

# **Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual Version 6.0**

## **Volume 2: Residential Measures**

**FINAL: July 23, 2021**

**Effective: January 1, 2022**

[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## Table of Contents

### Volume 1: Overview and User Guide

<b>Volume 2: Residential Measures.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.1 Appliances.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1.1 Clothes Washer .....	5
2.1.2 Clothes Dryer.....	13
2.1.3 Refrigerator.....	22
2.1.4 Freezer .....	29
2.1.5 Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling.....	36
2.1.6 Room Air Conditioner (Removed 2021).....	46
2.1.7 Room Air Conditioner Recycling.....	47
2.1.8 ENERGY STAR Air Purifier/Cleaner.....	50
<b>2.2 Consumer Electronics.....</b>	<b>53</b>
2.2.1 Tier 1 Advanced Power Strip (APS).....	53
2.2.2 Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips (APS) – Residential Audio Visual .....	56
<b>2.3 Hot Water .....</b>	<b>59</b>
2.3.1 Gas Water Heater .....	59
2.3.2 Heat Pump Water Heaters.....	64
2.3.3 Water Heater Temperature Setback .....	71
2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators.....	75
2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads .....	89
2.3.6 Domestic Hot Water Pipe Insulation .....	99
2.3.7 Water Heater Wrap .....	103
<b>2.4 Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC).....</b>	<b>107</b>
2.4.1 Central Air Source Heat Pump.....	107
2.4.2 Central Air Conditioner .....	115
2.4.3 Boiler .....	122
2.4.4 Furnace .....	127
2.4.5 Furnace Blower Motor.....	132
2.4.6 Geothermal Source Heat Pump.....	137
2.4.7 Ductless Heat Pumps.....	150
2.4.8 Energy Recovery Ventilator.....	156
2.4.9 Gas Fireplace.....	161
2.4.10 Whole House Fan .....	163
2.4.11 Central Air Source Heat Pump Tune-Up .....	165
2.4.12 Central Air Conditioner Tune-Up.....	168
2.4.13 Boiler Tune-up.....	171

Iowa Statewide Technical Reference Manual—Table of Contents

---

2.4.14	Furnace Tune-Up.....	174
2.4.15	Geothermal Source Heat Pump Tune-Up .....	177
2.4.16	Duct Sealing .....	181
2.4.17	Programmable Thermostats .....	192
2.4.18	Advanced Thermostats.....	198
2.4.19	Duct Insulation .....	206
2.4.20	Advanced Thermostat Optimization Services (Removed 2021) .....	213
2.4.21	Gas-Fired Heat Pump.....	214
<b>2.5</b>	<b>Lighting .....</b>	<b>220</b>
2.5.1	Compact Fluorescent Lamp – Standard (Removed 2021) .....	220
2.5.2	Compact Fluorescent Lamp – Specialty (Removed 2021) .....	221
2.5.3	LED Lamp – Standard .....	222
2.5.4	LED Lamp – Specialty .....	230
2.5.5	LED Exit Signs .....	242
2.5.6	LED Fixtures.....	247
<b>2.6</b>	<b>Shell .....</b>	<b>254</b>
2.6.1	Infiltration Control.....	254
2.6.2	Attic/Ceiling Insulation .....	262
2.6.3	Rim/Band Joist Insulation .....	268
2.6.4	Wall Insulation .....	275
2.6.5	Insulated Doors .....	281
2.6.6	Floor Insulation Above Crawlspace .....	287
2.6.7	Basement Sidewall Insulation .....	293
2.6.8	Efficient Windows.....	300
2.6.9	Window Insulation Kits .....	306
2.6.10	Storm Windows.....	310
<b>2.7</b>	<b>Miscellaneous .....</b>	<b>316</b>
2.7.1	Residential Pool Pumps.....	316
<b>Volume 3: Nonresidential Measures</b>		

## Volume 2: Residential Measures

### 2.1 Appliances

#### 2.1.1 Clothes Washer

##### DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a clothes washer meeting the ENERGY STAR or CEE Tier 2 minimum qualifications. Note if the domestic hot water (DHW) and dryer fuels of the installations are unknown (for example through a retail program) savings are based on a weighted blend using RECS data (the resultant values (kWh, therms and gallons of water) are provided). The algorithms can also be used to calculate site specific savings where DHW and dryer fuels are known.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

##### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Clothes washer must meet the ENERGY STAR or CEE Tier 2 minimum qualifications (provided in the table below), as required by the program.

##### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a standard-sized clothes washer meeting the minimum federal baseline as of January 2018.<sup>1</sup>

Efficiency Level		Top Loading >2.5 Cu ft	Front Loading >2.5 Cu ft
Baseline	Federal Standard	≥1.57 IMEF, ≤6.5 IWF	≥1.84 IMEF, ≤4.7 IWF
Efficient	ENERGY STAR	≥2.06 IMEF, ≤4.3 IWF	≥2.76 IMEF, ≤3.2 IWF
	CEE Tier 2		≥2.92 IMEF, ≤3.2 IWF

The Integrated Modified Energy Factor (IMEF) includes unit operation, standby, water heating, and drying energy use, with the higher the value the more efficient the unit; *"The quotient of the cubic foot (or liter) capacity of the clothes container divided by the total clothes washer energy consumption per cycle, with such energy consumption expressed as the sum of the machine electrical energy consumption, the hot water energy consumption, the energy required for removal of the remaining moisture in the wash load, and the combined low-power mode energy consumption."*

The Integrated Water Factor (IWF) indicates the total water consumption of the unit, with the lower the value the less water required; *"The quotient of the total weighted per-cycle water consumption for all 67 wash cycles in gallons divided by the cubic foot (or liter) capacity of the clothes washer."*<sup>2</sup>

##### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 14 years.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See [http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance\\_standards/product.aspx/productid/39](http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/39).

