Water Works Association. 1999.
4	2003, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential Indoor Water Conservation Study. Aquacraft, Inc. Water Engineering and Management. Prepared for East Bay Municipal Utility District and the US EPA. July 2003.
5	2011, DeOreo, William. Analysis of Water Use in New Single Family Homes. By Aquacraft. For Salt Lake City Corporation and US EPA. July 20, 2011.
6	2011, Aquacraft. Albuquerque Single Family Water Use Efficiency and Retrofit Study. For Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority. December 1, 2011.
7	2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-LFSH-V05-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

2.3.6 Domestic Hot Water Pipe Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure applies to the addition of insulation to uninsulated domestic hot water pipes. The measure assumes the pipe wrap is installed either on the first length of both the hot and cold pipe up to the first elbow (this is the most cost effective section to insulate since the water pipes act as an extension of the hot water tank up to the first elbow, which acts as a heat trap). Insulating this length therefore helps reduce standby losses. Savings for this measure should be limited to the first 4ft of hot water pipes and 2 ft of cold water pipes. Alternatively if there is a hot water recirculating loop savings are eligible for the entire loop..

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: DI, RF.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is a domestic hot or cold water pipe with pipe wrap installed that has an R value that meets program requirements.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is an uninsulated, domestic hot or cold water pipe.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 12 years.²⁷⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The measure cost is the actual cost of material and installation. If the actual cost is unknown, assume a default cost of \$4 per linear foot,²⁷⁷ including material and installation.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape E01 – Flat

Loadshape G01 – Flat

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Custom calculation below for electric domestic hot water (DHW) systems, otherwise assume 24.7 kWh per 6 linear feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation or 35.5 kWh per 6 linear feet of 1 in, R-6 insulation:

$$\Delta kWh = ((1/R_{Base} - 1/R_{EE}) * C_{Inside} * L_{effective} * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Elec}} * 3,412)$$

Where:

- R_{Base} = Thermal resistance coefficient (hr-°F-ft²)/Btu) of uninsulated pipe
 = Varies based on pipe size and material. See table below for values.
- R_{EE} = Thermal resistance coefficient (hr-°F-ft²)/Btu) of insulated pipe

²⁷⁶ 2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, “Cost Values and Summary Documentation”, California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014. Average of values for electric DHW (13 years) and gas DHW (11 years).

²⁷⁷ Consistent with DEER 2008 Measure Cost Summary, Revised June 2, 2008 (www.deeresources.com).

- = Actual ($R_{Base} + R$ value of insulation)
- C_{inside} = Inside circumference of the pipe [ft]
- = Actual (See table below for values for most common pipe sizes/materials).
- $L_{effective}$ = Effective length of pipe from water heating source covered by pipe wrap (ft) ²⁷⁸
- = $L_{Horizontal} + \alpha L_{Vertical}$
- = Actual (See table below for α values). If unknown, assume 3ft of vertical and 3 ft horizontal.
- ΔT = Average temperature difference (°F) between supplied water and outside air
- = Actual or if unknown, assume 60°F ²⁷⁹
- Hours = Hours per year
- = 8,766
- $\eta_{DHW_{Elec}}$ = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater
- = 98% ²⁸⁰ for electric resistance (or unknown)
- = 200%²⁸¹ for heat pump water heaters
- 3,412 = Conversion factor from Btu to kWh

Parameter assumptions for various pipe sizes and materials:

Type and Size	C_{inside} ²⁸² (I.D. * π /12) (ft)	Product of Overall Heat Transfer Coefficient and Pipe Area (UA) per foot ²⁸³ from bare pipe (BTU/hr·ft·°F)	Pipe Area per linear foot (ft ²) ²⁸⁴	R_{exist} (hr·ft·°F)/BTU)	Horizontal to Vertical Adjustment Factor (α)
½" Copper Pipe	0.1427	0.345	0.153	0.444	0.67
¾" Copper Pipe	0.2055	0.417	0.217	0.521	0.72
½" PEX	0.1270	0.438	0.145	0.332	0.73

²⁷⁸ In cases with zero wind, heat loss (and therefore) savings is larger from horizontal pipe configurations than vertical pipe configurations due, perhaps to the way in which convective losses are handled. Given that most DHW pipe insulation installations begin with a vertical orientation from the water heater, an adjustment to the engineering calculation is needed. An analysis of the 3E PLUS tool by NAIMA (<https://insulationinstitute.org/tools-resources/free-3e-plus/>) yielded adjustment factors for horizontal to vertical loss and savings values. See 'PipeInsulation_3EPlus Comparison.xlsx for details of the analysis and comparisons.

²⁷⁹ Assumes 125°F water leaving the hot water tank and average temperature of basement of 65°F.

²⁸⁰ Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/Search/SearchHome>

²⁸¹ 200% represents a reasonable estimate of the weighted average event recovery efficiency for heat pump water heaters, including those that are set to Heat Pump only mode (and so have a recovery efficiency >250%) and those that are set in hybrid mode where a larger draw would kick the unit in to resistance mode (98%), or where low total water consumption can result in lower COPs due to relatively high standby losses. Note that the AHRI directory provides recovery efficiency ratings, some of which are >250% but most are rated at 100%. This is due to the rating test involving a large hot water draw, consistent with multiple showers.

²⁸² See: <https://energy-models.com/pipe-sizing-charts-tables> (last accessed 5/7/21) for copper pipe sizes and <https://www.garagesanctum.com/size-chart/pe-x-tubing-size-chart/> (last accessed 5/7/21) for PEX pipe sizes.

²⁸³ Laboratory measured values from NREL, "Hot Water System Distribution Model Enhancements," Hoeschele and Weitzel (2012), Figure 1.

²⁸⁴ Calculated using the average pipe thickness (I.D. + O.D.)*0.5.

Type and Size	C_{Inside}^{282} (I.D. * π / 12) (ft)	Product of Overall Heat Transfer Coefficient and Pipe Area (UA) per foot ²⁸³ from bare pipe (BTU/hr-ft.°F)	Pipe Area per linear foot (ft ²) ²⁸⁴	R_{exist} ((hr-ft.°F)/BTU)	Horizontal to Vertical Adjustment Factor (α)
3/4" PEX	0.1783	0.545	0.204	0.374	0.77

For example, a home with electric DHW insulates 6 feet (4 ft vertical and 2 ft horizontal) of 3/4 in copper pipe with R-3 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{kWh} &= ((1/R_{\text{Base}} - 1/R_{\text{EE}}) * C_{\text{inside}} * L_{\text{effective}} * \Delta T * \text{Hours}) / (\eta_{\text{DHW}_{\text{Elec}}} * 3,412) \\ &= ((1/0.521 - 1/3.521) * 0.2055 * (2 + 4 * 0.72) * 60 * 8,766) / (0.98 * 3,412) \\ &= 258.0 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

The following table provides annual energy savings per foot of pipe insulation for various configurations. Note these assume that the installation is verified. If programs distribute via kits an In Service Rate assumption will need to be added:

Measure Configuration	ΔkWh Savings per Foot of Insulation (kWh/ft)
Horizontal Pipe Orientation	
1/2" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	44.0
3/4" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	52.9
1/2" PEX insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	54.2
3/4" PEX insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	66.7
Vertical Pipe Orientation	
1/2" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	29.5
3/4" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	38.1
1/2" PEX insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	39.5
3/4" PEX insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	51.3
Unknown	
R-3, 1/2" thick insulation for 1/2" pipes – pipe type and configuration unknown (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal)	41.8
R-3, 1/2" thick insulation for 3/4" pipes – pipe type and configuration unknown (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal)	52.3
Unknown pipe type (straight average) and configuration (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal) insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	47.0

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours}$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Electric energy savings from pipe wrap installation

Other variables as defined above.

For example, a home with electric DHW insulates 6 feet (4 ft vertical and 2 ft horizontal) of ¾ in copper pipe with R-3 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= 258.0/8,766 \\ &= 0.02943 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

The following table provides annual energy savings per foot of pipe insulation for various configurations. Note these assume that the installation is verified. If programs distribute via kits an In Service Rate assumption will need to be added:

Measure Configuration	ΔkWh Savings per Foot of Insulation (kWh/ft)
Horizontal Pipe Orientation	
½" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	0.00502
¾" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	0.00603
½" PEX insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	0.00618
¾" PEX insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	0.00761
Vertical Pipe Orientation	
½" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	0.00337
¾" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	0.00435
½" PEX insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	0.00451
¾" PEX insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	0.00585
Unknown	
R-3, ½" thick insulation for ½" pipes – pipe type and configuration unknown (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal)	0.00477
R-3, ½" thick insulation for ¾" pipes – pipe type and configuration unknown (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal)	0.00597
Unknown pipe type (straight average) and configuration (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal) insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	0.00536

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = ((1/R_{Base} - 1/R_{EE}) * C_{Inside} * L_{effective} * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Gas}} * 100,000)$$

Where:

$\eta_{DHW_{Gas}}$ = Recovery efficiency of gas hot water heater
 = 0.78²⁸⁵

100,000 = Conversion factor from Btu to therms

Other variables as defined above

For example, a home with gas DHW insulates 6 feet (4 ft vertical and 2 ft horizontal) of ¾ in copper pipe with R-3 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= ((1/R_{Base} - 1/R_{EE}) * C_{Inside} * L_{effective} * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Gas}} * 100,000) \\ &= ((1/0.521 - 1/3.521) * 0.2055 * (2 + 4 * 0.72) * 60 * 8,766) / (0.78 * 100,000) \\ &= 11.1 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

The following table provides annual energy savings per foot of pipe insulation for various configurations. Note these assume that the installation is verified. If programs distribute via kits an In Service Rate assumption will need to be added:

Measure Configuration	Δ Therms Savings per Foot of Insulation (Therms/ft)
Horizontal Pipe Orientation	
½" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	1.89
¾" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	2.27
½" PEX insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	2.32
¾" PEX insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	2.86
Vertical Pipe Orientation	
½" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	1.26
¾" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	1.63
½" PEX insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	1.70
¾" PEX insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	2.20
Unknown	
R-3, ½" thick insulation for ½" pipes – pipe type and configuration unknown (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal)	1.79
R-3, ½" thick insulation for ¾" pipes – pipe type and configuration unknown (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal)	2.24

²⁸⁵ Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%

Measure Configuration	Δ Therms Savings per Foot of Insulation (Therms/ft)
Unknown pipe type (straight average) and configuration (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal) insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	2.02

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year.

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms / 365.25$$

Where:

Δ Therms = Gas savings from pipe wrap insulation

365.25 = Number of days per year

For example, a home with gas DHW insulates 6 feet (4 ft vertical and 2 ft horizontal) of 3/4 in copper pipe with R-3 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta PeakTherms &= 11.1 / 365.25 \\ &= 0.03039 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

The following table provides annual energy savings per foot of pipe insulation for various configurations. Note these assume that the installation is verified. If programs distribute via kits an In Service Rate assumption will need to be added:

Measure Configuration	Δ PeakTherms Savings per Foot of Insulation (Therms/ft)
Horizontal Pipe Orientation	
1/2" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	0.00517
3/4" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	0.00621
1/2" PEX insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	0.00635
3/4" PEX insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	0.00783
Vertical Pipe Orientation	
1/2" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	0.00345
3/4" Copper Pipe insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	0.00446
1/2" PEX insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	0.00465
3/4" PEX insulated with R-3, 1/2" thick insulation	0.00602
Unknown	
R-3, 1/2" thick insulation for 1/2" pipes – pipe type and configuration unknown (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal)	0.00490
R-3, 1/2" thick insulation for 3/4" pipes – pipe type and configuration unknown (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal)	0.00613

Measure Configuration	Δ Peak Therms Savings per Foot of Insulation (Therms/ft)
Unknown pipe type (straight average) and configuration (assume 3 ft vertical and remaining horizontal) insulated with R-3, ½" thick insulation	0.00553

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-PINS-V02-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2028

2.3.7 Water Heater Wrap

DESCRIPTION

This measure applies to a tank wrap or insulation “blanket” that is wrapped around the outside of an electric or gas domestic hot water (DHW) tank to reduce stand-by losses.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: DI, RF.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is an electric or gas DHW tank with wrap installed that has an R-value that meets program requirements.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is an uninsulated, electric or gas DHW tank.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 7 years.²⁸⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The measure cost is the actual cost of material and installation. If actual costs are unknown, assume \$58 for material and installation.²⁸⁷

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape E01 – Flat

Loadshape G01 – Flat

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Custom calculation below for electric DHW tanks, otherwise use default values from table that follows:

$$\Delta kWh = ((1/R_{Base} - 1/R_{EE}) * A_{Base} * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Elec}} * 3,412)$$

Where:

- A_{Base} = Surface area (ft²) of storage tank prior to adding tank wrap²⁸⁸
= Actual or if unknown, use default based on tank capacity (gal) from table below
- R_{Base} = Thermal resistance coefficient (hr-°F-ft²/BTU) of uninsulated tank
= Actual or if unknown, assume 14²⁸⁹

²⁸⁶ 2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, “Cost Values and Summary Documentation”, California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014. Average of values for electric DHW (13 years) and gas DHW (11 years).

²⁸⁷ Average cost of R-10 tank wrap installation from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory’s National Residential Efficiency Measures Database. <http://www.nrel.gov/ap/retrofits/measures.cfm?gld=6&ctid=270>

²⁸⁸ Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

²⁸⁹ Baseline R-value based on information from Chapter 6 of The Virginia Energy Savers Handbook, Third Edition: The best

- R_{EE} = Thermal resistance coefficient ((hr-°F-ft²/BTU) of tank after addition of tank wrap (R-value of uninsulated tank + R-value of tank wrap)
= Actual or if unknown, assume 24
- ΔT = Average temperature difference (°F) between tank water and outside air
= Actual or if unknown, assume 60°F²⁹⁰
- Hours = Hours per year
= 8,766
- η_{DHW_{Elec}} = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater
= Actual or if unknown, assume 0.98²⁹¹
- 3,412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh

The following table contains default savings for various tank capacities.

Capacity (gal)	A _{Base} (ft ²) ²⁹²	ΔkWh	ΔkW
30	19.16	89.7	0.0102
40	23.18	108.5	0.0124
50	24.99	117.0	0.0133
80	31.84	149.1	0.0170

For example, a 30 gallon electric DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before insulation is installed and an R-value of 24 after insulation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((1/R_{Base} - 1/R_{EE}) * A_{Base} * \Delta T * \text{Hours}) / (\eta_{DHW_{Elec}} * 3,412) \\ &= ((1/14 - 1/24) * 19.16 * 60 * 8,766) / (0.98 * 3,412) \\ &= 89.7 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours}$$

Where:

- ΔkWh = Electric energy savings from tank wrap installation
- Other variables as defined above

The table above contains default kW savings for various tank capacity and pre and post R-values.

heaters have 2 to 3 inches of urethane foam, providing R-values as high as R-20. Other less expensive models have fiberglass tank insulation with R-values ranging between R-7 and R-10.

²⁹⁰ Assumes 125°F hot water tank temperature and average temperature of basement of 65°F.

²⁹¹ Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/Search/SearchHome>

²⁹² Assumptions from PA TRM. Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation. Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

For example, a 30 gallon electric DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before insulation is installed and an R-value of 24 after insulation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 89.7/8,766 \\ &= 0.0102 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Custom calculation below for gas DHW tanks, otherwise use default values from table that follows:

$$\Delta Therms = ((1/R_{Base} - 1/R_{EE}) * A_{Base} * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Gas}} * 100,000)$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{DHW_{Gas}} &= \text{Recovery efficiency of gas hot water heater} \\ &= 0.78^{293} \end{aligned}$$

$$100,000 = \text{Conversion factor from Btu to therms}$$

Other variables as defined above

The following table contains default savings for various tank capacities.

Capacity (gal)	A _{Base} (ft ²) ²⁹⁴	ΔTherms	ΔPeakTherms
30	19.16	3.8	0.0104
40	23.18	4.7	0.0129
50	24.99	5.0	0.0137
80	31.84	6.4	0.0175

For example, a 30 gallon gas DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before insulation is installed and an R-value of 24 after insulation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= ((1/R_{Base} - 1/R_{EE}) * A_{Base} * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Gas}} * 100,000) \\ &= ((1/14 - 1/24) * 19.16 * 60 * 8,766) / (0.78 * 100,000) \\ &= 3.8 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year.

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= \text{Gas savings from tanks wrap insulation} \\ 365.25 &= \text{Number of days per year} \end{aligned}$$

The table above contains default Peak Therm savings for various tank capacity and pre and post R-values.

²⁹³ Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%

²⁹⁴ Assumptions from PA TRM. Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation. Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

For example, a 30 gallon gas DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before installation is installed and an R-value of 24 after installation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 3.8/365.25 \\ &= 0.0104 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-WRAP-V02-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2028

2.4 Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

2.4.1 Central Air Source Heat Pump

DESCRIPTION

A heat pump provides heating or cooling by moving heat between indoor and outdoor air.

This measure characterizes:

- a) Time of Sale:
 - i. The installation of a new residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ Btu/hr) central air source heat pump that is more efficient than required by federal standards. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- b) Early Replacement:
 - i. The early removal of functioning electric heating and cooling (if present) systems from service, prior to the natural end of life, and replacement with a new high efficiency central air source heat pump unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
 - ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and SEER ≤ 10 . “Functioning” is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions, the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: SEER ≤ 10 and cost of any repairs $< \$471$ per ton.

Quality Installation:

Additional savings are attributed to the Quality Installation (QI) of the system. QI programs should follow industry standards such as those described in ANSI ACCA QI5 and QI9vp. This must include considerations of system design (including sizing, matching, ventilation calculations) and equipment installation (including static pressure, airflow, refrigerant charge) and may also consider distribution.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

A new residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ Btu/hr) central air source heat pump with specifications to be determined by program.

The measure characterization recommends sourcing the efficiency specifications from the actually installed equipment. If those values are not known, the default equipment efficiency recommendations are based on a market average of ENERGY STAR rated units, sourced from available models listed on AHRI’s directory.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale: The baseline is a new residential sized ($\leq 65,000$ Btu/hr) central air source heat pump meeting federal standards. The current Federal Standard efficiency level as of January 1, 2015 is 14 SEER and 8.2 HSPF but for calculating savings the average of non-ENERGY STAR available product is used: 14.4 SEER, 11.8 EER and 8.2 HSPF.²⁹⁵ It is assumed that ‘Quality Installation’ did not occur.

Note: New Federal Standards affecting heat pumps become effective January 1, 2023. The new standards effective

²⁹⁵ Based on review of available models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See ‘CAC and ASHP AHRI average_04262017.xls.’

in 2023, require any residential heat pump manufactured in, or imported into, the United States to have a minimum efficiency rating meeting the following:²⁹⁶

- Split system heat pump – 14.3 SEER2 and 7.5 HSPF2
- Single-package heat pump – 13.4 SEER2 and 6.7 HSPF2

These new federal standards will be adopted by the program, beginning 1/1/2024. For the 2023 program year, the default baseline equipment efficiencies are based on a market average of non-ENERGY STAR rated units, sourced from available models listed on AHRI’s directory.

Early replacement: The baseline is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected equipment measure life is assumed to be 18 years.²⁹⁷ Quality installation savings are assumed to last the time of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years.²⁹⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on the efficiency of the new unit.²⁹⁹

Efficiency (SEER)	Incremental Cost (\$/unit)
15	\$0
15.8	\$108
16	\$135
17	\$421
18+	\$421

Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Early replacement: The full install cost for this measure is the actual cost of removing the existing unit and installing the new one. If this is unknown, assume the following (note these costs are per ton of unit capacity):³⁰⁰

Efficiency (SEER)	Full Retrofit Cost (including labor) per Ton of Capacity (\$/ton)
15.8	\$2,355 / ton +\$108

²⁹⁶ The 2023 federal standards (10 CFR 430.32(c)(5)) are in terms of an updated metric, depicted as SEER2 and HSPF2 and manufacturers must certify their products meet the standard according to the new test procedure and new metrics. The updated test method as well as the updated energy conservation standards were negotiated under the appliance standards and rulemaking federal advisory committee (ASRAC) in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) and the negotiated rulemaking act. An equivalent stringency of these new standards for split system heat pumps are 15 SEER and 8.8 HSPF and for single-package heat pumps are 14 SEER and 8 HSPF, as detailed in: Federal Code of Regulations, Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for residential Central Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps; Confirmation of effective date and compliance date for direct final rule, May 26, 2017, Docket: EERE-2014-BT-STD-0048 (<https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2014-BT-STD-0048-0200>)

²⁹⁷ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

²⁹⁸ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life.

²⁹⁹ Based on incremental cost results from Cadmus “HVAC Program: Incremental Cost Analysis Update,” December 19, 2016.

³⁰⁰ Costs based upon average cost per ton from “2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report”, Itron, February 28, 2014.

16	\$2,355 / ton +\$135
17	\$2,355 / ton +\$421
18+	\$2,355 / ton +\$421

Assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with new baseline unit is assumed to be \$2,355 per ton of capacity.³⁰¹ This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities’ discount rate.³⁰²

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to add \$150 to the installed cost.³⁰³

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of sale:

ΔkWh

$$= \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] + \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(HSPF_{ee} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Early replacement:³⁰⁴

ΔkWh for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

ΔkWh

$$= \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(SEER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] + \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{exist} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(HSPF_{ee} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

³⁰¹ Costs based upon average cost per ton from “2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report”, Itron, February 28, 2014.

³⁰² Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

³⁰³ Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers; see ‘Iowa HVAC Incremental Cost Study’ for details.

³⁰⁴ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation), and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

ΔkWh for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] + \left[\frac{EFLH_{heat} * Capacity_{heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(HSPF_{ee} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

EFLH_{cool} = Equivalent Full Load Hours of air conditioning
 = Dependent on location:³⁰⁵

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{cool} (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown	484	811	445	650	449	764

Capacity_{cool} = Cooling capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr), rated at A2 conditions, 95°F outdoor dry-bulb temperature.
 = Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr), if unknown assume 34,281 Btu/hr³⁰⁶

SEER_{base} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)
 = 15³⁰⁷

SEER_{ee} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of efficient Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)
 = Actual. If unknown assume 15.8³⁰⁸

SEER_{exist} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)
 = Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time. ³⁰⁹ If unknown assume:

Existing Cooling System	SEER _{exist} ³¹⁰
-------------------------	--------------------------------------

³⁰⁵ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from National Climatic Data Center, NCDC).

³⁰⁶ Based on review of all available models on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_USHP.xlsx' for more detail.

³⁰⁷ Based on review of available non-ES models on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_USHP.xlsx' for more detail.

³⁰⁸ Based on review of available ENERGY STAR models (based on v5.0 specifications) on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_USHP.xlsx' for more detail.

³⁰⁹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

³¹⁰ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

Air Source Heat Pump	9.12
Central AC	8.60
No central cooling ³¹¹	Set '1/SEER_exist' = 0

- DeratingCool_{eff} = Efficient ASHP Cooling derating
 - = 0% if Quality Installation is performed
 - = 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed³¹²
- DeratingCool_{base} = Baseline ASHP Cooling derating
 - = 10.5%
- EFLH_{Heat} = Equivalent Full Load Hours of heating
 - = Dependent on location:³¹³

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{Heat} (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1922	2022	1389	1643	1797	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2732	2874	1975	2335	2554	3037
Average/ unknown	2160	2272	1561	1846	2019	2401

- Capacity_{Heat} = Heating capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr) at Standard Rating Conditions (High Temperature Steady State Heating, 47°F Dry-bulb)
 - = Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr) , if unknown assume 33,842 Btu/hr³¹⁴
- HSPF_{Base} = Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)
 - = 8.6³¹⁵
- HSPF_{ee} = Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of efficient Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)
 - = Actual. If unknown assume 8.8³¹⁶
- HSPF_{Exist} = Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of existing heating system (kBtu/kWh)
 - = Use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to

³¹¹ If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

³¹² Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

³¹³ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

³¹⁴ Based on review of all available models on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_USHP.xlsx' for more detail.

³¹⁵ Based on review of available non-ES models on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_USHP.xlsx' for more detail.

³¹⁶ Based on review of available ENERGY STAR models (based on v5.0 specifications) on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_USHP.xlsx' for more detail.

account for degradation over time. ³¹⁷ If not available, use:

Existing Heating System	HSPF _{exist}
Air Source Heat Pump	5.44 ³¹⁸
Electric Resistance or Electric Furnace	3.41 ³¹⁹

DeratingHeat_{eff} = Efficient ASHP Heating derating
 = 0% if Quality Installation is performed
 = 11.8% if Quality Installation is not performed³²⁰

DeratingHeat_{base} = Baseline ASHP Heating derating
 = 11.8%

³¹⁷ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^{Equipment Age}).

³¹⁸ This is estimated based on finding the average HSPF/SEER ratio from the AHRI directory data (using the least efficient models – SEER 12 and SEER 13) – 0.596, and applying to the average nameplate SEER rating of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). This estimation methodology appears to provide a result within 10% of actual HSPF.

³¹⁹ Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0, which equals 1/0.293 = 3.41 HSPF.

³²⁰ Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM– results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

Time of Sale:

For example, for a three ton, 16 SEER, 13 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed with quality installation in an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(15 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(16 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) + ((2,272 * 36,000 * (1/(8.6 * (1-11.8\%)) - 1/(9 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) \\ &= 2,045 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

For example, for a three ton, 16 SEER, 13 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed without quality installation in an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(15 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(16 * (1-10.5\%)))) / 1000) + ((2,272 * 36,000 * (1/(8.6 * (1-11.8\%)) - 1/(9 * (1-11.8\%)))) / 1000) \\ &= 615 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, for a three ton, 16 SEER, 13 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump that replaces an existing working Air Source Heat Pump using quality installation with unknown efficiency ratings in unknown location:

ΔkWh for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\begin{aligned} &= ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(9.12 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(16 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) + ((2,272 * 36,000 * (1/(5.44 * (1-11.8\%)) - 1/(9 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) \\ &= 9,711 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

ΔkWh for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\begin{aligned} &= ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(15 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(16 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) + ((2,272 * 36,000 * (1/(8.6 * (1-11.8\%)) - 1/(9 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) \\ &= 2,045 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

Time of sale:

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Early replacement:³²¹

ΔkW for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

ΔkW for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

³²¹ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

EER_{base} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/hr / kW)
= 11.7³²²

EER_{ee} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/hr / kW)
= Actual - If not provided, convert SEER to EER using this formula:³²³
= (-0.02 * SEER²) + (1.12 * SEER)
Or if unknown assume 12.8³²⁴

EER_{exist} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)
= Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.³²⁵ If EER unknown but SEER available, convert using the equation:

$$EER_base = (-0.02 * SEER_base^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$$

If SEER rating unavailable, use:

Existing Cooling System	EER _{exist} ³²⁶
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15
No central cooling ³²⁷	Set '1/EER _{exist} ' = 0

DeratingCool_{eff} = Efficient Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating
= 0% if Quality Installation is performed and/or if unit is right-sized
= 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed³²⁸

DeratingCool_{base} = Baseline Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating
= 10.5%

CF = Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling

³²² Based on review of available non-ES models on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_USHP.xlsx' for more detail.

³²³ Based on Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note: this is appropriate for single speed units only.

³²⁴ Based on review of available ENERGY STAR models (based on v5.0 specifications) on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_USHP.xlsx' for more detail.

³²⁵ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

³²⁶ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012).

³²⁷ If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

³²⁸ Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

= 72% for non-QI³²⁹

= 80% for QI or right sized units³³⁰

Time of Sale:

For example, for a three ton, 16 SEER, 13 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed with quality installation in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= ((36,000 * (1/(11.7 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(13 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000) * 80\% \\ &= 0.5349 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

For example, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12.5 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed without quality installation in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= ((36,000 * (1/(11.7 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(13 * (1 - 10.5\%)))) / 1000) * 72\% \\ &= 0.2475 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, for a three ton, 16 SEER, 13 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump that replaces an existing working Air Source Heat Pump with quality installation and with unknown efficiency ratings in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW \text{ for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):} \\ &= ((36,000 * (1/(8.55 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(13 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000) * 80\% \\ &= 1.5482 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW \text{ for remaining measure life (next 12 years):} \\ &= ((36,000 * (1/(11.7 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(13 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000) * 80\% \\ &= 0.5349 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ASHP-V05-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2024

³²⁹ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

³³⁰ This higher CF accounts for the demand benefit from right sizing the equipment,

2.4.2 Central Air Conditioner

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes:

- a) Time of Sale:
 - i. The installation of a new high efficiency residential Central Air Conditioner ducted split system. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home. The characterization can be used for both residential sized units (< 65,000 Btu/hr) and larger units ($\geq 65,000$ and <135,000 Btu/hr).
- b) Early Replacement:
 - i. The early removal of an existing inefficient Central Air Conditioner unit from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new qualifying unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
 - ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and SEER ≤ 10 . “Functioning” is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions, the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: SEER ≤ 10 and cost of any repairs <\$437 per ton.

Quality Installation:

Additional savings are attributed to the Quality Installation (QI) of the system. QI programs should follow industry standards such as those described in ANSI ACCA QI5 and QI9vp. This must include considerations of system design (including sizing, matching, ventilation calculations) and equipment installation (including static pressure, airflow, refrigerant charge) and may also consider distribution.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment is assumed to be a ducted split Central Air Conditioner unit meeting or exceeding the minimum efficiency standards set by the utility and at least ≥ 14 SEER and 11.5 EER (note the v5 ENERGY STAR efficiency level standards: 15 SEER and 12.5 EER³³¹).

Note: New ENERGY STAR specifications affecting heat pump and central air conditioners, v6.1, becomes effective January 1, 2023. The new specifications require central air conditioners to meet the following minimum efficiency requirements:³³²

- Split system central air conditioners – 15.2 SEER2 and 12.0 EER2
- Single package central air conditioners – 15.2 SEER2 and 11.5 EER2

³³¹ Version 5.0 ENERGY STAR specifications, effective September 15, 2015.

³³² ENERGY STAR Program Requirements Product Specification for Central Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Equipment, v6.1, effective January 1, 2023, are in terms of an updated metric, depicted as SEER2 and EER2. The updated test method as well as the updated ENERGY STAR specifications mimic the updated federal appliance standards. An equivalent stringency of these new standards for split system air conditioners are 16 SEER and 13 EER and for single-package air conditioners are 16 SEER and EER 12, as detailed in: Consortium for Energy Efficiency (CEE) Residential HVAC Specifications, Estimated Appendix M1 Equivalents, January 15 2021

The measure characterization recommends sourcing the efficiency specifications from the actually installed equipment. If those values are not known, the default equipment efficiency recommendations are based on a market average of ENERGY STAR rated units, sourced from available models listed on AHRI's directory.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The current Federal Standard efficiency level is 13 SEER and 11.2 EER for units <65,000 Btu/hr³³³ or 11.4 IEER and 11.2 EER for units ≥65,000 Btu/hr.³³⁴ For calculating savings for units <65,000 Btu/hr, the average of non-ENERGY STAR available product is used: 13.6 SEER and 11.5 EER. It is assumed that 'Quality Installation' did not occur.

Note: New Federal Standards affecting central air conditioners become effective January 1, 2023. The new standards effective in 2023, require any residential central air conditioner manufactured in, or imported into, the United States to have a minimum efficiency rating meeting the following:³³⁵

- Split system air conditioners – 13.4 SEER2
- Single-package air conditioners – 13.4 SEER2

These new federal standards will be adopted by the program, beginning 1/1/2024. For the 2023 program year, the default baseline equipment efficiencies are based on a market average of non-ENERGY STAR rated units, sourced from available models listed on AHRI's directory.

The baseline for the early replacement measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.³³⁶

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected equipment measure life is assumed to be 18 years.³³⁷ Quality installation savings are assumed to last the lifetime of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years.³³⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST³³⁹

Time of sale: The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on efficiency. Assumed costs are provided below:³⁴⁰

³³³ The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value is approximated based on the SEER standard (13) and equals EER 11.2. To perform this calculation we are using this formula: $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder).

³³⁴ Based on IECC 2012 requirements.

³³⁵ The 2023 federal standards (10 CFR 430.32(c)(5)) are in terms of an updated metric, depicted as SEER2 and manufacturers must certify their products meet the standard according to the new test procedure and new metrics. The updated test method as well as the updated energy conservation standards were negotiated under the appliance standards and rulemaking federal advisory committee (ASRAC) in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) and the negotiated rulemaking act. An equivalent stringency of these new standards for split system air conditioners are 14 SEER and for single-package air conditioners are 14 SEER, as detailed in: Federal Code of Regulations, Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for residential Central Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps; Confirmation of effective date and compliance date for direct final rule, May 26, 2017, Docket: EERE-2014-BT-STD-0048 (<https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2014-BT-STD-0048-0200>)

³³⁶ Baseline SEER and EER should be updated when new minimum federal standards become effective.

³³⁷ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007. The "lifespan" of a central air conditioner is about 15 to 20 years. See reference file "GDS_MeasureLifeStudy_1Jun2007."

³³⁸ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life.

³³⁹ Measure costs will be updated when results of MidAmerican's HVAC incremental cost study are available.

³⁴⁰ Based on incremental cost results from Cadmus "HVAC Program: Incremental Cost Analysis Update," December 19, 2016.

Efficiency Level (SEER)	Incremental Cost
14	\$0
15	\$108
16	\$221
17	\$620
18+	\$620

Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Early replacement: The full install cost for this measure is the actual cost of removing the existing unit and installing the new one. If this is unknown, assume the following (note these costs are per ton of unit capacity):³⁴¹

Efficiency Level (SEER)	Full Retrofit Cost per Ton of Capacity (\$/ton)
14	\$2,185/ ton + \$0
15	\$2,185/ ton + \$108
16	\$2,185/ ton + \$221
17	\$2,185/ ton + \$620
18+	\$2,185/ ton + \$620

Assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with new baseline unit is assumed to be \$2,185.³⁴² This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities’ discount rate.³⁴³

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to add \$150 to the installed cost.³⁴⁴

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE02 – Residential Multifamily Cooling

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of sale:

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

³⁴¹ Costs based upon average cost per ton from “2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report”, Itron, February 28, 2014.

³⁴² Costs based upon average cost per ton from “2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report”, Itron, February 28, 2014.

³⁴³ Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

³⁴⁴ Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers.

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{coolee} * \left(\frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{coolee} * \left(\frac{1}{(IEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(IEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Early replacement:³⁴⁵

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

ΔkWh for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * \left(Capacity_{cool_{exist}} * \frac{1}{(SEER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - Capacity_{coolee} * \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

ΔkWh for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{coolee} * \left(\frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

ΔkWh for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * \left(Capacity_{cool_{exist}} * \frac{1}{(IEER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - Capacity_{coolee} * \frac{1}{(IEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

ΔkWh for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{coolee} * \left(\frac{1}{(IEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(IEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

EFLH_{cool} = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling

³⁴⁵ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

= Dependent on location and building type³⁴⁶. If climate zone and building type is unknown, assume 776 hours³⁴⁷:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{cool} (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown	484	811	445	650	449	764

Capacity_{Coolee} = Cooling capacity of new equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)
 = Actual installed - If actual size unknown, assume 32,867 Btu/hr³⁴⁸

Capacity_{Coolexist} = Cooling capacity of existing equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)
 = Actual - If actual size unknown, assume same as new installed unit

SEER_{base} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh)
 = 14.1³⁴⁹

SEER_{exist} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)
 = Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time. ³⁵⁰ If unknown, assume:

Existing Cooling System	SEER _{exist} ³⁵¹
Air Source Heat Pump	9.12
Central AC	8.60

SEER_{ee} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of efficient unit (kBtu/kWh)
 = Actual installed or 15.6 if unknown³⁵²

Derating_{Cool_{eff}} = Efficient Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating
 = 0% if Quality Installation is performed
 = 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed or unknown (e.g. mid or upstream program)³⁵³

Derating_{Cool_{base}} = Baseline Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating

³⁴⁶ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

³⁴⁷ Based on distribution of building types from IPL residential prescriptive program from April 2019 through March 2022.

³⁴⁸ Based on review of all available models on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_USAC.xlsx' for more detail.

³⁴⁹ Based on review of available non-ES models on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_USAC.xlsx' for more detail.

³⁵⁰ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

³⁵¹ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

³⁵² Based on review of available ENERGY STAR models (based on v5.0 specifications) on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_USAC.xlsx' for more detail.

³⁵³ Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

	= 10.5%
IEERbase	= Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio (IEER) of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh) = 11.4 ³⁵⁴
IEERexist	= Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio (IEER) of existing unit (kBtu/kWh) = Use actual IEER rating where it is possible to measure, or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time. ³⁵⁵
IEERee	= Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio (IEER) of efficient unit (kBtu/kWh) = Actual installed

Time of Sale:

For example, for a 3 ton unit with SEER rating of 15.6, in unknown location with quality installation:

$$\Delta kWh = (776 * 36,000 * (1/(14.1 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15.6 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000$$

$$= 422.9 \text{ kWh}$$

For example, for a 3 ton unit with SEER rating of 15.6, in unknown location without quality installation:

$$\Delta kWh = (776 * 36,000 * (1/(14.1 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15.6 * (1-10.5\%)))) / 1000$$

$$= 212.8 \text{ kWh}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, for a 3 ton unit, with SEER rating of 15.6 replacing an existing unit with quality installation with unknown efficiency in a single family home in Burlington, IA:

$$\Delta kWh(\text{for first 6 years}) = (918 * 36,000 * (1/(10 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15.6 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000$$

$$= 1,574 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\Delta kWh(\text{for next 12 years}) = (918 * 36,000 * (1/(14.1 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15.6 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000$$

$$= 500.3 \text{ kWh}$$

Therefore, record a savings adjustment of 32% (500.3/1574) after 6 years.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

Time of sale:

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cooler} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Early replacement:³⁵⁶

³⁵⁴ Based on IECC 2012 requirements.