<sup>2</sup> Definitions provided in ENERGY STAR v8.0 specification on the ENERGY STAR website.

<sup>3</sup> Based on DOE Chapter 8 Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Analysis.

## DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost assumptions are provided below:<sup>4</sup>

Efficiency Level	Incremental Cost	
	Top Loading	Front Loading
ENERGY STAR	\$73	\$121
CEE TIER 2	\$193	\$141

## LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE14 – Residential Clothes Washer

Loadshape G03 – Residential Dryer

## Algorithm

## CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left[ \left( Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEF_{base}} * N_{cycles} \right) * (\%CW_{base} + (\%DHW_{base} * \%Electric_{DHW}) + (\%Dryer_{base} * \%Electric_{Dryer})) \right] - \left[ \left( Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEF_{eff}} * N_{cycles} \right) * (\%CW_{eff} + (\%DHW_{eff} * \%Electric_{DHW}) + (\%Dryer_{eff} * \%Electric_{Dryer})) \right]$$

Where:

- Capacity = Clothes Washer capacity (cubic feet)  
 = Actual – If capacity is unknown, assume 3.93 cubic feet<sup>5</sup>
- IMEFbase = Integrated Modified Energy Factor of baseline unit

Efficiency Level	IMEFbase		
	Top loading >2.5 Cu ft	Front Loading >2.5 Cu ft	Weighted Average <sup>6</sup>
Federal Standard	1.57	1.84	1.84

- IMEFeff = Integrated Modified Energy Factor of efficient unit  
 = Actual. If unknown, assume average values provided below.

<sup>4</sup> Based on cost data from Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Excel-based analytical tool. See '2017 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls' for details.

<sup>5</sup> Based on the average clothes washer volume of all units that pass the new Federal Standard and have an IMEF value on the CEC database of Clothes Washer products (accessed on 04/16/2017). If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

<sup>6</sup> Weighted average IMEF of Federal Standard rating for Front Loading and Top Loading units. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available non-ENERGY STAR product in the CEC database (accessed 04/16/2017). The relative weightings are as follows, see more information in "2017 Clothes Washer Analysis.xlsx":

Efficiency Level	Front	Top
Baseline	98%	2%
ENERGY STAR	27%	73%
CEE Tier 2	100%	0%

Efficiency Level	IMEFeff		
	Top loading >2.5 Cu ft	Front Loading >2.5 Cu ft	Weighted Average <sup>7</sup>
ENERGY STAR	2.06	2.76	2.25
CEE Tier 2	2.92		2.92

Ncycles = Number of Cycles per year  
= 250<sup>8</sup>

%CW = Percentage of total energy consumption for Clothes Washer operation (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

%DHW = Percentage of total energy consumption used for water heating (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

%Dryer = Percentage of total energy consumption for dryer operation (different for baseline and efficient unit – see table below)

	Percentage of Total Energy Consumption <sup>9</sup>		
	%CW	%DHW	%Dryer
Federal Standard	10%	22%	69%
ENERGY STAR	7%	24%	69%
CEE Tier 2	14%	10%	77%

%Electric<sub>DHW</sub> = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be electric

DHW fuel	%Electric <sub>DHW</sub>
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	30.0% <sup>10</sup>

%Electric<sub>Dryer</sub> = Percentage of dryer savings assumed to be electric

Dryer fuel	%Electric <sub>Dryer</sub>
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	87.1% <sup>11</sup>

<sup>7</sup> Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available product in the CEC database (accessed 04/16/2017).

<sup>8</sup> Weighted average of 250 clothes washer cycles per year (based on 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) national sample survey of housing appliances section, Midwest Census Region, West North Central Census Division: <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/>. See '2017 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls' for details.

If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for single-family or multi-family homes, in a particular market, or geographical area then that should be used.

<sup>9</sup> The percentage of total energy consumption that is used for the machine, heating the hot water, or by the dryer is different depending on the efficiency of the unit. Values are based on a weighted average of top loading and front loading units based on data from DOE Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Analysis. See '2017 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls' for details.

<sup>10</sup> Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used

<sup>11</sup> Default assumption for unknown is based on percentage of homes with clothes washers that use an electric dryer from EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2015 for Midwest Region, West North Central Census Division If utilities have

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.1.1 Clothes Washer

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:<sup>12</sup>

Front Loaders:

	$\Delta kWH$			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	179.3	97.6	84.8	3.1
CEE Tier 2	198.8	115.3	89.4	5.8

Top Loaders:

	$\Delta kWH$			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	58.4	81.0	9.6	32.2
CEE Tier 2	198.8	180.6	56.4	38.2

Weighted Average:

	$\Delta kWH$			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	98.0	86.4	34.3	22.7
CEE Tier 2	198.8	115.3	89.4	5.8

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown the prescriptive kWh savings based on defaults provided above should be:

Efficiency Level	$\Delta kWH$		
	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR	110.0	67.9	81.7
CEE Tier 2	126.3	167.8	126.3

**SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS**

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh$  = Energy Savings as calculated above  
 Hours = Assumed Run hours of Clothes Washer

specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

<sup>12</sup> Note that the baseline savings for all cases (Front, Top and Weighted Average) is based on the weighted average baseline IMEF (as opposed to assuming Front baseline for Front efficient unit and Top baseline for Top efficient unit). The reasoning is that the support of the program of more efficient units (which are predominately front loading) will result in some participants switching from planned purchase of a top loader to a front loader.



= 250 hours<sup>13</sup>

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 0.036<sup>14</sup>

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

Front Loaders:

	$\Delta kW$			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0258	0.0141	0.0122	0.0005
CEE Tier 2	0.0286	0.0166	0.0129	0.0008

Top Loaders:

	$\Delta kW$			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0084	0.0117	0.0014	0.0046
CEE Tier 2	0.0286	0.0260	0.0081	0.0055

Weighted Average:

	$\Delta kW$			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0141	0.0124	0.0049	0.0033
CEE Tier 2	0.0286	0.0166	0.0129	0.0008

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown, the prescriptive kW savings should be:

Efficiency Level	$\Delta kW$		
	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR	0.0158	0.0098	0.0118
CEE Tier 2	0.0182	0.0241	0.0182

<sup>13</sup> Based on a weighted average of 250 clothes washer cycles per year assuming an average load runs for one hour.

<sup>14</sup> Calculated from Itron eShapes, 8760 hourly data by end use for Missouri, using IA definition of summer peak period.

# **NATURAL GAS SAVINGS**

$$\Delta Therms = \left[ \left[ \left( Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEF_{base}} * Ncycles \right) * \left( (\%DHW_{base} * \%Natural\ Gas_{DHW} * R_{eff}) + (\%Dryer_{base} * \%Gas_{Dryer} \%Gas_{Dryer}) \right) \right] - \left[ \left( Capacity * \frac{1}{IMEF_{eff}} * Ncycles \right) * \left( (\%DHW_{eff} * \%Gas_{DHW} \%Natural\ Gas_{DHW} * R_{eff}) + (\%Dryer_{eff} * \%Gas_{Dryer} \%Gas_{Dryer}) \right) \right] \right] * Therm_{convert}$$

Where:

$\%Gas_{DHW}$  = Percentage of DHW savings assumed to be Natural Gas

DHW fuel	$\%Gas_{DHW}$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	70.0% <sup>15</sup>

$R_{eff}$  = Recovery efficiency factor

= 1.26<sup>16</sup>

$\%Gas_{Dryer}$  = Percentage of dryer savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Dryer fuel	$\%Gas_{Dryer}$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	12.9% <sup>17</sup>

$Therm_{convert}$  = Conversion factor from kWh to Therm

= 0.03412

Other factors as defined above.

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

Front Loaders:

	$\Delta Therms$			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0	3.5	3.2	6.7
CEE Tier 2	0.0	3.6	3.7	7.3

<sup>15</sup> Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

<sup>16</sup> To account for the different efficiency of electric and Natural Gas hot water heaters (gas water heater: recovery efficiencies ranging from 0.74 to 0.85 (0.78 used), and electric water heater with 0.98 recovery efficiency ([http://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/bldrs\\_lenders\\_raters/downloads/Waste\\_Water\\_Heat\\_Recovery\\_Guidelines.pdf](http://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/bldrs_lenders_raters/downloads/Waste_Water_Heat_Recovery_Guidelines.pdf))). Therefore a factor of 0.98/0.78 (1.26) is applied.

<sup>17</sup> Default assumption for unknown fuel is based EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2015 for Midwest Region, West North Central Census Division. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used. Note that the electric dryer percentage (76%) plus the gas dryer percentage (21.2%) equals 97.2%. The remaining 2.8% accounts for those homes without dryers.

Top Loaders:

	$\Delta$ Therms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0	-1.0	1.7	0.7
CEE Tier 2	0.0	0.8	4.9	5.6

Weighted Average:

	$\Delta$ Therms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0	0.5	2.2	2.7
CEE Tier 2	0.0	3.6	3.7	7.3

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown, the prescriptive Therm savings should be:

Efficiency Level	$\Delta$ Therms		
	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR	2.9	-0.5	0.6
CEE Tier 2	3.0	1.2	3.0

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

$\Delta$ Therms = Therm impact calculated above

365.25 = Days per year

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive savings for each configuration are presented below:

Front Loaders:

	$\Delta$ PeakTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0000	0.0096	0.0088	0.0185
CEE Tier 2	0.0000	0.0098	0.0102	0.0201

Top Loaders:

	$\Delta$ PeakTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0000	-0.0027	0.0046	0.0019
CEE Tier 2	0.0000	0.0021	0.0133	0.0155

Weighted Average:

	$\Delta$ PeakTherms			
	Electric DHW Electric Dryer	Gas DHW Electric Dryer	Electric DHW Gas Dryer	Gas DHW Gas Dryer
ENERGY STAR	0.0000	0.0014	0.0060	0.0073
CEE Tier 2	0.0000	0.0098	0.0102	0.0201

If the DHW and dryer fuel is unknown the prescriptive Therm savings should be:

Efficiency Level	$\Delta$ PeakTherms		
	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
ENERGY STAR	0.0079	-0.0013	0.0017
CEE Tier 2	0.0082	0.0032	0.0082

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta Water \text{ (gallons)} = Capacity * (IWF_{base} - IWF_{eff}) * N_{cycles}$$

Where:

IWF<sub>base</sub> = Integrated Water Factor of baseline clothes washer  
= 4.78<sup>18</sup>

IWF<sub>eff</sub> = Water Factor of efficient clothes washer  
= Actual – If unknown assume average values provided below

Using the default assumptions provided above, the prescriptive water savings for each efficiency level are presented below:

Efficiency Level	IWF <sup>19</sup>			$\Delta$ Water (gallons per year)		
	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average	Front Loaders	Top Loaders	Weighted Average
Federal Standard	4.7	6.5	4.73	N/A		
ENERGY STAR	3.2	4.3	4.01	1,504.2	423.7	711.8
CEE Tier 2	3.2		3.20	1,504.2	1504.2	1,550.3

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-CLWA-V04-200101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

<sup>18</sup> Weighted average IWF of Federal Standard rating for Front Loading and Top Loading units. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available non-ENERGY STAR product in the CEC database.