³⁵⁵ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

³⁵⁶ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to

ΔkW for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{\left(Capacity_{Cool_{exist}} * \frac{1}{(EER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} \right) - \left(Capacity_{Cool_{ee}} * \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

ΔkW for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool_{ee}} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

- EER_{base} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of baseline unit
= 11.8³⁵⁷
- EER_{exist} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of existing unit
= Actual EER of unit should be used. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.³⁵⁸ If EER is unknown, use 9.2³⁵⁹
- EER_{ee} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of efficient unit
= Actual installed - Or 12.8 if unknown³⁶⁰
- DeratingCool_{eff} = Efficient Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating
= 0% if Quality Installation is performed and/or if unit is right-sized
= 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed or unknown (e.g. mid or upstream program)³⁶¹
- DeratingCool_{base} = Baseline Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating
= 10.5%
- CF = Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling
= 68% for non-QI or unknown (e.g. mid or upstream program)³⁶²

efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

³⁵⁷ Based on review of available non-ES models on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See ‘AHRI_20220401_USAC.xlsx’ for more detail.

³⁵⁸ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

³⁵⁹ Based on SEER of 10,0, using formula above to give 9.2 EER.

³⁶⁰ Based on review of available ENERGY STAR models (based on v5.0 specifications) on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See ‘AHRI_20220401_USAC.xlsx’ for more detail.

³⁶¹ Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

³⁶² Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation

= 80% for QI or right sized units ³⁶³

Time of Sale:

For example, for a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 13 installed with quality installation/right sized in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= (36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(13 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000 * 0.80 \\ &= 0.51163 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

For example, for a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 12.5 installed without quality installation in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= (36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(13 * (1 - 10.5\%)))) / 1000 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.2140 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, for a 3 ton unit, with EER rating of 13 replacing an existing unit with unknown efficiency in a single family home in Burlington, IA with quality installation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW \text{ (for first 6 years)} &= (36,000 * (1/(9.2 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(13 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000 * 0.80 \\ &= 1.2823 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW \text{ (for next 12 years)} &= (36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(13 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000 * 0.80 \\ &= 0.5116 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-CAC-V05-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/20246

of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)³⁶³. This would account for variance in usage pattern across a population as well as oversizing of equipment.

³⁶³ This higher CF accounts for the demand benefit from right sizing the equipment,

2.4.3 Boiler

DESCRIPTION

High efficiency boilers achieve most gas savings through the use of a sealed combustion chamber and multiple heat exchangers that remove a significant portion of the waste heat from flue gases. Because multiple heat exchangers are used to remove waste heat from the escaping flue gases, some of the flue gases condense and must be drained.

This measure characterizes:

- a) Time of Sale:
 - i. The installation of a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) new high efficiency, gas-fired hot water boiler in a residential location. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- b) Early Replacement:
 - i. The early removal of an existing functional boiler from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) new high efficiency unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
 - ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and AFUE $\leq 75\%$. “Functioning” is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: AFUE $\leq 75\%$ and cost of any repairs <\$811.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed Boiler must be a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) unit that meets or exceeds the efficiency requirements determined by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of sale: The baseline equipment for this measure is a new residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h), gas-fired, standard-efficiency water boiler. The current Federal Standard minimum AFUE rating is 84%.³⁶⁴

Early replacement: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.³⁶⁵

Early replacement: Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 8 years.³⁶⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental install cost for this measure is provided below, dependent on efficiency:³⁶⁷

³⁶⁴ Code of Federal Regulations for gas-fired hot water boilers manufactured on or after January 15, 2021 (10 CFR 432(e)(3))

³⁶⁵ Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3 of DOE Technical Support Documents, Table 8.3.3.

³⁶⁶ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life.

³⁶⁷ Based on data provided in Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3, of DOE Technical Support Documents; Table 8.5.6 LCC

AFUE	Full Install Cost	Incremental Install Cost
84%	\$4,053	N/A
85%	\$4,468	\$415
86%	\$5,264	\$1,211
87%	\$5,328*	\$1,275
88%	\$5,392*	\$1,339
89%	\$5,455*	\$1,402
90%	\$5,519*	\$1,466
91%	\$5,583	\$1,530
92%	\$5,734*	\$1,681
93%	\$5,885*	\$1,832
94%	\$6,036*	\$1,983
95%	\$6,188*	\$2,135
96%	\$6,339*	\$2,286
97%	\$6,490*	\$2,437
98%	\$6,641*	\$2,588
99%	\$6,792	\$2,739

Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Early Replacement: The full installation cost is provided in the table above. The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be \$4,053. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities’ discount rate.³⁶⁸

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Time of Sale:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left(\frac{(AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{Eff}))}{(AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{Base}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

and PBP Results for Hot-Water Gas Boilers (High Cost). Where efficiency ratings are not provided, the values are interpolated from those that are and marked with an *. See “Boiler_DOE Chapter 8.xls” for more information.

³⁶⁸ Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

Early replacement:³⁶⁹

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$= \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left(\frac{(AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{Eff}))}{(AFUE_{exist} * (1 - Derating_{Base}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$= \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left(\frac{(AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{Eff}))}{(AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{Base}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Where:

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating
 = Dependent on location:³⁷⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

Capacity = Nominal heating input capacity boiler size (Btu/hr) for efficient unit not existing unit
 = Actual

AFUE_{exist} = Existing boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating
 = Use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.³⁷¹ If unknown, assume 61.6 AFUE%³⁷²

AFUE_{base} = Baseline boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating
 = 84%

³⁶⁹ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

³⁷⁰ Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the unit’s capacity. See “Res Furnace EFLH Findings_30April2018.ppt” for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single-family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCD).
³⁷¹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).
³⁷² Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

- AFUE_{eff} = Efficient boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating
 = Actual
- Derating_{Eff} = Derating of AFUE to account for units not operating in field at rated efficiency
 = 5.9%³⁷³
- Derating_{Base} = Derating of AFUE to account for units not operating in field at rated efficiency
 = 3.3%³⁷⁴

Time of Sale:

For example, for a 100,000 Btuh 92% AFUE boiler purchased and installed for existing home in unknown location:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = (991 * 100000 * ((0.92 * (1-0.059))/(0.84 * (1-0.033)) - 1))/100000$$

$$= 65.2 \text{ Therms}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, for an existing functioning boiler with unknown efficiency that is replaced with a 100,000 Btuh, 88% AFUE boiler purchased and installed in unknown location:

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$= (991 * 100000 * ((0.88 * (1-0.059))/(0.616 * (1-0.033)) - 1))/100000$$

$$= 386.6 \text{ Therms}$$

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$= (991 * 100000 * ((0.88 * (1-0.059))/(0.84 * (1-0.033)) - 1))/100000$$

$$= 19.3 \text{ Therms}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for heating³⁷⁵
 = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

Time of Sale:

For example, for a 100,000 Btuh 88% AFUE boiler purchased and installed for existing home in unknown location:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = 19.3 * 0.014378$$

$$= 0.2775 \text{ Therms}$$

³⁷³ Based on findings from Massachusetts study; Cadmus “High Efficiency Heating Equipment Impact Evaluation,” March 2015.

³⁷⁴ Ibid.

³⁷⁵ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GHEB-V05-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

2.4.4 Furnace

DESCRIPTION

This measure covers the installation of a residential sized (<225,000 Btuh/h) high efficiency gas furnace in a residential application. High efficiency gas furnaces achieve savings through the use of a sealed, super insulated combustion chamber, more efficient burners, and multiple heat exchangers that remove a significant portion of the waste heat from the flue gases. Because multiple heat exchangers are used to remove waste heat from the escaping flue gases, most of the flue gases condense and must be drained. Furnaces equipped with ECM fan motors can save additional electric energy. The ECM furnace fan is a separate measure.

This measure characterizes:

- a) Time of Sale:
 - i. The installation of a new residential sized (<225,000 Btuh/h) high efficiency, gas-fired furnace in a residential location. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- b) Early Replacement:
 - i. The early removal of an existing functional furnace from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new residential sized (<225,000 Btuh/h) high efficiency unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
 - ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and AFUE $\leq 75\%$. “Functioning” is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: AFUE $\leq 75\%$ and cost of any repairs $< \$516$.

Quality Installation:

Additional savings are attributed to the Quality Installation (QI) of the system. QI programs should follow industry standards such as those described in ANSI ACCA QI5 and QI9vp. This must include considerations of system design (including sizing, matching, ventilation calculations) and equipment installation (including static pressure, airflow, combustion efficiency) and may also consider distribution.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a furnace with input energy < 225,000 Btu/hr rated natural gas fired furnace with an Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating that meets program standards.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale or New Construction: The baseline for this measure is an AFUE rating of 85%.³⁷⁶ It is assumed that ‘Quality Installation’ did not occur.

Early Replacement: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed

³⁷⁶ The Federal Standard of 80% is inflated to 85% for Furnaces to account for significant market demand above the Federal minimum. This is based upon agreement of the Technical Advisory Committee, reviewing information from other jurisdictions and in lieu of Iowa-specific information. Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this adjusted baseline should be replaced with the appropriate Federal Standard efficiency level.

remaining useful life of the unit and a new baseline unit for the remainder of the measure life.

DEFINITION OF MEASURE LIFE

The expected equipment measure life is assumed to be 20 years.³⁷⁷ Quality installation savings are assumed to last the time of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

For early replacement: Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years.³⁷⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental capital cost for this measure depends on efficiency as listed below.³⁷⁹

AFUE	Full Install Cost	Incremental Install Cost
85%	\$4,030	N/A
86%	\$4,086	\$56
87%	\$4,143	\$113
88%	\$4,199	\$169
89%	\$4,256	\$226
90%	\$4,312	\$282
91%	\$4,369	\$339
92%	\$4,425	\$395
93%	\$4,482	\$452
94%	\$4,538	\$508
95%	\$4,595	\$565
96%	\$4,888	\$858
97%	\$5,181	\$1,151
98%	\$5,474	\$1,444
99%	\$5,768	\$1,738

Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Early Replacement: The full installation cost is provided in the table above. The assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new 85% AFUE baseline unit is assumed to be \$4,030. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities’ discount rate.³⁸⁰

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to add \$90 to the installed cost.³⁸¹

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

³⁷⁷ Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3 of DOE Technical Support Documents, Table 8.3.3.

³⁷⁸ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life

³⁷⁹ Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers. (Please note, Per MidAmerican in April 2021, there are no plans to update this data). Full install costs are interpolated from data provided in the 2018 MA ‘Water Heating, boiler and Furnace Cost Study’ and adjusted from MA to IA costs using the 2016 implicit regional price deflators from the Bureau of Economic Analysis. See “Iowa Incremental Cost Study2_Adjusted.xls” for more information.

³⁸⁰ Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

³⁸¹ Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers. As of April 2021, there are no plans to update this data, per MidAmerican.

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A. See Furnace Blower Motor

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of Sale:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{\frac{EFLH * Capacity}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left(\frac{AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{eff})}{AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{base})} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Early replacement:³⁸²

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$= \frac{\frac{EFLH * Capacity}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left(\frac{AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{eff})}{AFUE_{exist} * (1 - Derating_{base})} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 14 years):

$$= \frac{\frac{EFLH * Capacity}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left(\frac{AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{eff})}{AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{base})} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Where:

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

= Dependent on location. and building type³⁸³. If climate zone and building type is unknown, assume 973 hours³⁸⁴:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904

³⁸² The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

³⁸³ Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the units capacity. See “Res Furnace EFLH Findings_30April2018.ppt” for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDRC). *As of April 2021, there are no plans to update this data & report, per MidAmerican.*

³⁸⁴ Based on distribution of building types from IPL residential prescriptive program from April 2019 through March 2022.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

- Capacity = Nominal heating input capacity furnace size (Btu/hr) for efficient unit not existing unit
= Actual
- AFUE_{exist} = Existing furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating
= Use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time. ³⁸⁵ If unknown, assume 64.4 AFUE%³⁸⁶
- AFUE_{base} = Baseline furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating
= 85%
Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this adjusted baseline should be replaced with the appropriate Federal Standard efficiency level.
- AFUE_{eff} = Efficient furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating
= Actual
- Derating_{eff} = Efficient furnace AFUE derating
= 0% if Quality Installation is performed
= 6.4% if Quality Installation is not performed or unknown (e.g mid or upstream program)³⁸⁷
- Derating_{base} = Baseline furnace AFUE derating
= 6.4%³⁸⁸

³⁸⁵ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

³⁸⁶ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012).

³⁸⁷ Based on findings from Building America, US Department of Energy, Brand, Yee, and Baker “Improving Gas Furnace Performance: A Field and Laboratory Study at End of Life”, February 2015.

³⁸⁸ As above

Time of Sale:

For example, for an 80,000 Btuh 95% AFUE furnace purchased and installed with quality installation for an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= ((991 * 80000)/(1 - 0\%) * (((0.95 * (1 - 0\%)) / (0.85 * (1 - 6.4\%))) - 1)/100000 \\ &= 153.9 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

For example, for an 80,000 Btuh 95% AFUE furnace purchased and installed without quality installation for an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= ((991 * 80000)/(1 - 6.4\%) * (((0.95 * (1 - 6.4\%)) / (0.85 * (1 - 6.4\%))) - 1)/100000 \\ &= 99.6 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, for an existing functioning furnace with unknown efficiency that is replaced with an 80,000 Btuh, 95% AFUE furnace using quality installation in an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):} \\ &= ((991 * 80000)/(1 - 0\%) * (((0.95 * (1 - 0\%)) / (0.644 * (1 - 6.4\%))) - 1)/100000 \\ &= 456.7 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms for remaining measure life (next 14 years):} \\ &= ((991 * 80000)/(1 - 0\%) * (((0.95 * (1 - 0\%)) / (0.85 * (1 - 6.4\%))) - 1)/100000 \\ &= 153.9 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above
- GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for heating³⁸⁹
- = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

Time of Sale:

For example, for an 80,000 Btuh 95% AFUE furnace purchased and quality installed in an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= 153.9 * 0.016525 \\ &= 2.54 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

³⁸⁹ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FRNC-V06-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

2.4.5 Furnace Blower Motor

NOTE: THIS MEASURE IS EFFECTIVE UNTIL 12/31/2019. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED BEYOND THAT DATE BUT IS LEFT IN THE MANUAL FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES.

DESCRIPTION

A new furnace with a brushless permanent magnet furnace blower motor (BPM) (also known as an Electronically Commutated Motor (ECM)) is installed instead of a new furnace with a lower efficiency motor. This measure characterizes only the electric savings associated with the fan and could be coupled with gas savings associated with a more efficient furnace. Savings decrease sharply with static pressure, so duct improvements and design, and clean, low pressure drop filters can maximize savings. Savings improve when the blower is used for cooling as well as when it is used for continuous ventilation, but only if the non-BPM motor would have been used for continuous ventilation as well. If the resident runs the BPM blower continuously because it is a more efficient motor and would not run a non-BPM motor in the same way, savings are near zero and possibly negative. This characterization uses a 2009 Focus on Energy study of BPM blower motor savings in Wisconsin, which accounted for the effects of this behavioral impact.

This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

A furnace with a brushless permanent magnet (BPM) blower motor, also known by the trademark ECM, BLDC, and other names.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

A furnace with a non-BPM blower motor.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years³⁹⁰.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The capital cost for this measure is assumed to be \$97³⁹¹ if a stand-alone measure or \$0 if coupled with 2.4.4 Furnace measure, since incremental cost of a fan will be included in that measure cost.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

³⁹⁰ Consistent with assumed life of a new gas furnace. Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3 of DOE Technical Support Documents, Table 8.3.3.

³⁹¹ Adapted from Tables 8.2.3 and 8.2.13 in Technical Support Documents for Federal residential appliance standards: “Chapter 8, Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Analysis”, 2011. This is for new furnaces, not retrofitting an existing furnace.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \text{Heating Savings} + \text{Cooling Savings} + \text{Shoulder Season Savings}$$

Where:

$$\text{Heating Savings} = \text{Blower motor savings during heating season}^{392}$$

Building Type	Vintage	End Use	Heating Savings (kWh)		
			Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	Heat Central Furnace	268.4	381.5	301.6
Manufactured	New	Heat Central Furnace	193.5	275.0	217.4
Multifamily	Existing	Heat Central Furnace	222.6	316.4	250.1
Multifamily	New	Heat Central Furnace	158.8	225.7	178.5
Single-family	Existing	Heat Central Furnace	262.0	372.4	294.4
Single-family	New	Heat Central Furnace	227.7	323.7	255.9
Residential ³⁹³	Residential	Heat Central Furnace	290.0		

$$\text{Cooling Savings} = \text{Blower motor savings during cooling season}$$

If home has Central AC:

Building Type	Vintage	End Use	Cooling Savings with CAC (kWh)		
			Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	Cool Central	266.2	208.0	252.3
Manufactured	New	Cool Central	217.3	183.1	209.2
Multifamily	Existing	Cool Central	248.5	199.0	236.7
Multifamily	New	Cool Central	216.7	182.8	208.6
Single-family	Existing	Cool Central	273.5	211.7	258.8
Single-family	New	Cool Central	222.7	185.9	214.0
Residential	Residential	Cool Central	256.5		

$$\text{If No Central AC} = 147.6 \text{ kWh}^{394}$$

If unknown³⁹⁵:

³⁹² To estimate heating, cooling, and shoulder season savings for Iowa, VEIC adapted results from a 2009 Focus on Energy study of BPM blower motor savings in Wisconsin. This study included effects of behavior change based on the efficiency of new motor greatly increasing the amount of people that run the fan continuously. The savings from the Wisconsin study were adjusted to account for different equivalent full load hour assumptions for Iowa. See: FOE to IA Blower Savings.xlsx.

³⁹³ Where location and home type is unknown.

³⁹⁴ These savings are for those homes that use the fan on continuous mode (13% of households) from Focus on Energy study.

³⁹⁵ The weighted average value is based on assumption that 86% of homes installing BPM furnace blower motors have Central AC. Using the formula from Note 1 in Table B-2 in the FOE study, and assuming that before the furnace purchase, purchasing households have the statewide average CAC penetration, and that the percent of purchasers that add CAC during the purchase is the same in IA as WI.

Building Type	Vintage	End Use	Cooling Savings, if cooling unknown (kWh)		
			Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	Cool Central	249.4	199.5	237.6
Manufactured	New	Cool Central	207.4	178.1	200.5
Multifamily	Existing	Cool Central	234.2	191.7	224.1
Multifamily	New	Cool Central	206.9	177.8	200.0
Single-family	Existing	Cool Central	255.7	202.7	243.1
Single-family	New	Cool Central	212.1	180.5	204.6
Residential	Residential	Cool Central	241.1		

Shoulder Season Savings = Blower motor savings during shoulder seasons
= 24.3 kWh

Using default values above the total savings are provided below:

Building Type	Vintage	Total Savings (kWh)								
		With CAC			No CAC			Unknown CAC		
		Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/Unknown	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/Unknown	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	558.9	613.8	578.2	440.3	553.4	473.5	542.1	605.3	563.5
Manufactured	New	435.1	482.5	450.9	365.4	447.0	389.3	425.3	477.4	442.2
Multifamily	Existing	495.4	539.7	511.1	394.5	488.3	422.0	481.2	532.5	498.6
Multifamily	New	399.8	432.9	411.4	330.7	397.7	350.4	390.0	427.9	402.8
Single-family	Existing	559.8	608.4	577.5	433.9	544.3	466.3	542.0	599.4	561.8
Single-family	New	474.8	533.9	494.2	399.6	495.6	427.8	464.2	528.5	484.8
Residential		570.8			462.0			555.5		

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left(\frac{NoACCooling\ Savings}{Cooling\ Season\ Hours} + \frac{Cooling\ Savings - NoACCooling\ Savings}{FLH_cooling} \right) * CF$$

Where:

- NoACCooling Savings = kWh savings in cooling season for homes without cooling
= 147.6 kWh
- Cooling Season Hours = Total hours during cooling season
= 2952³⁹⁶
- Cooling Savings = kWh savings in cooling season for homes with cooling
= See tables above
- FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning
= Dependent on location³⁹⁷:

³⁹⁶ Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, multiplied by 24.

³⁹⁷ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDL).

Building Type	Vintage	Cooling Load Hours—EFLHc		
		Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	865	441	764
Manufactured	New	508	259	449
Multifamily	Existing	736	375	650
Multifamily	New	504	257	445
Single-family	Existing	918	468	811
Single-family	New	548	279	484
Residential	Residential	794		

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling
 = 68%³⁹⁸

Using default values above the total savings are provided below:

Building Type	Vintage	Total Savings (kW)		
		With CAC	No CAC	Unknown CAC
All	All	0.1272	0.0465	0.1141

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms^{399} = - \frac{\text{Heating Savings} * 0.03412}{AFUE}$$

Where:

0.03412 = Converts kWh to therms
 AFUE = Efficiency of the furnace
 = Actual. If unknown assume 95%⁴⁰⁰

Using default values above the total savings are provided below:

Building Type	Vintage	Total Savings (Therms)		
		Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	- 9.6	- 13.7	- 10.8
Manufactured	New	- 6.9	- 9.9	- 7.8
Multifamily	Existing	- 8.0	- 11.4	- 9.0
Multifamily	New	- 5.7	- 8.1	- 6.4
Single-family	Existing	- 9.4	- 13.4	- 10.6
Single-family	New	- 8.2	- 11.6	- 9.2
Residential	Residential	- 10.4		

³⁹⁸ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’..

³⁹⁹ The blower fan is in the heating duct, so all, or very nearly all, of its waste heat is delivered to the conditioned space. This is a negative value since this measure will increase the heating load due to reduced waste heat.

⁴⁰⁰ Minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency after 2/1/2012.

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$ = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for heating⁴⁰¹

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

Building Type	Vintage	Total Savings (Peak Therms)		
		Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	-0.159	-0.226	-0.179
Manufactured	New	-0.115	-0.163	-0.129
Multifamily	Existing	-0.132	-0.188	-0.148
Multifamily	New	-0.094	-0.134	-0.106
Single-family	Existing	-0.155	-0.221	-0.175
Single-family	New	-0.135	-0.192	-0.152
Residential	Residential	-0.172		

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FBMT-V03-190101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2020

⁴⁰¹ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.4.6 Geothermal Source Heat Pump

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the installation of an ENERGY STAR qualified Geothermal Source Heat Pump (GSHP) either during new construction or at Time of Sale/Replacement of an existing system(s). Savings are realized due to the GSHP providing heating and cooling more efficiently than the existing or baseline unit, and where a desuperheater is installed, additional Domestic Hot Water (DHW) savings are realized due to displacing existing water heating.

This measure characterizes:

- c) Time of Sale:
 - ii. The installation of a new residential sized ground source heat pump in place of a new baseline Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP) meeting federal standards. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- d) Early Replacement:
 - iii. The early removal of functioning electric heating and cooling (if present) systems from service, prior to the natural end of life, and replacement with a new high efficiency ground source heat pump unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline Air Source Heat Pump and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
 - iv. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost (<\$471 per ton).

Quality Installation:

Additional savings are attributed to the Quality Installation (QI) of the system. QI programs should follow industry standards such as those described in ANSI ACCA QI5 and QI9vp. This must include considerations of system design (including sizing, matching, ventilation calculations) and equipment installation (including static pressure, airflow, refrigerant charge) and may also consider distribution.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment must be a Geothermal Source Heat Pump unit meeting the minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency level standards effective at the time of installation as detailed below:

ENERGY STAR Requirements (Effective January 1, 2012)

Product Type	Cooling EER	Heating COP
Water-to-air		
Closed Loop	17.1	3.6
Open Loop	21.1	4.1
Water-to-Water		
Closed Loop	16.1	3.1
Open Loop	20.1	3.5
DGX	16	3.6

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

New Construction:

The baseline equipment is assumed to be an Air Source Heat Pump meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level: 14 SEER, 8.2 HSPF, and 11.8 EER.⁴⁰² If a desuperheater is installed, the baseline for DHW savings is assumed to be a Federal Standard electric hot water heater, with Energy Factor calculated as follows:⁴⁰³

For ≤55 gallons: EF = 0.96 – (0.0003 * rated volume in gallons)

For >55 gallons: EF = 2.057 – (0.00113 * rated volume in gallons)

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.945 EF.

Time of Sale:

The baseline equipment is assumed to be an Air Source Heat Pump meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level: 14 SEER, 8.2 HSPF, and 11.8 EER. If a desuperheater is installed, the baseline for DHW savings is assumed to be the existing home's hot water heater fuel and efficiency.

If electric DHW, and unknown efficiency – assume efficiency is equal to pre 4/2015 Federal Standard:

EF = 0.93 – (0.00132 * rated volume in gallons)⁴⁰⁴

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.864 EF

If gas water heater, and unknown efficiency – assume efficiency is equal to pre 04/2015 Federal Standard:

EF = (0.67 – 0.0019 * rated volume in gallons)⁴⁰⁵

If size is unknown, assume 40 gallon; 0.594 EF

If DHW fuel is unknown, assume electric DHW provided above.

Note: New Federal Standards affecting heat pumps become effective January 1, 2023. The new standards effective in 2023, require any residential heat pump manufactured in, or imported into, the United States to have a minimum efficiency rating meeting the following:⁴⁰⁶

- Split system heat pump – 14.3 SEER2 and 7.5 HSPF2
- Single-package heat pump – 13.4 SEER2 and 6.7 HSPF2

These new federal standards will be adopted by the program, beginning 1/1/2024. For the 2023 program year, the default baseline equipment efficiencies are based on a market average of non-ENERGY STAR rated units, sourced from available models listed on AHRI's directory.

Early replacement / Retrofit:

The baseline is the efficiency of the *existing* electric heating, cooling, and hot water equipment for the assumed

⁴⁰² The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with this formula: $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

⁴⁰³ Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015; <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf>

⁴⁰⁴ Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497.

⁴⁰⁵ Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497.

⁴⁰⁶ The 2023 federal standards (10 CFR 430.32(c)(5)) are in terms of an updated metric, depicted as SEER2 and HSPF2 and manufacturers must certify their products meet the standard according to the new test procedure and new metrics. The updated test method as well as the updated energy conservation standards were negotiated under the appliance standards and rulemaking federal advisory committee (ASRAC) in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) and the negotiated rulemaking act. An equivalent stringency of these new standards for split system heat pumps are 15 SEER and 8.8 HSPF and for single-package heat pumps are 14 SEER and 8 HSPF, as detailed in: Federal Code of Regulations, Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for residential Central Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps; Confirmation of effective date and compliance date for direct final rule, May 26, 2017, Docket: EERE-2014-BT-STD-0048 (<https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2014-BT-STD-0048-0200>)

remaining useful life of the existing unit and a new baseline Air Source Heat Pump for the remainder of the measure life.

It is assumed that ‘Quality Installation’ did not occur.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for Time of Sale or New Construction is assumed to be 25 years.⁴⁰⁷

For early replacement, the remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 8 years.⁴⁰⁸

Quality installation savings are assumed to last the time of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

New Construction and Time of Sale: The actual installed cost of the Geothermal Source Heat Pump should be used (default of \$3,381 per ton⁴⁰⁹), minus the assumed installation cost of the baseline equipment (\$1,867 per ton of capacity for ASHP⁴¹⁰). Note if replacing an existing Geothermal Source Heat Pump with a functioning ground or water loop, it should be assumed that the indoor components of the Geothermal Source Heat Pump are consistent with the incremental cost of an efficient ASHP over the baseline ASHP. The incremental cost for this scenario only is provided below.⁴¹¹

Efficiency (SEER)	Incremental Cost when existing GSHP Loop (\$/unit)
15	\$0
15.8	\$108
16	\$135
17	\$421
18+	\$421

Early Replacement: The full installation cost of the Ground Source Heat Pump should be used (default provided above). Note if replacing an existing Geothermal Source Heat Pump with a functioning ground or water loop, the full cost will only reflect the install of the indoor components of the Geothermal Source Heat Pump. The full installation cost for this scenario only is provided below (note these costs are per ton of unit capacity).⁴¹²

Efficiency (SEER)	Full Retrofit Cost (including labor) per Ton of Capacity (\$/ton)
15.8	\$2,355 / ton +\$108
16	\$2,355 / ton +\$135
17	\$2,355 / ton +\$421
18+	\$2,355 / ton +\$421

⁴⁰⁷ The expected system life of indoor components is assumed to be 25 years as per DOE estimate: <http://energy.gov/energysaver/articles/geothermal-heat-pumps>. The ground loop life is estimated at 50 years (based on U.S. DOE Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy, Energy Saver details and descriptions for Geothermal Heat Pumps).

⁴⁰⁸ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life

⁴⁰⁹ Based on data provided on Home Advisor website, providing national average GSHP costs based on actual project quotes from 132 Home Advisor members and contractors. Equipment and material cost of \$2,581 per ton plus an added \$800 per ton installation cost, assuming a horizontal loop.

⁴¹⁰ Based on data provided on Home Advisor website, providing national average ASHP costs based on actual project quotes from 3,523 Home Advisor members and contractors.

⁴¹¹ Based on incremental cost results from Cadmus “HVAC Program: Incremental Cost Analysis Update,” December 19, 2016.

⁴¹² Costs based upon average cost per ton from “2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report”, Itron, February 28, 2014.

The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline Air Source Heat Pump is assumed to be \$2,355 per ton. This future cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal societal discount rate.⁴¹³

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to add \$150 to the installed cost.⁴¹⁴

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Water Heat (Electric)

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (Gas)

⁴¹³ Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

⁴¹⁴ Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers, see 'Iowa HVAC Incremental Cost Study' for details.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of sale, New Construction:

$$\Delta kWh = [Cooling\ savings] + [Heating\ savings] + [DHW\ savings]$$

$$= \left[\frac{EFLH_{Cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(PLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{Base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-PL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right) + FLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{Base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff})} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(PLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{Base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-PL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right) + FLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{Base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-FL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[\frac{ElecDHW * \%DHWDisp * \frac{1}{EF_{ELEC}} * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0}{3412} \right]$$

Early replacement:⁴¹⁵

ΔkWH for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$\Delta kWh = [Cooling\ savings] + [Heating\ savings] + [DHW\ savings]$$

$$= \left[\frac{EFLH_{Cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(PLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{Exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-PL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right) + FLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{Exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff})} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(PLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{Exist} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-PL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right) + FLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{Exist} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-FL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

⁴¹⁵ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation), and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

$$+ \left[\frac{ElecDHW * \%DHWDISP * \frac{1}{EF_{ELEC}} * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0}{3412} \right]$$

ΔkWh for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$\Delta kWh = [Cooling\ savings] + [Heating\ savings] + [DHW\ savings]$$

$$= \left[\frac{EFLH_{Cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(PLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{Base} * (1 - Derating_{Cool_{base}}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-PL} * (1 - Derating_{Cool_{eff}}))} \right) + FLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{Base} * (1 - Derating_{Cool_{base}}))} - \frac{1}{EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - Derating_{Cool_{eff}})} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(PLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{Base} * (1 - Derating_{Heat_{base}}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-PL} * 3412 * (1 - Derating_{Heat_{eff}}))} \right) + FLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{(HSPF_{Base} * (1 - Derating_{Heat_{base}}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-FL} * 3412 * (1 - Derating_{Heat_{eff}}))} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[\frac{ElecDHW * \%DHWDISP * \frac{1}{EF_{ELEC}} * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0}{3412} \right]$$

Where:

$EFLH_{Cool}$ = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling
 = Dependent on location:⁴¹⁶

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{cool} (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown	484	811	445	650	449	764

$Capacity_{Cool}$ = Cooling capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)
 = Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)

PLF_{Cool} = Part load cooling mode operation
 = 0.85 if variable speed GSHP⁴¹⁷
 = 0 if single/constant speed GSHP

FLF_{Cool} = Equivalent full load cooling mode operation factor
 = 0.15 if variable speed GSHP
 = 1 if single/constant speed GSHP

EER_{Base} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of new baseline ASHP unit
 = 11.8⁴¹⁸

EER_{Exist} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling unit
 = Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time. ⁴¹⁹ If EER unknown but SEER available convert using the equation:

$$EER_{Exist} = (-0.02 * SEER_{Exist}^2) + (1.12 * SEER_{Exist})$$

If SEER rating unavailable use:

Existing Cooling System	EER_{Exist} ⁴²⁰
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15

⁴¹⁶ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁴¹⁷ Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BE opt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.

⁴¹⁸ The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with the conversion formula from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master’s Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

⁴¹⁹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁴²⁰ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012).

No central cooling ⁴²¹	Set '1/EER_exist' = 0
-----------------------------------	-----------------------

- EER_{EE-PL}** = Part load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of GSHP unit
 = Actual installed with adjustment for pumping energy:⁴²²
 Adjusted EER (closed loop) = $0.0000315 \cdot \text{EER}^3 - 0.0111 \cdot \text{EER}^2 + 0.959 \cdot \text{EER}$
 Adjusted EER (open loop) = $0.00005 \cdot \text{EER}^3 - 0.0145 \cdot \text{EER}^2 + 0.93 \cdot \text{EER}$
- EER_{EE-FL}** = Full load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of GSHP unit
 = Actual installed with adjustment for pumping energy described above
- DeratingCool_{eff}** = Efficient GSHP cooling derating
 = 0% if Quality Installation is performed
 = 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed⁴²³
- Derating_{base}** = Baseline GSHP cooling derating
 = 10.5%
- EFLH_{Heat}** = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating
 = Dependent on location:⁴²⁴

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{Heat} (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,922	2,022	1,389	1,643	1,797	2,137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2,732	2,874	1,975	2,335	2,554	3,037
Average/ unknown	2,160	2,272	1,561	1,846	2,019	2,401

- Capacity_{Heat}** = Full load heating capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)
 = Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)
- PLF_{Heat}** = Part load heating mode operation
 = 0.5 if variable speed GSHP⁴²⁵
 = 0 if single/constant speed GSHP
- FLF_{Heat}** = Full load heating mode operation factor
 = 0.5 if variable speed GSHP
 = 1 if single/constant speed GSHP
- HSPF_{Base}** = Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of new replacement baseline heating system

⁴²¹ If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

⁴²² The methodology provided is based upon REMRate protocol 'Auxiliary Electric Energy of Ground Source Heat Pumps;' http://www.resnet.us/standards/Auxiliary_Electric_Energy_of_Ground_Source_Heat_Pumps_Amendment.pdf

⁴²³ Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

⁴²⁴ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁴²⁵ Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BEopt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.

(kBtu/kWh)

= 8.2⁴²⁶

HSPF_{Exist} = Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of existing heating system (kBtu/kWh)

= Use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time. ⁴²⁷ If not available, use:

Existing Heating System	HSPF _{exist}
Air Source Heat Pump	5.44 ⁴²⁸
Electric Resistance or Electric Furnace	3.41 ⁴²⁹

COP_{EE - PL} = Part load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit

= Actual Installed with adjustment for pumping energy:⁴³⁰

Adjusted COP (closed loop) = 0.000416*COP³ - 0.041*COP² + 1.0086*COP

Adjusted COP (open loop) = 0.00067*COP³ - 0.0531*COP² + 0.976*COP

COP_{EE - FL} = Full load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit

= Actual Installed with adjustment for pumping energy described above

DeratingHeat_{eff} = Efficient GSHP heating derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed

= 11.8% if Quality Installation is not performed⁴³¹

DeratingHeat_{base} = Baseline GSHP heating derating

= 11.8%

3.412 = Constant to convert the COP of the unit to the Heating Season Performance Factor (HSPF)

ElecDHW = 1 if existing DHW is electrically heated

= 0 if existing DHW is not electrically heated

%DHWD_{Disp} = Percentage of total DHW load that the GSHP will provide

= Actual if known

⁴²⁶ Minimum Federal Standard as of 1/1/2015;

<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf>

⁴²⁷ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^{Equipment Age}).

⁴²⁸ This is estimated based on finding the average HSPF/SEER ratio from the AHRI directory data (using the least efficient models – SEER 12 and SEER 13) – 0.596, and applying to the average nameplate SEER rating of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). This estimation methodology appears to provide a result within 10% of actual HSPF.

⁴²⁹ Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0, which equals 1/0.293 = 3.41 HSPF.

⁴³⁰ The methodology provided is based upon REMRate protocol 'Auxiliary Electric Energy of Ground Source Heat Pumps;' http://www.resnet.us/standards/Auxiliary_Electric_Energy_of_Ground_Source_Heat_Pumps_Amendment.pdf

⁴³¹ Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

= If unknown and if desuperheater installed, assume 44%⁴³²

= 0% if no desuperheater installed

EF_{ELEC} = Energy Factor (efficiency) of electric water heater. Note if the unit is rated with a Uniform Energy Factor, for version 2.0 of the TRM this will conservatively be applied as an Energy Factor. In version 3.0, these new ratings will be fully incorporated

New Construction = Actual - If unknown, assume federal standard:⁴³³

For ≤55 gallons: 0.96 – (0.0003 * rated volume in gallons)

For >55 gallons: 2.057 – (0.00113 * rated volume in gallons)

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.945EF

Existing Homes = Actual - If unknown, assume pre 4/2015 Federal Standard:⁴³⁴

0.93 – (0.00132 * rated volume in gallons)

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.864 EF

GPD = Gallons Per Day of hot water use per person

= 45.5 gallons hot water per day per household/2.59 people per household⁴³⁵

= 17.6

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household ⁴³⁶
Manufactured	1.96
Single-Family – Deemed	2.12
Multifamily – Deemed	1.4
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms ⁴³⁷

365.25 = Days per year

γ_{Water} = Specific weight of water

= 8.33 pounds per gallon

T_{OUT} = Tank temperature

= 126.5°F ⁴³⁸

T_{IN} = Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system

⁴³² Assumes that the desuperheater can provide two thirds of hot water needs for eight months of the year (2/3 * 2/3 = 44%). Based on input from Doug Dougherty, Geothermal Exchange Organization.

⁴³³ Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015;

<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf>

⁴³⁴ Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497.

⁴³⁵ Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study Update. Forthcoming. ©2015 Water Research Foundation. Reprinted With Permission.

⁴³⁶ Average household size by building type and water heater fuel type based on the 2007 RASS.

⁴³⁷ Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

⁴³⁸ CPUC Residential Retrofit - High Impact Measure Evaluation Report Draft. Dec. 7, 2009. Pg. 76. Average temperature setpoints for two utilities.

$$= 56.5^{439}$$

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)

3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh

For example, for a 3 ton closed loop GSHP unit with 20 Part Load EER, 18 Full Load EER and 4.4 Part Load COP, 3.4 Full Load COP with desuperheater installed with quality installation with a 50 gallon electric water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA.:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Adjusted Part Load EER} &= 0.0000315 * 20^3 - 0.0111 * 20^2 + 0.959 * 20 \\ &= 15.0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Adjusted Full Load EER} &= 0.0000315 * 18^3 - 0.0111 * 18^2 + 0.959 * 18 \\ &= 13.8 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Adjusted Part Load COP} &= 0.000416 * 4.4^3 - 0.041 * 4.4^2 + 1.0086 * 4.4 \\ &= 3.7 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Adjusted Full Load COP} &= 0.000416 * 3.4^3 - 0.041 * 3.4^2 + 1.0086 * 3.4 \\ &= 3.0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= [(548 * 36,000 * ((0.85 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105))) - 1/(15 * (1-0.105)))) + (0.15 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105))) - 1/(13.8 * (1-0.105)))) / 1000] + [(1922 * 36,000 * ((0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118))) - 1/(3.7 * 3.412 * (1-0.118)))) + (0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118))) - 1/(3.0 * 3.412 * (1-0.118)))) / 1000] + [(1 * 0.44 * 1/0.945 * 17.6 * 2.126 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5-56.5) * 1)/3412] \\ &= 535.7 + 3446.7 + 1087.5 \\ &= 5,069.9 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

For example, for a 3 ton closed loop GSHP unit with 20 Part Load EER, 18 Full Load EER and 4.4 Part Load COP, 3.4 Full Load COP with desuperheater installed without quality installation with a 50 gallon electric water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= [(548 * 36,000 * ((0.85 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105))) - 1/(15 * (1-0.105)))) + (0.15 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105))) - 1/(13.8 * (1-0.105)))) / 1000] + [(1922 * 36,000 * ((0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118))) - 1/(3.7 * 3.412 * (1-0.118)))) + (0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118))) - 1/(3.0 * 3.412 * (1-0.118)))) / 1000] + [(1 * 0.44 * 1/0.945 * 17.6 * 2.126 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5-56.5) * 1)/3412] \\ &= 379.3 + 2627.9 + 1087.5 \\ &= 4094.7 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

Time of Sale, New Construction:

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Early replacement:

⁴³⁹ Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

ΔkWh for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{Exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

ΔkWh for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

EER_{base} = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of new baseline unit
 = 11.8⁴⁴⁰

EER_{Exist} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling unit
 = Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time. ⁴⁴¹ If EER unknown but SEER available convert using the equation:

$$EER_{Exist} = (-0.02 * SEER_{Exist}^2) + (1.12 * SEER_{Exist})$$

If SEER rating unavailable use:

Existing Cooling System	EER _{Exist} ⁴⁴²
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15
No central cooling ⁴⁴³	Set '1/EER_exist' = 0

EER_{FL} = Full load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of ENERGY STAR GSHP unit
 = Actual with adjustment for pumping energy described above

DeratingCool_{eff} = Efficient Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating
 = 0% if Quality Installation is performed and/or if unit is right-sized
 = 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed⁴⁴⁴

DeratingCool_{base} = Baseline Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating
 = 10.5%

⁴⁴⁰ The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with the conversion formula from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master’s Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

⁴⁴¹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^{Equipment Age}).

⁴⁴² Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012).

⁴⁴³ If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

⁴⁴⁴ Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

CF = Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling
 = 72% for non-QI⁴⁴⁵
 = 80% for QI or right sized units⁴⁴⁶

For example, for a 3 ton closed loop GSHP unit with Full Load EER rating of 18 installed with quality installation in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Adjusted Full Load EER} &= 0.0000315 * 18^3 - 0.0111 * 18^2 + 0.959 * 18 \\ &= 13.8 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105))) - 1/(13.8 * (1-0))))/1000) * 0.80 \\ &= 0.6401 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

For example, for a 3 ton closed loop GSHP unit with Full Load EER rating of 18 installed without quality installation in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105))) - 1/(13.8 * (1-0.105))))/1000) * 0.72 \\ &= 0.3557 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

DHW savings for homes with existing gas hot water:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{Therms} &= [\text{DHW Savings}] \\ &= \frac{(1 - \text{ElecDHW}) * \% \text{DHWDisp} * \frac{1}{EF_{Gas}} * GPD * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma \text{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0}{100,000} \end{aligned}$$

Where:

EF_{GAS} = Energy Factor (efficiency) of gas water heater
 New Construction = Actual – If unknown, assume federal standard:⁴⁴⁷
 For ≤55 gallons: 0.675 – (0.0015 * tank_size)
 For > 55 gallons: 0.8012 – (0.00078 * tank size)
 If tank size unknown assume 40 gallons; 0.615 EF
 Existing Homes = Actual – If unknown, assume pre 4/2015 Federal Standard:⁴⁴⁸
 (0.67 – 0.0019 * rated volume in gallons)
 If size is unknown, assume 40 gallon; 0.594 EF

All other variables provided above

⁴⁴⁵ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

⁴⁴⁶ This higher CF accounts for the demand benefit from right sizing the equipment,

⁴⁴⁷ Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015;

<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf>

⁴⁴⁸ Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/water_heater_fr.pdf

For example, for a 3 ton unit with desuperheater installed with a 40 gallon gas water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= ((1-0) * 0.44 * 1/0.615 * 17.6 * 2.126 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5-56.5) * 1) / 100000 \\ &= 57.0 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above
- GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for water heating
= 0.002952 for Residential Water Heating

For example, for a 3 ton unit with desuperheater installed with a 40 gallon gas water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 57.0 * 0.002952 \\ &= 0.1683 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GSHP-V06-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2024

2.4.7 Ductless Heat Pumps

DESCRIPTION

This measure is designed to calculate electric savings for supplementing or replacing existing electric HVAC systems with ductless heat pumps or adding conditioning to a new space. Existing systems can include: electric resistance heating or ducted Air Source Heat Pumps (ASHP). Note this measure does not describe savings from displacement of gas heating. In such circumstances a custom calculation should be performed.

Savings are achieved either by displacing some of the heating or cooling load currently provided by the existing system or adding space conditioning to a new space, and meeting that load with the more efficient ductless heat pump. The offset of the home's heating load is likely for the milder heating periods. The limitations on heating offset increase as the outdoor temperature drops, because the DHP capacity decreases, and the point-source nature of the heater is less able to satisfy heating loads in remote rooms.

For cooling, the proposed savings calculations are aligned with those of typical replacement systems. In most cases, the DHP is expected to replace (rather than offset) a comparable amount of cooling in homes at a much higher efficiency than the previously used cooling.

In order for this measure to apply, the control strategy for the heat pump is assumed to be chosen to maximize savings per installer recommendation.⁴⁴⁹

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the new equipment must be a high-efficiency, variable-capacity (typically "inverter-driven" DC motor) ductless heat pump system that exceeds the program requirements.

The measure characterization recommends sourcing the efficiency specifications from the actually installed equipment. If those values are not known, the default equipment efficiency recommendations are based on a market average of ENERGY STAR rated units, sourced from available models listed on AHRI's directory.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, baseline equipment must be a permanent electric resistance heating source or a ducted ASHP. Existing cooling equipment is assumed to be standard efficiency. Note that in order to claim cooling savings, there must be an existing air conditioning system.

For adding space conditioning to a new space within a home, for example a new addition, the baseline is assumed to be a baseline ductless heat pump.

Note: New Federal Standards affecting heat pumps become effective January 1, 2023. The new standards effective in 2023, require any residential heat pump manufactured in, or imported into, the United States to have a minimum efficiency rating meeting the following:⁴⁵⁰

⁴⁴⁹ The whole purpose of installing ductless heat pumps is to conserve energy, so the installer can be assumed to be capable of recommending an appropriate controls strategy. For most applications, the heating setpoint for the ductless heat pump should be at least 2F higher than any remaining existing system and the cooling setpoint for the ductless heat pump should be at least 2F cooler than the existing system (this should apply to all periods of a programmable schedule, if applicable). This helps ensure that the ductless heat pump will be used to meet as much of the load as possible before the existing system operates to meet the remaining load. Ideally, the new ductless heat pump controls should be set to the current comfort settings, while the existing system setpoints should be adjusted down (heating) and up (cooling) to capture savings.

⁴⁵⁰ The 2023 federal standards (10 CFR 430.32(c)(5)) are in terms of an updated metric, depicted as SEER2 and HSPF2 and manufacturers must certify their products meet the standard according to the new test procedure and new metrics. The

- Split system heat pump – 14.3 SEER2 and 7.5 HSPF2
- Single-package heat pump – 13.4 SEER2 and 6.7 HSPF2

These new federal standards will be adopted by the program, beginning 1/1/2024. For the 2023 program year, the default baseline equipment efficiencies are based on a market average of non-ENERGY STAR rated units, sourced from available models listed on AHRI’s directory.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 18 years.⁴⁵¹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The full installation cost for this measure should be used, if unavailable a default is provided below:⁴⁵²

Unit Capacity (BTU/h)	Equivalent Capacity (tons)	Total Installation Cost
12,000	1.00	\$3,051
15,000	1.25	\$4,093
18,000	1.50	\$5,182
20,000	1.67	\$5,897
22,000	1.83	\$6,637
24,000	2.00	\$7,310
28,000	2.33	\$8,209
35,000+	2.92	\$10,814

For adding space conditioning to a new space within a home, the incremental cost should be used and is estimated below:⁴⁵³

SEER	Incremental Cost
<=18	\$346
19	\$423
20	\$498
21	\$577
22	\$589
23	\$605
24	\$621
25	\$637
26+	\$651

updated test method as well as the updated energy conservation standards were negotiated under the appliance standards and rulemaking federal advisory committee (ASRAC) in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) and the negotiated rulemaking act. An equivalent stringency of these new standards for split system heat pumps are 15 SEER and 8.8 HSPF and for single-package heat pumps are 14 SEER and 8 HSPF, as detailed in: Federal Code of Regulations, Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for residential Central Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps; Confirmation of effective date and compliance date for direct final rule, May 26, 2017, Docket: EERE-2014-BT-STD-0048 (<https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2014-BT-STD-0048-0200>)

⁴⁵¹ Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, Inc., June 2007

⁴⁵² Cadmus, Opinion Dynamics; ‘PY7 HVAC and Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pump Incremental Cost Analysis’ memo for Ameren Illinois, dated September 4, 2015.

⁴⁵³ Costs are estimated based on data from NEEP Phase 2 Incremental Cost Study, 2014. See “DHP Costs_04262017.xls” for details.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electric savings

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{heat} + \Delta kWh_{cool}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{heat} = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Heat} * EFLH_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{HSPF_{base}} - \frac{1}{HSPF_{ee}} \right)}{1000} \right] * LF$$

$$\Delta kWh_{cool} = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * EFLH_{cool} * \left(\frac{1}{SEER_{base}} - \frac{1}{SEER_{ee}} \right)}{1000} \right] * LF$$

Where:

Capacity_{Heat} = the heating capacity of the ductless heat pump unit in Btu/hr.⁴⁵⁴

= Actual installed

EFLH_{Heat} = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

= Dependent on location and application (whole house or add-on/supplementary):⁴⁵⁵

Application	Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{Heat} (Hours)					
		Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Whole house conditioning	Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,922	2,022	1,389	1,643	1,797	2,137
	Zone 6 (Mason City)	2,732	2,874	1,975	2,335	2,554	3,037
	Average/ unknown	2,160	2,272	1,561	1,846	2,019	2,401
Add-on / supplemental	Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,345	1,415	972	1,150	1,258	1,496
	Zone 6 (Mason City)	1,912	2,012	1,383	1,635	1,788	2,126
	Average/ unknown	1,512	1,590	1,093	1,292	1,413	1,681

HSPF_{ee} = HSPF rating of new equipment

= Actual installed, if unknown assume 11.1⁴⁵⁶

⁴⁵⁴ 1 Ton = 12 kBtu/hr

⁴⁵⁵ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCD). Add-on / supplemental EFLH are estimated by multiplying by a factor of 70% (consistent with PA TRM 2013).

⁴⁵⁶ Based on review of available ENERGY STAR models (based on v5.0 specifications) on AHRI directory on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_VSMS.xlsx' for more detail.

HSPF_{base} = HSPF rating of existing or new baseline equipment. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁴⁵⁷

= Actual, if unknown assume:

Existing Equipment Type	HSPF _{base}
Electric resistance heating	3.41 ⁴⁵⁸
Air Source Heat Pump	5.44 ⁴⁵⁹
For new space conditioning, assume baseline ductless heat pump	9.9 ⁴⁶⁰

Capacity_{cool} = the cooling capacity of the ductless heat pump unit in Btu/hr.⁴⁶¹

= Actual installed, if unknown assume 24,896 Btu/hr⁴⁶²

EFLH_{cool} = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling. Depends on location and application (whole house v add-on / supplemental). See table below.⁴⁶³

Application	Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{cool} ⁴⁶⁴					
		Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Whole house conditioning	5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
	6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
	Average/unknown	484	811	445	650	449	764
Add-on / supplemental	5 (Burlington)	330					
	6 (Mason City)	168					
	Average/unknown	292					

SEER_{ee} = SEER rating of new equipment

⁴⁵⁷ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁴⁵⁸ Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0 which equals 1/0.293 = 3.41 HSPF.

⁴⁵⁹ This is from the ASHP measure which estimated HSPF based on finding the average HSPF/SEER ratio from the AHRI directory data (using the least efficient models – SEER 12 and SEER 13) – 0.596, and applying to the average nameplate SEER rating of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren PY3-PY4. This estimation methodology appears to provide a result within 10% of actual HSPF.

⁴⁶⁰ Based on average of non-ENERGY STAR qualifying units on AHRI directory as accessed on 04/01/2022. See ‘AHRI_20220401_VSMS.xlsx’ for more detail.

⁴⁶¹ 1 Ton = 12 kBtu/hr

⁴⁶² Based on average of all available models on AHRI directory, as accessed on 04/01/2022. See ‘AHRI_20220401_VSMS.xlsx’ for more detail.

⁴⁶³ Residential EFLH for room AC

⁴⁶⁴ EFLH for whole house conditioning are consistent with the Central AC measure (Des Moines EFLH based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment and the other locations calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC)). EFLH for add-on are consistent with Room AC (based on the average ratio of FLH for Room AC (provided in RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008: http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf) to FLH for Central Cooling for the same locations (provided by AHRI: see reference file “ENERGY STARCalc_CAC”) is 31%. This factor was applied to the ENERGY STAR FLH for Central Cooling provided for Des Moines, IA to provide an assumption for FLH for Room AC, and adjusted by CDD for the other locations.)

SEER_{exist} = Actual installed, if unknown assume 21.9⁴⁶⁵
 = SEER rating of existing equipment
 = Use actual value. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time. ⁴⁶⁶ If unknown, see table below.

Existing Cooling System	SEER _{exist}
Air Source Heat Pump	9.12
Central AC	8.60 ⁴⁶⁸
Room AC	8.0 ⁴⁶⁹
No cooling ⁴⁷⁰	Set '1/SEER _{exist} ' = 0
For new space conditioning, assume baseline ductless heat pump	18.2 ⁴⁷¹

LF = Load Factor accounting for DHP operating at partial loads and to calibrate savings to findings from evaluations

= 25%⁴⁷²

For example, installing a 1.5-ton (heating and cooling capacity) ductless heat pump unit rated at 11.1 HSPF and 21.9 SEER in a single-family home in unknown location to displace electric baseboard heat load and replace a window air conditioner, savings are:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{heat} &= ((18000 * 2272 * (1/3.41 - 1/11.1)) / 1000) * 0.25 &&= 2,077.2 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta kWh_{cool} &= ((18000 * 292 * (1/8 - 1/21.9))/1000) * 0.25 &&= 104.3 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta kWh &= 2077.2 + 104.3 &&= 2,181 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

⁴⁶⁵ Based on average of ENERGY STAR qualifying units (based on v5.0 specifications) on AHRI directory as accessed on 04/012022. See 'AHRI_20220401_VSMS.xlsx' for more detail.

⁴⁶⁶ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^{Equipment Age}).

⁴⁶⁷ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

⁴⁶⁸ Ibid.

⁴⁶⁹ Estimated by converting the EER assumption using the conversion equation; EER_{base} = (-0.02 * SEER_{base}²) + (1.12 * SEER). From Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

⁴⁷⁰ If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

⁴⁷¹ Based on average of non-ENERGY STAR qualifying units on AHRI directory as accessed on 04/012022. See 'AHRI_20220401_VSMS.xlsx' for more detail.

⁴⁷² Factor used by Cadmus, and supported by findings in Cadmus "Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pump Impact Evaluation," December 30, 2016.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left(\frac{1}{EER_{exist}} - \frac{1}{EER_{ee}} \right) * CF}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

EER_{exist} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)
 = Use actual EER rating. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁴⁷³ If unknown assume:

Existing Cooling System	EER _{exist}
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15 ⁴⁷⁵
Room AC	7.7 ⁴⁷⁶
No central cooling ⁴⁷⁷	Set '1/EER _{exist} ' = 0
For new space conditioning, assume baseline ductless heat pump	10.3 ⁴⁷⁸

EER_{ee} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of new ductless Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/hr / kW)
 = Actual, If not provided convert SEER to EER using this formula:

EER = (-0.02 * SEER²) + (1.12 * SEER)

= If EER and SEER are unknown assume 13.2⁴⁷⁹

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling
 For supplemental or limited zonal cooling = 43.1%⁴⁸⁰
 For whole house cooling = 72%⁴⁸¹

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Note this measure does not describe savings from displacement of gas heating. In such circumstances a custom calculation should be performed.

⁴⁷³ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^{Equipment Age}).

⁴⁷⁴ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 program. The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

⁴⁷⁵ Ibid.

⁴⁷⁶ Based on Nexus Market Research Inc, RLW Analytics, December 2005; "Impact, Process, and Market Study of the Connecticut Appliance Retirement Program: Overall Report."

⁴⁷⁷ If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

⁴⁷⁸ Based on average of non-ENERGY STAR qualifying units on AHRI directory as accessed on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_VSMS.xlsx' for more detail.

⁴⁷⁹ Based on average of ENERGY STAR qualifying units (based on v5.0 specifications) on AHRI directory as accessed on 04/01/2022. See 'AHRI_20220401_VSMS.xlsx' for more detail.

⁴⁸⁰ Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

⁴⁸¹ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-DSHP-V04-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2024

2.4.8 Energy Recovery Ventilator

DESCRIPTION

An energy recovery ventilator saves energy in a home ventilation system by preconditioning incoming air with heated or cooled exhaust air before it is ventilated outside. An ERV is capable of transferring both sensible and latent heat loads. This measure includes the addition of energy recovery equipment on the HVAC system of a newly constructed home. This measure analyzes the heating and cooling savings potential from recovering energy from exhaust air.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is a mechanical ventilation system outfitted with an energy recovery ventilator.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a mechanical ventilation system without energy recovery capabilities.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for the domestic energy recovery equipment is 15 years.⁴⁸²

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual install cost (including labor) for this measure should be used, if unknown use \$2300.⁴⁸³

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

There are no expected O&M savings associated with this measure, as compared to the O&M costs of a mechanical ventilation system.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

⁴⁸² Assumed service life limited by controls -" Demand Control Ventilation Using CO2 Sensors", pg. 19, by US Department of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

⁴⁸³ The average of \$800 and \$1100, the costs associated with average and high efficiency ERVs as per the Minnesota Sustainable Housing Initiative <http://www.mnshi.umn.edu/kb/scale/hrverv.html> plus \$1350 (average of \$1200 and 1500) for installation labor costs.

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling load due to ERV recovery

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{1}{SEER_{exist}} \right)}{1000} \right] * RF_{cool}$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{1}{IEER_{exist}} \right)}{1000} \right] * RF_{cool}$$

Where:

$EFLH_{cool}$ = Equivalent Full load cooling hours

= Dependent on location:⁴⁸⁴

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{cool} (Hours)	
	Single Family New	Manufactured New
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	508
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	259
Average/ unknown	484	449

$Capacity_{cool}$ = Cooling Capacity of equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)
= Actual installed

$SEER_{exist}$ = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)
= Actual installed

$IEER_{exist}$ = Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)
= Actual installed

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

RF_{cool} = Recovery factor, expressed as a percentage of total design load reduction for cooling
= 9%⁴⁸⁵

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to ERV recovery

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(\frac{1}{HSPF_{exist}} \right)}{1000} \right] * RF_{heat}$$

⁴⁸⁴ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁴⁸⁵ Based on modeling performed for the Minnesota Sustainable Housing Initiative. Results obtained using REM Rate 12.3 based on an 864sf Minnesota code base house, with wood siding, 15% window-to-floor area, window U-value 0.33 and SHGC 0.3, 80 AFUE furnace, and 10 EER air conditioning. Value is assumed to be reasonably applicable for a home in Iowa.

Where:

$EFLH_{Heat}$ = Equivalent Full load hours of heating
 = Dependent on location:⁴⁸⁶

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{Heat} (Hours)	
	Single Family New	Manufactured New
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1922	1797
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2732	2554
Average/ unknown	2160	2019

Capacity_{Heat} = Heating Capacity of equipment in (Btu/hr)
 = Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

HSPF_{Exist} = Heating System Performance Factor of existing heating system (kBtu/kWh)
 = Actual. Note: resistance heat will have an HSPF of 3.412 ⁴⁸⁷

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

RF_{heat} = Recovery factor, expressed as a percentage of total design load reduction for heating
 = 10%⁴⁸⁸

For example, an ERV installed in a new single family home in Mason City with 3 ton 16 SEER, 12.5 EER, 9 HSPF ducted air source heat pump.

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = ((279 * 36,000 * (1/16))/1000) * 0.09$$

$$= 56.5 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = ((2732 * 36,000 * (1/9))/1000) * 0.10$$

$$= 1092.8 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

$$= 56.5 + 1092.8$$

$$= 1149.3 \text{ kWh}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{EFLH_{cool}} * CF$$

Where:

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

⁴⁸⁶ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDL).

⁴⁸⁸ Based on modeling performed for the Minnesota Sustainable Housing Initiative. Results obtained using REM Rate 12.3 based on an 864sf Minnesota code base house, with wood siding, 15% window-to-floor area, window U-value 0.33 and SHGC 0.3, 80 AFUE furnace, and 10 EER air conditioning. Value is assumed to be reasonably applicable for a home in Iowa.

= 68% if central AC, 72% if ducted ASHP⁴⁸⁹

Other factors as defined above.

For example, an ERV installed in a new single family home in Mason City with 3 ton 16 SEER, 12.5 EER, 9 HSPF ducted air source heat pump.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 56.5/279 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.1377 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Δ Therms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{EFLH_{GasHeat} * Capacity_{Heat}}{\eta_{Heat} * 100,000} * RF_{heat}$$

Where:

$EFLH_{GasHeat}$ = Equivalent Full load heating hours
 = Dependent on location:⁴⁹⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
 = Actual⁴⁹¹

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above.

For example, an ERV installed in a new single family home in Mason City with 90,000Btu, 95% AFUE gas furnace.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= ((1090 * 90,000) / (0.95 * 100,000)) * 0.10 \\ &= 103.3 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

⁴⁸⁹ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

⁴⁹⁰ Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the units capacity. See “Res Furnace EFLH Findings_30April2018.ppt” for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDL).

⁴⁹¹ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test.

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above
- GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁴⁹²
- = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
- = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, an ERV installed in a new single family home in Mason City with 90,000Btu, 95% AFUE gas furnace.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= 103.3 * 0.016525 \\ &= 1.707 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ERVE-V04-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

⁴⁹² Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.4.9 Gas Fireplace

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the energy savings from the installation of a new gas fireplace with a 70% AFUE.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, RF, NC.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criterion for this measure is a heat rated gas fireplace with 70%+ AFUE, intermittent ignition, and thermostatic control with blower.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is a gas fireplace with <64% AFUE.⁴⁹³

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life of a gas fireplace is assumed to be 20 years.⁴⁹⁴

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For retrofits, actual material and labor costs should be used. For time of sale and new construction, actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application. If actual costs are unknown, the incremental equipment cost of this measure is \$244 and the incremental installation cost is \$18. Total incremental cost is \$262.⁴⁹⁵

LOADSHAPE

N/A

COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = Capacity_{output} * \left(\frac{1}{eff_b} - \frac{1}{eff_e} \right) * Hours\ of\ Use * 0.01$$

Where:

$$Capacity_{output} = \text{Output Capacity in kBTU}$$

⁴⁹³ "Direct Heating Equipment: Market Technology and Characterization," *Consortium for Energy Efficiency*, January, 2011.

⁴⁹⁴ *InterNACHI's Standard Estimated Life Expectancy Chart for Homes*. International Association of Certified Home Inspectors. <https://www.nachi.org/life-expectancy.htm>. Accessed January 21, 2016.

⁴⁹⁵ Incremental costs developed through linear extrapolation from incremental costs provided in "Direct Heating Equipment: Market and Technology Characterization," *Consortium for Energy Efficiency*, January 2011. Tables 5 and 6.

	= Actual, if unknown assume 37kBtu
eff_b	= Efficiency of baseline equipment
	= 64%
eff_e	= Efficiency of new unit
	= Actual, if unknown assume 70%
<i>Hours of Use</i>	= 135 ⁴⁹⁶
0.01	= Conversion factor kBtu to Therms

Using default assumptions, deemed savings is:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = 37 * (1/0.64 - 1/0.70) * 135 * 0.01$$

$$= 6.7 \text{ Therms}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

ΔTherms	= Therm impact calculated above
GCF	= Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating ⁴⁹⁷
	= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

Using default assumptions, deemed savings is:

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = 6.7 * 0.016525$$

$$= 0.1107 \text{ Therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GASF-V02-180101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023*

* This measure is overdue for a reliability review due to no utility currently offering the measure. If a utility plans to start using this measure again, it should be reviewed accordingly.

⁴⁹⁶ This value was calculated using the data available on the website that a typical fireplace is used 52 times a year and with an average usage time of 2.6 hours. <https://www.hpba.org/Resources/PressRoom/ID/79/2011-State-of-the-Hearth-Industry-Report>

⁴⁹⁷ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.4.10 Whole House Fan

DESCRIPTION

A whole house fan can be a simple and inexpensive method of cooling a house. During shoulder seasons, it is possible to reduce or even eliminate the need for air conditioning by operating the fans during periods when outside air is cooler than that inside a home. The fan draws cool outdoor air inside through open windows and exhausts hot indoor air through the attic to the outside. As temperatures rise during the daytime, the fan is turned off and windows are shut to allow the home to “coast” through the hottest part of the day, reducing or eliminating the need for supplemental air conditioning.

The use of timers or thermostatic controls is highly recommended to safeguard against situations that could result in increased energy consumption. For example, prolonged operation of the fan, long after the temperature inside the house has been equalized to temperatures outside could potentially create a situation where more energy is used than would have been by an air conditioning unit.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, NC, TOS

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is a home equipped with a whole house fan. A whole house fan is distinct from an exhaust fan, which may be intended to ventilate specific areas of a home. Whole house fans are installed in the attic and sized to provide 30 to 60 air changes per hour throughout the entire home.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a home without a whole house fan that operates an air conditioner during shoulder seasons and periods.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.⁴⁹⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For all project types, full installation costs should be used for screening purposes.

LOADSHAPE

RE11: Residential Single Family Vent.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electric energy savings are deemed based on building type and vintage.⁴⁹⁹

Building Type	Vintage	Annual Energy Savings kWh
Manufactured	Existing	284
Manufactured	New	155
Single Family	Existing	343

⁴⁹⁸ Conservative estimate based upon GDS Associates Measure Life Report “Residential and C&I Lighting and HVAC measures” 25 years for whole-house fans, and 19 for thermostatically-controlled attic fans.

⁴⁹⁹ Inferred from the 2011 Assessment of Potential [IPL], deemed based on 15% savings of CAC/ASHP system from shoulder periods. These values should be reevaluated if there is significant uptake in this measure.

Building Type	Vintage	Annual Energy Savings kWh
Single Family	New	197

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

There are no coincident peak demand savings expected for this measure.

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-WHF-V02-190101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023*

* This measure is overdue for a reliability review due to no utility currently offering the measure. If a utility plans to start using this measure again, it should be reviewed accordingly.

2.4.11 Central Air Source Heat Pump Tune-Up

DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a central Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP). The tune-up will improve heat pump performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the heat pump for correct and efficient operation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure refers to a tune up of a Central ASHP and should include a thorough cleaning, the measurement of refrigerant charge levels and airflow, correction of any problems found and post-treatment re-measurement.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a residential heat pump ($\leq 65,000$ Btu/hr) that has not been serviced for at least 3 years.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for the tune up is assumed to be 3 years.⁵⁰⁰

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * RatedCapacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{RatedSEER} \right)}{1000} \right] + \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * RatedCapacity_{Heat} * \left(\frac{SF_{heat}}{RatedHSPF} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

$EFLH_{cool}$ = Equivalent Full load hours of air conditioning

⁵⁰⁰ Based on DEER 2014 EUL Table for “Clean Condenser Coils – Residential” and “Refrigerant Charge – Residential”.

= Dependent on location:⁵⁰¹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{cool} (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

RatedCapacity_{cool} = Rated Cooling Capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

SF_{cool} = Cooling Savings Factor for ASHP tune-ups

=7.5%⁵⁰²

RatedSEER = Rated Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual. If unknown assume 10 SEER⁵⁰³

SF_{heat} = Heating Savings Factor for ASHP tune-ups

=2.3%⁵⁰⁴

EFLH_{Heat} = Equivalent Full load hours of heating

= Dependent on location:⁵⁰⁵

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{Heat} (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2022	1643	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2874	2335	3037
Average/ unknown	2272	1846	2401

RatedCapacity_{Heat} = Rated Heating Capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

RatedHSPF = Rated Heating System Performance Factor of existing heating system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual. If unknown assume 6.8 HSPF⁵⁰⁶

⁵⁰¹ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from National Climatic Data Center, NCDC).

⁵⁰² Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

⁵⁰³ Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 10 SEER is a VEIC estimate of existing unit efficiency, based on minimum federal standard between the years of 1992 and 2006.

⁵⁰⁴ Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

⁵⁰⁵ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁵⁰⁶ Use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 6.8 HSPF is a VEIC estimate based on minimum Federal Standard between 1992 and 2006.

For example, for a two ton air source heat pump with rated efficiency of 14 SEER, 12 EER, 9 HSPF undergoing a tune-up in an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((811 * 24,000 * 0.075/14)/1000) + ((2,272 * 24,000 * 0.023/9)/1000) \\ &= 104.3 + 139.3 \\ &= 244 kWh \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{RatedCapacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{RatedEER} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

- RatedEER = Rated Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)
 = Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available, convert using the equation:
 $EER = (-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$
 If using default SEER, EER estimate should be 9.2EER.
- CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling
 = 72%⁵⁰⁷

For example, for a two ton, 14 SEER, 12 EER, 9 HSPF air source heat pump undergoing a tune-up in an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= (24,000 * 0.075/12)/1000 * 72\% \\ &= 0.108 kW \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ATUN-V04-210101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

⁵⁰⁷ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

2.4.12 Central Air Conditioner Tune-Up

DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a Central Air Conditioner. The tune-up will improve performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the system for correct and efficient operation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure refers to a tune up of a Central Air Conditioner and should include a thorough cleaning, the measurement of refrigerant charge levels and airflow, correction of any problems found and post-treatment re-measurement.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a central air conditioner with a capacity up to 135,000 Btu/hr that has not been serviced for at least 3 years.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for the tune-up is assumed to be 3 years.⁵⁰⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE02 – Residential Multifamily Cooling

⁵⁰⁸ Based on DEER 2014 EUL Table for “Clean Condenser Coils – Residential” and “Refrigerant Charge – Residential”.

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * RatedCapacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{RatedSEER} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[\frac{EFLH_{cool} * RatedCapacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{RatedIEER} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

EFLH_{cool} = Equivalent Full load hours of air conditioning
 = Dependent on location:⁵⁰⁹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{cool} (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

RatedCapacity_{cool} = Rated Cooling Capacity (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

SF_{cool} = Cooling Savings Factor for CAC tune-ups

=7.5%⁵¹⁰

RatedSEER = Rated Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual. If unknown assume 10 SEER⁵¹¹

RatedIEER = Rated Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual

⁵⁰⁹ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from National Climatic Data Center, NCDC).

⁵¹⁰ Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

⁵¹¹ Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 10 SEER is a VEIC estimate of existing unit efficiency, based on minimum federal standard between the years of 1992 and 2006.

For example, for a three ton CAC unit with rated efficiency 15 SEER, 12 EER undergoing a tune-up in a single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= (811 * 36,000 * 0.075/15) / 1,000 \\ &= 146 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{\text{RatedCapacity}_{Cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{\text{RatedEER}} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

- EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)
 = Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available, convert using the equation:
 $EER = (-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$
 If using default SEER, EER estimate should be 9.2EER.
- CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling
 = 68%⁵¹²

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-CTUN-V04-210101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

⁵¹² Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’..

2.4.13 Boiler Tune-up

DESCRIPTION

This measure is for a residential boiler that provides space heating. The tune-up will improve boiler efficiency by cleaning and/or inspecting burners, combustion chamber, and burner nozzles. Components of tune-up: adjust air flow and reduce excessive stack temperatures; adjust burner and gas input; check venting, safety controls, and adequacy of combustion air intake. Combustion efficiency should be measured before and after tune-up using an electronic flue gas analyzer.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The recommended tune-up requirements are listed below. It is recommended that utility programs require that technicians performing the work are appropriately certified.

- Measure combustion efficiency using an electronic flue gas analyzer.
- Adjust airflow and reduce excessive stack temperatures.
- Adjust burner and gas input, manual or motorized draft control.
- Check for proper venting.
- Complete visual inspection of system piping and insulation.
- Check safety controls.
- Check adequacy of combustion air intake.
- Clean fireside surfaces.
- Inspect all refractory. Patch and wash coat as required.
- Inspect gaskets on front and rear doors and replace as necessary.
- Seal and close front and rear doors properly.
- Clean low and auxiliary low water cut-off controls, then re-install using new gaskets.
- Clean plugs in control piping.
- Remove all hand hole and man hole plates. Flush boiler with water to remove loose scale and sediment.
- Replace all hand hole and man hole plates with new gaskets.
- Open feedwater tank manway, inspect and clean as required. Replace manway plate with new gasket.
- Clean burner and burner pilot.
- Check pilot electrode and adjust or replace.
- Clean air damper and blower assembly.
- Clean motor starter contacts and check operation.
- Make necessary adjustments to burner for proper combustion.
- Perform all flame safeguard and safety trip checks.
- Check all hand hole plates and man hole plates for leaks at normal operating temperatures and pressures.
- Troubleshoot any boiler system problems as requested by on-site personnel.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition of this measure is a boiler that has not had a tune-up within the past 12 months

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The life of this measure is 1 year.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The cost of this measure is the actual tune-up cost.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{Capacity * EFLH * \left(\frac{Eff_{before} + E_i}{Eff_{before}} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Where:

- Capacity = Boiler gas input size (Btu/hr)
- = Actual
- EFLH =Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating
- = Dependent on location:⁵¹³

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

Effbefore = Combustion efficiency of the boiler before the tune-up⁵¹⁴

⁵¹³ Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the units capacity. See “Res Furnace EFLH Findings_30April2018.ppt” for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁵¹⁴ The percentage improvement in combustion efficiency is deemed a reasonable proxy for the system improvement. If a full thermal efficiency test is performed instead, that should be used.

- = Actual
- Ei = Combustion efficiency Improvement of the boiler tune-up measure
- = Actual
- 100,000 = Converts Btu to therms

For example, for a 100 kBtu boiler in an unknown location single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune-up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune-up:

$$\Delta\text{therms} = (100,000 * 991 * (((0.82 + 0.018) / 0.82) - 1)) / 100,000$$

$$= 21.8 \text{ therms}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above
- GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁵¹⁵
- = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

For example, for a 100 kBtu boiler in an unknown location single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune up:

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = 21.8 * 0.014378$$

$$= 0.3134 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-BLRT-V02-190101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023*

* This measure is overdue for a reliability review due to no utility currently offering the measure. If a utility plans to start using this measure again, it should be reviewed accordingly.

⁵¹⁵ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.4.14 Furnace Tune-Up

DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a natural gas Residential furnace. The tune-up will improve furnace performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the furnace and appurtenances for correct and efficient operation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The recommended tune-up requirements are listed below. It is recommended that utility programs require that technicians performing the work are appropriately certified.