<sup>19</sup> IWF values are the weighted average of the new ENERGY STAR specifications. Weighting is based upon the relative top v front loading percentage of available ENERGY STAR and ENERGY STAR Most Efficient product in the CEC database. See “2017 Clothes Washer Analysis.xls” for the calculation.

## 2.1.2 Clothes Dryer

### DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a residential clothes dryer meeting the ENERGY STAR, ENERGY STAR Most Efficient criteria or a full heat pump clothes dryer. ENERGY STAR qualified clothes dryers save energy through a combination of more efficient drying and reduced runtime of the drying cycle. More efficient drying is achieved through increased insulation, modifying operating conditions such as air flow and/or heat input rate, improving air circulation through better drum design or booster fans, and improving efficiency of motors. Reducing the runtime of dryers through automatic termination by temperature and moisture sensors is believed to have the greatest potential for reducing energy use in clothes dryers.<sup>20</sup> ENERGY STAR provides criteria for both gas and electric clothes dryers.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

Clothes dryer must meet the ENERGY STAR criteria, as required by the program.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a clothes dryer meeting the minimum federal requirements for units manufactured on or after January 1, 2015.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 16 years.<sup>21</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for an ENERGY STAR clothes dryer is assumed to be as follows:<sup>22</sup>

Product Class	Incremental Cost
Vented Electric, Standard ( $\geq 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	\$61
Ventless Electric, Standard ( $\geq 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	\$61
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	\$127
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	\$127
Full Heat Pump, Standard	\$412
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	\$31
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	\$31
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	\$90
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	\$90
Vented Gas	\$104
Most Efficient Vented Gas	\$158

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE14 – Residential Clothes Washer

Loadshape G03 – Residential Dryer

<sup>20</sup> ENERGY STAR Market & Industry Scoping Report. Residential Clothes Dryers. Table 8. November 2011.

[http://www.energystar.gov/ia/products/downloads/ENERGY\\_STAR\\_Scoping\\_Report\\_Residential\\_Clothes\\_Dryers.pdf](http://www.energystar.gov/ia/products/downloads/ENERGY_STAR_Scoping_Report_Residential_Clothes_Dryers.pdf)

<sup>21</sup> Based on DOE Rulemaking Technical Support Document, LCC Chapter, 2011, as recommended in Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018

<sup>22</sup> Based upon data from DOE Life-Cycle Cost and Payback analysis, Table 8.3.1.

## COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The coincidence factor for this measure is 4.31%.<sup>23</sup>

## Algorithm

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left( \left( \frac{Load}{CEF_{base}} - \frac{Load}{CEFeff} \right) * N_{cycles} * \%Electric \right) - PairedWasher kWh_{Adj} + \Delta kWh_{HEAT} + \Delta kWh_{COOL}$$

Where:

**Load** = The average total weight (lbs) of clothes per drying cycle. If dryer size is unknown, assume standard.

Dryer Size	Load (lbs) <sup>24</sup>
Standard	8.45
Compact	3

**CEFbase** = Combined energy factor (CEF) (lbs/kWh) of the baseline unit is based on existing federal standards energy factor and adjusted to CEF as performed in the ENERGY STAR analysis.<sup>25</sup> If product class unknown, assume electric, standard.

Product Class	CEFbase (lbs/kWh)
Vented Electric, Standard ( $\geq 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	3.11
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	3.01
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	2.73
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	2.13
Vented Gas	2.84 <sup>26</sup>

**CEFeff** = CEF (lbs/kWh) of the ENERGY STAR unit based on ENERGY STAR requirements.<sup>27</sup> If product class unknown, assume electric, standard.

Product Class	CEFeff (lbs/kWh)
Vented Electric, Standard ( $\geq 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	3.93
Ventless Electric, Standard ( $\geq 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	3.93
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	4.30
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	4.30
Full Heat Pump, Standard	10.40 <sup>28</sup>

<sup>23</sup> Developed using coincident peak information from March 2015 NEEP, “Residential Electric Clothes Dryer Baseline Study” conducted by Energy Resource Solutions. [https://neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP\\_EMV\\_Summary%20Report\\_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf](https://neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP_EMV_Summary%20Report_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf)

<sup>24</sup> Based on ENERGY STAR test procedures. [https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clothesdry.pr\\_crit\\_clothes\\_dryers](https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clothesdry.pr_crit_clothes_dryers)

<sup>25</sup> ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis

<sup>26</sup> Federal standards report CEF for gas clothes dryers in terms of lbs/kWh. To determine gas savings, this number is later converted to therms.

<sup>27</sup> ENERGY STAR Clothes Dryers Key Product Criteria. [https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clothesdry.pr\\_crit\\_clothes\\_dryers](https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=clothesdry.pr_crit_clothes_dryers)

<sup>28</sup> This represents the test results performed with 8.45 lb load (the standard test load size used by manufacturers for reporting performance), See ‘Blomberg “Energy Star Partner Meeting – SEDI Session October 14, 2015.” This is based upon single full heat

Product Class	CEFeff (lbs/kWh)
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	3.80
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	3.80
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	3.45
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	2.68
Vented Gas	3.48 <sup>29</sup>
Most Efficient Vented Gas	3.80

Ncycles = Number of dryer cycles per year. Use actual data if available. If unknown, use 250 cycles per year.<sup>30</sup>

%Electric = The percent of overall savings coming from electricity  
= 100% for electric dryers, 16% for gas dryers<sup>31</sup>

PairedWasherKWhAdj = Adjustment to account for new clothes dryers often being purchased paired with an ENERGY STAR clothes washer (from which dryer savings are being claimed)<sup>32</sup>

Product Class	PairedWasherAdj (kWh)
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	44.6
Ventless Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	44.6
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	44.6
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	44.6
Full Heat Pump, Standard	44.6
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	0
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	0
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	0
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	0
Vented Gas	0
Most Efficient Vented Gas	0

ΔkWhHEAT = Electric space heating impact due to waste heat either being predominately vented to outside or remaining in the home (ventless hybrid or heat pump)  
= kWhHEATEff - kWhHEATBase

pump models (Blomberg/Beko) available now in the US. This will be updated when additional equipment enters the market and/or when separate CEE/ESTAR specifications are released for Heat Pump Dryers.