- Measure combustion efficiency using an electronic flue gas analyzer.
- Check and clean blower assembly and components per manufacturer's recommendations.
- Where applicable, lubricate motor and inspect and replace fan belt if required.
- Inspect for gas leaks.
- Clean burner per manufacturer's recommendations and adjust as needed.
- Check ignition system and safety systems and clean and adjust as needed.
- Check and clean heat exchanger per manufacturer's recommendations.
- Inspect exhaust/flue for proper attachment and operation.
- Inspect control box, wiring, and controls for proper connections and performance.
- Check air filter and clean or replace per manufacturer's recommendations.
- Inspect duct work connected to furnace for leaks or blockages.
- Measure temperature rise and adjust flow as needed.
- Check for correct line and load volts/amps.
- Check that thermostat operation is per manufacturer's recommendations (if adjustments are made, refer to 'Residential Programmable Thermostat' measure for savings estimate).
- Perform Carbon Monoxide test and adjust heating system until results are within standard industry acceptable limits.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline for tune-up is a furnace assumed not to have had a tune-up in the past 2 years.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life of a tune-up is 2 years.⁵¹⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

⁵¹⁶ Based on VEIC professional judgment.

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3$$

Where:

- $\Delta Therms$ = as calculated below
- Fe = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
= 3.14%⁵¹⁷
- 29.3 = kWh per therm

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{CAPInput * EFLH * \left(\frac{Effbefore + Ei}{Effbefore} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Where:

- CAPInput = Gas Furnace input capacity (Btuh)
= Actual rated capacity
- EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating
= Dependent on location:⁵¹⁸

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

- Effbefore = Combustion Efficiency of the furnace before the tune-up

⁵¹⁷ Fe is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% Fe . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

⁵¹⁸ Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the units capacity. See "Res Furnace EFLH Findings_30April2018.ppt" for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCD).

	= Actual
EI	= Combustion Efficiency Improvement of the furnace tune-up measure ⁵¹⁹
	= Actual
100,000	= Converts Btu to therms

For example, for a 100 kBtu furnace in an unknown location single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune-up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune-up:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= (100,000 * 991 * (((0.82 + 0.018) / 0.82) - 1)) / 100,000 \\ &= 21.8 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

ΔTherms	= Therm impact calculated above
GCF	= Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating ⁵²⁰
	= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a 100 kBtu furnace in an unknown location single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune-up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune-up:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 21.8 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.3602 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FTUN-V04-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

⁵¹⁹ The percentage improvement in combustion efficiency is deemed a reasonable proxy for the system improvement. If a full thermal efficiency test is performed instead, that should be used.

⁵²⁰ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.4.15 Geothermal Source Heat Pump Tune-Up

DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a Geothermal Source Heat Pump (GSHP). The tune-up will improve heat pump performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the heat pump for correct and efficient operation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure refers to a tune up of a GSHP and should include a thorough cleaning, the measurement of refrigerant charge levels and airflow, correction of any problems found and post-treatment re-measurement.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a residential GSHP that has not been serviced for at least 3 years.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for the tune up is assumed to be 3 years.⁵²¹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

⁵²¹ Based on DEER 2014 EUL Table for “Clean Condenser Coils – Residential” and “Refrigerant Charge – Residential”.

Algorithms

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = [Cooling\ savings] + [Heating\ savings]$$

$$= \left[\frac{EFLH_{Cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left(PLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{Cool}}{EER_{PL}} \right) + FLF_{Cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{Cool}}{EER_{FL}} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[\frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left(PLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{SF_{Heat}}{COP_{PL} * 3.412} \right) + FLF_{Heat} * \left(\frac{SF_{Heat}}{COP_{FL} * 3.412} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

EFL_{Cool} = Full load cooling hours
 = Dependent on location⁵²²:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFL _{Cool} (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

Capacity_{Cool} = Cooling capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)
 = Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)

PLF_{Cool} = Part load cooling mode operation
 = 0.85⁵²³ if variable speed GSHP
 = 0 if single/constant speed GSHP

SF_{Cool} = Cooling Savings Factor for GSHP tune-ups
 = 7.5%⁵²⁴

FLF_{Cool} = Equivalent full load cooling mode operation factor
 = 0.15 if variable speed GSHP
 = 1 if single/constant speed GSHP

EER_{PL} = Part load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of efficient GSHP unit
 = Actual installed

⁵²² Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁵²³ Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BEopt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.

⁵²⁴ Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

- EER_{FL} = Full load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of ENERGY STAR GSHP unit
 = Actual installed
- EFLH_{Heat} = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating
 = Dependent on location⁵²⁵:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{Heat} (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2022	1643	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2874	2335	3037
Average/ unknown	2272	1846	2401

- Capacity_{Heat} = Full load heating capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)
 = Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)
- PLF_{Heat} = Part load heating mode operation
 = 0.5⁵²⁶ if variable speed GSHP
 = 0 if single/constant speed GSHP
- FLF_{Heat} = Full load heating mode operation factor
 = 0.5 if variable speed GSHP
 = 1 if single/constant speed GSHP
- SF_{Heat} = Heating Savings Factor for ASHP tune-ups
 = 2.3%⁵²⁷
- COP_{PL} = Part load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit
 = Actual Installed
- COP_{FL} = Full load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit
 = Actual Installed
- 3.412 = Constant to convert the COP of the unit to the Heating Season Performance Factor (HSPF)

For example, for a 3 ton, variable speed GSHP unit with 20 Part Load EER, 18 Full Load EER and 4.4 Part Load COP, 3.4 Full Load COP undergoing a tune-up in an existing, single family home in unknown location:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = (811 * 36,000 * (0.85 * (7.5\%/20) + 0.15 * (7.5\%/18)))/1,000 + (2,272 * 36,000 * (0.5 * (2.3\%/4.4 * 3.412) + 0.5 * (2.3\%/3.4 * 3.412)))/1,000$$

$$= 255.0 \text{ kWh}$$

⁵²⁵ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDL).

⁵²⁶ Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BEopt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.

⁵²⁷ Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[\frac{Capacity_{cool} * \left(\frac{SF_{cool}}{EER} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

- EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of existing cooling system (kBtuh/kW)
- = Actual Installed
- CF = Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling
- = 72%⁵²⁸

For example, for a 3 ton, variable speed GSHP unit with 20 Part Load EER, 18 Full Load EER and 4.4 Part Load COP, 3.4 Full Load COP undergoing a tune-up in an existing, single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= (36,000 * (7.5\%/18)/1,000) * 72\% \\ &= 0.1080 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ASHP-TUN-V03-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

⁵²⁸ Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

2.4.16 Duct Sealing

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes evaluating the savings associated with performing duct sealing using mastic sealant, aerosol, or UL-181 compliant duct sealing tape to the distribution system of homes with either Central Air Conditioner or a ducted heating system. While sealing ducts in conditioned space can help with control and comfort, energy savings are largely limited to sealing ducts in unconditioned space where the heat loss is to outside the thermal envelope. Therefore, for this measure to be applicable, at least 30% of ducts should be within unconditioned space (e.g., attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages. Basements should be considered conditioned space).

Three methodologies for estimating the savings associate from sealing the ducts are provided.

1. **Modified Blower Door Subtraction** – this technique is described in detail on p. 44 of the Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual; see reference file “Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual.” It involves performing a whole house depressurization test and repeating the test with the ducts excluded.
2. **Duct Blaster Testing** – as described in RESNET Test 803.7 (see SharePoint Reference Folder). This involves using a blower door to pressurize the house to 25 Pascals and pressurizing the duct system using a duct blaster to reach equilibrium with the inside. The air required to reach equilibrium provides a duct leakage estimate.
3. **Deemed Savings per Linear Foot** – this method provides a deemed conservative estimate of savings and should only be used where performance testing described above is not possible.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is sealed duct work throughout the unconditioned or semi-conditioned space in the home. A non-conditioned space is defined as a space outside of the thermal envelope of the building that is not intentionally heated for occupancy (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages). A semi-conditioned space is defined as a space within the thermal envelop that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated (e.g. unfinished basement).⁵²⁹

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing baseline condition is leaky duct work within the unconditioned or semi-conditioned space in the home.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of this measure is 20 years.⁵³⁰

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual duct sealing measure cost should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

⁵²⁹ Definition matches Regain factor discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012

⁵³⁰ Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Methodology 1: Modified Blower Door Subtraction

Claiming Cooling savings from reduction in Air Conditioning Load:

- a. Determine Duct Leakage rate before and after performing duct sealing:

$$Duct\ Leakage\ (CFM50_{DL}) = (CFM50_{Whole\ House} - CFM50_{Envelope\ Only}) * SCF$$

Where:

CFM50_{Whole House} = Standard Blower Door test result finding Cubic Feet per Minute at 50 Pascal pressure differential

CFM50_{Envelope Only} = Blower Door test result finding Cubic Feet per Minute at 50 Pascal pressure differential with all supply and return registers sealed

SCF = Subtraction Correction Factor to account for underestimation of duct leakage due to connections between the duct system and the home. Determined by measuring pressure in duct system with registers sealed and using look up table provided by Energy Conservatory below:

House to Duct Pressure	Subtraction Correction Factor	House to Duct Pressure	Subtraction Correction Factor
50	1.00	30	2.23
49	1.09	29	2.32
48	1.14	28	2.42
47	1.19	27	2.52
46	1.24	26	2.64
45	1.29	25	2.76
44	1.34	24	2.89
43	1.39	23	3.03
42	1.44	22	3.18
41	1.49	21	3.35
40	1.54	20	3.54
39	1.60	19	3.74
38	1.65	18	3.97
37	1.71	17	4.23
36	1.78	16	4.51
35	1.84	15	4.83
34	1.91	14	5.20
33	1.98	13	5.63
32	2.06	12	6.12
31	2.14	11	6.71

b. Calculate duct leakage reduction, convert to CFM25_{DL}⁵³¹, and factor in Supply and Return Loss Factors:

$$Duct\ Leakage\ Reduction\ (\Delta CFM25_{DL}) = (Pre\ CFM50_{DL} - Post\ CFM50_{DL}) * 0.64 * (SLF + RLF)$$

Where:

- 0.64 = Converts CFM50_{DL} to CFM25_{DL}⁵³²
- SLF = Supply Loss Factor⁵³³
= % leaks sealed located in Supply ducts * 1
Default = 0.5⁵³⁴
- RLF = Return Loss Factor⁵³⁵
= % leaks sealed located in Return ducts * 0.5
Default = 0.25⁵³⁶

c. Calculate Energy Savings:

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{Fan}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \frac{\Delta CFM25_{DL}}{(CapacityCool / 12000 * 400)} * \frac{TRF_{Cool} * EFLH_{cool} * CapacityCool}{1000 * \eta_{Cool}}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{Fan} = (\Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3)$$

Where:

- $\Delta CFM25_{DL}$ = Duct leakage reduction in CFM25
- CapacityCool = Capacity of Air Cooling system (Btu/hr)
= Actual
- 12,000 = Converts Btu/H capacity to tons
- 400 = Conversion of Capacity to CFM (400CFM / ton)⁵³⁷
- TRF_{cool} = Thermal Regain Factor for cooling by space type. This accounts for the fact that not all cool air in

⁵³¹ 25 Pascals is the standard assumption for typical pressures experienced in the duct system under normal operating conditions.

⁵³² To convert CFM50 to CFM25, multiply by 0.64 (inverse of the “Can’t Reach Fifty” factor for CFM25; see Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual).

⁵³³ Assumes that for each percent of supply air loss there is one percent annual energy penalty. This assumes supply side leaks are direct losses to the outside and are not recaptured back to the house. This could be adjusted downward to reflect regain of usable energy to the house from duct leaks. For example, during the winter some of the energy lost from supply leaks in a crawlspace will probably be regained back to the house (sometimes 1/2 or more may be regained). More information provided in “Appendix E Estimating HVAC System Loss From Duct Airtightness Measurements” from Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual.

⁵³⁴ Assumes 50% of leaks are in supply ducts.

⁵³⁵ Assumes that for each percent of return air loss there is a half percent annual energy penalty. Note that this assumes that return leaks contribute less to energy losses than do supply leaks. This value could be adjusted upward if there was reason to suspect that the return leaks contribute significantly more energy loss than “average” (e.g., pulling return air from a super-heated attic), or can be adjusted downward to represent significantly less energy loss (e.g., pulling return air from a moderate temperature crawl space). More information provided in “Appendix E Estimating HVAC System Loss From Duct Airtightness Measurements” from Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual.

⁵³⁶ Assumes 50% of leaks are in return ducts.

⁵³⁷ This conversion is an industry rule of thumb; e.g., see reference file “61-Why 400 CFM per ton.”

semi-conditioned spaces is lost to the atmosphere and will provide useful conditioning⁵³⁸.

= 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces

= 0.4 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces⁵³⁹

EFLHcool = Equivalent Full Load Cooling Hours

= Dependent on location:⁵⁴⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLHcool (Hours)		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{Cool} = Efficiency in SEER of Air Conditioning equipment

= Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time. ⁵⁴¹ If not available, use:⁵⁴²

Equipment Type	Age of Equipment	Product Class	SEER Estimate
Central AC	Before 2006	Split Systems	10
		Single-Package Systems	9.7
	2006-2014	Split and Single-Package Systems	13
	2015 on	Split Systems	13
Single-Package Systems		14	
Heat Pump	Before 2006	Split Systems	10
		Single-Package Systems	9.7
	2006-2014	Split and Single-Package Systems	13
	2015 on	Split and Single-Package Systems	14

Δ Therms = Therm savings as calculated in Natural Gas Savings

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
 = 3.14%⁵⁴³

⁵³⁸ Definition of unconditioned areas is a space outside the thermal envelope (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages) and semi-conditioned (defined as a space within the thermal envelope that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated e.g. unfinished basement).

⁵³⁹ Thermal regain (i.e. the potential for conditioned air escaping from ducts not being lost to the atmosphere) for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

⁵⁴⁰ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁵⁴¹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^{Equipment Age}).

⁵⁴² Default cooling efficiencies are based on the minimum equipment efficiency ratings, at the time of manufacturing, for consumer heat pumps and central air conditioners, as sourced from the U.S. DOE Code of Federal Appliances, Energy Conservation Standards (CFR 430.32(c)(3)).

⁵⁴³ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e .

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, for duct sealing in unconditioned space in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace, and the following blower door test results:

Before: CFM50_{Whole House} = 4800 CFM50

CFM50_{Envelope Only} = 4500 CFM50

House to duct pressure of 45 Pascals. = 1.29 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

After: CFM50_{Whole House} = 4600 CFM50

CFM50_{Envelope Only} = 4500 CFM50

House to duct pressure of 43 Pascals = 1.39 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

Duct Leakage:

CFM50_{DL before} = (4800 – 4500) * 1.29

= 387 CFM

CFM50_{DL after} = (4600 – 4500) * 1.39

= 139 CFM

Duct Leakage reduction at CFM25:

$\Delta CFM25_{DL}$ = (387 – 139) * 0.64 * (0.5 + 0.25)

= 119 CFM25

Energy Savings:

ΔkWh = [((119 / ((36,000/12,000) * 400)) * 1 * 918 * 36,000) / (1000 * 11)] + [51.6 * 0.0314 * 29.3]

= 297.9 + 47.5

Claiming Heating savings for homes with electric heat (Heat Pump):

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{\Delta CFM25_{DL}}{(CapacityHeat / 12000 * 400)} * TRF_{Heat} * EFL_{Electricheat} * CapacityHeat}{\eta_{Heat} * 3412}$$

Where:

$\Delta CFM25_{DL}$ = Duct leakage reduction in CFM25

CapacityHeat = Heating output capacity (Btu/hr) of electric heat

= Actual

TRF_{heat} = Thermal Regain Factor for heating by space type. This accounts for the fact that not all heat loss in semi-conditioned spaces is lost to the atmosphere and will provide useful conditioning⁵⁴⁴.

= 0.40 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces

⁵⁴⁴ Definition of unconditioned areas is a space outside the thermal envelope (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages) and semi-conditioned (defined as a space within the thermal envelope that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated e.g. unfinished basement).

= 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces⁵⁴⁵

EFLHelectriceat = Equivalent Full Load Heating Hours for ASHP

= Dependent on location:⁵⁴⁶

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLHelectriceat		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2022	1643	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2874	2335	3037
Average/ unknown	2272	1846	2401

ηHeat = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁵⁴⁷ If not available, use:⁵⁴⁸

System Type	Age of Equipment	Product Class	HSPF Estimate	COP	ηHeat ⁵⁴⁹
Heat Pump	Before 2006	Split Systems	6.8	2.00	1.7
		Single-Package Systems	6.6	1.93	1.64
	2006-2014	Split and Single-Package Systems	7.7	2.26	1.92
		Split Systems	8.2	2.40	2.04
	2015 and after	Single-Package Systems	8.0	2.34	1.99

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

For example, for duct sealing in unconditioned space in a 36,000 Btu/H 2.5 COP heat pump heated single family house in Burlington with the blower door results in the example described above:

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = ((119 / ((36,000/12,000) * 1 * 400)) * 2022 * 36,000) / (2.5 * 3412)$$

$$= 846.3 \text{ kWh}$$

Methodology 2: Duct Blaster Testing

Claiming Cooling savings from reduction in Air Conditioning Load:

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{Fan}$$

⁵⁴⁵ Thermal regain (i.e. the potential for conditioned air escaping from ducts not being lost to the atmosphere) for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

⁵⁴⁶ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁵⁴⁷ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁵⁴⁸ Default cooling efficiencies are based on the minimum equipment efficiency ratings, at the time of manufacturing, for consumer heat pumps and central air conditioners, as sourced from the U.S. DOE Code of Federal Appliances, Energy Conservation Standards (CFR 430.32(c)(3)).

⁵⁴⁹ An 85% distribution efficiency is applied to the heat efficiency factor to account for duct losses.

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \frac{\frac{Pre_CFM25 - Post_CFM25}{CapacityCool/12000 * 400} * TRF_{Cool} * EFLH_{cool} * CapacityCool}{1000 * \eta_{Cool}}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{Fan} = (\Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3)$$

Where:

Pre_CFM25 = Duct leakage in CFM25 as measured by duct blaster test before sealing

Post_CFM25 = Duct leakage in CFM25 as measured by duct blaster test after sealing

All other variables as provided above

For example, for duct sealing in a unconditioned space in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace, and the following duct blaster test results:

$$Pre_CFM25 = 220 \text{ CFM25}$$

$$Post_CFM25 = 80 \text{ CFM25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= [(((220 - 80) / (36000/12000 * 400)) * 1 * 918 * 36000) / (1000 * 11)] + \\ & \quad [60.7 * 0.0314 * 29.3] \\ &= 350.5 + 55.8 \\ &= 406.3 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Claiming Heating savings for homes with electric heat (Heat Pump):

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = \frac{\frac{Pre_CFM25 - Post_CFM25}{CapacityCool/12000 * 400} * TRF_{Heat} * EFLH_{electricheat} * CapacityHeat}{\eta_{Heat} * 3412}$$

Where:

All other variables as provided above

For example, for duct sealing in a unconditioned space in a 36,000 Btu/H 2.5 COP heat pump heated single family house in Burlington with the duct blaster results described in the example above:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{heating} &= (((220 - 80) / (36000/12000 * 1 * 400)) * 2022 * 36000) / (2.5 * 3412) \\ &= 995.6 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Methodology 3: Deemed Savings⁵⁵⁰

Claiming Cooling savings from reduction in Air Conditioning Load:

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{Fan}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = CoolSavingsPerUnit * Duct_{Length}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{Fan} = (\Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3)$$

⁵⁵⁰ Savings per unit are based upon analysis performed by Cadmus for the 2011 Joint Assessment of Potential. It was based on 10% savings in system efficiency (ENERGY STAR suggests savings potential of up to 20% on its website). This would represent savings from homes with significant duct work outside of the thermal envelope. With no performance testing or verification, a deemed savings value should be very conservative and therefore the values provided in this section represent half of the savings – or 5% improvement.

Where:

CoolSavingsPerUnit = Annual cooling savings per linear foot of duct

Building Type	HVAC System	CoolSavingsPerUnit (kWh/ft)	
		Unconditioned	Semi-conditioned
Manufactured	Cool Central	0.95	0.38
Multifamily	Cool Central	0.70	0.28
Single-family	Cool Central	0.81	0.32
Manufactured	Heat Pump—Cooling	0.95	0.38
Multifamily	Heat Pump—Cooling	0.70	0.28
Single-family	Heat Pump—Cooling	0.81	0.32

Duct_{Length} = Total linear feet of duct within the home

= Actual. If unavailable a default of 142ft for single family, 92 ft for Multifamily or 100 ft for manufactured homes can be used.⁵⁵¹

Claiming Heating savings for homes with electric heat (Heat Pump):

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = HeatSavingsPerUnit * Duct_{Length}$$

Where:

HeatSavingsPerUnit = Annual heating savings per linear foot of duct

Building Type	HVAC System	HeatSavingsPerUnit (kWh/ft)	
		Unconditioned	Semi-conditioned
Manufactured	Heat Pump—Cooling	5.06	2.02
Multifamily	Heat Pump—Cooling	3.41	1.36
Single-family	Heat Pump—Cooling	4.11	1.64

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{EFLH_{cool}} * CF$$

Where:

EFLH_{cool} = Equivalent Full load cooling hours:

= Dependent on location:⁵⁵²

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH _{cool}		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

⁵⁵¹ Based upon Cadmus developed estimate using REMRate assumption of duct surface area to range from 10-15% of conditioned floor area, 6" and 8" duct diameter and square footage based on IUA 2011 Assessment of Potential.

⁵⁵² Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

= 68% if central AC, 72% if ducted ASHP⁵⁵³

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For homes with Natural Gas Heating:

Methodology 1: Modified Blower Door Subtraction

$$\Delta Therm = \frac{\Delta CFM_{25_{DL}}}{CapacityHeat * 0.0136} * TRF_{Heat} * EFLH_{gasheat} * CapacityHeat * \frac{\eta_{Equipment}}{\eta_{System}}$$

100,000

Where:

- $\Delta CFM_{25_{DL}}$ = Duct leakage reduction in CFM25
= As calculated in Methodology 1 under electric savings
- CapacityHeat = Heating input capacity (Btu/hr)
= Actual
- 0.0136 = Conversion of Capacity to CFM (0.0136CFM / Btu/hr)⁵⁵⁴
- EFLH_{gasheat} = Equivalent Full load heating hours for Furnaces
= Dependent on location:⁵⁵⁵

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	915	1054	638	896	777	1079
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1302	1496	906	1272	1106	1533
Average/ unknown	1028	1183	718	1005	874	1212

- $\eta_{Equipment}$ = Heating Equipment Efficiency
= Actual⁵⁵⁶. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum

⁵⁵³ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

⁵⁵⁴ Based on Natural Draft Furnaces requiring 100 CFM per 10,000 Btu, Induced Draft Furnaces requiring 130CFM per 10,000Btu and Condensing Furnaces requiring 150 CFM per 10,000 Btu (rule of thumb from http://contractingbusiness.com/enewsletters/cb_imp_43580/). Data provided by GAMA during the federal rule-making process for furnace efficiency standards, suggested that in 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing units. Therefore a weighted average required airflow rate is calculated assuming a 50:50 split of natural v induced draft non-condensing furnaces, as 123 per 10,000Btu or 0.0136/Btu.

⁵⁵⁵ To calculate the EFLH for an average home as opposed to one with a high efficiency that has been installed using HVAC SAVE, the EFLH developed by TetraTech (see Furnace measure for reference) are adjusted to account for a lower AFUE (85% v 95%) and to derate the AFUE by the factor used for a non-QI furnace. See 'Adjusting EFLH for 'average' home.'xls for more information..

⁵⁵⁶ The Equipment Efficiency can be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test.

If there is more than one heating system, the weighted (by consumption) average efficiency should be used.

If the heating system or distribution is being upgraded within a package of measures together with the insulation upgrade, the new average heating system efficiency should be used.

of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁵⁵⁷ – If not available, use 87%⁵⁵⁸

η_{System} = Pre duct sealing Heating System Efficiency (Equipment Efficiency * Pre Distribution Efficiency)⁵⁵⁹

= Actual – If not available use 74%⁵⁶⁰

100,000 = Converts Btu to therms

For example, for duct sealing in a unconditioned space in a house in Burlington with an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H (input capacity) natural gas furnace and the following blower door test results:

Before: $CFM_{50\text{Whole House}} = 4800$ CFM₅₀
 $CFM_{50\text{Envelope Only}} = 4500$ CFM₅₀
 House to duct pressure of 45 Pascals = 1.29 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

After: $CFM_{50\text{Whole House}} = 4600$ CFM₅₀
 $CFM_{50\text{Envelope Only}} = 4500$ CFM₅₀
 House to duct pressure of 43 Pascals = 1.39 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

Duct Leakage:

$CFM_{50\text{DL before}} = (4800 - 4500) * 1.29$
 $= 387$ CFM

$CFM_{50\text{DL after}} = (4600 - 4500) * 1.39$
 $= 139$ CFM

Duct Leakage reduction at CFM25:

$\Delta CFM_{25\text{DL}} = (387 - 139) * 0.64 * (0.5 + 0.25)$
 $= 119$ CFM₂₅

Energy Savings:

Pre Distribution Efficiency = $1 - (387/4800) = 92\%$

$\eta_{\text{System}} = 80\% * 92\% = 74\%$

$\Delta\text{Therm} = ((119 / (105,000 * 0.0136)) * 1 * 1054 * 105,000 * (0.8/0.74)) / 100,000$
 $= 99.7$ therms

Methodology 2: Duct Blaster Testing

⁵⁵⁷ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁵⁵⁸ In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the state. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: (0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8) = 0.872.

⁵⁵⁹ The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look-up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁵⁶⁰ Estimated as follows: 0.872 * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{Pre_CFM25 - Post_CFM25}{CapacityHeat * 0.0136} * TRF_{Heat} * EFLH_{gasheat} * CapacityHeat * \frac{\eta_{Equipment}}{\eta_{System}} \cdot 100,000$$

Where:

All variables as provided above

For example, for duct sealing in an unconditioned space in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace and the following duct blaster test results:

Pre_CFM25	= 220 CFM25
Post_CFM25	= 80 CFM25
$\Delta Therms$	= $((220 - 80) / (105,000 * 0.0136)) * 1 * 1054 * 105,000 * (0.8/0.74) / 100,000$
	= 117.3 therms

Methodology 3: Deemed Savings⁵⁶¹

$$\Delta Therms = HeatSavingsPerUnit * Duct_{Length}$$

Where:

HeatSavingsPerUnit = Annual heating savings per linear foot of duct

Building Type	HVAC System	HeatSavingsPerUnit (Therms/ft)	
Manufactured	Heat Central Furnace	0.26	0.10
Multifamily	Heat Central Furnace	0.19	0.076
Single-family	Heat Central Furnace	0.21	0.084

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$ = Therm impact calculated above
 GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁵⁶²
 = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

⁵⁶¹ Savings per unit are based upon analysis performed by Cadmus for the 2011 Joint Assessment of Potential. It was based on 10% savings in system efficiency (ENERGY STAR suggests savings potential of up to 20% on its website). This would represent savings from homes with significant duct work outside of the thermal envelope. With no performance testing or verification, a deemed savings value should be very conservative and therefore the values provided in this section represent half of the savings – or 5% improvement.

⁵⁶² Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

For example, for duct sealing in unconditioned space in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace, and the following duct blaster test results:

Pre_CFM25	= 220 CFM25
Post_CFM25	= 80 CFM25
Δ PeakTherms	= 117.3 * 0.016525
	= 1.94 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-DINS-V05-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

2.4.17 Programmable Thermostats

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the household energy savings from the installation of a new standard Programmable Thermostat for reduced heating energy consumption through temperature set-back during unoccupied or reduced demand times. Because a literature review was not conclusive in providing a defensible source of prescriptive cooling savings from standard programmable thermostats, cooling savings are assumed to be zero for this version of the measure.

Energy savings are applicable at the household level; all thermostats controlling household heat should be programmable and installation of multiple programmable thermostats per home does not accrue additional savings.

If the home has a Heat Pump, a programmable thermostat specifically designed for heat pumps should be used to minimize the use of backup electric resistance heat systems.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, DI, TOS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criteria for this measure are established by replacement of a manual-only temperature control with one that has the capability to adjust temperature setpoints according to a schedule without manual intervention.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For new thermostats, the baseline is a non-programmable thermostat requiring manual intervention to change temperature set point.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life of a programmable thermostat is assumed to be 8 years.⁵⁶³

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Actual material and labor costs should be used if the implementation method allows. If unknown (e.g., through a retail program), the capital cost for the new installation is assumed to be \$70.⁵⁶⁴

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

⁵⁶³ 8 years is based upon ASHRAE Applications (2003), Section 36, Table 3 estimate of 16 years for the equipment life, reduced by 50% to account for persistence issues.

⁵⁶⁴ Market prices vary significantly in this category, generally increasing with thermostat capability and sophistication. The basic functions required by this measure's eligibility criteria are available on units readily available in the market for \$30. Labor is assumed to be one hour at \$40 per hour.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh^{565} = (\%ElectricHeat * Elec_{Heating_{Consumption}} * \%Controlled * Heating_{Reduction} * Eff_{ISR}) + (\Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3)$$

Where:

%ElectricHeat = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be electric

Heating fuel	%ElectricHeat
Controllable Electric Heat (i.e., ducted ASHP or GSHP)	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	6% ⁵⁶⁶

Elec_Heating_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for electrically heated homes.⁵⁶⁷ If location and heating type is unknown, assume 10,599 kWh.⁵⁶⁸

Heating System ⁵⁶⁹	Building Type	Elec_Heating_Consumption (kWh) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
		Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/unknown
Air-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	9,031	12,838	10,148
	Multifamily	5,576	7,927	6,266
	Single-family	10,396	14,778	11,682
Ground-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	5,247	7,459	5,896
	Multifamily	3,234	4,597	3,634
	Single-family	6,029	8,571	6,775

%Controlled = Assumed percentage of household heating consumption that is controlled by the thermostat
 = If single zone, assume 100%
 = If single zone thermostat in multi zone home, assume 1 / # zones

⁵⁶⁵ Note the second part of the algorithm relates to furnace fan savings if the heating system is Natural Gas.

⁵⁶⁶ Average (default) value of 6% electric ducted heat pump space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa (note advanced thermostats are unlikely to be applied to resistance heating or ductless heat pumps). 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Data was not used as it does not have the geographical specificity that is provided in 2009. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

⁵⁶⁷ Based on Cadmus modeling performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment.

⁵⁶⁸ Assumes Air Source Heat Pump consumption values and 80% Single Family and 20% Multi Family, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls". 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Data was not used as it does not have the geographical specificity that is provided in 2009.

⁵⁶⁹ If the home has a Heat Pump, a programmable thermostat specifically designed for heat pumps should be used to minimize the use of backup electric resistance heat systems.

- = If multi zone thermostat, assume 100%
 - = If unknown, assume 93%⁵⁷⁰
 - Heating_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in total household heating energy consumption due to programmable thermostat
= 6.2%⁵⁷¹
 - Eff_ISR = Effective In-Service Rate, the percentage of thermostats installed and programmed effectively
- | Program Delivery | Eff_ISR |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Direct Install | 100% |
| Other, or unknown | 56% ⁵⁷² |
- ΔTherms = Therm savings if Natural Gas heating system
= See calculation in Natural Gas section below
 - F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
= 3.14%⁵⁷³
 - 29.3 = kWh per therm

Based on defaults provided above.⁵⁷⁴

			ΔkWh by Climate Zone (city based upon)					
			Direct Install ⁵⁷⁵			Other Programs		
Heating Fuel	Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown
Electrically Heated Home	Air-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	559.9	795.9	629.2	291.6	414.5	327.7
		Multifamily	345.7	491.5	388.5	180.1	256.0	202.3
		Single-family	644.6	916.3	724.3	335.7	477.2	377.2
	Ground-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	325.3	462.4	365.6	169.4	240.8	190.4
		Multifamily	200.5	285.0	225.3	104.4	148.4	117.3
		Single-family	373.8	531.4	420.1	194.7	276.7	218.8
Gas Heated Home	Furnace	Manufactured	26.7	37.9	29.9	13.9	19.7	15.6
		Multifamily	17.7	25.1	19.9	9.2	13.1	10.3

⁵⁷⁰ RECS Table HC6.9 Space Heating in U.S. Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009, indicates that 14% of homes have two or more thermostats in the region. If it is unknown the total heat consumption per thermostat is reduced by 7%, assuming that the 14% are controlling 50% of the homes total consumption. 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Data was not used as it does not have the geographical specificity that is provided in 2009.

⁵⁷¹ The savings from programmable thermostats are highly susceptible to many factors best addressed, so far for this category, by a study that controlled for the most significant issues with a very large sample size; RLW Analytics, 2007 “Validating the Impact of Programmable Thermostats”, 2007. To the extent that the treatment group is representative of the program participants for IA, this value is suitable. Higher and lower values would be justified based upon clear dissimilarities due to program and product attributes. Future evaluation work should assess program specific impacts associated with penetration rates, baseline levels, persistence, and other factors which this value represents.

⁵⁷² “Programmable Thermostats. Report to KeySpan Energy Delivery on Energy Savings and Cost Effectiveness,” GDS Associates, Marietta, GA. 2002GDS

⁵⁷³ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See “Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx” for reference.

⁵⁷⁴ See “Programmable Thermostat Savings_03252019.xls” for calculation detail.

⁵⁷⁵ Assumes single zone. If not – adjust accordingly.

			ΔkWh by Climate Zone (city based upon)					
			Direct Install ⁵⁷⁵			Other Programs		
Heating Fuel	Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown
		Single-family	30.6	43.5	34.4	15.9	22.7	17.9
	Boiler	Manufactured	34.1	48.5	38.3	17.8	25.3	20.0
		Multifamily	27.5	39.0	30.9	14.3	20.3	16.1
		Single-family	37.9	53.9	42.6	19.7	28.1	22.2
Unknown Heat and Location			n/a	n/a	75.0	n/a	n/a	41.1

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A due to no savings from cooling during the summer peak period.

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \%FossilHeat * Gas_Heating_Consumption * \%Controlled * Heating_Reduction * Eff_ISR$$

Where:

%FossilHeat = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Heating fuel	%FossilHeat
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	94% ⁵⁷⁶

Gas_Heating_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for gas heated single-family homes.⁵⁷⁷ If location is unknown, assume 578 therms.⁵⁷⁸

		Gas_Heating_Consumption (Therms) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown
Heat Central Furnace	Manufactured	467	664	525
	Multifamily	310	440	348
	Single-family	537	763	603
Heat Central Boiler	Manufactured	598	850	672
	Multifamily	481	684	541
	Single-family	665	945	747

⁵⁷⁶ Average (default) value of 83% gas space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

⁵⁷⁷ Based on Cadmus modeling performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment.

⁵⁷⁸ Assumption that 83% of gas heated homes have furnaces and 17% have boilers, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Assume 80% Single Family and 20% Multifamily, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

Based on defaults provided above:⁵⁷⁹

		ΔTherms by Climate Zone (city based upon)					
		Direct Install⁵⁸⁰			Other Programs		
Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown
Heat Central Furnace	Manufactured	29.0	41.2	32.6	15.1	21.4	17.0
	Multifamily	19.2	27.3	21.6	10.0	14.2	11.2
	Single-family	33.3	47.3	37.4	17.3	24.6	19.5
Heat Central Boiler	Manufactured	37.1	52.7	41.7	19.3	27.4	21.7
	Multifamily	29.9	42.4	33.5	15.5	22.1	17.5
	Single-family	41.2	58.6	46.3	21.5	30.5	24.1
Unknown Heat and Location		n/a	n/a	36.9	n/a	n/a	19.2

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above
- GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁵⁸¹
- = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
- = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

Based on defaults provided above:

		ΔTherms by Climate Zone (city based upon)					
		Direct Install			Other Programs		
Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown
Heat Central Furnace	Manufactured	0.4787	0.6805	0.5379	0.2493	0.3544	0.2801
	Multifamily	0.3173	0.4510	0.3565	0.1653	0.2349	0.1857
	Single-family	0.5498	0.7815	0.6178	0.2863	0.4070	0.3218
Heat Central Boiler	Manufactured	0.5331	0.7578	0.5990	0.2776	0.3947	0.3120
	Multifamily	0.4292	0.6101	0.4823	0.2235	0.3177	0.2512
	Single-family	0.5926	0.8424	0.6659	0.3086	0.4387	0.3468
Unknown Heat and Location ⁵⁸²		n/a	n/a	0.5953	n/a	n/a	0.3100

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

⁵⁷⁹ See “Programmable Thermostat Savings.xls” for calculation detail.

⁵⁸⁰ Assumes single zone. If not – adjust accordingly.

⁵⁸¹ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

⁵⁸² Assumes 83% furnace v 17% boiler as per ‘Table HC6.9 Space Heating in U.S. Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009’. See “Programmable Thermostat Savings.xls” for calculation detail.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-PROG-V04-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2027

2.4.18 Advanced Thermostats

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the household energy savings from the installation of a new thermostat(s) for reduced heating and cooling consumption through a configurable schedule of temperature setpoints (like a programmable thermostat) *and* automatic variations to that schedule to better match HVAC system runtimes to meet occupant comfort needs. These schedules may be defaults, established through user interaction, and be changed manually at the device or remotely through a web or mobile app. Automatic variations to that schedule could be driven by local sensors and software algorithms, and/or through connectivity to an internet software service. Data triggers to automatic schedule changes might include, for example: occupancy/activity detection, arrival & departure within conditioned spaces, optimization based on historical or population-specific trends, weather data and forecasts.⁵⁸³ This class of products and services are relatively new, diverse, and rapidly changing. Generally, the savings expected for this measure are not yet established at the level of individual features, but rather at the system level and how it performs overall. Like programmable thermostats, it is not suitable to assume that heating and cooling savings follow a similar pattern of usage and savings opportunity, and so here too this measure treats these savings independently. Note that it is a very active area of ongoing study to better map features to savings value and establish standards of performance measurement based on field data so that a standard of efficiency can be developed.⁵⁸⁴ That work is not yet complete but does inform the treatment of some aspects of this characterization and recommendations. Energy savings are applicable at the household level; all thermostats controlling household heat should be programmable and installation of multiple advanced thermostats per home does not accrue additional savings.

Note that though these devices and service could potentially be used as part of a demand response program, the costs, delivery, impacts, and other aspects of DR-specific program delivery are not included in this characterization at this time, though they could be added in the future.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criteria for this measure are established by replacement of a manual-only or programmable thermostat, with an ENERGY STAR qualified Advanced Thermostat, with the default enabled capability—or the capability to automatically—a schedule of temperature setpoints according to driving device inputs above and beyond basic time and temperature data of conventional programmable thermostats. As summarized in the description, this category of products and services is broad and rapidly advancing in regard to their capability, usability, and sophistication, but at a minimum must be capable of two-way communication⁵⁸⁵ and exceed the typical performance of manual and conventional programmable thermostats through the automatic or default capabilities described above.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is either the actual type (manual or programmable) if it is known,⁵⁸⁶ or an assumed mix of these two types based upon information available from evaluations or surveys that represent the population of program

⁵⁸³ For example, the capabilities of products and added services that use ultrasound, infrared, or geofencing sensor systems, automatically develop individual models of home's thermal properties through user interaction and optimize system operation based on equipment type and performance traits based on weather forecasts demonstrate the type of automatic schedule change functionality that apply to this measure characterization.

⁵⁸⁴ The ENERGY STAR program discontinued its support for basic programmable thermostats effective 12/31/09, and is presently developing a new specification for 'Residential Climate Controls'.

⁵⁸⁵ This measure recognizes that field data may be available, through this 2-way communication capability, to better inform characterization of efficiency criteria and savings calculations. It is recommended that program implementations incorporate this data into their planning and operation activities to improve understanding of the measure to manage risks and enhance savings results.

⁵⁸⁶ If the actual thermostat is a programmable and it is found to be used in override mode or otherwise effectively being operated like a manual thermostat, then the baseline may be considered to be a manual thermostat

participants. This mix may vary by program, but as a default, 44% programmable and 56% manual thermostats may be assumed.⁵⁸⁷

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life for advanced thermostats is assumed to be similar to that of a programmable thermostat 10 years⁵⁸⁸ based upon equipment life only.⁵⁸⁹

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For DI and other programs for which installation services are provided, the actual material, labor, and other costs should be used. For retail, BYOT, or other program types, actual costs should be used if available,⁵⁹⁰ along with a baseline equipment cost of \$50. If actual costs are unknown, then the average incremental cost for the new installation measure is assumed to be \$125.⁵⁹¹

LOADSHAPE

- ΔkWh → RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump
- $\Delta kWh_{heating}$ → RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat
→ RE01 – Residential Multifamily Central Heat
- $\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ → RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling
→ RE02 – Residential Multifamily Cooling
- $\Delta Therms$ → RG02 – Residential Boiler
→ RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{heat} + \Delta kWh_{cool}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{heat} = \%ElectricHeat * Elec_Heating_Consumption * \%Controlled * Heating_Reduction * HF * Eff_ISR + (\Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3)$$

$$\Delta kWh_{cool} = \%AC * ((EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * 1/SEERbase)/1000) * \%Controlled * Cooling_Reduction * Eff_ISR$$

Where:

$\%ElectricHeat$ = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be electric

⁵⁸⁷ Value for blend of baseline thermostats comes from an IL Potential Study conducted by ComEd in 2013

⁵⁸⁸ Table 1, HVAC Controls, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

⁵⁸⁹ Future evaluation is strongly encouraged to inform the persistence of savings to further refine measure life assumption. As this characterization depends heavily upon a number of savings studies that only lasted a single year or less, the longer term impacts should be assessed.

⁵⁹⁰ Including any one-time software integration or annual software maintenance, and or individual device energy feature fees.

⁵⁹¹ ENERGY STAR models are currently being offered in the \$150-\$200 range. The assumed incremental cost is based on the middle of this range (\$175) minus a cost of \$50 for the baseline equipment blend of manual and programmable thermostats. Note that any add-on energy service costs, which may include one-time setup and/or annual per device costs are not included in this assumption.

Heating fuel	%ElectricHeat
Controllable Electric Heat (i.e., ducted ASHP, GSHP or forced air electric furnace)	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	6% ⁵⁹²

Elec_Heating_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for electrically heated single-family homes.⁵⁹³ If location and heating type is unknown, assume 11,407 kWh.⁵⁹⁴

		Elec_Heating_Consumption (kWh) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
Heating System ⁵⁹⁵	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown
Air-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	9,031	12,838	10,148
	Multifamily	5,576	7,927	6,266
	Single-family	10,396	14,778	11,682
Ground-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	5,247	7,459	5,896
	Multifamily	3,234	4,597	3,634
	Single-family	6,029	8,571	6,775
Forced Air Electric Furnace	Manufactured	11,325	16,098	12,725
	Multifamily	7,619	10,830	8,561
	Single-family	12,454	17,703	13,994

%Controlled = Assumed percentage of household heating consumption that is controlled by the thermostat

- = If single zone, assume 100%
- = If single zone thermostat in multi zone home, assume 1 / # zones
- = If multi zone thermostat, assume 100%
- = If unknown, assume 93%⁵⁹⁶

Heating_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in total household heating energy consumption due to advanced thermostat including accounting for Thermostat Optimization services⁵⁹⁷

⁵⁹² Average (default) value of 6% electric ducted heat pump space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa (note advanced thermostats are unlikely to be applied to resistance heating or ductless heat pumps). Note Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results indicate 17% electric, though the proportion of that that could be controlled (i.e. not resistance) is not clear. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

⁵⁹³ Based on Cadmus modeling performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment.

⁵⁹⁴ Assumes 65% Air Source Heat Pump consumption value, 35% Forced Air Electric Furnace (data provided by Cedar Falls Utility program) and 80% Single Family and 20% Multi Family (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa), see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

⁵⁹⁵ If the home has a Heat Pump, a programmable thermostat specifically designed for heat pumps should be used to minimize the use of backup electric resistance heat systems.

⁵⁹⁶ RECS Table HC6.9 Space Heating in U.S. Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009, indicates that 14% of homes have two or more thermostats in the region. If it is unknown the total heat consumption per thermostat is reduced by 7%, assuming that the 14% are controlling 50% of the home's total consumption.

⁵⁹⁷ Based on 2020 Guidehouse consumption data analysis in Illinois.

= If programs are evaluated during program deployment then custom savings assumptions should be applied. Otherwise use:

Existing Thermostat Type	Heating Reduction ⁵⁹⁸
Manual	10.4%
Programmable	7.3%
Unknown (Blended)	8.5%

HF = Household factor, to adjust heating consumption for non-single-family households.

Household Type	HF
Single-Family	100%
Multifamily	65% ⁵⁹⁹
Actual	Custom ⁶⁰⁰

Eff_ISR = Effective In-Service Rate, the percentage of thermostats installed and configured effectively for 2-way communication

= If programs are evaluated during program deployment then custom ISR assumptions should be applied. If in service rate is captured within the savings percentage, ISR should be 100%. If using default savings:

Program Delivery	Eff_ISR
Direct Install	100%
Other	90% ⁶⁰¹

ΔTherms = Therm savings if Natural Gas heating system
= See calculation in Natural Gas section below

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
= 3.14%⁶⁰²

29.3 = kWh per therm

⁵⁹⁸ These values represent adjusted baseline savings values for different existing thermostats as presented in Navigant’s IL TRM Workpaper on Impact Analysis from Preliminary Gas savings findings (page 28). These values are adjusted upwards in v6 to account for inclusion of Thermostat Optimization savings in an estimated 45% of future participants (based on reported share of Nest and ecobee Illinois participants and 2020 rates of Thermostat Optimization). The basis for the Thermostat Optimization savings is Navigant “ComEd CY2018 Seasonal Savings Heating Season Impact Evaluation Report”, March 2019. The unknown assumption is calculated by multiplying the savings for manual and programmable thermostats by their respective share of baseline, based upon results from the Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics 2017 Baseline Study.

⁵⁹⁹ Multifamily household heating consumption relative to single-family households is affected by overall household square footage and exposure to the exterior. This 65% reduction factor is applied to MF homes with electric resistance, based on professional judgment that average household size, and heat loads of MF households are smaller than single-family homes

⁶⁰⁰ Program-specific household factors may be utilized on the basis of sufficiently validated program evaluations.

⁶⁰¹ The 2020 Guidehouse evaluation in Illinois indicated that 6.75% of participants installed the advanced thermostat out of state. An additional reduction is applied to account for purchases that are never installed. Based on the available data this is estimated as an additional 3.25%.

⁶⁰² F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBTU/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See “Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx” for reference.

%AC = Fraction of customers with thermostat-controlled air-conditioning

Thermostat control of air conditioning?	%AC
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown	Actual population data, or 88% ⁶⁰³

EFLH_{cool} = Estimate of annual household full load cooling hours for air conditioning equipment based on location and home type. If location and cooling type are unknown, assume the weighted average.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown	484	811	445	650	449	764

Capacity_{cool} = Cooling Capacity of new equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)
 = Actual installed – If actual size unknown, assume 36,000

SEER_{base} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh)
 = 13⁶⁰⁴

1/1000 = kBtu per Btu

Cooling_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in total household cooling energy consumption due to installation of advanced thermostat including accounting for Thermostat Optimization:
 = If programs are evaluated during program deployment then custom savings assumptions should be applied. Otherwise use:
 = 8.4%⁶⁰⁵

⁶⁰³ 88% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

⁶⁰⁴ Based on Minimum Federal Standard;

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/residential_cac_hp.html.

⁶⁰⁵ Note: This factor represents estimated savings as a percentage of cooling consumption. When reviewing against factors from other evaluations, it is important to understand whether savings percentages are applied against cooling, cooling and heating fan or total annual household kWh. 8.4% is consistent with the Illinois TRM assumption and incorporates a statewide advanced thermostat evaluation utilizing participant AMI data. Further evaluation and regular review of this key assumption is encouraged.

For example, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly installed in a single zone air source heat pump heated, single-family home in Mason City with advanced thermostat-controlled air conditioning of a system of unknown size and seasonal efficiency rating:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= \Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} + \Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} \\ &= ((1 * 14,778 * 1 * 0.073 * 1 * 0.9) + (0 * 0.0314 * 29.3)) + (1 * ((468 * 36,000 * (1/13))/1000) \\ &\quad * 1 * 0.084 * 0.9) \\ &= 971 + 98 \\ &= 1069 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \%AC * (\%Controlled * Cooling_DemandReduction * Capacity_{cool} * (1/EER))/1000 * Eff_ISR * CF$$

Where:

Cooling_DemandReduction = Assumed average percentage reduction in total household cooling demand due to installation of advanced thermostat including accounting for Thermostat Optimization services
 = 16.4%⁶⁰⁶

EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)
 = Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available convert using the equation:⁶⁰⁷

$$EER = (-0.02 * SEER_{exist}^2) + (1.12 * SEER_{exist})$$

If SEER or EER rating unavailable use:

Cooling System	EER ⁶⁰⁸
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling
 = 34%⁶⁰⁹

For example, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly installed in a single zoned air source heat pump heated, single-family home in Mason City with advanced thermostat-controlled air conditioning of a system of unknown size and seasonal efficiency rating:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= (1 * 1 * 0.164 * 36,000 * (1/8.15))/1000 * 0.9 * 0.34 \\ &= 0.2217 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

⁶⁰⁶ The current Cooling_DemandReduction assumption is consistent with the Illinois TRM and incorporates a statewide advanced thermostat evaluation utilizing participant AMI data.

⁶⁰⁷ From Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master’s Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

⁶⁰⁸ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, Illinois PY3-PY4 program data.

⁶⁰⁹ In the absence of conclusive results from empirical studies on peak savings, we recommend a temporary assumption of 50% of the cooling coincidence factor acknowledging that while the savings from the advanced Thermostat will track with the cooling load, the impact during peak periods may be lower. This is an assumption that could use future evaluation to improve these estimates.

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \%FossilHeat * Gas_Heating_Consumption * \%Controlled * Heating_Reduction * HF * Eff_ISR$$

Where:

%FossilHeat

= Percentage of heating savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Heating fuel	%FossilHeat
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	94% ⁶¹⁰

Gas_Heating_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for gas heated single-family homes. If location is unknown, assume 562 therms.⁶¹¹

Heating System	Building Type	Gas_Heating_Consumption (Therms) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
		Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/unknown
Heat Central Furnace	Manufactured	467	664	525
	Multifamily	310	440	348
	Single-family	537	763	603
Heat Central Boiler	Manufactured	598	850	672
	Multifamily	481	684	541
	Single-family	665	945	747

Other variables as provided above

For example, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly-installed in a single zoned gas heated furnace single-family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= 1.0 * 603 * 1 * 0.073 * 1 * 0.9 \\ &= 39.6 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

⁶¹⁰ Average (default) value of 94% gas space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

⁶¹¹ Assumption that 93.4% of gas heated homes have furnaces and 6.6% have boilers, based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. Assume 80% Single Family and 20% Multifamily, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁶¹²
= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly-installed in a single zoned gas heated furnace single-family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Peak Therms} &= 39.6 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.6544 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ADTH-V06-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

⁶¹² Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.4.19 Duct Insulation

DESCRIPTION

Energy and demand saving are realized through reductions in the home cooling and heating loads by insulating ductwork in unconditioned areas (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages) and semi-conditioned (defined as a space within the thermal envelope that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated e.g. unfinished basement⁶¹³). If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is ductwork in unconditioned or semi-conditioned areas that has been insulated.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is the existing uninsulated or poorly insulated ductwork in unconditioned or semi-conditioned areas.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.⁶¹⁴

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual duct insulation measure cost should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

Savings should only be claimed for ductwork that exists on the exterior of the home or in uninsulated spaces.

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electric energy savings is calculated as the sum of energy saved when cooling the home and energy saved when heating the building

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

If central cooling, the electric energy saved in annual cooling due to the added insulation is

⁶¹³ Definition matches Regain factor discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012

⁶¹⁴ Consistent with duct insulation measure life specified in the MidAmerican Energy Company Joint Assessment, February 2013.

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}}\right) * Area * TRF_{cool} * EFLH_{cooling} * \Delta T_{AVG,cooling}}{(1,000 * \eta_{cooling})}$$

Where:

- $R_{existing}$ = Duct heat loss coefficient of existing duct [(hr-°F-ft²)/Btu]
= Estimate of actual with minimum of 1.0 for uninsulated duct⁶¹⁵
- R_{new} = Duct heat loss coefficient with new insulation [(hr-°F-ft²)/Btu]
= Actual
- Area = Area of the duct surface exposed to the unconditioned space that has been insulated [ft²]. (e.g., for circular duct – Calculate the circumference of the duct (= π * diameter) multiplied by length (ft))
- TRF_{cool} = Thermal Regain Factor for cooling by space type. This accounts for the fact that not all cool air in semi-conditioned spaces is lost to the atmosphere and will provide useful conditioning⁶¹⁶.
= 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces
= 0.4 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces⁶¹⁷
- $EFLH_{cooling}$ = Equivalent Full Load Cooling Hours
= Dependent on location:⁶¹⁸

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{cooling}$		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/Unknown	811	650	764

- $\Delta T_{AVG,cooling}$ = Average temperature difference [°F] during cooling season between outdoor air temperature and assumed 60°F duct supply air temperature⁶¹⁹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$OA_{AVG,cooling}$ [°F] ⁶²⁰	$\Delta T_{AVG,cooling}$ [°F]
Zone 5 (Burlington)	80.4	20.4

⁶¹⁵ Based upon findings in ACEEE study of internal film resistance: L. Palmiter and E Kruse, Ecotope Inc, “True R-Values of Round Residential Ductwork.”

⁶¹⁶ Definition of unconditioned areas is a space outside the thermal envelope (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages) and semi-conditioned (defined as a space within the thermal envelope that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated e.g. unfinished basement).

⁶¹⁷ Thermal regain (i.e. the potential for conditioned air escaping from ducts not being lost to the atmosphere) for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

⁶¹⁸ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁶¹⁹ Leaving coil air temperatures are typically about 55°F. 60°F is used as an average temperature, recognizing that some heat transfer occurs between the ductwork and the environment it passes through.

⁶²⁰ National Solar Radiation Data Base -- 1991- 2005 Update: Typical Meteorological Year 3

http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/old_data/nsrdb/1991-2005/tmy3/by_state_and_city.html . Heating Season defined as September 17th through April 13th, cooling season defined as May 20 through August 15th. For cooling season, temperatures from 8AM to 8PM were used to establish average temperatures as this is when cooling systems are expected to be loaded.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	OA _{AVG,cooling} [°F] ⁶²⁰	ΔT _{AVG,cooling} [°F]
Zone 6 (Mason City)	78.6	18.6
Average/Unknown	75.2	15.2

- 1,000 = Conversion from Btu to kBtu
- η_{cooling} = Seasonal energy efficiency ratio (SEER) of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)
= Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁶²¹ If not available, use:⁶²²

Equipment Type	Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate of Sealed Duct	SEER Estimate of Unsealed Duct (SEER*0.85)
Central AC	Before 2006	10	8.5
	After 2006	13	11.05
Heat Pump	Before 2006	10	8.5
	2006-2014	13	11.05
	2015 on	14	11.9

For example, a single family house in Burlington with Central Air SEER = 13 and 10 ft. of uninsulated standard 6-inch round sealed duct in an unconditioned space.

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = ((1/1.0 - 1/(1.0 + 6)) * (\pi * 0.5 * 10) * 1 * 918 * 20.4) / (1000 * 13)$$

$$= 19.4 \text{ kWh}$$

If the home is heated with electric heat (resistance or heat pump), the electric energy saved in annual heating due to the added insulation is:

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}}\right) * Area * TRF_{Heat} * EFLH_{heating} * \Delta T_{AVG,heating}}{(3,412 * \eta_{heating})}$$

Where:

- TRF_{Heat} = Thermal Regain Factor for heating by space type. This accounts for the fact that not all heat loss in semi-conditioned spaces is lost to the atmosphere and will provide useful conditioning⁶²³.
= 0.40 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces
= 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces⁶²⁴

⁶²¹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁶²² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

⁶²³ Definition of unconditioned areas is a space outside the thermal envelope (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages) and semi-conditioned (defined as a space within the thermal envelope that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated e.g. unfinished basement).

⁶²⁴ Thermal regain (i.e. the potential for conditioned air escaping from ducts not being lost to the atmosphere) for residential

$EFLH_{heating}$ = Equivalent Full Load Heating Hours for ASHP
 = Dependent on location:⁶²⁵

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{heating}$		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2022	1643	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2874	2335	3037
Average/Unknown	2272	1846	2401

$\Delta T_{AVG,heating}$ = Average temperature difference [°F] during heating season between outdoor air temperature and assumed 115°F duct supply temperature⁶²⁶

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$OA_{AVG,heating}$ [°F] ⁶²⁷	$\Delta T_{AVG,heating}$ [°F]
Zone 5 (Burlington)	39.6	75.4
Zone 6 (Mason City)	35.9	79.1
Average/Unknown	30.1	84.9

3,142 = Conversion from Btu to kWh.

$\eta_{heating}$ = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁶²⁸ If not available, use:⁶²⁹

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) of Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) of Unsealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.99	1.69
	2006 – 2014	7.7	2.26	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.40	2.04

pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

⁶²⁵ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁶²⁶ Forced air supply temperatures are typically 130°F. 115°F is used as an average temperature, recognizing that some heat transfer occurs between the ductwork and the environment it passes through.

⁶²⁷ National Solar Radiation Data Base -- 1991- 2005 Update: Typical Meteorological Year 3

http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/old_data/nsrdb/1991-2005/tmy3/by_state_and_city.html. Heating Season defined as September 17th through April 13th, cooling season defined as May 20 through August 15th. For cooling season, temperatures from 8AM to 8PM were used to establish average temperatures as this is when cooling systems are expected to be loaded.

⁶²⁸ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁶²⁹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

For example, a single family house in Burlington with a Heat Pump COP = 1.92 and 10 ft. of uninsulated standard 6-inch round sealed duct in an unconditioned space.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} &= ((1/1.0 - 1/(1.0 + 6)) * (\pi * 0.5 * 10) * 1 * 2022 * 75.4) / (3412 * 2.0) \\ &= 300.8 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

If the home is heated with a gas furnace, there will be some electric savings in heating the building attributed to extra insulation since the furnace fans will run less.

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} = \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Gas savings calculated with equation below.
- F_e = Percentage of heating energy consumed by fans, assume 3.14%⁶³⁰
- 29.3 = Conversion from therms to kWh

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}}}{EFLH_{\text{cooling}}} * CF$$

Where:

- $EFLH_{\text{cooling}}$ = Equivalent Full Load Cooling Hours
- = Dependent on location:⁶³¹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{\text{cooling}}$		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/Unknown	811	650	764

- CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling
- = 68% if central AC, 72% if ducted ASHP⁶³²

For example, using the above for a single family house in Burlington with Central Air SEER = 13 and 10 ft. of uninsulated standard 6-inch round sealed duct in an unconditioned space.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 19.4 / 918 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0144 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If homes with a gas heating system, the savings resulting from the insulation is calculated with the following formula.

⁶³⁰ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14% for residential units. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference. Assumed to be consistent with C&I applications.

⁶³¹ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁶³² Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{\text{existing}}} - \frac{1}{R_{\text{new}}}\right) * \text{Area} * TRF_{\text{Heat}} * EFLH_{\text{gasheat}} * \Delta T_{\text{AVG,heating}}}{(100,000 * \eta_{\text{heat}})}$$

Where:

- R_{existing} = Duct heat loss coefficient with existing insulation [(hr-°F-ft²)/Btu]
- R_{new} = Duct heat loss coefficient with new insulation [(hr-°F-ft²)/Btu]
- Area = Area of the duct surface exposed to the unconditioned space that has been insulated [ft²].
- $EFLH_{\text{gasheat}}$ = Equivalent Full load heating hours for Furnaces (see above)
= Dependent on location:⁶³³

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	915	1054	638	896	777	1079
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1302	1496	906	1272	1106	1533
Average/ unknown	1028	1183	718	1005	874	1212

- $\Delta T_{\text{AVG,heating}}$ = Average temperature difference [°F] during heating season (see above)
- 100,000 = Conversion from BTUs to Therms
- η_{heat} = Efficiency of gas heating system
= Actual⁶³⁴. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁶³⁵ If not available, use 87% for sealed ducts⁶³⁶ or 74% for unsealed ducts⁶³⁷

⁶³³ To calculate the EFLH for an average home as opposed to one with a high efficiency that has been installed using HVAC SAVE, the EFLH developed by TetraTech (see Furnace measure for reference) are adjusted to account for a lower AFUE (85% v 95%) and to derate the AFUE by the factor used for a non-QI furnace. See 'Adjusting EFLH for 'average' home'.xls for more information.

⁶³⁴ The Equipment Efficiency can be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. If there is more than one heating system, the weighted (by consumption) average efficiency should be used. If the heating system or distribution is being upgraded within a package of measures together with the insulation upgrade, the new average heating system efficiency should be used.

⁶³⁵ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁶³⁶ In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the state. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: (0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8) = 0.872.

⁶³⁷ An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for furnaces.

For example, a single family house in Burlington with a gas heating system COP = 0.87 and 10 ft. of uninsulated standard 6-inch round sealed ducts in an unconditioned space.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= ((1/1.0 - 1/(1.0 + 6)) * (\pi * 0.5 * 10) * 1 * 1054 * 75.4) / (100,000 * 0.87) \\ &= 12.3 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above
- GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁶³⁸
= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, using the above, a single family house in Burlington with a gas heating system COP = 0.87 and 10 ft. of uninsulated standard 6-inch round sealed ducts in an unconditioned space.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 12.3 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.203 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-DUCT-V05-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

⁶³⁸ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.4.20 Advanced Thermostat Optimization Services (Removed 2021)

This measure was removed in 2021. Optimization services are now offered for all customers by the main advanced thermostat manufacturers and the added benefit from these services has been added to the 2.4.18 Advanced Thermostat measure.

2.4.21 Gas-Fired Heat Pump

DESCRIPTION

A gas-fired heat pump (also commonly referred to a gas heat pump or GHP) is a type of heat pump whose primary input drive energy is natural gas, rather than an electrically-driven compressor. Gas heat pumps can typically be direct replacements or substitutes for conventional space heating boilers. Additionally, some are capable of providing cooling and/or domestic water heating. This characterization is limited to estimating the impacts associated with space heating loads only and does not apply to scenarios where a gas-fired heat pump is used to meeting cooling and/or DHW loads. A custom analysis should be used in such a case.

This measure characterizes:

- c) Time of Sale:
 - ii. The installation of a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h), gas-fired heat pump in a residential location. This could relate to the replacement of an existing conventional boiler at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- d) Early Replacement:
 - iii. The early removal of an existing functional boiler from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) gas-fired heat pump. Savings are calculated between existing unit and new unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and new unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
 - iv. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and AFUE \leq 75%. “Functioning” is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: AFUE \leq 75% and cost of any repairs <\$811.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed gas-fired heat pump must be a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) unit that meets or exceeds the efficiency requirements determined by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of sale: The baseline equipment for this measure is a new residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h), gas-fired, standard-efficiency water boiler. The current Federal Standard minimum AFUE rating is 84%.⁶³⁹

Early replacement: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.⁶⁴⁰

Early replacement: Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 8 years.⁶⁴¹

⁶³⁹ Code of Federal Regulations for gas-fired hot water boilers manufactured on or after January 15, 2021 (10 CFR 432(e)(3))

⁶⁴⁰ Consistent with assumption for a conventional space heat boiler.

⁶⁴¹ Assumed to be one third of effective useful life.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental install cost for this measure is:⁶⁴²

$$\$0.115 * \text{Capacity}_{\text{out}}$$

Where:

$$\text{Capacity}_{\text{out}} = \text{Nominal heating output capacity (Btu/hr)}$$

Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Early Replacement/Retrofit: The full and actual invoiced installation cost should be used. The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be \$4,053. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities’ discount rate.⁶⁴³

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Gas-fired heat pumps consume electricity during their operation and therefore result in increased site electric load.

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{-Power * EFLH}{1000}$$

Where:

- Power = Nominal maximum electrical power requirement for the gas-fired heat pump, W
= Actual. If unknown, assume 0.0052 W per Btu/hr heating input capacity⁶⁴⁴
- EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating
= Dependent on location:⁶⁴⁵

⁶⁴² Based on a first cost estimates from GTI, which lists gas heat pumps as \$100-\$180/MBH output and conventional boilers as \$15-35/MBH. The difference of the range averages (\$140 - \$25) is used to establish the incremental costs based on MBH output.

⁶⁴³ Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

⁶⁴⁴ Based on average of power requirements for Robur K18 (0.004341794 W/Btu/hr) and GAHP-A (0.005960768 W/Btu/hr)

⁶⁴⁵ Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the unit’s capacity. See “Res Furnace EFLH Findings_30April2018.ppt” for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single-family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Time of Sale:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left(\frac{(AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{Eff}))}{(AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{Base}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Early replacement:⁶⁴⁶

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$= \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left(\frac{(AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{Eff}))}{(AFUE_{exist} * (1 - Derating_{Base}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$= \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left(\frac{(AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{Eff}))}{(AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{Base}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Where:

- EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating
- = Dependent on location:⁶⁴⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284

⁶⁴⁶ The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

⁶⁴⁷ Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the unit’s capacity. See “Res Furnace EFLH Findings_30April2018.ppt” for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single-family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCD).

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

- Capacity = Nominal heating input capacity (Btu/hr) for gas-fired heat pump
= Actual
- AFUE_{exist} = Existing boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating
= Use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁶⁴⁸ If unknown, assume 61.6 AFUE%⁶⁴⁹
- AFUE_{base} = Baseline boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating
= 84%
- AFUE_{eff} = Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating of the gas-fired heat pump
= Actual. If unknown, 130% can be assumed⁶⁵⁰
- Derating_{Eff} = Derating of AFUE to account for units not operating in field at rated efficiency
= 5.9%⁶⁵¹
- Derating_{Base} = Derating of AFUE to account for units not operating in field at rated efficiency
= 3.3%⁶⁵²

⁶⁴⁸ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁶⁴⁹ Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

⁶⁵⁰ Based on findings presented Brio and GTI’s Gas Heat Pump Roadmap Industry White Paper, November 2019.

⁶⁵¹ Based on findings from Massachusetts study; Cadmus “High Efficiency Heating Equipment Impact Evaluation,” March 2015.

⁶⁵² Ibid.

Time of Sale:

For example, for a 100,000 Btuh 133% AFUE gas-fired heat pump purchased and installed for existing home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= - 0.0052 * 100000 * 991 / 1000 \\ &= -515.32 kWh \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= (991 * 100000 * ((1.33 * (1-0.059))/(0.84 * (1-0.033)) - 1))/100000 \\ &= 535.9 Therms \end{aligned}$$

Early Replacement:

For example, for an existing functioning boiler with unknown efficiency that is replaced with a 100,000 Btuh, 133% AFUE gas-fired heat pump purchased and installed in unknown location:

Δ Therms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$\begin{aligned} &= (991 * 100000 * ((1.33 * (1-0.059))/(0.616 * (1-0.033)) - 1))/100000 \\ &= 1091.1 Therms \end{aligned}$$

Δ Therms for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$\begin{aligned} &= (991 * 100000 * ((1.33 * (1-0.059))/(0.84 * (1-0.033)) - 1))/100000 \\ &= 535.9 Therms \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

Δ Therms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for heating⁶⁵³

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

Time of Sale:

For example, for a 100,000 Btuh 133% AFUE gas-fired heat pump purchased and installed for existing home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= 535.9 * 0.014378 \\ &= 7.7052 Therms \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GFHP-V02-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

⁶⁵³ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.5 Lighting

2.5.1 Compact Fluorescent Lamp – Standard (Removed 2021)

This measure was archived due to no utility currently offering the measure and an out of date savings characterization. Please refer to Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual Version 1.0 Volume 3: Nonresidential Measures; Final: August 1, 2016; Effective January 1, 2017 in which the measure was last active.

2.5.2 Compact Fluorescent Lamp – Specialty (Removed 2021)

This measure was archived due to no utility currently offering the measure and an out of date savings characterization. Please refer to Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual Version 1.0 Volume 3: Nonresidential Measures; Final: August 1, 2016; Effective January 1, 2017 in which the measure was last active.

2.5.3 LED Lamp – Standard

DESCRIPTION

This characterization provides savings assumptions for LED Screw Based Omnidirectional (e.g., A-Type) lamps. This characterization provides assumptions for LEDs installed in a known location (i.e., residential and in-unit interior or exterior) or, if the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g., an upstream retail program or efficiency kit), an unknown residential location assumption is provided. For upstream programs, utilities should develop an assumption of the Residential v Nonresidential split and apply the relevant assumptions to each portion.

Federal legislation stemming from the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) requires all general-purpose light bulbs between 40W and 100W to be approximately 30% more energy efficient than standard incandescent bulbs. Production of 100W, standard efficacy incandescent lamps ended in 2012, followed by restrictions on 75W lamps in 2013 and 60W and 40W lamps in 2014. The baseline for this measure has therefore become bulbs (improved incandescent or halogen) that meet the new standard. Furthermore, the Technical Advisory Committee approved assuming a blended baseline condition of EISA qualified incandescent/halogen, CFL and LED lamps. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

In December 2019, DOE issued a final determination for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSILs), finding that the more stringent standards (45 lumen per watt) prescribed in the 2007 EISA regulation to become effective in 2020 (known as the ‘Backstop’ provision), were not economically justified. However, in April 2022 DOE reversed this decision by issuing a Final rule for both the broadened General Service Lamp definition as well as the implementation of the 45 lumen per watt backstop. DOE stated that it will use its enforcement discretion to minimize impacts on the supply chain and effectively allow companies to continue the manufacture and import of noncompliant bulbs through the remainder of 2022, and allow retailers to continue selling them with limited enforcement until July 2023. Since only CFL and LEDs are able to meet this provision, and CFLs are now such a small part of the market – when enacted this effectively means the LED becomes baseline.

The v7 TRM continues to take the approach of using a market based baseline for the measure, however programs should end support of large scale retail or kit programs by July 2023. Direct Install where we can be sure the LED is replacing inefficient lighting and low income programs may want to ramp down more slowly. The lifetime of any measure however is reduced to represent the replacement of two incandescent/halogen bulbs.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, new lamps must be ENERGY STAR labeled based upon the v2.1 ENERGY STAR specification for lamps (https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification_1.pdf) or CEE Tier 2⁶⁵⁴ qualified. Specifications are as follows:

Efficiency Level	Lumens / watt	
	CRI<90	CRI≥90
ENERGY STAR v2.1	80	70
CEE Tier 2 ⁶⁵⁴	95	80

Qualification could also be based on the Design Light Consortium’s qualified product list.⁶⁵⁵

⁶⁵⁴ Also required to have rated life of 25,000 hours and dimming capability.

⁶⁵⁵ <https://www.designlights.org/QPL>

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition for v7 of this measure is assumed to be a blend of 31% EISA qualified halogen or incandescent and 1% CFL and 68% baseline LED.⁶⁵⁶ For Direct Install programs use the actual wattage being replaced.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The rated life of omnidirectional LED lamps is assumed to be 20,230.⁶⁵⁷ However, since only LED (or CFL) bulbs will be able to be purchased from July 2023, it is assumed that the LED will prevent use of two incandescent/halogen baseline bulbs, assuming that purchasers would have some bulbs in storage. Measure life for all measures in 2023 should therefore be two years.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Wherever possible, actual incremental costs should be used. If unavailable, assume the following incremental costs:⁶⁵⁸

Lamp Type	CRI	Product Type	Cost	Incremental Cost
Standard A-lamp	<90	Baseline	\$2.60	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$3.16	\$0.56
		CEE T2 LED	\$3.29	\$0.69
	>=90	Baseline	\$2.95	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$3.67	\$0.72
		CEE T2 LED	\$3.75	\$0.80

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE09 – Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape RE10 – Residential Outdoor Lighting

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

Where:

Watts_{Base} = Based on lumens of LED bulb installed and includes blend of incandescent/halogen,⁶⁵⁹ CFL and LED by weightings provided in table below.⁶⁶⁰ Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this blended baseline should be replaced with the Incandescent/Halogen baseline only.

⁶⁵⁶ Based on review of CREED LightTracker data from Illinois and DOE, 2019 ‘Energy Savings Forecast of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications’. See ‘Lighting Forecast Workbook_2022.xls’.

⁶⁵⁷ Average rated life of omnidirectional bulbs on the ENERGY STAR qualified products list as of June 5, 2018.

⁶⁵⁸ Lamp costs are based upon WECC review of bulbs purchased through the Alliant program January – April 2017. The baseline cost reflects the baseline mix. See “2022 LED Measure Cost and O&M Calc.xls” for more information.

⁶⁵⁹ Incandescent/Halogen wattage is based upon the post first phase of EISA wattage.

⁶⁶⁰ Weightings based upon review of CREED LightTracker data for Illinois and DOE, 2019 ‘Energy Savings Forecast of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications’. See ‘Lighting Forecast Workbook_2022.xls’.

Note for Direct Install – use the actual wattage of the lamp being replaced.

Watts_{EE} = Actual wattage of LED purchased / installed – If unknown, use default provided below.⁶⁶¹

Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/ Halogen	CFL ⁶⁶²	LED ⁶⁶³	Watts _{Base}	WattsEff ESTAR		WattsEff CEE T2		DeltaWatts ESTAR		DeltaWatts CEE T2	
		31%	1%	68%		CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90*	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90
250	309	25	4.7	3.7	10.3	3.5	4.0	2.9	3.5	6.8	6.3	7.4	6.8
310	749	29	8.8	7.1	13.9	6.6	7.6	5.6	6.6	7.3	6.3	8.3	7.3
750	1049	43	15.0	12.0	21.6	11.2	12.9	9.5	11.2	10.4	8.8	12.2	10.4
1050	1489	53	21.2	16.9	28.1	15.9	18.1	13.4	15.9	12.3	10.0	14.8	12.3
1490	2600	72	34.1	27.3	41.2	25.6	29.2	21.5	25.6	15.6	12.0	19.7	15.6
2601	3300	150	49.2	39.3	73.7	36.9	42.2	31.1	36.9	36.8	31.5	42.6	36.8
3301	3999	200	60.8	48.7	95.6	45.6	52.1	38.4	45.6	50.0	43.5	57.2	50.0
4000	6000	300	83.3	66.7	139.1	62.5	71.4	52.6	62.5	76.6	67.6	86.4	76.6
Weighted Average, if unknown ⁶⁶⁴					23.2	12.4				10.8			

*If lumen range is known but Efficiency rating or CRI is unknown assume ESTAR and CRI<90.