<sup>29</sup> Federal standards report CEF for gas clothes dryers in terms of lbs/kWh. To determine gas savings, this number is later converted to therms.

<sup>30</sup> Weighted average of 250 clothes washer cycles per year, consistent with Clothes Washer measure and based on 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) national sample survey of housing appliances section, Midwest Census Region, West North Central Census Division: <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/data/2015/>. See RECS-Appliances tab in 'Clothes Dryer\_Analysis\_05082019.xlsx' for calculation.

If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for single-family or multi-family homes, in a particular market, or geographical area then that should be used.  
<http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/specs//ENERGY%20STAR%20Dryer%20Specification%20NEEA%20Amended%20Comments%20Mar%2026%202013.pdf>. Page 7.

<sup>31</sup> %Electric accounts for the fact that some of the savings on gas dryers comes from electricity (motors, controls, etc). 16% was determined using a ratio of the electric to total savings from gas dryers given by ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis. See ENERGY STAR Analysis tab in 'Clothes Dryer\_Analysis\_05082019.xlsx' for calculation.

<sup>32</sup> Dryer savings are calculated within the Clothes Washer measure. See "Clothes Dryer Calcs\_04262017.xls" for more detail.

$$\text{kWhHEAT} = \frac{(\% \text{HeatSpace} * \text{HF} * \% \text{ElecHeat} * \% \text{Conditioned} * \text{Dryer Consumption})}{\eta_{\text{HeatElectric}}}$$

Where:

$\% \text{HeatSpace}$  = Proportion of dryer heat energy remaining in space

Vented = 5%<sup>33</sup>

Ventless = 100%

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown<sup>34</sup>

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\% \text{ElecHeat}$  = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating Fuel	$\% \text{ElecHeat}$
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% <sup>35</sup>

$\% \text{Conditioned}$  = Portion of homes with dryer in conditioned space

= 73%<sup>36</sup>

Dryer Consumption = Load/CEF \* Ncycles

$\eta_{\text{HeatElectric}}$  = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:<sup>37</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 <sup>38</sup>

<sup>33</sup> Professional judgement estimate.

<sup>34</sup> Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

<sup>35</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

<sup>36</sup> NEEP Study found 16 of 22 sites had the dryer in a heated space; NEEP, Energy & Resource Solutions "Electric Dryer Baseline Research", p8.

<http://www.neep.org/sites/default/files/Microsoft%20PowerPoint%20-%20NEEP%20Dryer%20Presentation%20Final%2003-30-15.pdf>

<sup>37</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>38</sup> Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.



$\Delta\text{kWhCOOL}$  = Cooling impact due to waste heat either being predominately vented to outside or remaining in the home (ventless hybrid or heat pump)

$$= \text{kWhCOOL}_{\text{Base}} - \text{kWhCOOL}_{\text{Eff}}$$

$$\text{kWhCOOL} = (\% \text{HeatSpace} * \text{CoolF} * \% \text{Cool} * \% \text{Conditioned} * \text{Dryer Consumption}) / \eta_{\text{Cool}}$$

Where:

CoolF = Cooling Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that no longer needs to be cooled

= 34% for unit in cooled space or unknown<sup>39</sup>

= 0% for unit in uncooled space

%Cool = Percentage of home with cooling

Home	%Cool
Cooling	100%
No Cooling	0%
Unknown	88% <sup>40</sup>

$\eta_{\text{Cool}}$  = Efficiency in COP of Cooling equipment

= Actual – If not available, assume 2.8 COP<sup>41</sup>

Using defaults provided above:

Product Class	CEF base	CEF eff	Base Dryer Consumption (kWh)	Eff Dryer Consumption (kWh)	Paired Washer kWhAdj	kWh HEAT Base (kWh)	kWh HEAT Eff (kWh)	kWh COOL Base (kWh)	kWh COOL Eff (kWh)	Total Waste Heat Impact	$\Delta\text{kWh}$
Vented Electric, Standard ( $\geq 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$ )	3.11	3.93	679.5	537.7	44.6	2.0	1.6	2.7	2.1	0.1	97.3
Ventless Electric, Standard ( $\geq 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$ )	3.11	3.93	679.5	537.7	44.6	2.0	31.0	2.7	41.9	-10.3	86.9
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	3.11	4.3	679.5	491.4	44.6	2.0	1.4	2.7	1.9	0.2	143.6
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	3.11	4.3	679.5	491.4	44.6	2.0	28.3	2.7	38.3	-9.3	134.1
Full Heat Pump, Standard	3.11	10.4	679.5	203.2	44.6	2.0	11.7	2.7	15.9	-3.4	428.2
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) ( $< 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$ )	3.01	3.8	249.3	197.4	0.0	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.8	0.1	51.9
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) ( $< 4.4 \text{ ft}^3$ )	3.01	3.8	249.3	197.4	0.0	14.4	11.4	19.4	15.4	1.1	52.9

<sup>39</sup> Based on 123 days where CDD  $65 > 0$ , divided by 365.25.

<sup>40</sup> Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

<sup>41</sup> Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm  $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$  (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.1.2 Clothes Dryer

Product Class	CEF base	CEF eff	Base Dryer Consumption (kWh)	Eff Dryer Consumption (kWh)	Paired Washer kWhAdj	kWh HEAT Base (kWh)	kWh HEAT Eff (kWh)	kWh COOL Base (kWh)	kWh COOL Eff (kWh)	Total Waste Heat Impact	ΔkWh
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft³)	3.11	3.93	679.5	537.7	44.6	2.0	1.6	2.7	2.1	0.1	97.3
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft³)	2.73	3.45	274.8	217.5	0.0	0.8	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.1	57.4
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft³)	2.13	2.68	352.2	279.9	0.0	20.3	16.1	27.5	21.8	1.5	73.8
Vented Gas	2.84	3.48	118.2	96.4	0.0	2.1	1.8	2.9	2.4	0.1	21.9
Most Efficient Vented Gas	2.84	3.8	118.2	88.3	0.0	2.1	1.6	2.9	2.2	0.2	30.0

**SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS**

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = Energy Savings as calculated above

Hours = Annual run hours of clothes dryer. Use actual data if available. If unknown, use 200 hours per year.<sup>42</sup>

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure  
=4.31%<sup>43</sup>

Using defaults provided above:

Product Class	ΔkW
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft³)	0.0210
Ventless Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft³)	0.0187
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	0.0309
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	0.0289
Full Heat Pump, Standard	0.0923
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft³)	0.0112
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft³)	0.0114
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft³)	0.0124
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft³)	0.0159
Vented Gas	0.0047
Most Efficient Vented Gas	0.0065

<sup>42</sup> Assume 250 cycles and 48 minutes per dryer cycle according to March 2015 NEEP “Residential Electric Clothes Dryer Baseline Study” conducted by Energy Resource Solutions.

[https://neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP\\_EMV\\_Summary%20Report\\_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf](https://neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP_EMV_Summary%20Report_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf)

<sup>43</sup> Developed using coincident peak information from March 2015 NEEP, “Residential Electric Clothes Dryer Baseline Study” conducted by Energy Resource Solutions.

[https://neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP\\_EMV\\_Summary%20Report\\_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf](https://neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP_EMV_Summary%20Report_Dryer%20Baseline%20Finale%204-01-15.pdf)

## NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Natural gas savings only apply to ENERGY STAR vented gas clothes dryers.

$$\Delta Therm = \left( \left( \frac{Load}{CEF_{base}} - \frac{Load}{CEF_{eff}} \right) * N_{cycles} * Therm_{convert} * \%Gas \right) - PairedWasherThermAdj + \Delta Therm_{HEAT}$$

Where:

Therm\_convert = Conversion factor from kWh to Therm

= 0.03412

%Gas = Percent of overall savings coming from gas

= 0% for electric units and 84% for gas units<sup>44</sup>

PairedWasherThermAdj = Adjustment to account for new clothes dryers being purchased paired with an ENERGY STAR clothes washer (from which some dryer savings are already being claimed)

Product Class	PairedWasherAdj (Therm)
Vented Electric, Standard ( $\geq 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	0
Ventless Electric, Standard ( $\geq 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	0
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	0
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	0
Full Heat Pump, Standard	0
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) ( $< 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	0
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) ( $< 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	0
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) ( $< 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	0
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) ( $< 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	0
Vented Gas	1.5
Most Efficient Vented Gas	1.5

$\Delta Therm_{HEAT}$  = Gas spaced heating impact due to waste heat either being predominately vented to outside or remaining in the home (ventless hybrid or heat pump)

= ThermHEATEff - ThermHEATBase

ThermHEAT =  $(\%HeatSpace * HF * \%GasHeat * \%Conditioned * Dryer Consumption) / \eta_{HeatGas}$

Where:

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating Fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% <sup>45</sup>

<sup>44</sup> %Gas accounts for the fact that some of the savings on gas dryers comes from electricity (motors, controls, etc). 84% was determined using a ratio of the gas to total savings from gas dryers given by ENERGY STAR Draft 2 Version 1.0 Clothes Dryers Data and Analysis. See ENERGY STAR Analysis tab in 'Clothes Dryer\_Analysis\_05082019.xlsx' for calculation.

<sup>45</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

$$\text{Dryer Consumption} = \text{Load/CEF} * \text{Ncycles}$$

$$\eta_{\text{HeatGas}} = \text{Efficiency of heating system}$$

$$= 74\%^{46}$$

Product Class	CEF base	CEFeff	Base Dryer Consumption (Therms)	Eff Dryer Consumption (Therms)	Paired Washer Therm Adj	Therm HEAT Base	Therm HEAT Eff	Total Waste Heat Impact	ΔTherm
Vented Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft³)	n/a		n/a			0.56	0.44	-0.12	-0.12
Ventless Electric, Standard (≥ 4.4 ft³)						0.56	8.86	8.30	8.30
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard						0.56	0.41	-0.15	-0.15
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard						0.56	8.10	7.54	7.54
Full Heat Pump, Standard						0.56	3.35	2.79	2.79
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft³)						0.21	0.16	-0.04	-0.04
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft³)						4.11	3.25	-0.85	-0.85
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft³)						0.23	0.18	-0.05	-0.05
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft³)						5.81	4.61	-1.19	-1.19
Vented Gas	2.84	0.61	0.50	-0.11	2.29	0.64	0.52	-0.12	3.01
Most Efficient Vented Gas	2.84	0.61	0.46	-0.15	3.72	0.64	0.48	-0.16	4.70

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \frac{\Delta \text{Therms}}{365.25}$$

<sup>46</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes - based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60\*0.92) + (0.40\*0.8)) \* (1-0.15) = 0.74.