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service

Program	Discounted In Service Rate (ISR) ⁶⁶⁵	
Retail (Time of Sale) ⁶⁶⁶	90%	
Direct Install ⁶⁶⁷	97%	
Efficiency Kits	Schools ⁶⁶⁸	60%
	Residential ⁶⁶⁹	87%

Hours = Average hours of use per year

⁶⁶¹ Watts_{EE} are calculated using the midpoint of the lumen range and an efficacy of 80 lumens/watt for ESTAR CRI <90, 70 lumens/watt for ESTAR CRI >90, 95 lumens/watt for CEE Tier 2 CRI <90, 80 lumens/watt for CEE Tier 2 CRI >90,

⁶⁶² Baseline CFL watts are calculated using the midpoint of the lumen range and an assumed efficacy of 60 lumens/watt.

⁶⁶³ Baseline LED watts are calculated using the midpoint of the lumen range and an assumed efficacy of 75 lumens/watt.

⁶⁶⁴ Weighted average is based on 2018 and 2019 data provided by MidAmerican and Alliant. Assumes ENERGY STAR CRI <90 for the efficient wattage.

⁶⁶⁵ All Programs except for Direct Install assume that some lamps are not installed in the first year but are later installed in years 2 and 3. To ease implementation, these future installs are discounted using the statewide real discount rate (7.71%). The second and third year installations rates are from NREL, "Chapter 6: Residential Lighting Evaluation Protocol of the Uniform Methods Project," October 2017. See "Res Lighting ISR calculation_2019.xlsx" for more information.

⁶⁶⁶ 1st year in service rate is a 2-year weighted average of ComEd PY7, PY8 and PY9 intercept data.'

⁶⁶⁷ Based upon review of the Illinois PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys. <http://www.ilsag.info/evaluation-documents.html>

⁶⁶⁸ In Service Rates provided are for the bulb within a kit only. Kits provided free to students through school, with education program. 1st year ISR for school kits based on ComEd PY9 data for the Elementary Energy Education program.

⁶⁶⁹ Based on MidAmerican Energy Company & TetraTech "Residential Assessment Impact and Process Evaluation FINAL". December 22, 2020, APPENDIX B: IN-SERVICE RATES ANALYSIS, p. 47.

Installation Location	Hours
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	1,088 ⁶⁷⁰
Exterior	2,475 ⁶⁷¹
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	1,157 ⁶⁷²

W_{HFHeat} = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

$$= 1 - ((HF / \eta_{Heat}) * \%ElecHeat)$$

If unknown assume 0.93⁶⁷³

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated
 = 53% for interior or unknown location⁶⁷⁴
 = 0% for exterior or unheated location

$\eta_{HeatElectric}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment
 = Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:⁶⁷⁵

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 ⁶⁷⁶

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% ⁶⁷⁷

⁶⁷⁰ Based on recommended value for standard LED lamps (2.98) in interior locations from Opinion Dynamics “Illinois Statewide Residential LED Hours of Use Study Additional Results,” April 17, 2018.

⁶⁷¹ Based on secondary research conducted as part of the Illinois PY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

⁶⁷² Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on PYPY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

⁶⁷³ Calculated using defaults; $1 - ((0.53/1.27) * 0.17) = 0.93$.

⁶⁷⁴ This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

⁶⁷⁵ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

⁶⁷⁶ Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see “HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls”. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

⁶⁷⁷ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

W_{HFeCool} = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	W _{HFeCool}
Building with cooling	1.12 ⁶⁷⁸
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.11 ⁶⁷⁹

For example, a 11W LED lamp, 900 lumens, CRI 85, is installed through Direct Install replacing a 40W halogen:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((40 - 11) / 1000) * 0.97 * 1,157 * (0.93 + (1.11 - 1)) \\ &= 33.8 kWh \end{aligned}$$

This value should be claimed for two years.

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

W_{HFdCool} = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	W _{HFdCool}
Building with cooling	1.22 ⁶⁸⁰
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream and Efficiency Kits)	1.19 ⁶⁸¹

CF = Summer peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Bulb Location	CF
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily ⁶⁸²	13.1%
Exterior ⁶⁸³	1.8%
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits) ⁶⁸⁴	12.5%

⁶⁷⁸ The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as 1 + (0.34 / 2.8)). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm (-0.02 * SEER²) + (1.12 * SEER) (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master’s Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

⁶⁷⁹ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as 1 + (0.88*(0.34 / 2.8)). Based on assumption that 88% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

⁶⁸⁰ The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to W_{HFe} for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

⁶⁸¹ The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as 1 + (0.88 * 0.61 / 2.8)).

⁶⁸² Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

⁶⁸³ Based on Itron eShapes lighting loadprofiles.

⁶⁸⁴ Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on PYPY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

Other factors as defined above.

For example, for a 11W LED lamp, 900 lumens, installed through Direct Install replacing a 40W halogen:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= ((40 - 11) / 1000) * 0.90 * 1.19 * 0.125 \\ &= 0.0039 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes:⁶⁸⁵

$$\Delta Therms = - \frac{\frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta_{Heat}} * \%GasHeat$$

Where:

- HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated
= 53% for interior or unknown location⁶⁸⁶
= 0% for exterior or unheated location
- 0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms
- $\eta_{Heat_{Gas}}$ = Efficiency of heating system
= 74%⁶⁸⁷
- %GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% ⁶⁸⁸

For example, for a 11W LED lamp, 900 lumens installed through Direct Install replacing a 40W halogen:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= - (((40 - 11) / 1000) * 0.90 * 1,157 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74 * 0.83 \\ &= - 0.61 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

⁶⁸⁵ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁶⁸⁶ This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

⁶⁸⁷ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

⁶⁸⁸ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results

Where:

Δ Therms = Therm impact calculated above
HeatDays = Heat season days per year
= 217⁶⁸⁹

For example, for a 11W LED lamp, installed through Direct Install replacing a 40W halogen:

Δ PeakTherms = - 0.61 /217
= -0.0028 therms

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDA-V08-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2024

⁶⁸⁹ Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

2.5.4 LED Lamp – Specialty

DESCRIPTION

This characterization provides savings assumptions for LED Directional, Decorative, and Globe lamps. This characterization provides assumptions for when the LED is installed in a known location (i.e., residential and in-unit interior or exterior) or, if the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g., an upstream retail program or efficiency kit), an unknown residential location assumption is provided. For upstream programs, utilities should develop an assumption of the Residential v Nonresidential split and apply the relevant assumptions to each portion.

The Technical Advisory Committee approved assuming a blended baseline condition of incandescent/halogen, CFL and LED lamps. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

A DOE Final Rule released on 1/19/2017 updated the definition of General Service Lamps (GSL) as provided in the 2009 Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA) such that the lamp types characterized in this measure would become subject to the backstop provision in EISA, which requires that after January 1, 2020, all lamps meet efficiency criteria of at least 45 lumens per watt. On 9/5/2019 DOE repealed the 2017 Final rule, preventing this expansion of the definition of General Service Lamp to include these lamps. However, in April 2022 DOE reversed this decision by issuing a Final rule for both the broadened General Service Lamp definition as well as the implementation of the 45 lumen per watt backstop. DOE stated that it will use its enforcement discretion to minimize impacts on the supply chain and effectively allow companies to continue the manufacture and import of noncompliant bulbs through the remainder of 2022, and allow retailers to continue selling them with limited enforcement until July 2023. Since only CFL and LEDs are able to meet this provision, and CFLs are now such a small part of the market – when enacted this effectively means the LED becomes baseline.

The v7 TRM continues to take the approach of using a market based baseline for the measure, however programs should end support of large scale retail or kit programs by July 2023. Direct Install where we can be sure the LED is replacing inefficient lighting and low income programs may want to ramp down more slowly. The lifetime of any measure however is reduced to represent the replacement of two incandescent/halogen bulbs.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, new lamps must be ENERGY STAR labeled based upon the v2.1 ENERGY STAR specification for lamps

(https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification_1.pdf) or CEE Tier 2 qualified. Specifications are as follows:

Efficiency Level	Lamp Type	Lumens / watt	
		CRI<90	CRI≥90
ENERGY STAR v2.1	Directional	70	61
	Decorative / Globe	65	65
CEE Tier 2 ⁶⁹⁰	Directional	85	70
	Decorative / Globe	95	80

Qualification could also be based on the Design Light Consortium’s qualified product list.⁶⁹¹

⁶⁹⁰ Also required to have dimming capability.

⁶⁹¹ <https://www.designlights.org/QPL>

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition for this measure is assumed to be a blend of 27% EISA qualified halogen or incandescent and 73% baseline LED for decorative and globe lamps, and 5% EISA qualified halogen or incandescent and 95% baseline LED for decorative and globe lamps.⁶⁹² Lamp types include those exempt of the EISA 2007 standard: three-way, plant light, daylight bulb, bug light, post light, globes G40 (≤40W equivalent (We)), candelabra base (≤60We), vibration service bulb, decorative candle with medium or intermediate base (≤40We), shatter resistant, and reflector bulbs, and standard bulbs greater than 2601 lumens, and those non-exempt from EISA 2007: dimmable, globes (less than 5” diameter and >40We), candle (shapes B, BA, CA >40We), candelabra base lamps (>60We), and intermediate base lamps (>40We).

For Direct Install programs use the actual wattage being replaced.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The rated life for directional bulbs is assumed to be 25,042 and for decorative bulbs, 17,129 hours.⁶⁹³ However, since only LED (or CFL) bulbs will be able to be purchased from July 2023, it is assumed that the LED will prevent use of two incandescent/halogen baseline bulbs, assuming that purchasers would have some bulbs in storage. Measure life for all measures in 2023 should therefore be two years.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Wherever possible, actual incremental costs should be used. If unavailable assume the following incremental costs:⁶⁹⁴

Bulb Type	CRI	Product Type	Cost	Incremental Cost
Directional	<90	Baseline	\$7.66	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$7.80	\$0.14
		CEE T2 LED	\$18.96	\$11.30
	≥90	Baseline	\$7.47	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$7.63	\$0.16
		CEE T2 LED	\$18.54	\$11.08
Decorative	<90	Baseline	\$6.28	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$7.50	\$1.21
		CEE T2 LED	\$7.83	\$1.55
	≥90	Baseline	\$7.16	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$8.69	\$1.54
		CEE T2 LED	\$9.08	\$1.92

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE09 – Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape RE10 – Residential Outdoor Lighting

⁶⁹² Based on review of CREED LightTracker data for Illinois and DOE, 2019 ‘Energy Savings Forecast of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications’. See ‘Lighting Forecast Workbook_2022.xls’.

⁶⁹³ Average rated life of directional and decorative bulbs on the ENERGY STAR qualified products list as of April, 2020.

⁶⁹⁴ Lamp costs are based upon WECC review of bulbs purchased through the Alliant program January – April 2017. The baseline cost reflects the baseline mix. See “2022 LED Measure Cost and O&M Calc.xls” for more information.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

Where:

Watts_{Base} = Based on lumens of LED bulb installed and includes blend of incandescent/halogen,⁶⁹⁵ CFL and LED by weightings provided in table below.⁶⁹⁶ Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this blended baseline should be replaced with the Incandescent/Halogen baseline only.

Note for Direct Install – use the actual wattage of the lamp being replaced.

Watts_{EE} = Actual wattage of LED purchased / installed. If unknown, use default provided below:⁶⁹⁷

⁶⁹⁵ Incandescent/Halogen wattage is based upon the ENERGY STAR specification for lamps (http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201_Specification.pdf) and the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 2012.

⁶⁹⁶ Weightings based on review of CREED LightTracker data and DOE, 2019 ‘Energy Savings Forecast of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications’. See ‘Lighting Forecast Workbook_2022.xls’.

⁶⁹⁷ Watts_{EE} defaults are based upon the ENERGY STAR minimum luminous efficacy (for the mid-point of the lumen range). See calculations in file “2017 Lighting Updates and Baseline Estimates.”

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.5.4 LED Lamp – Specialty

EISA exempt bulb types:

Bulb Type	Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Hal	Baseline LED	Watts _{Base}	WattsEff ESTAR		WattsEff CEE T2		DeltaWatts ESTAR		DeltaWatts CEE T2	
			27%	73%		CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90*	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90
3-Way ⁶⁹⁸	250	449	25	5.0	10.3	4.4	5.0	3.7	4.4	5.9	5.3	6.6	5.9
	450	799	40	8.9	17.2	7.8	8.9	6.6	7.8	9.4	8.2	10.6	9.4
	800	1,099	60	13.6	25.9	11.9	13.6	10.0	11.9	14.0	12.3	15.9	14.0
	1,100	1,599	75	19.3	34.1	16.9	19.3	14.2	16.9	17.2	14.8	19.8	17.2
	1,600	1,999	100	25.7	45.4	22.5	25.7	18.9	22.5	22.9	19.7	26.5	22.9
	2,000	2,549	125	32.5	57.0	28.4	32.5	23.9	28.4	28.6	24.5	33.1	28.6
	2,550	2,999	150	39.6	68.9	34.7	39.6	29.2	34.7	34.2	29.3	39.7	34.2
Globe (medium and intermediate base < 750 lumens)	90	179	10	2.4	4.4	2.1	2.1	1.4	1.7	2.4	2.4	3.0	2.8
	180	249	15	3.9	6.8	3.3	3.3	2.3	2.7	3.5	3.5	4.6	4.2
	250	349	25	5.4	10.6	4.6	4.6	3.2	3.7	6.0	6.0	7.5	6.9
	350	749	40	10.0	17.9	8.5	8.5	5.8	6.9	9.5	9.5	12.2	11.1
Decorative (Shapes B, BA, C, CA, DC, F, G, medium and intermediate bases less than 750 lumens)	70	89	10	1.4	3.7	1.2	1.2	0.8	1.0	2.5	2.5	2.9	2.7
	90	149	15	2.2	5.6	1.8	1.8	1.3	1.5	3.7	3.7	4.3	4.1
	150	299	25	4.1	9.6	3.5	3.5	2.4	2.8	6.2	6.2	7.3	6.8
	300	749	40	9.5	17.6	8.1	8.1	5.5	6.6	9.5	9.5	12.1	11.1
Globe (candelabra bases less than 1050 lumens)	90	179	10	2.4	4.4	2.1	2.1	1.4	1.7	2.4	2.4	3.0	2.8
	180	249	15	3.9	6.8	3.3	3.3	2.3	2.7	3.5	3.5	4.6	4.2
	250	349	25	5.4	10.6	4.6	4.6	3.2	3.7	6.0	6.0	7.5	6.9
	350	499	40	7.7	16.3	6.5	6.5	4.5	5.3	9.7	9.7	11.8	11.0
	500	1,049	60	14.1	26.3	11.9	11.9	8.2	9.7	14.3	14.3	18.1	16.6
Decorative (Shapes B, BA, C, CA, DC, F, G, candelabra bases less than 1050 lumens)	70	89	10	1.4	3.7	1.2	1.2	0.8	1.0	2.5	2.5	2.9	2.7
	90	149	15	2.2	5.6	1.8	1.8	1.3	1.5	3.7	3.7	4.3	4.1
	150	299	25	4.1	9.6	3.5	3.5	2.4	2.8	6.2	6.2	7.3	6.8
	300	499	40	7.3	15.9	6.1	6.1	4.2	5.0	9.8	9.8	11.7	11.0
	500	1,049	60	14.1	26.3	11.9	11.9	8.2	9.7	14.3	14.3	18.1	16.6
Weighted Average, if unknown ⁶⁹⁹					20.7	9.4				11.3			

⁶⁹⁸ For 3-way bulbs or fixtures, the product’s median lumens value will be used to determine both LED and baseline wattages.

⁶⁹⁹ Weighted average is based on 2018 and 2019 data provided by MidAmerican and Alliant. Assumes ENERGY STAR CRI<90 for the efficient wattage.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.5.4 LED Lamp – Specialty

*If lumen range is known but Efficiency rating or CRI is unknown assume ESTAR and CRI<90.

Directional Lamps – For Directional R, BR, and ER lamp types:⁷⁰⁰

Bulb Type	Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	Baseline LED	Watts _{Base}	WattsEff ESTAR		WattsEff CEE T2		DeltaWatts ESTAR		DeltaWatts CEE T2		
			5%	95%		CRI <90	CRI ≥90	CRI <90	CRI ≥90	CRI <90*	CRI ≥90	CRI <90	CRI ≥90	
Directional	R, ER, BR with medium screw bases w/ diameter >2.25" (*see exceptions below)	420	472	40	7.4	9.1	6.4	7.3	5.2	6.4	2.8	1.8	3.9	2.8
		473	524	45	8.3	10.2	7.1	8.2	5.9	7.1	3.1	2.1	4.4	3.1
		525	714	50	10.3	12.4	8.9	10.2	7.3	8.9	3.5	2.2	5.1	3.5
		715	937	65	13.8	16.4	11.8	13.5	9.7	11.8	4.6	2.9	6.7	4.6
		938	1,259	75	18.3	21.3	15.7	18.0	12.9	15.7	5.6	3.3	8.3	5.6
		1,260	1,399	90	22.2	25.7	19.0	21.8	15.6	19.0	6.7	3.9	10.1	6.7
		1,400	1,739	100	26.2	30.0	22.4	25.7	18.5	22.4	7.6	4.3	11.5	7.6
		1,740	2,174	120	32.6	37.2	28.0	32.1	23.0	28.0	9.2	5.1	14.2	9.2
		2,175	2,624	150	40.0	45.7	34.3	39.3	28.2	34.3	11.5	6.4	17.5	11.5
		2,625	2,999	175	46.9	53.6	40.2	46.1	33.1	40.2	13.4	7.5	20.5	13.4
	3,000	4,500	200	62.5	69.7	53.6	61.5	44.1	53.6	16.1	8.2	25.6	16.1	
	*R, BR, and ER with medium screw bases w/ diameter ≤2.25"	400	449	40	7.1	8.8	6.1	7.0	5.0	6.1	2.7	1.8	3.8	2.7
		450	499	45	7.9	9.8	6.8	7.8	5.6	6.8	3.1	2.1	4.3	3.1
		500	649	50	9.6	11.7	8.2	9.4	6.8	8.2	3.5	2.3	4.9	3.5
		650	1,199	65	15.4	18.0	13.2	15.2	10.9	13.2	4.8	2.8	7.1	4.8
	*ER30, BR30, BR40, or ER40	400	449	40	7.1	8.8	6.1	7.0	5.0	6.1	2.7	1.8	3.8	2.7
		450	499	45	7.9	9.8	6.8	7.8	5.6	6.8	3.1	2.1	4.3	3.1
		500	649	50	9.6	11.7	8.2	9.4	6.8	8.2	3.5	2.3	4.9	3.5
	*BR30, BR40, or ER40	650	1,419	65	17.2	19.7	14.8	17.0	12.2	14.8	5.0	2.8	7.6	5.0
	*R20	400	449	40	7.1	8.8	6.1	7.0	5.0	6.1	2.7	1.8	3.8	2.7
		450	719	45	9.7	11.6	8.4	9.6	6.9	8.4	3.2	2.0	4.7	3.2
	*All reflector	200	299	20	4.2	5.0	3.6	4.1	2.9	3.6	1.4	0.9	2.1	1.4

⁷⁰⁰ From pg. 13 of the Energy Star Specification for lamps v2.1.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.5.4 LED Lamp – Specialty

Bulb Type	Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	Baseline LED		Watts _{Base}	WattsEff ESTAR		WattsEff CEE T2		DeltaWatts ESTAR		DeltaWatts CEE T2	
				5%	95%		CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90*	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90
lamps below lumen ranges specified above	300	399	30	58	7.1	5.0	5.7	4.1	5.0	2.1	1.4	3.0	2.1	
Weighted Average, if unknown ⁷⁰¹					16.7	12.2				4.5				

*If lumen range is known but Efficiency rating or CRI is unknown assume ESTAR and CRI<90. Directional lamps are exempt from first phase of EISA regulations.

EISA non-exempt bulb types:

Bulb Type	Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Hal	Watts _{EE} LED		Watts _{Base}	WattsEff ESTAR		WattsEff CEE T2		DeltaWatts ESTAR		DeltaWatts CEE T2	
				32%	68%		CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90*	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90
EISA Non-Exempt Dimmable Twist, Globe (<5" in diameter and > 749 lumens), candle (shapes B, BA, CA > 749 lumens), Candelabra Base Lamps (>1049 lumens), Intermediate Base Lamps (>749 lumens)	250	309	25	5.1	10.4	3.5	4.0	2.9	3.5	6.9	6.4	7.4	6.9	
	310	749	29	9.6	14.8	6.6	7.6	5.6	6.6	8.1	7.2	9.2	8.1	
	750	1049	43	16.4	23.4	11.2	12.9	9.5	11.2	12.2	10.6	14.0	12.2	
	1050	1489	53	23.1	31.0	15.9	18.1	13.4	15.9	15.1	12.9	17.7	15.1	
	1490	2600	72	37.2	46.4	25.6	29.2	21.5	25.6	20.9	17.2	24.9	20.9	
Weighted Average, if unknown ⁷⁰²					25.3	12.4				12.9				

*If lumen range is known but Efficiency rating or CRI is unknown assume ESTAR and CRI<90.

⁷⁰¹ Weighted average is based on 2018 and 2019 data provided by MidAmerican and Alliant. Assumes ENERGY STAR CRI<90 for the efficient wattage.

⁷⁰² Weighted average is based on 2018 and 2019 data provided by MidAmerican and Alliant. Assumes ENERGY STAR CRI<90 for the efficient wattage.

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service

Program		Discounted In Service Rate (ISR) ⁷⁰³
Retail (Time of Sale) ⁷⁰⁴		90%
Direct Install ⁷⁰⁵		97%
Efficiency	Schools ⁷⁰⁶	60%
Kits	Residential ⁷⁰⁷	87%

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	763 ⁷⁰⁸
Exterior	2,475 ⁷⁰⁹
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	1,020 ⁷¹⁰

WHF_{Heat} = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

$$= 1 - ((HF / \eta_{Heat}) * \%ElecHeat)$$

If unknown assume 0.93⁷¹¹

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated

= 53% for interior or unknown location⁷¹²

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

$\eta_{HeatElectric}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

⁷⁰³ All Programs except for Direct Install assume that some lamps are not installed in the first year but are later installed in years 2 and 3. To ease implementation, these future installs are discounted using the statewide real discount rate (7.71%). The second and third year installations rates are from NREL, “Chapter 6: Residential Lighting Evaluation Protocol of the Uniform Methods Project,” October 2017. See “Res Lighting ISR calculation_2019.xlsx” for more information.

⁷⁰⁴ 1st year in service rate is a weighted average of ComEd PY7, PY8 and PY9 intercept data.

⁷⁰⁵ Based upon review of the Illinois PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys. <http://www.ilsag.info/evaluation-documents.html>

⁷⁰⁶ In Service Rates provided are for the bulb within a kit only. Kits provided free to students through school, with education program. 1st year ISR for school kits based on ComEd PY9 data for the Elementary Energy Education program.

⁷⁰⁷ Based on weighted average of MidAmerican Energy Company & TetraTech "Residential Assessment Impact and Process Evaluation FINAL". December 22, 2020, APPENDIX B: IN-SERVICE RATES ANALYSIS, p. 47.

⁷⁰⁸ Based on recommended value for specialty LED lamps (2.09) in interior locations from Opinion Dynamics “Illinois Statewide Residential LED Hours of Use Study Additional Results,” April 17, 2018.

⁷⁰⁹ Based on secondary research conducted as part of the Illinois PY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

⁷¹⁰ Based on a weighted average of interior and exterior hours of use from the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs, finding 15% exterior specialty lighting.

⁷¹¹ Calculated using defaults: $1 - ((0.53/1.27) * 0.17) = 0.93$

⁷¹² This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:⁷¹³

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 ⁷¹⁴

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% ⁷¹⁵

WHF_{Cool} = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHF _{Cool}
Building with cooling	1.12 ⁷¹⁶
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.11 ⁷¹⁷

For example, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, 85 CRI decorative LED bulb is installed through direct install replacing a 25W incandescent:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = ((25 - 5) / 1000) * 0.90 * 1,020 * (0.93 + (1.11 - 1))$$

$$= 19.1 \text{ kWh}$$

This value should be claimed for two years.

⁷¹³ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

⁷¹⁴ Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see “HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls”. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

⁷¹⁵ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

⁷¹⁶ The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as 1 + (0.34 / 2.8)). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm (-0.02 * SEER²) + (1.12 * SEER) (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master’s Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

⁷¹⁷ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as 1 + (0.88*(0.34 / 2.8)). Based on assumption that 88% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

WHFdCool = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22 ⁷¹⁸
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	1.19 ⁷¹⁹

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Bulb Location	CF
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily ⁷²⁰	13.1%
Exterior ⁷²¹	1.8%
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits) ⁷²²	11.4%

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, decorative LED bulb is installed through direct install replacing a 25W incandescent:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= ((25 - 5) / 1000) * 0.90 * 1.19 * 0.114 \\ &= 0.0024 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes:⁷²³

$$\Delta Therms = - \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta_{Heat}} * \%GasHeat$$

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated
 = 53% for interior or unknown location⁷²⁴

⁷¹⁸ The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

⁷¹⁹ The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as 1 + (0.88 * 0.61 / 2.8)).

⁷²⁰ Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

⁷²¹ Based on Itron eShapes lighting load profiles.

⁷²² Assumes 15% exterior lighting, based on IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs.

⁷²³ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁷²⁴ This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

- = 0% for exterior or unheated location
- 0.03412 =Converts kWh to Therms
- $\eta_{Heat_{Gas}}$ = Efficiency of heating system
=74%⁷²⁵
- %GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% ⁷²⁶

For example, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, decorative LED bulb is installed through direct install replacing a 25W incandescent:

$$\Delta Therms = - (((25 - 5) / 1000) * 0.90 * 1,020 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74 * 0.83$$

$$= - 0.372 \text{ therms}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

- $\Delta Therms$ = Therm impact calculated above
- HeatDays = Heat season days per year
= 217⁷²⁷

For example, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, decorative LED bulb is installed through direct install replacing a 25W incandescent:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = - 0.372 / 217$$

$$= -0.00172 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

⁷²⁵ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$.

⁷²⁶ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results

⁷²⁷ Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDS-V07-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2024

2.5.5 LED Exit Signs

DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the savings associated with installing a Light Emitting Diode (LED) exit sign in place of an existing fluorescent/compact fluorescent (CFL) or incandescent exit sign in a Multifamily building. LED exit signs use a lower wattage of power (≤ 5 Watts) and have a significantly longer life compared to standard signs that can use up to 40 watts.⁷²⁸ This in addition to reduced maintenance needs, and characteristic low-temperature light quality makes LED exit signs a superior option compared to other exit sign technologies available today.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, DI.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is assumed to be an exit sign illuminated by LEDs with an input power demand of 5 watts or less per face.⁷²⁹

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is the existing system (either a CFL or incandescent unit)

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 13 years.⁷³⁰

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual material and labor costs should be used if available. If actual costs are unavailable, assume a total installed cost of \$49.⁷³¹

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape E01 – Flat

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS⁷³²

$$\Delta kWh = \left(\frac{Watts_{bBase} - Watts_{EE}}{1000} \right) * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

Where:

$Watts_{Base}$ = Actual wattage if known, if unknown assume the following:

⁷²⁸ ENERGY STAR “Save Energy, Money and Prevent Pollution with LED Exit Signs”

⁷²⁹ ENERGY STAR “Program Requirements for Exit Signs – Eligibility Criteria” Version.3. While the EPA suspended the ENERGY STAR Exit Sign specification effective May 1, 2008, Federal requirements specify minimum efficiency standards for electrically-powered, single-faced exit signs with integral lighting sources that are equivalent to ENERGY STAR levels for input power demand of 5 watts or less per face.

⁷³⁰ GDA Associates Inc. “Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures,” June 2007.

⁷³¹ Price includes new exit sign/fixture and installation. EPA ENERGY STAR Exit Sign Calculator estimates LED cost/unit is \$39 and assuming IA labor cost of 15 minutes @ \$40/hr.

⁷³² There is no ISR calculation. Exit signs and emergency lighting are required by federal regulations to be installed and functional in all public buildings as outlined by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Standards (USOSHA 1993).

Project Type	Baseline Type	Watts _{Base}
Retrofit/Direct Install ⁷³³	Incandescent (dual sided)	40W ⁷³⁴
	Incandescent (single sided)	20W
	CFL (dual sided)	14W ⁷³⁵
	CFL (single sided)	7W

Watts_{EE} = Actual wattage if known, if unknown assume singled sided 2W and dual sided 4W⁷³⁶

Hours = Annual operating hours
= 8766

WHF_{Heat} = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

$$= 1 - ((HF / \eta_{Heat}) * \%ElecHeat)$$

If unknown, assume 0.93⁷³⁷

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated

= 53%⁷³⁸ for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

η_{Heat} = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:⁷³⁹

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1

⁷³³ If program type does not know baseline assume the ratio of present incandescent to fluorescent exit sign units to be a deemed a weighted baseline of 70% incandescent to 30% CFL = 32.2W. This ratio has been used by ComEd and is reflective of program experience. In lieu of IA specific market research, we consider this evaluation to be reasonable.

⁷³⁴ Average incandescent watts are assumed at 40W as listed by the U.S. Department of Energy, ENERGY STAR Life Cycle Cost Exit-Sign Calculator available at https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=exit_signs.pr_exit_signs.

⁷³⁵ Average CFL single sided (5W, 7W, 9W) from Appendix B 2013-14 Table of Standard Fixture Wattages. Available at: <http://www.aesc-inc.com/download/spc/2013SPCDocs/PGE/App%20B%20Standard%20Fixture%20Watts.pdf>

⁷³⁶ Average Exit LED watts are assumed as a 2W as listed in Appendix B 2013-14 Table of Standard Fixture Wattages. Available at: <http://www.aesc-inc.com/download/spc/2013SPCDocs/PGE/App%20B%20Standard%20Fixture%20Watts.pdf>

⁷³⁶ Average LED single sided (2W) from Appendix B 2013-14 Table of Standard Fixture Wattages. Available at: <http://www.aesc-inc.com/download/spc/2013SPCDocs/PGE/App%20B%20Standard%20Fixture%20Watts.pdf>

⁷³⁷ Calculated using defaults; $1 - ((0.53/1.27) * 0.17) = 0.93$

⁷³⁸ This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, and Mason City and Burlington.

⁷³⁹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) $(HSPF/3.412)*0.85$
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 ⁷⁴⁰

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% ⁷⁴¹

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFeCool
Building with cooling	1.12 ⁷⁴²
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.11 ⁷⁴³

For example, for a 4W, dual sided LED exit sign replacing a CFL lamp in electrically heated building with cooling:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= ((14 - 4) / 1000) * 8,766 * (0.58 + (1.12 - 1)) \\ &= 61.4 kWh \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS⁷⁴⁴

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

WHFdCool = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22 ⁷⁴⁵
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0

⁷⁴⁰ Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

⁷⁴¹ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

⁷⁴² The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as 1 + (0.34 / 2.8)). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, and Mason City and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

⁷⁴³ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as 1 + (0.88*(0.34 / 2.8)). Based on assumption that 88% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

⁷⁴⁴ There is no ISR calculation. Exit signs and emergency lighting are required by federal regulations to be installed and functional in all public buildings as outlined by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Standards (USOSHA 1993).

⁷⁴⁵ The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Unknown	1.19 ⁷⁴⁶

CF = Summer peak Coincidence Factor for this measure
= 1.0⁷⁴⁷

For example, for a 4W, dual sided LED exit sign replacing a CFL lamp in a building with cooling:

$$\Delta kW = ((14 - 4) / 1000) * 1.22 * 1.0$$

$$= 0.0122 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes:⁷⁴⁸

$$\Delta Therms = - \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE} * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{1,000 \eta_{HeatGas}} * \%GasHeat$$

Where:

HF = Heating factor, or percentage of lighting savings that must be replaced by heating system.
= 53% for interior or unknown location⁷⁴⁹
= 0% for exterior or unheated location

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

$\eta_{HeatGas}$ = Efficiency of heating system
= 74%⁷⁵⁰

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% ⁷⁵¹

⁷⁴⁶ The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as 1 + (0.88 * 0.61 / 2.8)).

⁷⁴⁷ ⁷⁴⁷ Assuming continuous operation of an LED exit sign, the Summer Peak Coincidence Factor is assumed to equal 1.0.

⁷⁴⁸ Results in a negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁷⁴⁹ This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

⁷⁵⁰ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

⁷⁵¹ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

For example, for a 4W, dual sided LED exit sign replacing a CFL lamp in gas heated building:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= - (((14 - 4) / 1000) * 8,766 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74 * 1.0 \\ &= - 2.1 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \frac{\Delta\text{Therms}}{\text{HeatDays}}$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above
- HeatDays = Heat season days per year
= 217⁷⁵²

For example, for a 4W, dual sided LED exit sign replacing a CFL lamp in gas heated building:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= -2.1/217 \\ &= -0.0097 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

The annual O&M Cost Adjustment savings should be calculated using the following component costs and lifetimes.

Program Type	Component	Baseline Measure	
		Cost	Life (yrs)
Retrofit/Direct Install ⁷⁵³	CFL lamp	\$13.00 ⁷⁵⁴	0.57 years ⁷⁵⁵
	Incandescent lamp	\$11.27 ⁷⁵⁶	0.17 years ⁷⁵⁷

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-EXIT-V03-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2028

⁷⁵² Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

⁷⁵³ If program component is unknown use 70/30 split for costs and life = \$11.87 and 0.29 yrs

⁷⁵⁴ Consistent with assumption as listed by the U.S. Department of Energy, ENERGY STARY Life Cycle Cost Exit-Sign Calculator available at https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=exit_signs.pr_exit_signs for estimated labor cost of \$10 (assuming \$40/hour and a task time of 15 minutes). Replacement of a CFL bulb is assumed to be \$3 as noted by regional IA program details (IPL Business Assessment).

⁷⁵⁵ ENERGY STAR “Save Energy, Money and Prevent Pollution with LED Exit Signs” specifies that CFL bulbs for Exit Signs typically have an average rated life of 5000-6000 hours. Given 24/7 run time assume Exit Light replacement requirements as 5,500/8760.

⁷⁵⁶ Assume incandescent A-lamp 45W is \$1.27 per Itron, Ex Ante Measure cost Study, 2014 “WA017_MCS Results Matrix - Volume I (1).xlsx”

⁷⁵⁷ ENERGY STAR “Save Energy, Money and Prevent Pollution with LED Exit Signs” specifies that a typical incandescent exit sign bulb will be approx. 40W and will have a rated life of 500-2000 hours. Given 24/7 run time of the Exit Sign the replacement requirements would be an average of 1500/8766.

2.5.6 LED Fixtures

DESCRIPTION

This characterization provides savings assumptions for LED Fixtures and is broken into four ENERGY STAR fixture types: Indoor Fixtures (including track lighting, wall-wash, sconces, ceiling, and fan lights), Task and Under Cabinet Fixtures, Outdoor Fixtures (including flood light, hanging lights, security/path lights, outdoor porch lights), and Downlight Fixtures. For upstream programs, utilities should develop an assumption of the Residential v Nonresidential split and apply the relevant assumptions to each portion.

Federal legislation stemming from the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) requires all general-purpose light bulbs between 40W and 100W to be approximately 30% more energy efficient than standard incandescent bulbs. Production of 100W, standard efficacy incandescent lamps ended in 2012, followed by restrictions on 75W lamps in 2013 and 60W and 40W lamps in 2014. The baseline for this measure has therefore become fixtures with bulbs (improved incandescent or halogen) that meet the new standard. Furthermore, the Technical Advisory Committee approved assuming a blended baseline condition of EISA qualified incandescent/halogen, CFL and LED lamps. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

Most of the lamp types in this measure are considered specialty so the same blended baseline of incandescent/halogen, and LED lamps is applied. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

In December 2019, DOE issued a final determination for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSILs), finding that the more stringent standards (45 lumen per watt) prescribed in the 2007 EISA regulation to become effective in 2020 (known as the ‘Backstop’ provision), was not economically justified. However, in April 2022 DOE reversed this decision by issuing a Final rule for both the broadened General Service Lamp definition as well as the implementation of the 45 lumen per watt backstop. DOE stated that it will use its enforcement discretion to minimize impacts on the supply chain and effectively allow companies to continue the manufacture and import of noncompliant bulbs through the remainder of 2022, and allow retailers to continue selling them with limited enforcement until July 2023. Since only CFL and LEDs are able to meet this provision, and CFLs are now such a small part of the market – when enacted this effectively means the LED becomes baseline.

The v7 TRM continues to take the approach of using a market based baseline for the measure, however programs should end support of large scale retail or kit programs by July 2023. Direct Install where we can be sure the LED is replacing inefficient lighting and low income programs may want to ramp down more slowly. The lifetime of any measure however is reduced to represent the replacement of two incandescent/halogen bulbs.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, new fixtures must be ENERGY STAR labeled based upon the v2.1 ENERGY STAR specification for luminaires

(<https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/Luminaires%20V2.1%20Spec%20Final%20with%20Partner%20Commitments.pdf>). Specifications are as follows:

Fixture Category	Lumens/Watt
Indoor	65
Task and Under Cabinet	50
Outdoor	60
Downlight	55

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition for this measure is assumed to be a blend of 27% of the average EISA-equivalent wattages for the ENERGY STAR-qualified products, and 73% baseline LED.⁷⁵⁸ Baseline LED lumens/watt are estimated below:⁷⁵⁹

Fixture Category	Baseline LED Lumens/Watt
LED ENERGY STAR Indoor Fixture	55
LED ENERGY STAR Task /Under Cabinet Fixture	42
LED ENERGY STAR Outdoor Fixture	51
LED ENERGY STAR Downlight Fixture	47

For Direct Install programs use the actual wattage being replaced.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The lifetime of a fixture is a function of its rated life and average hours of use. The rated life is 48,000 hours for indoor and downlight, 44,000 for task and cabinet, and 49,000 for outdoor fixtures.⁷⁶⁰ However, since only LED (or CFL) bulbs will be able to be purchased from July 2023, it is assumed that the LED will prevent use of two incandescent/halogen baseline bulbs, assuming that purchasers would have some bulbs in storage. Measure life for all measures in 2023 should therefore be two years.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Wherever possible, actual incremental costs should be used. If unavailable, assume the following incremental costs:

Fixture Category	Incremental Cost
Indoor	\$26 ⁷⁶¹
Task /Under Cabinet	\$18 ⁷⁶²
Outdoor	\$26
Downlight	\$13

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE09 – Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape RE10 – Residential Outdoor Lighting

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

⁷⁵⁸ Consistent with assumptions for specialty lamps, based on review of CREED LightTracker data and DOE, 2019 ‘Energy Savings Forecast of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications’. See ‘Lighting Forecast Workbook_2022.xls’.