Where:

$\Delta$ Therms = Therm impact calculated above  
365.25 = Days per year

Product Class	$\Delta$ Peak Therms
Vented Electric, Standard ( $\geq 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	-0.0003
Ventless Electric, Standard ( $\geq 4.4$ ft <sup>3</sup> )	0.0227
Most Efficient Vented Hybrid, Standard	-0.0004
Most Efficient Ventless Hybrid, Standard	0.0206
Full Heat Pump, Standard	0.0076
Vented Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	-0.0001
Ventless Electric, Compact (120V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	-0.0023
Vented Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	-0.0001
Ventless Electric, Compact (240V) (< 4.4 ft <sup>3</sup> )	-0.0033
Vented Gas	0.0063
Most Efficient Vented Gas	0.0102

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESDR-V04-200101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

## 2.1.3 Refrigerator

### DESCRIPTION

A refrigerator meeting either Energy Star/CEE Tier 1 specifications or the higher efficiency specifications of CEE Tier 2, or CEE Tier 3 is installed instead of a new unit of baseline efficiency. The measure applies to time of sale and early replacement programs.

This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The high efficiency level is a refrigerator meeting Energy Star specifications effective September 15th, 2014 (10% above federal standard), a refrigerator meeting CEE Tier 2 specifications (15% above federal standard), or meeting CEE Tier 3 specifications (20% above federal standards).

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Baseline efficiency is a new refrigerator meeting the minimum federal efficiency standard for refrigerators effective September 15th, 2014.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

17 years<sup>47</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The full cost of a baseline unit is \$803.<sup>48</sup>

The incremental cost to the Energy Star level is \$12, to CEE Tier 2 level is \$21 and to CEE Tier 3 is \$59.<sup>49</sup>

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE16 – Residential Refrigeration

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh_{Unit} = kWh_{base} - (kWh_{base} * (1 - \%Savings))$$

Where:

$kWh_{base}$  = Baseline consumption

---

<sup>47</sup> Mean from Figure 8.2.3, DOE, 2011-08-23 Technical Support Document for Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers.

<sup>48</sup> Configurations weighted according to table under Energy Savings. Values inflated 13.2% (cumulative rate of inflation using government CPI data) from 2009 dollars to 2017. Table 8.1.1, DOE, 2011-08-23 Technical Support Document for Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers. See 'Refrig Incremental Cost Calc. xls' for details.

<sup>49</sup> Configurations weighted according to table under Energy Savings. Values inflated 8.9% from 2009 dollars to 2015. Table 8.2.2, DOE, 2011-08-23 Technical Support Document for Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Refrigerators, Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers. See 'Refrig Incremental Cost Calc. xls' for details.

= Based on average consumption of non-ENERGY STAR units available in 4 main product classes. See tables below.<sup>50</sup>

%Savings = Specification of energy consumption below Federal Standard:

Tier	%Savings
Energy Star and CEE Tier 1	10%
Energy Star Most Efficient and CEE Tier 2	15%
CEE Tier 3	20%

### Additional Waste Heat Impacts

For units in conditioned spaces in the home (if unknown, assume unit is in conditioned space).

$$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat} = \Delta kWh * (WHFeHeatElectric + WHFeCool)$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh$  = kWh savings calculated from either method above

WHFeHeatElectric= Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for electric heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

$$= - (HF / \eta_{HeatElectric}) * \%ElecHeat$$

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown<sup>51</sup>

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\eta_{HeatElectric}$  = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:<sup>52</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{Heat}$ (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 <sup>53</sup>

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating Fuel	%ElecHeat
--------------	-----------

<sup>50</sup> See 'Refrig\_CAC database\_04262017.XLS' for more information.

<sup>51</sup> Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

<sup>52</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>53</sup> Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.1.3 Refrigerator

Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% <sup>54</sup>

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer.

$$= (\text{CoolF} / \eta_{\text{Cool}}) * \% \text{Cool}$$

CoolF = Cooling Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that no longer needs to be cooled

= 34% for unit in cooled space or unknown<sup>55</sup>

= 0% for unit in uncooled space

$\eta_{\text{Cool}}$  = Efficiency in COP of Cooling equipment

= Actual – If not available, assume 2.8 COP<sup>56</sup>

%Cool = Percentage of home with cooling

Home	%Cool
Cooling	100%
No Cooling	0%
Unknown	88% <sup>57</sup>

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Class	Baseline Usage kWh <sub>base</sub>	Unit ΔkWh			ΔkWh <sub>WasteHeat</sub>			Total ΔkWh		
		ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3	ENERGY STAR / CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	472.1	15.9	55.2	93.4	0.4	1.5	2.6	16.3	56.7	96.0
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	707.8	64.8	103.8	149.3	1.8	2.9	4.2	66.6	106.7	153.4
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	551.8	35.7	67.4	104.5	1.0	1.9	2.9	36.7	69.3	107.4
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	656.9	39.1	81.6	118.8	1.1	2.3	3.3	40.2	83.9	122.1

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

Product Class	Market Weight <sup>58</sup>	Total ΔkWh			ΔkWh <sub>WasteHeat</sub>			Total ΔkWh		
		Energy	CEE	CEE	Energy	CEE	CEE	Energy	CEE Tier	CEE Tier

<sup>54</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

<sup>55</sup> Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, divided by 365.25.

<sup>56</sup> Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm  $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$  (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

<sup>57</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

<sup>58</sup> Personal Communication from Melisa Fiffer, ENERGY STAR Appliance Program Manager, EPA 10/26/14



Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.1.3 Refrigerator

		Star/ CEE Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Star/ CEE Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Star/ CEE Tier 1	2	3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	52%	32.2	70.9	110.4	0.9	2.0	3.1	33.1	72.9	113.5
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	22%									
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	13%									
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	13%									

**SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS**

$$\Delta kW = \left( \frac{\Delta kWh_{unit}}{HOURS} \right) * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{unit}$  = gross customer connected load kWh savings for the measure (not including  $\Delta kWh_{wasteheat}$ )

HOURS = Equivalent Full Load Hours  
= 5280<sup>59</sup>

WHFdCool = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat.