⁷⁵⁹ Estimated by applying the ratio from the relevant specialty lamp measures with the ESTAR efficacy for each fixture type.

⁷⁶⁰ Average rated lives are based on the average rated lives of fixtures available on the ENERGY STAR qualifying list as of 4/3/2020.

⁷⁶¹ Incremental costs for indoor and outdoor fixtures based on ENERGY STAR Light Fixtures and Ceiling Fans Calculator, which cites “EPA research on available products, 2012.” ENERGY STAR cost assumptions were reduced by 20% to account for falling LED prices.

⁷⁶² Incremental costs for task/under cabinet and downlight fixtures are from the 2018 Michigan Energy Measures Database.

Where:

Watts_{Base} = Baseline is an average of lumen-equivalent EISA wattages for ENERGY STAR products within the fixture category;⁷⁶³ see table below

Note for Direct Install – use the actual wattage of the lamp/fixture being replaced.

Watts_{EE} = Actual wattage of LED fixture purchased / installed – If unknown, use default provided below⁷⁶⁴

Fixture Category	Watts _{Base}	Watts _{EE}
Indoor	56.3	23.9
Task /Under Cabinet	37.9	11.5
Outdoor	53.3	19.0
Downlight	48.8	18.4

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service
 = 1.0⁷⁶⁵

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Fixture Category	Hours
Residential and Downlight	926 ⁷⁶⁶
Task/Under Cabinet	730 ⁷⁶⁷
Outdoor	2,475 ⁷⁶⁸

WHF_{Heat} = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

$$= 1 - ((HF / \eta_{Heat}) * \%ElecHeat)$$

If unknown assume 0.93⁷⁶⁹

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated
 = 53% for interior⁷⁷⁰
 = 0% for exterior or unheated location

$\eta_{HeatElectric}$ = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

⁷⁶³ See “Analysis” tab within file Residential LED Fixtures_Analysis_2022.xlsx for baseline calculations.

⁷⁶⁴ Average of ENERGY STAR product category watts for products at or above the version 2.1 efficacy specification, and weighted average baseline of halogen and baseline LED. The ENERGY STAR QPL was accessed on 04/03/2020. See “Residential LED Fixture Analysis_2022.xls”.

⁷⁶⁵ ISR recommendation for fixtures in the Dunsky Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-22.

⁷⁶⁶ Assuming 365.25 days/year and average of recommended values for standard LED lamps (1088) and specialty LED lamps (763) in interior locations from Opinion Dynamics “Illinois Statewide Residential LED Hours of Use Study Additional Results,” April 17, 2018.

⁷⁶⁷ Task/under cabinet hours of use are estimated at 2 hours per day.

⁷⁶⁸ Based on secondary research conducted as part of the Illinois PY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

⁷⁶⁹ Calculated using defaults; $1 - ((0.53/1.27) * 0.17) = 0.93$.

⁷⁷⁰ This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:⁷⁷¹

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 ⁷⁷²

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% ⁷⁷³

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting.

Fixture Location	WHFeCool
Building with cooling	1.12 ⁷⁷⁴
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.11 ⁷⁷⁵

For example, an indoor LED fixture is purchased through retail before July 2023:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kWh} &= ((56.3 - 23.9) / 1000) * 1.0 * 926 * (0.93 + (1.11 - 1)) \\ &= 31.2 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

This value should be claimed for two years.

⁷⁷¹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

⁷⁷² Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

⁷⁷³ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

⁷⁷⁴ The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as $1 + (0.34 / 2.8)$). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$ (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

⁷⁷⁵ The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as $1 + (0.88 * (0.34 / 2.8))$). Based on assumption that 88% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

WHFdCool = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Fixture Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22 ⁷⁷⁶
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown (e.g. Retail and Upstream)	1.19 ⁷⁷⁷

CF = Summer peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Fixture Location	CF
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily ⁷⁷⁸	13.1%
Exterior ⁷⁷⁹	1.8%

Other factors as defined above

For example, for an indoor LED fixture purchased through retail before July 2023:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= ((56.3 - 23.9) / 1000) * 1.0 * 1.19 * 0.131 \\ &= 0.0051 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes:⁷⁸⁰

$$\Delta Therms = - \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta_{Heat}} * \%GasHeat$$

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated

= 53% for interior⁷⁸¹

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

$\eta_{Heat_{Gas}}$ = Efficiency of heating system

⁷⁷⁶ The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

⁷⁷⁷ The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as 1 + (0.88 * 0.61 / 2.8)).

⁷⁷⁸ Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

⁷⁷⁹ Based on Itron eShapes lighting loadprofiles.

⁷⁸⁰ Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

⁷⁸¹ This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

$$=74\%^{782}$$

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% ⁷⁸³

For example, for an indoor LED fixture purchased through retail before July 2023:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= - (((56.3 - 23.9) / 1000) * 1.0 * 926 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74 * 0.83 \\ &= - 0.61 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta Peak Therms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$ = Therm impact calculated above
 $HeatDays$ = Heat season days per year
 = 217⁷⁸⁴

For example, for an indoor LED fixture purchased through retail before July 2023:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Peak Therms &= - 0.61/217 \\ &= -0.0028 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LDFX-V05-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2024

⁷⁸² This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: $((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74$.

⁷⁸³ Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results

⁷⁸⁴ Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

2.5.7 LED Holiday Lights

DESCRIPTION

This measure categorizes the savings from LED holiday string lighting as opposed to standard incandescent string lighting. LED bulbs on string lights can consume up to 98% less power when compared to incandescent bulbs. Besides requiring less energy to operate, LED string lights have a longer bulb life, a higher brightness, less heat buildup making them safer especially when used indoors on live trees.⁷⁸⁵

This measure applies to mini, C7, and C9 bulb shape types used in residential locations. Description of the bulb types of string lighting are listed below:⁷⁸⁶

- Mini: About 1/4" wide x 5/8" high with a miniature candle shape with a pointed or flat tip. The mini is the most common type of string light today.
- C7: Approximately 1" wide x 1-1/2" high with a shape described as a strawberry. The C7 (and C9) are thought of as more "old fashioned" or traditional since they were the first types of string lighting used for decorative purposes. The C7 has a screw-in E12 candelabra base.
- C9: Similar in shape to the C7, the C9 is slightly larger at 1-1/4" wide x 2-1/2" high. The C9 has a screw-in E17 intermediate base.

Secondary impacts for heating and cooling were not evaluated in this measure characterization.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, new string lights must be LED and one of the eligible bulb shape categories listed in this measure (mini, C7, C9).

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is an incandescent light, with a bulb size of mini, C7, or C9.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The lifetime of holiday string lights is assumed to be 10 years⁷⁸⁷.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

Wherever possible, actual incremental costs should be used. Please see the table below⁷⁸⁸:

Bulb Size	# bulbs / strand	Incremental Cost ⁷⁸⁹
C9	25	\$ 7.53
C7	25	\$ 4.30
Mini	70	\$ 13.52

⁷⁸⁵ See 'Christmas Lights Buying Guide – Hayneedle'.

⁷⁸⁶ See 'Christmas Lights Buying Guide – Hayneedle'.

⁷⁸⁷ Based on data from the 2022 MEMD Master Database.

⁷⁸⁸ Based on program data provided by IPL, April 2022.

⁷⁸⁹ Efficient cost based on program data provided by IPL, April 2022. Baseline cost from Home Depot data, March 2022.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE09 – Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape RE10 – Residential Outdoor Lighting

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{(INC - LED) * \#Bulbs * \#Strands * Hours}{1,000}$$

Where:

INC = Baseline string lighting using an Incandescent bulb of the following wattages⁷⁹⁰:

Bulb Size	INC (W/strand)
C9	7
C7	5
Mini	0.4

LED = Efficient string lighting, using a LED bulb of the following wattages⁷⁹¹:

Bulb Size	LED (W)
C9	2
C7	0.48
Mini	0.07

#Bulbs = Number of bulbs per strand, as determined below⁷⁹²:

Bulb Size	#Bulbs
C9	25
C7	25
Mini	70

#Strands = Number of strands, either 1 or 3⁷⁹³

Hours = Average hours of use per year
= 150 hrs/yr⁷⁹⁴

1,000 = Conversion from W to kW

Deemed savings are as found below⁷⁹⁵:

⁷⁹⁰ PGE "Cost of holiday lights". December 2021. Supported by Home Depot survey (3/2022)

⁷⁹¹ PA PUC TRM Data, published February 2021.

⁷⁹² Based on program data provided by IPL, April 2022.

⁷⁹³ Based on program data provided by IPL, April 2022.

⁷⁹⁴ Assuming 5 hours per day for 30 days per season, as agreed upon during TAC Call #2 of 2022.

⁷⁹⁵ Please see Analysis file for details of calculations.

Bulb Size	# Bulbs / strand	# strands	Δ kWh
C9	25	1	18.8
C9	25	3	56.3
C7	25	1	17.0
C7	25	3	50.9
Mini	70	1	3.5
Mini	70	3	10.4
Unknown	Unknown	1	6.57 ⁷⁹⁶

Mid-Life Baseline Adjustment

N/A

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-HOLI-V01-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2027

⁷⁹⁶ Weighted average, based on bulb size found during survey of Home Depot Data March 2022. Please see Analysis file for details of calculations.

2.6 Shell

2.6.1 Infiltration Control

DESCRIPTION

Thermal shell air leaks are sealed through strategic use and location of air-tight materials. An estimate of savings is provided in two ways. It is highly recommended that leaks be detected and pre- and post-sealing leakage rates measured with the assistance of a blower-door by qualified/certified inspectors.⁷⁹⁷ Where this occurs, an algorithm is provided to estimate the site specific savings. Where test-in/test-out has not occurred, a conservative deemed assumption is provided.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Air sealing materials and diagnostic testing should meet all eligibility program qualification criteria. The initial and final tested leakage rates should be assessed in such a manner that the identified reductions can be properly discerned, particularly in situations wherein multiple building envelope measures may be implemented simultaneously.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing air leakage should be determined through approved and appropriate test methods using a blower door. The baseline condition of a building upon first inspection significantly affects the opportunity for cost-effective energy savings through air sealing.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.⁷⁹⁸

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual capital cost for this measure should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

⁷⁹⁷ Refer to the Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual for more information on testing methodologies.

⁷⁹⁸ As recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Test In / Test Out Approach

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to air sealing

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{CFM50_{pre} - CFM50_{post}}{N_{cool}} \right) * 60 * 24 * CDD * DUA * 0.018 * LM}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

$CFM50_{pre}$ = Infiltration at 50 Pascals as measured by blower door before air sealing
= Actual⁷⁹⁹

$CFM50_{post}$ = Infiltration at 50 Pascals as measured by blower door after air sealing
= Actual

N_{cool} = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions
= Dependent on location and number of stories:⁸⁰⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N_cool (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
Zone 5 (Burlington)	37.0	32.8	30.1	26.6
Zone 6 (Mason City)	32.5	28.8	26.4	23.4
Average/ unknown	34.3	30.4	27.9	24.7

$60 * 24$ = Converts Cubic Feet per Minute to Cubic Feet per Day

CDD = Cooling Degree Days
= Dependent on location:⁸⁰¹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown	1,068

⁷⁹⁹ Because the pre- and post-sealing blower door test will occur on different days, there is a potential for the wind and temperature conditions on the two days to affect the readings. There are methodologies to account for these effects. For wind - first if possible, avoid testing in high wind, place blower door on downwind side, take a pre-test baseline house pressure reading and adjust your house pressure readings by subtracting the baseline reading, and use the time averaging feature on the digital gauge, etc. Corrections for air density due to temperature swings can be accounted for with Air Density Correction Factors. Refer to the Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual for more information.

⁸⁰⁰ N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and # of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegesis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30-year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEAResult "Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc" and calculation worksheets on the SharePoint site.

⁸⁰¹ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temperature of 65°F.

- DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)
= 0.75⁸⁰²
- 0.018 = Specific Heat Capacity of Air (Btu/ft³*°F)
- 1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu
- ηCool = Efficiency (SEER) of Air Conditioning equipment (kBtu/kWh)
= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁸⁰³ If unknown, assume the following:⁸⁰⁴

Age of Equipment	SEER Sealed Estimate	SEER Unsealed Estimate (SEER Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14	12

- LM = Latent multiplier to account for latent cooling demand
= dependent on location:⁸⁰⁵

Climate Zone (City based upon)	LM
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4.1
Zone 6 (Mason City)	4.2
Average/ unknown	4.2

- ΔkWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to air sealing

$$= \frac{(CFM50_{Pre} - CFM50_{Post})}{N_{heat}} * 60 * 24 * HDD * 0.018$$

$$\frac{(\eta_{Heat} * 3,412)}$$

- N_heat = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions
= Based on location and building height:⁸⁰⁶

⁸⁰² This factor's source: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

⁸⁰³ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013," May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁸⁰⁴ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

⁸⁰⁵ The Latent Multiplier is used to convert the sensible cooling savings calculated to a value representing sensible and latent cooling loads. The values are derived from the methodology outlined in Infiltration Factor Calculation Methodology by Bruce Harley, Senior Manager, Applied Building Science, CLEARresult 11/18/2015 and is based upon an 8760 analysis of sensible and total heat loads using hourly climate data.

⁸⁰⁶ N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N_heat (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
Zone 5 (Burlington)	23.5	20.8	19.1	16.9
Zone 6 (Mason City)	21.0	18.6	17.0	15.1
Average/ unknown	22.2	19.7	18.0	16.0

HDD = Heating Degree Days
 = Dependent on location:⁸⁰⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

η Heat = Efficiency of heating system
 = Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁸⁰⁸ If not available refer to default table below:⁸⁰⁹

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η Heat (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegesis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30 year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEAResult “Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc” and calculation worksheets on the SharePoint site.

⁸⁰⁷ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁸⁰⁸ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁸⁰⁹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

For example, for a 2-story single family home in unknown location with 10.5 SEER central cooling and a heat pump with COP of 2 (1.92 including distribution losses), with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating} \\ &= [(((3,400 - 2,250) / 27.9) * 60 * 24 * 1068 * 0.75 * 0.018 * 6.2) / (1000 * 10.5)] + \\ &\quad [(((3,400 - 2,250) / 18.0) * 60 * 24 * 5092 * 0.018) / (1.92 * 3,412)] \\ &= 505.3 + 1287.2 \\ &= 1792.5 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Conservative Deemed Approach

$$\Delta kWh = SavingsPerUnit * SqFt$$

Where:

SavingsPerUnit = Annual savings per square foot, dependent on heating / cooling equipment⁸¹⁰

Building Type	HVAC System	SavingsPerUnit (kWh/ft)
Manufactured	Central Air Conditioner	0.062
Multifamily	Central Air Conditioner	0.043
Single Family	Central Air Conditioner	0.050
Manufactured	Electric Furnace/Resistance Space Heat	0.413
Multifamily	Electric Furnace/Resistance Space Heat	0.285
Single Family	Electric Furnace/Resistance Space Heat	0.308
Manufactured	Air Source Heat Pump	0.391
Multifamily	Air Source Heat Pump	0.251
Single Family	Air Source Heat Pump	0.308
Manufactured	Air Source Heat Pump – Cooling	0.062
Multifamily	Air Source Heat Pump – Cooling	0.043
Single Family	Air Source Heat Pump – Cooling	0.050
Manufactured	Air Source Heat Pump – Heating	0.329
Multifamily	Air Source Heat Pump – Heating	0.208
Single Family	Air Source Heat Pump – Heating	0.257

SqFt = Building conditioned square footage

= Actual

Additional Fan savings

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$$= \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$$

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

⁸¹⁰ The values in the table represent estimates of savings from a 15% improvement in air leakage. The values are half those provided by Cadmus for the Joint Assessment, based on building simulations performed. While 30% savings are certainly achievable, this represents a thorough job in both the attic and basements and could not be verified without testing. The conservative 15% estimate is more appropriate for a deemed estimate. These values should be re-evaluated if EM&V values provide support for a higher deemed estimate.

$$= 3.14\%^{811}$$

$$29.3 = \text{kWh per therm}$$

For example, for a 2-story single family home in unknown location with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250 (see therm calculation in Natural Gas Savings section):

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = 114 * 0.0314 * 29.3$$

$$= 105 \text{ kWh}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning
 = Dependent on location:⁸¹²

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling
 = 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;⁸¹³ 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone⁸¹⁴

For example, for a 2-story single family home in unknown location with 10.5 SEER central cooling and a heat pump with COP of 2.0, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\Delta kW = 505.3 / 811 * 0.68$$

$$= 0.42 \text{ kW}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Test In / Test Out Approach

If Natural Gas heating:

⁸¹¹ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

⁸¹² Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁸¹³ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

⁸¹⁴ Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{(CFM50_{pre} - CFM50_{post}) * 60 * 24 * HDD * 0.018}{N_{heat} * (\eta_{Heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

N_{heat} = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions
 = Based on location and building height:⁸¹⁵

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N _{heat} (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
Zone 5 (Burlington)	23.5	20.8	19.1	16.9
Zone 6 (Mason City)	21.0	18.6	17.0	15.1
Average/ unknown	22.2	19.7	18.0	16.0

HDD = Heating Degree Days
 = Dependent on location:⁸¹⁶

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
 = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
 = Actual⁸¹⁷. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time. ⁸¹⁸ If not available, use 74% for unsealed ducts⁸¹⁹ or 87% for sealed ducts

⁸¹⁵ N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and # of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegesis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30 year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEARresult “Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc” and calculation worksheets on the SharePoint site.

⁸¹⁶ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁸¹⁷ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁸¹⁸ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes,” May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013,” May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^{Equipment Age}).

⁸¹⁹ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant space heating system is a central warm-air furnace, and energy source of natural gas (based on Energy Information Administration, 2019 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, HC6.9 for the Midwest)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average mix heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

Other factors as defined above.

For example, for 2-story single family home in unknown location with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= (((3,400 - 2,250)/18.0) * 60 * 24 * 5052 * 0.018) / (0.74 * 100,000) \\ &= 113.1 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

Conservative Deemed Approach

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = \text{SavingsPerUnit} * \text{SqFt}$$

Where:

SavingsPerUnit = Annual savings per square foot, dependent on heating / cooling equipment⁸²⁰

Building Type	HVAC System	SavingsPerUnit (Therms/ft)
Manufactured	Gas Boiler	0.022
Multifamily	Gas Boiler	0.018
Single Family	Gas Boiler	0.016
Manufactured	Gas Furnace	0.017
Multifamily	Gas Furnace	0.012
Single Family	Gas Furnace	0.013

SqFt = Building square footage
= Actual

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above
 GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁸²¹
 = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
 = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a 2-story single family home in Chicago with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 113.1 * 0.016525 \\ &= 1.87 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

⁸²⁰ The values in the table represent estimates of savings from a 15% improvement in air leakage. The values are half those provided by Cadmus for the Joint Assessment, based on building simulations performed. While 30% savings are certainly achievable, this represents a thorough job in both the attic and basements and could not be verified without testing. The conservative 15% estimate is more appropriate for a deemed estimate. These values should be re-evaluated if EM&V values provide support for a higher deemed estimate.

⁸²¹ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

Conservative Deemed Approach

Building Type	HVAC System	SavingsPerUnit (Peak Therms/ft)
Manufactured	Gas Boiler	0.000313
Multifamily	Gas Boiler	0.000259
Single Family	Gas Boiler	0.000237
Manufactured	Gas Furnace	0.000281
Multifamily	Gas Furnace	0.000191
Single Family	Gas Furnace	0.000220

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-AIRS-V05-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

2.6.2 Attic/Ceiling Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from adding insulation to the attic/ceiling. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre- and post-project R-values and to measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible. If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.⁸²²

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

Where

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Attic}}\right) * A_{Attic} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Attic}) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

R_{Attic} = R-value of new attic assembly including all layers between inside air and outside air (ft²·°F·h/Btu)

⁸²² As recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

- = Actual⁸²³
- R_{old} = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation
(Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies)⁸²⁴
- A_{Attic} = Total area of insulated ceiling/attic (ft²)
- FramingFactor_{Attic} = Adjustment to account for area of framing
= 7%⁸²⁵
- CDD = Cooling Degree Days
= Dependent on location:⁸²⁶

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown	1,068

- 24 = Converts days to hours
- DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)
= 0.75 ⁸²⁷
- 1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu
- η_{Cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)
= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time⁸²⁸, or if unknown, assume the following:⁸²⁹

Age of Equipment	η_{Cool} Sealed Duct Estimate	η_{Cool} Unsealed Duct Estimate (nCool Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14	12

⁸²³ If open cavity, add new insulation value to the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value (R_{old}). If closed cavity, since you are displacing one or two air layers, reduce the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value by one and add to new insulation. Note, if existing insulation is added to/not removed – always re-evaluate R-value of existing insulation as it may have been degraded significantly due to compression etc.

⁸²⁴ An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).

⁸²⁵ ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1

⁸²⁶ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

⁸²⁷ This factor's source: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

⁸²⁸ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes," May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013," May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁸²⁹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

kWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Attic}}\right) * A_{Attic} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Attic}) * HDD * 24}{(\eta_{Heat} * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:⁸³⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate, assuming a heat pump distribution efficiency of 85% if equipment efficiency is available). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time⁸³¹, or if not available, refer to default table below:⁸³²

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

⁸³⁰ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁸³¹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013,” May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁸³² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft² of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\ &= (((1/5 - 1/49) * 700 * (1-0.07) * 616 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/5 - 1/49) * 700 * (1-0.07) * 6391 * 24) / (1.92 * 3412)) \\ &= 123 + 2737 \\ &= 2860 kWh \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{heating} &= \text{If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time} \\ &= \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3 \end{aligned}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} F_e &= \text{Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption} \\ &= 3.14\%^{833} \\ 29.3 &= \text{kWh per therm} \end{aligned}$$

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft² of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section) with unsealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= 179.2 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 165 kWh \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} FLH_{cooling} &= \text{Full load hours of air conditioning} \\ &= \text{Dependent on location:}^{834} \end{aligned}$$

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

$$\begin{aligned} CF &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling} \\ &= 68\% \text{ if central AC; } 72\% \text{ if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home} \end{aligned}$$

⁸³³ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

⁸³⁴ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

conditioning;⁸³⁵ 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone⁸³⁶

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft² of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 123 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.1787 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Attic}}\right) * A_{Attic} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Attic}) * HDD * 24}{(\eta_{Heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

HDD = Heating Degree Days
 = Dependent on location:⁸³⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system
 = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
 = Actual (where new or where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate, assuming heat pump 85% distribution efficiency if only equipment efficiency is available).⁸³⁸ If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time⁸³⁹, or if not available, assume 74% for unsealed ducts⁸⁴⁰ or 87%

⁸³⁵ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

⁸³⁶ Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, “All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems”, October 6, 2015.

⁸³⁷ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁸³⁸ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁸³⁹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁸⁴⁰ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant space heating system is a central warm-air furnace, and energy source of natural gas (based on Energy Information Administration, 2019 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, HC6.9 for the Midwest)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units

for sealed ducts.

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft² of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% with sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= ((1/5 - 1/49) * 700 * (1-0.07) * 6391 * 24) / (0.87 * 100,000) \\ &= 206.1 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁸⁴¹

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft² of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% with sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 206.1 * 0.016525 \\ &= 3.406 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-AINS-V06-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:
 $((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.$

⁸⁴¹ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.6.3 Rim/Band Joist Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from adding insulation (either rigid or spray foam) to rim/band joist cavities. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre- and post-project R-values and to measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible.

Note unconditioned means a space that is not intentionally heated via furnace vents or boiler radiators. The presence of and/or leakage from a heating system in a space does not itself imply the space is conditioned. If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be an uninsulated rim/band joist.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.⁸⁴²

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

Where

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

⁸⁴² As recommended in Navigant ‘ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report’, May 2018.

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Rim}}\right) * A_{Rim} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Rim}) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

R_{Rim} = R-value of new rim/band joist assembly including all layers between inside air and outside air (ft²·°F·h/Btu)

= Actual⁸⁴³

R_{Old} = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation (ft²·°F·h/Btu).
(Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies)⁸⁴⁴

A_{Rim} = Net area of insulated rim/band joist (ft²)

FramingFactor_{Rim} = Adjustment to account for area of framing

=5%⁸⁴⁵

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location and whether in conditioned or unconditioned space:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	CDD 65 ⁸⁴⁶	CDD 75 ⁸⁴⁷
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209	411
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616	264
Average/ unknown	1,068	474

24 = Converts days to hours

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)

= 0.75 ⁸⁴⁸

1000 = Converts Btu to kWh

η_{Cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

⁸⁴³ If open cavity, add new insulation value to the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value (R_{Old}). If closed cavity, since you are displacing one or two air layers, reduce the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value by one and add to new insulation. Note, if existing insulation is added to/not removed – always re-evaluate R-value of existing insulation as it may have been degraded significantly due to compression etc.

⁸⁴⁴ An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).

⁸⁴⁵ Assumes the average framing factor for joists running from front-to-back (0.094) and from side-to-side (0). The front-to-back FF was calculated based on 1.5" joists for every 16" (1.5"/16" = 0.094). The side-to-side FF is 0 since joists are continuous and uninterrupted.

⁸⁴⁶ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

⁸⁴⁷ The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75°F for cooling and 50°F for heating are used based on professional judgment. Five year average cooling degree days with 75°F base temp are provided from DegreeDays.net because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC are not available at base temps above 72°F.

⁸⁴⁸ This factor's source: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research," p31.

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁸⁴⁹ If unknown, assume the following:⁸⁵⁰

Age of Equipment	η_{Cool} Sealed Duct Estimate	η_{Cool} Unsealed Duct Estimate (η_{Cool} Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14	12

kWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Rim}}\right) * A_{Rim} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Rim}) * HDD * 24 * ADJRim}{(\eta_{Heat} * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location and whether in conditioned or unconditioned space:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 60 ⁸⁵¹	HDD 50 ⁸⁵²
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496	2,678
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391	4,222
Average/ unknown	5,052	3,126

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁸⁵³ If not available, refer to default table below.⁸⁵⁴

⁸⁴⁹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁸⁵⁰ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

⁸⁵¹ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁸⁵² The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75°F for cooling and 50°F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

⁸⁵³ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁸⁵⁴ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η Heat (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 on	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

ADJ_{Rim} = Adjustment for rim/band joist insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings.

=63%⁸⁵⁵

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft² of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\ &= (((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1-0.25) * 264 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1-0.25) * 4222 * 24 * 0.63) / (1.92 * 3412)) \\ &= 4.2 + 89.9 \\ &= 94.1 kWh \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$$= \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

$$= 3.14\%^{856}$$

29.3 = kWh per therm

Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

⁸⁵⁵ Consistent with ADJWall; Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation.

⁸⁵⁶ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft² of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section) with unsealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= 8.0 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 7.4 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

FLH_{cooling} = Full load hours of air conditioning
 = Dependent on location:⁸⁵⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling
 = 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;⁸⁵⁸ 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone⁸⁵⁹

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft² of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 4.2 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0061 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Rim}}\right) * A_{Rim} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Rim}) * HDD * 24 * ADJRim}{(\eta_{Heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

HDD = Heating Degree Days
 = Dependent on location and whether in conditioned or unconditioned space:

⁸⁵⁷ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁸⁵⁸ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

⁸⁵⁹ Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, “All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems”, October 6, 2015.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 60 ⁸⁶⁰	HDD 50 ⁸⁶¹
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496	2,678
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391	4,222
Average/ unknown	5,052	3,126

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
 = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
 = Actual.⁸⁶² If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁸⁶³ If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts⁸⁶⁴ or 87% for sealed ducts

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft² of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% with sealed ducts:

$$\Delta Therms = ((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1-0.25) * 4222 * 24 * 0.63) / (0.87 * 100,000)$$

$$= 6.8 \text{ therms}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

⁸⁶⁰ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁸⁶¹ The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75°F for cooling and 50°F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

⁸⁶² Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁸⁶³ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013,” May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^{Equipment Age}).

⁸⁶⁴ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant space heating system is a central warm-air furnace, and energy source of natural gas (based on Energy Information Administration, 2019 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, HC6.9 for the Midwest)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment (see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

Δ Therms = Therm impact calculated above
 GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁸⁶⁵
 = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
 = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft² of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% with sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 6.8 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.11\text{therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-RINS-V06-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

⁸⁶⁵ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.6.4 Wall Insulation

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from adding insulation (for example, blown cellulose, spray foam) to wall cavities. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre- and post-project R-values and to measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible. If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be empty wall cavities.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.⁸⁶⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

Where

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{wall}}\right) * A_{wall} * (1 - FramingFactor_{wall}) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

R_{wall} = R-value of new wall assembly including all layers between inside air and outside air (ft²·°F·h/Btu)

⁸⁶⁶ As recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

R_{old} = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation ($ft^2 \cdot F \cdot h / Btu$)
 (Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies)⁸⁶⁷

A_{wall} = Net area of insulated wall (ft^2)

$FramingFactor_{wall}$ = Adjustment to account for area of framing
 = 25%⁸⁶⁸

CDD = Cooling Degree Days
 = Dependent on location:⁸⁶⁹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown	1,068

24 = Converts days to hours

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)
 = 0.75⁸⁷⁰

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{Cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)
 = Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁸⁷¹ – If unknown, assume the following:⁸⁷²

Age of Equipment	η_{Cool} Sealed Duct Estimate	η_{Cool} Unsealed Duct Estimate (n_{Cool} Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14	12

$kWh_{heating}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

⁸⁶⁷ An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).

⁸⁶⁸ ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1.

⁸⁶⁹ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

⁸⁷⁰ This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

⁸⁷¹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes", May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁸⁷² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Wall}}\right) * A_{wall} * (1 - FramingFactor_{wall}) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Wall}}{(\eta_{Heat} * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days
 = Dependent on location:⁸⁷³

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
 = Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁸⁷⁴ If not available, refer to default table below.⁸⁷⁵

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

ADJ_{Wall} = Adjustment for wall insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings
 = 63%⁸⁷⁶

⁸⁷³ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁸⁷⁴ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁸⁷⁵ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

⁸⁷⁶ Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: “Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation”, August 2012. See “Insulation ADJ calculations.xls” for details or calculation.

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump with sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\ &= (((1/5 - 1/13) * 990 * (1-0.25) * 616 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/5 - 1/13) * 990 * (1-0.25) * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (1.92 * 3412)) \\ &= 97 + 1348 \\ &= 1445 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{heating} &= \text{If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time} \\ &= \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3 \end{aligned}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} F_e &= \text{Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption} \\ &= 3.14\%^{877} \\ 29.3 &= \text{kWh per therm} \end{aligned}$$

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section) with sealed ducts:

$$\Delta kWh = 119.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} FLH_{cooling} &= \text{Full load hours of air conditioning} \\ &= \text{Dependent on location:}^{878} \end{aligned}$$

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

$$\begin{aligned} CF &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling} \\ &= 68\% \text{ if central AC; } 72\% \text{ if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home} \end{aligned}$$

⁸⁷⁷ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

⁸⁷⁸ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

conditioning;⁸⁷⁹ 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone⁸⁸⁰

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump with sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 97 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.1409 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{wall}}\right) * A_{wall} * (1 - FramingFactor_{wall}) * HDD * 24 * ADJWall}{(\eta_{Heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

HDD = Heating Degree Days
 = Dependent on location:⁸⁸¹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system
 = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
 = Actual⁸⁸². If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁸⁸³ If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts⁸⁸⁴ or 87% for sealed ducts

⁸⁷⁹ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

⁸⁸⁰ Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, “All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems”, October 6, 2015.

⁸⁸¹ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁸⁸² Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁸⁸³ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁸⁸⁴ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant space heating system is a central warm-air furnace, and energy source of natural gas (based on Energy Information Administration, 2019 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, HC6.9 for the Midwest)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average mix heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% with sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{Therms} &= ((1/5 - 1/13) * 990 * (1-0.25) * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (0.74 * 100,000) \\ &= 101.5 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁸⁸⁵

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft² of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% and sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 101.5 * 0.016525 \\ &= 1.7 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-WINS-V05-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

⁸⁸⁵ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.6.5 Insulated Doors

DESCRIPTION

Energy and demand saving are realized through reductions in the building cooling and heating loads.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is insulation levels that exceed code requirements and should be determined by the program.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The retrofit baseline condition is the existing condition and requires assessment of the existing insulation. It should be based on the entire door assembly.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure expected useful life (EUL) is assumed to be 25 years.⁸⁸⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For retrofit projects, full installation costs should be used.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electric energy savings is calculated as the sum of energy saved when cooling the building and energy saved when heating the building.

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

If central cooling, the electric energy saved in annual cooling due to the added insulation is

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}} \right) * Area * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1,000 * \eta_{cooling})}$$

Where:

⁸⁸⁶ Fannie Mae Estimated useful life tables for multifamily properties.

- $R_{existing}$ = Existing door heat loss coefficient [(hr·°F·ft²)/Btu]. If unknown, assume 3.125⁸⁸⁷
- R_{new} = New door heat loss coefficient [(hr·°F·ft²)/Btu]
- Area = Area of the door surface in square feet
- CDD = Cooling Degree Days
= Dependent on location:⁸⁸⁸

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown	1,068

- 24 = Converts days to hours
- DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)
= 0.75⁸⁸⁹
- 1,000 = Conversion from Btu to kBtu
- $\eta_{cooling}$ = Seasonal energy efficiency ratio (SEER) of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)
= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁸⁹⁰ If unknown, assume the following:⁸⁹¹

Age of Equipment	η_{Cool} Estimate Sealed Duct Estimate	η_{Cool} Unsealed Duct Estimate (nCool Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14	12

If the building is heated with electric heat (resistance or heat pump), the electric energy saved in annual heating due to the added insulation is:

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}}\right) * Area * HDD * 24}{(3,412 * \eta_{heating})}$$

Where:

⁸⁸⁷ IECC 2012 and 2015 requirements

⁸⁸⁸ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

⁸⁸⁹ This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

⁸⁹⁰ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes", May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁸⁹¹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

HDD = Heating Degree Days
 = Dependent on location:⁸⁹²

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

η_{heating} = Efficiency of heating system
 = Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁸⁹³ If not available, refer to default table below:⁸⁹⁴

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	n_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3,142 = Conversion from Btu to kWh.

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installing a new 21 ft² insulated door with an R-value of 11, savings with a 10.5 SEER central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kWh} &= \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} \\ &= (((1/3.125 - 1/11) * 21 * 616 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/3.125 - 1/11) * 21 * 6,391 * 24) / (3,412 * 1.92)) \\ &= 5.1 \text{ kWh} + 112.6 \text{ kWh} \\ &= 117.7 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

If the building is heated with a gas furnace, there will be some electric savings in heating the building attributed to extra insulation since the furnace fans will run less.

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} = \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Gas savings calculated with equation below

⁸⁹² National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁸⁹³ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^{Equipment Age}).

⁸⁹⁴ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

F_e = Percentage of heating energy consumed by fans, assume 3.14%⁸⁹⁵
 29.3 = Conversion from therms to kWh

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installing a new 21 ft² insulated door with an R-value of 11, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= 10.0 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 9.2 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} / FLH_{cooling}) * CF$$

Where:

$FLH_{cooling}$ = Full load hours of air conditioning
 = Dependent on location:⁸⁹⁶

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling
 = 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;⁸⁹⁷ 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone⁸⁹⁸

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installing a new 21 ft² insulated door with an R-value of 11, savings for a 10.5 SEER central AC system:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 5.1 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0074 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If building uses a gas heating system, the savings resulting from the insulation is calculated with the following formula.

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}} \right) * Area * HDD * 24}{(100,000 * \eta_{heat})}$$

Where:

⁸⁹⁵ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See “Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx” for reference.

⁸⁹⁶ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

⁸⁹⁷ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

⁸⁹⁸ Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, “All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems”, October 6, 2015.

- R_{existing} = Existing door heat loss [(hr·°F·ft²)/Btu]
- R_{new} = New door heat loss coefficient [(hr·°F·ft²)/Btu]
- Area = Area of the door surface in square feet.
- HDD = Heating Degree Days
= Dependent on location:⁸⁹⁹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

- 100,000 = Conversion from BTUs to Therms
- η_{heat} = Efficiency of heating system
= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
= Actual⁹⁰⁰. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹⁰¹ If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts⁹⁰² or 87% for sealed ducts.

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installing a new 21 ft² insulated door with an R-value of 11, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = ((1/3.125 - 1/11) * 21 * 6,391 * 24) / (100,000 * 0.74)$$

$$= 10.0 \text{ therms}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

- ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

⁸⁹⁹ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁹⁰⁰ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁹⁰¹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^{Equipment Age}).

⁹⁰² This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁹⁰³
= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installing a new 21 ft² insulated door with an R-value of 11, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 10.0 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.1653 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-DOOR-V04-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026*

⁹⁰³ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.6.6 Floor Insulation Above Crawlspace

DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to the floor above a vented crawl space that does not contain pipes or HVAC equipment. If there are pipes, HVAC, or a basement, it is desirable to keep them within the conditioned space by insulating the crawl space walls and ground. Insulating the floor separates the conditioned space above from the space below the floor and is only acceptable when there is nothing underneath that could freeze or would operate less efficiently in an environment resembling the outdoors. Even in the case of an empty, unvented crawl space, it is still considered best practice to seal and insulate the crawl space perimeter rather than the floor. Not only is there generally less area to insulate this way, but there are also moisture control benefits. There is a “Basement Insulation” measure for perimeter sealing and insulation. This measure assumes the insulation is installed above an unvented crawl space or unconditioned garage and should not be used in other situations.

Note unconditioned means a space that is not intentionally heated via furnace vents or boiler radiators. The presence of and/or leakage from a heating system in a space does not in itself imply the space is conditioned.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be no insulation on any surface surrounding a crawl space or garage.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.⁹⁰⁴

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

⁹⁰⁴ As recommended in Navigant ‘ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report’, May 2018.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Where available savings from shell insulation measures should be determined through a custom analysis. When that is not feasible for the program the following engineering algorithms can be used with the inclusion of an adjustment factor to de-rate the heating savings.

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{Old})} \right) * Area * (1 - Framing Factor) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

R_{Old} = R-value value of floor before insulation, assuming 3/4" plywood subfloor and carpet with pad

= Actual. If unknown assume 3.96⁹⁰⁵

R_{Added} = R-value of additional spray foam, rigid foam, or cavity insulation.

= Actual⁹⁰⁶

Area = Total floor area to be insulated

Framing Factor = Adjustment to account for area of framing

= 12%⁹⁰⁷

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Unconditioned Space
	CDD 75 ⁹⁰⁸
Zone 5 (Burlington)	411
Zone 6 (Mason City)	264
Average/ unknown	474

⁹⁰⁵ Based on 2005 ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals: assuming 2x8 joists, 16" OC, 3/4" subfloor, 1/2" carpet with rubber pad, and accounting for a still air film above and below: $1 / [(0.85 \text{ cavity share of area} / (0.68 + 0.94 + 1.23 + 0.68)) + (0.15 \text{ framing share} / (0.68 + 7.5" * 1.25 \text{ R/in} + 0.94 + 1.23 + 0.68))] = 3.96$

⁹⁰⁶ If open cavity, add new insulation value to the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value (R_{old}). If closed cavity, since you are displacing one or two air layers, reduce the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value by one and add to new insulation. Note, if existing insulation is added to/not removed – always re-evaluate R-value of existing insulation as it may have been degraded significantly due to compression etc.

⁹⁰⁷ ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1

⁹⁰⁸ The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75°F for cooling and 50°F for heating are used based on professional judgment. Five year average cooling degree days with 75°F base temp are provided from DegreeDays.net because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC are not available at base temps above 72°F.

- DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).
= 0.75⁹⁰⁹
- 1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu
- ηCool = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)
= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹¹⁰ If unknown assume the following:⁹¹¹

Age of Equipment	ηCool Estimate Sealed Duct Estimate	ηCool Unsealed Duct Estimate (ηCool Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14	12

ΔkWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{Old})} \right) * Area * (1 - Framing Factor) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Floor}}{(\eta_{Heat} * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 50 ⁹¹²
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2,678
Zone 6 (Mason City)	4,222
Average/ unknown	3,126

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system
= Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of

⁹⁰⁹ Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; “Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research”, p31.

⁹¹⁰ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹¹¹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

⁹¹² The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75°F for cooling and 50°F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹¹³ If not available refer to default table below:⁹¹⁴

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η Heat (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

ADJ_{Floor} = Adjustment for floor insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings.
 = 88%⁹¹⁵

Other factors as defined above

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, a 10.5 SEER Central AC and a newer heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\ &= (((1/3.96 - 1/(30+3.96)) * (20*25) * (1-0.12) * 24 * 264 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5) + (((1/3.96 - 1/(30+3.96)) * (20*25) * (1-0.12) * 24 * 4222) / (3412 * 1.92)) * 0.88) \\ &= (44.4 + 1336.0) \\ &= 1380.4 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time
 = $\Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$

Where:

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
 = 3.14%⁹¹⁶
 29.3 = kWh per therm

⁹¹³ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^{Equipment Age}).

⁹¹⁴ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

⁹¹⁵ Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: “Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation”, August 2012. See “Insulation ADJ calculations.xls” for details or calculation. Note that basement wall is used as a proxy for crawlspace ceiling.

⁹¹⁶ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See “Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx” for reference.

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 74% efficient furnace (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= 118.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 108.8 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning
 = Dependent on location:⁹¹⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling
 = 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;⁹¹⁸ 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone⁹¹⁹

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, a 10.5 SEER Central AC and a newer heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 44.4 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0645 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta \text{Therms (if Natural Gas heating)} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{Old})} \right) * Area * (1 - Framing Factor) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Floor}}{(\eta_{Heat} * 100,000)} \end{aligned}$$

Where:

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system
 = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
 = Actual⁹²⁰. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum

⁹¹⁷ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDRC).

⁹¹⁸ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

⁹¹⁹ Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, “All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems”, October 6, 2015.

⁹²⁰ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹²¹ If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts⁹²² or 87% for sealed ducts

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 74% efficient furnace:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = (((1/3.96 - 1/(30 + 3.96)) * (20 * 25) * (1 - 0.12) * 24 * 4222) / (100000 * 0.74)) * 0.88$$

$$= 118.3 \text{ therms}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁹²³

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 74% efficient furnace:

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = 118.3 \text{ therms} * 0.016525$$

$$= 1.95 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-FINS-V05-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

⁹²¹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).
⁹²² This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

⁹²³ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.6.7 Basement Sidewall Insulation

DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to a basement or crawl space. Insulation added above ground in conditioned space is modeled the same as wall insulation. Below ground insulation is adjusted with an approximation of the thermal resistance of the ground. Insulation in unconditioned spaces is modeled by reducing the degree days to reflect the smaller but non-zero contribution to heating and cooling load. Cooling savings only consider above grade insulation, as below grade has little temperature difference during the cooling season.

Note unconditioned means a space that is not intentionally heated via furnace vents or boiler radiators. The presence of and/or leakage from a heating system in a space does not in itself imply the space is conditioned.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be no basement wall or ceiling insulation.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.⁹²⁴

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Where available savings from shell insulation measures should be determined through a custom analysis. When that is not feasible for the program the following engineering algorithms can be used with the inclusion of an adjustment

⁹²⁴ As recommended in Navigant ‘ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report’, May 2018.

factor to de-rate the heating savings.

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{OldAG}} - \frac{1}{R_{Added} + R_{OldAG}} \right) * L_{BWT} * H_{BWAG} * (1 - FF) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

R_{Added} = R-value of additional spray foam, rigid foam, or cavity insulation.
= Actual⁹²⁵

R_{OldAG} = R-value value of foundation wall above grade.
= Actual, if unknown assume 1.0⁹²⁶

L_{BWT} = Length (Basement Wall Total) of basement wall around the entire insulated perimeter (ft)

H_{BWAG} = Height (Basement Wall Above Grade) of insulated basement wall above grade (ft)

FF = Framing Factor, an adjustment to account for area of framing when cavity insulation is used
= 0% if Spray Foam or External Rigid Foam
= 25% if studs and cavity insulation⁹²⁷

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days
= Dependent on location and whether basement is conditioned:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	CDD 65 ⁹²⁸	CDD 75 ⁹²⁹
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209	411
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616	264
Average/ unknown	1,068	474

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their

⁹²⁵ If open cavity, add new insulation value to the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value (R_{old}). If closed cavity, since you are displacing one or two air layers, reduce the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value by one and add to new insulation. Note, if existing insulation is added to/not removed – always re-evaluate R-value of existing insulation as it may have been degraded significantly due to compression etc.

⁹²⁶ ORNL Builders Foundation Handbook, crawl space data from Table 5-5: Initial Effective R-values for Uninsulated Foundation System and Adjacent Soil, 1991. See reference file “ORNL Builders Foundation Handbook.”

⁹²⁷ ASHRAE, 2001, “Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP),” Table 7.1

⁹²⁸ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

⁹²⁹ The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75°F for cooling and 50°F for heating are used based on professional judgment. Five year average cooling degree days with 75°F base temp are provided from DegreeDays.net because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC are not available at base temps above 72°F.

- AC when conditions may call for it).
- = 0.75⁹³⁰
- 1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu
- ηCool = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)
- = Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹³¹ If unknown assume the following:⁹³²

Age of Equipment	ηCool Sealed Duct Estimate	ηCool Unsealed Duct Estimate (ηCool Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14	12

ΔkWh_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{OldAG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldAG})} \right) * L_{BWT} * H_{BWAG} * (1 - FF) \right) + \left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{OldBG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldBG})} \right) * L_{BWT} * (H_{BWT} - H_{BWAG}) * (1 - FF) \right) \right) * HDD * 24 * DUA * ADJ_{Basement}}{(3412 * \eta_{Heat})}$$

Where:

- R_{OldBG} = R-value value of foundation wall below grade (including thermal resistance of the earth)⁹³³
- = dependent on depth of foundation (H_basement_wall_total – H_basement_wall_AG):
- = Actual R-value of wall plus average earth R-value by depth in table below
- For example, for an area that extends 5 feet below grade, an R-value of 7.46 would be selected and added to the existing insulation R-value.*

Below Grade R-value									
Depth below grade (ft)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Earth R-value (°F·ft ² ·h/Btu)	2.44	4.50	6.30	8.40	10.44	12.66	14.49	17.00	20.00
Average Earth R-value (°F·ft ² ·h/Btu)	2.44	3.47	4.41	5.41	6.42	7.46	8.46	9.53	10.69

⁹³⁰ This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

⁹³¹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes", May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹³² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

⁹³³ Adapted from Table 1, page 24.4, of the 1977 ASHRAE Fundamentals Handbook

Below Grade R-value									
Depth below grade (ft)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Total BG R-value (earth + R-1.0 foundation) default	3.44	4.47	5.41	6.41	7.42	8.46	9.46	10.53	11.69

H_{BWT} = Total height of basement wall (ft)

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= dependent on location and whether basement is conditioned:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 60 ⁹³⁴	HDD 50 ⁹³⁵
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496	2,678
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391	4,222
Average/ unknown	5,052	3,126

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹³⁶ If not available refer to default table below:⁹³⁷

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η _{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	n _{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

ADJ_{Basement} = Adjustment for basement wall insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings.

⁹³⁴ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁹³⁵ The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75°F for cooling and 50°F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

⁹³⁶ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹³⁷ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

$$= 88\%^{938}$$

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot R-2.25 unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\ &= [(((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1 - 0)) * 24 * 264 * 0.75)/(1000 * 10.5)] + \\ &\quad [((((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1-0)) + ((1 / (2.25 + 6.42) - 1 / (13 + 2.25 + 6.42)) * (20+25+20+25) * 4 * (1-0))) * 24 * 4222) / (3412 * 1.92)) * 0.88] \\ &= (46.3 + 1731.4.0) \\ &= 1777.7 kWh \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$$= \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$$

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

$$= 3.14\%^{939}$$

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 74% efficient furnace (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section):

$$\begin{aligned} &= 153.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 141 kWh \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

FLH_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location:⁹⁴⁰

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441

⁹³⁸ Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: “Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation”, August 2012. See “Insulation ADJ calculations.xls” for details or calculation.

⁹³⁹ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See “Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx” for reference.

⁹⁴⁰ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling
 = 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;⁹⁴¹ 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone⁹⁴²

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 46.3 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0673 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

Δ Therms =

$$= \frac{\left(\left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{OldAG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldAG})} \right) * L_{BWT} * H_{BWAG} * (1 - FF) \right) + \left(\left(\frac{1}{R_{OldBG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldBG})} \right) * L_{BWT} * (H_{BWT} - H_{BWAG}) * (1 - FF) \right) \right) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Basement}}{(100,000 * \eta_{Heat}}$$

Where:

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system
 = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
 = Actual⁹⁴³. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹⁴⁴ If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts⁹⁴⁵ or 87% for sealed ducts

⁹⁴¹ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

⁹⁴² Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, “All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems”, October 6, 2015.

⁹⁴³ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁹⁴⁴ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹⁴⁵ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot R-2.25 basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 74% efficient furnace:

$$= ((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1-0) + (1/8.67 - 1/(13 + 8.67)) * (20+25+20+25) * 4 * (1 - 0)) * 24 * 4222 / (0.74 * 100,000) * 0.88$$

$$= 153.3 \text{ therms}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$ = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁹⁴⁶

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot R-2.25 basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 74% efficient furnace:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = 153.3 \text{ therms} * 0.016525$$

$$= 2.53 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-BINS-V05-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

⁹⁴⁶ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.6.8 Efficient Windows

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings realized by the purchase and installation of new windows that have better thermal insulating properties compared to code requirements. Code does not specify solar heat gain coefficient requirements for residential windows and therefore no impacts are quantified or claimed. For a comprehensive estimate of impacts, computer modeling is recommended.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: NC, TOS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient solution is a window assembly with a U-factor that is better than code.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a window assembly with a U-factor equal to code requirements.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.⁹⁴⁷

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is assumed to be \$1.50 per square foot of window area.⁹⁴⁸

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

The following calculations apply to a single window assembly.

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

⁹⁴⁷ Consistent with window measure lives specified in the MidAmerican Energy Company Joint Assessment, February 2013.

⁹⁴⁸ Alliance to Save Energy Efficiency Windows Collaborative Report, December 2007.

$$= \frac{(U_{code} - U_{eff}) * A_{window} * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{cool})}$$

U_{code} = U-factor value of code baseline (IECC2012) window assembly (Btu/ft²·°F·h)
 = 0.32 (Btu/ft²·°F·h) or 0.55 (Btu/ft²·°F·h) for skylights.

U_{eff} = U-factor value of the efficient window assembly (Btu/ft²·°F·h)
 = Actual.

A_{window} = Area of insulated window (including visible framing and glass) (ft²)

CDD = Cooling Degree Days
 = Dependent on location:⁹⁴⁹

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown	1,068

24 = Converts days to hours

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)
 = 0.75⁹⁵⁰

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

η_{cool} = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)
 = Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹⁵¹ If unknown, assume the following:⁹⁵²

Age of Equipment	η_{Cool} Sealed Duct Estimate	η_{Cool} Unsealed Duct Estimate (nCool Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14	12

$kWh_{heating}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

⁹⁴⁹ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

⁹⁵⁰ This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

⁹⁵¹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes", May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹⁵² These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

$$= \frac{(U_{code} - U_{eff}) * A_{window} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{window}}{(\eta_{heat} * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days
 = Dependent on location:⁹⁵³

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

η_{heat} = Efficiency of heating system
 = Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹⁵⁴ If not available, refer to default table below:⁹⁵⁵

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh
 ADJ_{window} = Adjustment for account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings
 = 63%⁹⁵⁶

Other factors as defined above.

⁹⁵³ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

⁹⁵⁴ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹⁵⁵ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

⁹⁵⁶ Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: “Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation”, August 2012. See “Insulation ADJ calculations.xls” for details or calculation. The adjustment for walls was assumed to be an appropriate adjustment for windows.

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings for a 10.5 SEER Central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating} \\ &= (((0.32 - 0.25) * 8 * 616 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((0.32 - 0.25) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (1.92 * 3412)) * 15 \\ &= 9 kWh + 124 kWh \\ &= 133 kWh \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$$= \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

$$= 3.14\%^{957}$$

29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= 11 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 10 kWh \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

$FLH_{cooling}$ = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location:⁹⁵⁸

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home

⁹⁵⁷ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e . See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

⁹⁵⁸ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

conditioning;⁹⁵⁹ 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone⁹⁶⁰

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings for a 10.5 SEER Central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 9 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0131 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{(U_{code} - U_{eff}) * A_{window} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{window}}{(\eta_{heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

- η_{heat} = Efficiency of heating system
- = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
- = Actual⁹⁶¹. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹⁶² If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts⁹⁶³ or 87% for sealed ducts
- 100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms
- Other factors as defined above.

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{Therms} &= [(0.32 - 0.25) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63] / (0.74 * 100,000) * 15 \\ &= 11 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

⁹⁵⁹ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’.

⁹⁶⁰ Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, “All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems”, October 6, 2015.

⁹⁶¹ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁹⁶² Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹⁶³ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

- $\Delta Therms$ = Therm impact calculated above
- GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁹⁶⁴
 - = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
 - = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta PeakTherms &= 11 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.18 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-WINS-V04-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021*

* Currently, no utilities are offering this measure, and it is therefore overdue for a reliability review. If a utility plans to start using this measure again it should be reviewed accordingly.

⁹⁶⁴ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.6.9 Window Insulation Kits

DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from installing seasonal window insulation kits during the heating season. Kits generally include tape and shrink film that is applied to window moldings to create a static air layer between the interior of the home and the window surface. There are three principal mechanisms that constitute heat transfer through windows: Air leakage/infiltration, temperature driven heat transfer, and solar gains. Due to the complexities and uncertainties related to estimating how air leakage/infiltration rates may be affected by retrofit activities, and the potential for double-counting savings claimed through separate air sealing measures, only temperature driven heat transfer is considered. Window insulation kits are considered a seasonal measure during the heating season and thus savings are only heating energy savings are claimed.

It is recommended that a member of the implementation staff evaluate the pre- and post-project R-values, measure surface areas, and evaluate the efficiency of the heating equipment in the home. Additionally, installation quality should be verified, as this measure relies on the creation of a static air layer to be effective.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient solution is one that effectively creates a static air layer in series with the existing window (can be on either side of the window) and the outdoor environment. The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition is the pre-retrofit window assembly.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is one year.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

The following calculations apply to a single window assembly.

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

$kWh_{heating}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Old} + R_{New}}\right) * A_{window} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{window}}{(\eta_{heat} * 3412)}$$

R_{Old} = R-value value of existing window assembly (ft²·°F·h/Btu)

= Actual. If unknown, assume R-2⁹⁶⁵

R_{New} = R-value of added air layer (ft²·°F·h/Btu)

= R-2.85⁹⁶⁶

A_{window} = Net area of insulated window (ft²)

= Actual. If unknown, assume 8 ft² (24 inch x 48 inch)

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:⁹⁶⁷

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

η_{heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹⁶⁸ If not available, refer to default table below:⁹⁶⁹

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

⁹⁶⁵ A typical R-value for a double-pane window and consistent with the assumptions outlined in the MidAmerican Energy Company Joint Assessment (February 2013) for existing windows.

⁹⁶⁶ Based on PNNL report 2444-2. Experimental data showed that an air gap greater than 0.5 inches had virtually no impact on insulation properties, and that an R-value of 2.85 is expected for any air gap greater than 0.5 inches.

⁹⁶⁷ National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

⁹⁶⁸ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes", May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹⁶⁹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

- 3412 = Converts Btu to kWh
- ADJ_{window} = Adjustment for wall insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings
= 63%⁹⁷⁰

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Heating savings with a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= \Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} \\ &= [(1/2 - 1/(2+4)) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63] / (1.92 * 3412)) * 15 \\ &= 590 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

- ΔkWh_{heating} = If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time
= ΔTherms * F_e * 29.3

Where:

- F_e = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption
= 3.14%⁹⁷¹
- 29.3 = kWh per therm

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= 52 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 48 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Old} + R_{New}} \right) * A_{window} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{window}}{(\eta_{heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

- ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system
= Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency

⁹⁷⁰ Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation. The adjustment for walls was assumed to be an appropriate adjustment for windows.

⁹⁷¹ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

= Actual⁹⁷². If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹⁷³ If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts⁹⁷⁴ or 87% for sealed ducts

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above.

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\Delta\text{Therms} = [(1/2 - 1/(2+4)) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63] / (0.74 * 100,000)) * 15$$

$$= 52 \text{ therms}$$

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁹⁷⁵
 = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
 = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = 52 * 0.016525$$

$$= 0.86 \text{ therms}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

⁹⁷² Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁹⁷³ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes", May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹⁷⁴ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

⁹⁷⁵ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-WINK-V03-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

2.6.10 Storm Windows

DESCRIPTION

Storm windows installed on either the interior or exterior of existing window assemblies can reduce both heating and cooling loads by reducing infiltration and solar heat gain and improving insulation properties. Glass options for storm windows can include traditional clear glazing as well as low-emissivity (Low-E) glazing. Low-E glass is formed by adding an ultra-thin layer of metal to clear glass. The metallic-oxide (pyrolytic) coating is applied when the glass is in its molten state, and the coating becomes a permanent and extremely durable part of the glass. This coating is also known as "hard-coat" Low-E. Low-E glass is designed to redirect heat back towards the source, effectively providing higher insulating properties and lower solar heat gain as compared to traditional clear glass. This characterization captures the savings associated with installing storm windows to an existing window assembly (retrofit).

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

An interior or exterior storm window installed according to manufacturer specifications.

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing window assembly.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.⁹⁷⁶

DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual capital cost for this measure should be used when available and include both material and labor costs. If unavailable, the cost for a low-e storm window can be assumed as \$7.85/ft² of window area (material cost) plus \$30 per window for installation expenses.⁹⁷⁷ For clear glazing, cost can be assumed as \$6.72/ft² of window area (material cost) plus \$30 per window for installation expenses.⁹⁷⁸

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

⁹⁷⁶ Task ET-WIN-PNNL-FY13-01_5.3: Database of Low-e Storm Window Energy Performance across U.S. Climate Zones. KA Cort and TD Culp, September 2013. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. PNNL-22864.

⁹⁷⁷ Task ET-WIN-PNNL-FY13-01_5.3: Database of Low-e Storm Window Energy Performance across U.S. Climate Zones. KA Cort and TD Culp, September 2013. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. PNNL-22864.

⁹⁷⁸ A comparison of low-e to clear glazed storm windows available at large national retail outlets showed the average incremental cost for low-e glazing to be \$1.13/ft². Installation costs are identical.

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

The following reference tables show savings factors (kBtu/ft²) for both heating and cooling loads for each of the weather zones defined by the TRM.⁹⁷⁹ They are used with savings equations listed in the electric energy and gas savings sections to produce savings estimates. If storm windows are left installed year-round, both heating and cooling savings may be claimed. If they are installed seasonally, only heating savings should be claimed. Savings are dependent on location, storm window location (interior or exterior), glazing type (clear or Low-E) and existing window assembly type.

Zone 5 (Burlington)

Heating:

Savings in kBtu/ft ²		Base Window Assembly			
		Single Pane, Double Hung	Double Pane, Double Hung	Single Pane, Fixed	Double Pane, Fixed
Storm Window Type	Clear Exterior	58.4	17.3	59.2	15.9
	Clear Interior	60.9	22.5	60.1	18.0
	Low-E Exterior	64.2	18.4	66.1	23.7
	Low-E Interior	71.0	25.9	69.1	22.6

Cooling:

Savings in kBtu/ft ²		Base Window Assembly			
		Single Pane, Double Hung	Double Pane, Double Hung	Single Pane, Fixed	Double Pane, Fixed
Storm Window Type	Clear Exterior	22.9	10.4	22.4	9.5
	Clear Interior	23.8	10.7	24.3	9.7
	Low-E Exterior	29.3	15.3	29.1	9.1
	Low-E Interior	28.5	14.0	28.8	13.3

Zone 6 (Mason City)

Heating:

Savings in kBtu/ft ²		Base Window Assembly			
		Single Pane, Double Hung	Double Pane, Double Hung	Single Pane, Fixed	Double Pane, Fixed
Storm Window Type	Clear Exterior	91.3	28.6	92.1	26.3
	Clear Interior	95.3	35.8	94.5	29.6
	Low-E Exterior	102.0	32.4	104.3	36.4
	Low-E Interior	110.7	41.9	108.4	37.3

⁹⁷⁹ Savings factors are based on simulation results, documented in "Storm Windows Savings.xlsx"

Cooling:

Savings in kBtu/ft ²		Base Window Assembly			
		Single Pane, Double Hung	Double Pane, Double Hung	Single Pane, Fixed	Double Pane, Fixed
Storm Window Type	Clear Exterior	14.9	7.6	14.4	6.9
	Clear Interior	15.5	7.4	16.0	6.9
	Low-E Exterior	20.1	11.8	19.7	6.0
	Low-E Interior	18.8	10.0	19.2	9.7

Average/Unknown

Heating:

Savings in kBtu/ft ²		Base Window Assembly			
		Single Pane, Double Hung	Double Pane, Double Hung	Single Pane, Fixed	Double Pane, Fixed
Storm Window Type	Clear Exterior	70.3	21.4	71.1	19.7
	Clear Interior	73.3	27.3	72.5	22.2
	Low-E Exterior	77.9	23.5	80.0	28.4
	Low-E Interior	85.4	31.8	83.3	28.0

Cooling:

Savings in kBtu/ft ²		Base Window Assembly			
		Single Pane, Double Hung	Double Pane, Double Hung	Single Pane, Fixed	Double Pane, Fixed
Storm Window Type	Clear Exterior	20.0	9.4	19.5	8.5
	Clear Interior	20.8	9.4	21.3	8.7
	Low-E Exterior	25.9	13.9	25.5	7.9
	Low-E Interior	24.9	12.4	25.2	11.9

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$ = If storm windows are left installed during the cooling season and the home has central cooling, the reduction in annual cooling requirement

$$= \frac{\varphi_{cool} * A}{\eta_{Cool}}$$

φ_{cool} = Savings factor for cooling, as tabulated above.

A = Area (square footage) of storm windows installed.

η_{Cool} = Efficiency (SEER) of Air Conditioning equipment (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to

account for degradation over time.⁹⁸⁰ If unknown, assume the following:⁹⁸¹

Age of Equipment	SEER Sealed Estimate	SEER Unsealed Estimate
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14	12

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$ = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating.

$$= \frac{\varphi_{heat} * A}{\eta_{Heat} * 3.412}$$

Where:

φ_{heat} = Savings factor for heating, as tabulated above.

η_{Heat} = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹⁸² If not available refer to default table below:⁹⁸³

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	η_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	n_{Heat} (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3.412 = Converts kBtu to kWh

⁹⁸⁰ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹⁸¹ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

⁹⁸² Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, “Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes”, May 2006 and Cadmus, “Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013”, May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹⁸³ These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installing 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' exterior low-e storm windows over existing double pane, double hung windows, savings for a 10.5 SEER Central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating} \\ &= (((11.8 * 8) / 10.5) + ((32.4 * 8) / (1.92 * 3.412))) * 15 \\ &= 135 kWh + 593 kWh \\ &= 728 kWh \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh_{heating} &= \text{If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time} \\ &= \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3 \end{aligned}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} F_e &= \text{Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption} \\ &= 3.14\%^{984} \\ 29.3 &= \text{kWh per therm} \end{aligned}$$

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installing 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' exterior low-e storm windows over existing double pane, double hung windows, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= 52 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 48 kWh \end{aligned}$$

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned} FLH_{cooling} &= \text{Full load hours of air conditioning} \\ &= \text{Dependent on location:}^{985} \end{aligned}$$

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

$$\begin{aligned} CF &= \text{Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling} \\ &= 68\% \text{ if central AC; } 72\% \text{ if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home} \end{aligned}$$

⁹⁸⁴ F_e is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E_f in MMBtu/yr) and E_{ae} (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F_e. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

⁹⁸⁵ Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

conditioning;⁹⁸⁶ 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone⁹⁸⁷

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installing 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' exterior low-e storm windows over existing double pane, double hung windows, savings for a 10.5 SEER Central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 135 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.1962 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{\varphi_{heat} * A}{\eta_{Heat} * 100}$$

Where:

- ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system
- = Equipment efficiency * distribution efficiency
- = Actual⁹⁸⁸. If using rated efficiencies, derate efficiency value by 1% per year (maximum of 30 years) to account for degradation over time.⁹⁸⁹ If not available, use 74% for unsealed ducts⁹⁹⁰ or 87% for sealed ducts
- 100 = Converts kBtu to Therms
- Other factors as defined above

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installing 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' exterior low-e storm windows over existing double pane, double hung windows, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= ((32.4 * 8) / (0.74 * 100)) * 15 \\ &= 52.5 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

⁹⁸⁶ Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

⁹⁸⁷ Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

⁹⁸⁸ Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

⁹⁸⁹ Justification for degradation factors is based on review of a number of studies including: NREL, "Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes", May 2006 and Cadmus, "Ameren Missouri CoolSavers Impact and Process Evaluation: Program Year 2013", May 2014. Estimate efficiency as (Rated Efficiency * (1-0.01)^Equipment Age).

⁹⁹⁰ This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60*0.92) + (0.40*0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74.

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

- $\Delta Therms$ = Therm impact calculated above
- GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating⁹⁹¹
 - = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler
 - = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

For example, for a single family home in Mason City installing 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' exterior low-e storm windows over existing double pane, double hung windows, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta PeakTherms &= 52.5 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.8676 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-STRM-V03-230101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

⁹⁹¹ Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

2.7 Miscellaneous

2.7.1 Residential Pool Pumps

DESCRIPTION

Residential pool pumps can be single speed, two/multi speed or variable speed. A federal standard (82 FR 5650) effective July 19, 2021, effectively requires new pumps to be at least two-speed.

Single speed pumps are often oversized and run frequently at constant flow regardless of load. Single speed pool pumps require that the motor be sized for the task that requires the highest speed. As such, energy is wasted performing low speed tasks at high speed. Two-speed and variable speed pool pumps reduce speed when less flow is required, such as when filtering is needed but not cleaning, and have timers that encourage programming for fewer on-hours. Variable speed pool pumps use advanced motor technologies to achieve efficiency ratings of 90% while the average single speed pump will have efficiency ratings between 30% and 70%.⁹⁹²

This measure applies to the purchase and installation of a new ENERGY STAR variable speed residential pool pump motor in place of a new baseline pump meeting the federal standard for Time of Sale and New Construction, or the early replacement of a standard single speed motor of equivalent horsepower.

The new federal and ENERGY STAR standards now provide specifications for above ground in addition to in ground pool pumps.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The high efficiency equipment is an ENERGY STAR residential pool pump. ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Specification Version 3.0 became effective on July 19, 2021. This characterization assumes incentivized products meet Version 3.0 requirements provided below:

Pump Sub-Type	Size Class	Version 3.0 Energy Efficiency Level (Effective 7/19/2021)
Self-Priming (Inground) Pool Pumps	Extra Small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF ≥ 13.40
	Small (hhp > 0.13 and < 0.711)	WEF ≥ -2.45 x ln (hhp) + 8.40
	Standard Size (hhp ≥ 0.711)	WEF ≥ -2.45 x ln (hhp) + 8.40
Non-Self Priming (Aboveground) Pool Pumps	Extra Small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF ≥ 4.92
	Standard Size (hhp > 0.13)	WEF ≥ -1.00 x ln (hhp) + 3.85

DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For TOS and NC, the baseline equipment is a two-speed residential pool pump meeting the Federal Standard, effective July 19, 2021, provided below:

Pump Sub-Type	Size Class	Baseline (Effective 7/19/2021)
Self-Priming (Inground) Pool Pumps	Extra Small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF ≥ 5.55
	Small (hhp > 0.13 and < 0.711)	WEF ≥ -1.30 x ln (hhp) + 2.90
	Standard Size (hhp ≥ 0.711)	WEF ≥ -2.30 x ln (hhp) + 6.59

⁹⁹² U.S. DOE, 2012. Measure Guideline: Replacing Single-Speed Pool Pumps with Variable Speed Pumps for Energy Savings. Report No. DOE/GO-102012-3534.

Pump Sub-Type	Size Class	Baseline (Effective 7/19/2021)
Non-Self Priming (Aboveground) Pool Pumps	Extra Small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF ≥ 4.60
	Standard Size (hhp > 0.13)	WEF ≥ -0.85 x ln (hhp) + 2.87

For retrofit, the baseline is the existing single speed pool pump.

DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The estimated useful life for an ENERGY STAR pool pump is 10 years.⁹⁹³

For retrofit, the estimated remaining useful life of the existing single speed pump is 4 years.

DEEMED MEASURE COST

For TOS and NC, the incremental cost is estimated as \$314⁹⁹⁴ for in-ground pumps and are estimated as \$840⁹⁹⁵ for above ground pool pumps.

For retrofit, the full replacement costs shall be used. A deferred new baseline cost (after 4 years) of replacing the existing equipment should also be included.

LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE17 – Residential Pool Pumps

⁹⁹³ The CEE Efficient Residential Swimming Pool Initiative, p18, indicates that the average motor life for pools in use year round is 5-7 years. For pools in use for under a third of a year, the expected lifetime is higher, so 10 years is selected as an assumption. This is consistent with DEER 2014 and the ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Calculator assumptions.

⁹⁹⁴ Incremental costs are from 2013 ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Calculator and represent the difference between the two/multi speed incremental cost and the variable speed incremental cost (\$549 - \$235 = \$314).

⁹⁹⁵ CEE Efficient Residential Swimming Pool Initiative, December 2012, page 18 and represent the difference between the two/multi speed incremental cost and the variable speed incremental cost (\$1120 - \$280 = \$840).

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS⁹⁹⁶

For TOS and NC:

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{\text{Gallons} * \text{Turnovers} * \left(\frac{1}{WEF_{Base}} - \frac{1}{WEF_{ESTAR}} \right)}{1000} * \text{Days}$$

For retrofit:

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{\text{Gallons} * \text{Turnovers} * \left(\frac{1}{EF_{Exist}} - \frac{1}{WEF_{ESTAR}} \right)}{1000} * \text{Days}$$

Where:

Gallons = Capacity of the pool
 = Actual. If unknown assume:

Pool Type	Gallons
In ground	22,000 ⁹⁹⁷
Above ground	7,540 ⁹⁹⁸

Turnovers = Desired number of pool water turnovers per day
 = 2⁹⁹⁹

WEF_{Base} = Weighted Energy factor of baseline pump (gal/Wh)¹⁰⁰⁰

Pool Type	WEF _{Base}
In ground	4.6
Above ground	2.6

WEF_{ESTAR} = Weighted Energy Factor of ENERGY STAR pump (gal/Wh)¹⁰⁰¹

Pool Type	WEF _{ESTAR}
In ground	6.3
Above ground	3.5

EF_{Exist} = Energy Factor of existing single speed pump (gal/Wh)

⁹⁹⁶ The methodology followed is consistent with the most recent version of the 2020 ENERGY STAR calculator (Pool_Pump_Calculator_2020.05.05_FINAL.xls), however this has not been updated to account for the new federal standard.

⁹⁹⁷ Consistent with assumption in the 2020 ENERGY STAR calculator.

⁹⁹⁸ Based on typical pool sizes from “Evaluation of Potential Best Management Practices - Pools, Spas, and Fountains, The California Urban Water Conservation Council”, 2010.

⁹⁹⁹ Consistent with assumption in the 2020 ENERGY STAR calculator.

¹⁰⁰⁰ Based on applying the federal standard specifications to the average Curve-C rated hydraulic horsepower (hhp) from the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List, accessed 3/31/2021, see “2021 IA TRM_Pool Pump Calculator.xls”.

¹⁰⁰¹ Based on applying the ENERGY STAR specifications to the average Curve-C rated hydraulic horsepower (hhp) from the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List, accessed 3/31/2021, see “2021 IA TRM_Pool Pump Calculator.xls”.

$= 2.3^{1002}$
 1,000 = Conversion factor from Wh to kWh
 Days = Pool operating days per year
 $= 122^{1003}$

Based on defaults provided above:

Pool Type	ΔkWh	
	TOS/NC	Retrofit
In ground	307.7	1512.1
Above ground	189.5	283.7

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

For TOS and NC:

$$\Delta kW = ((kWh/Day_{Base})/(Hrs/Day_{Base}) - (kWh/Day_{ESTAR})/(Hrs/Day_{ESTAR})) * CF$$

For retrofit:

$$\Delta kW = ((kWh/Day_{Exist})/(Hrs/Day_{Exist}) - (kWh/Day_{ESTAR})/(Hrs/Day_{ESTAR})) * CF$$

Where:

kWh/Day = Daily energy consumption of pool pump

Pool Type	ΔkWh/day		
	Base	ESTAR	Exist
In ground	9.5	7.0	19.4
Above ground	5.9	4.3	6.6

Hrs/Day = Daily run hours of pump
 $= (\text{Gallons} * \text{Turnover}) / \text{GPM}$

Pool Type		Weighted Average GPM ¹⁰⁰⁴	Hours/Day
		In ground	Base
ESTAR	32.2		22.8
Exist	78		9.4
Above ground	Base	44.7	5.6
	ESTAR	27.3	9.2
	Exist	78.1	3.2

¹⁰⁰² Consistent with assumption in the 2020 ENERGY STAR calculator assuming 1.5 HP pump.

¹⁰⁰³ Consistent with assumption in the 2020 ENERGY STAR calculator.

¹⁰⁰⁴ The 2013 ENERGY STAR calculator (included in file “2021 IA TRM_Pool Pump Calculator” provided high and low flow and hour assumptions for multi and variable speed pumps. This is used to estimate a weighted average GPM assumption.

CF = Summer peak coincidence Factor for measure
 = 0.831¹⁰⁰⁵

Based on defaults provided above:

Pool Type	ΔkW Saved	
	TOS/NC	Retrofit
In ground	0.2152	1.4641
Above ground	0.4793	1.3285

NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-MSC-RPLP-V03-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

¹⁰⁰⁵ Based on assumptions of daily load pattern through pool season. Assumption was developed for Efficiency Vermont but is considered a reasonable estimate for Iowa.