Refrigerator Location	WHFdCool
Cooled space	1.22 <sup>60</sup>
Uncooled	1.0
Unknown	1.19 <sup>61</sup>

CF = Summer Peak Coincident Factor  
= 0.709<sup>62</sup>

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Class	$\Delta kW$		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	0.0025	0.0088	0.0149
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	0.0104	0.0166	0.0239
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	0.0057	0.0108	0.0167
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	0.0062	0.0130	0.0190

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

Product Class	$\Delta kW$
---------------	-------------

<sup>59</sup> Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

<sup>60</sup> The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as  $1 + (0.61 / 2.8)$ ). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours.

<sup>61</sup> The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as  $1 + (0.88 * 0.61 / 2.8)$ ). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours. The 88% is the percentage of homes have central cooling (based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

<sup>62</sup> Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

	Market Weight <sup>63</sup>	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	52%	0.0052	0.0113	0.0176
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	22%			
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	13%			
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	13%			

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

$$\Delta Therms = \Delta kWh_{Unit} * WHFeHeatGas * 0.03412$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{Unit}$  = kWh savings calculated from either method above, not including the  $\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$

$WHFeHeatGas$  = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for gas heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer

$$= - (HF / \eta_{HeatGas}) * \%GasHeat$$

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown<sup>64</sup>

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\eta_{HeatGas}$  = Efficiency of heating system

= 74%<sup>65</sup>

$\%GasHeat$  = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating Fuel	$\%GasHeat$
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% <sup>66</sup>

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Class	$\Delta Therms$		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	-0.36	-1.25	-2.11

<sup>63</sup> Personal Communication from Melisa Fiffer, ENERGY STAR Appliance Program Manager, EPA 10/26/14

<sup>64</sup> Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

<sup>65</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes - based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>66</sup> Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls".

Product Class	$\Delta$ Therms		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	-1.46	-2.34	-3.37
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	-0.81	-1.52	-2.36
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	-0.88	-1.84	-2.68

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

Product Class	Market Weight <sup>67</sup>	$\Delta$ Therms		
		Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	52%	-0.73	-1.60	-2.49
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	22%			
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	13%			
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	13%			

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{(\Delta Therms)}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

$\Delta$ Therms = Therm impact calculated above  
HeatDays = Heat season days per year  
= 217<sup>68</sup>

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Class	$\Delta$ PeakTherms		
	Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	-0.0017	-0.0057	-0.0097
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	-0.0067	-0.0108	-0.0155
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	-0.0037	-0.0070	-0.0109
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	-0.0041	-0.0085	-0.0124

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting that is applied to give a single deemed savings for each efficiency level:

<sup>67</sup> Personal Communication from Melisa Fiffer, ENERGY STAR Appliance Program Manager, EPA 10/26/14

<sup>68</sup> Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

Product Class	Market Weight <sup>69</sup>	$\Delta$ PeakTherms		
		Energy Star/ CEE Tier 1	CEE Tier 2	CEE Tier 3
Top Freezer (PC 3)	52%	-0.0034	-0.0074	-0.0115
Side-by-Side w/ TTD (PC 7)	22%			
Bottom Freezer (PC 5)	13%			
Bottom Freezer w/ TTD (PC 5A)	13%			

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-REFR-V01-180101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021\***

\* This measure is overdue for a reliability review due to no utility currently offering the measure. If a utility plans to start using this measure again, it should be reviewed accordingly.

<sup>69</sup> Personal Communication from Melisa Fiffer, ENERGY STAR Appliance Program Manager, EPA 10/26/14

## 2.1.4 Freezer

### DESCRIPTION

A freezer meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR is installed in place of a model meeting the federal standard (NAECA). Energy usage specifications are defined in the table below (note, AV is the freezer Adjusted Volume and is calculated as  $1.73 \times \text{Total Volume}$ ):

Product Category	Volume (cubic feet)	Federal Baseline Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year <sup>70</sup>	ENERGY STAR Maximum Energy Usage in kWh/year <sup>71</sup>
Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	7.75 or greater	$5.57 \times \text{AV} + 193.7$	$5.01 \times \text{AV} + 174.3$
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost without an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	$8.62 \times \text{AV} + 228.3$	$7.76 \times \text{AV} + 205.5$
Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost with an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	$8.62 \times \text{AV} + 312.3$	$7.76 \times \text{AV} + 289.5$
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost without an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	$9.86 \times \text{AV} + 260.9$	$8.87 \times \text{AV} + 234.8$
Built-In Upright freezers with automatic defrost with an automatic icemaker	7.75 or greater	$9.86 \times \text{AV} + 344.9$	$8.87 \times \text{AV} + 318.8$
Chest Freezers and all other Freezers except Compact Freezers	7.75 or greater	$7.29 \times \text{AV} + 107.8$	$6.56 \times \text{AV} + 97.0$
Chest Freezers with automatic defrost	7.75 or greater	$10.24 \times \text{AV} + 148.1$	$9.22 \times \text{AV} + 133.3$
Compact Upright Freezers with Manual Defrost	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	$8.65 \times \text{AV} + 225.7$	$7.79 \times \text{AV} + 203.1$
Compact Upright Freezers with Automatic Defrost	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	$10.17 \times \text{AV} + 351.9$	$9.15 \times \text{AV} + 316.7$
Compact Chest Freezers	< 7.75 and 36 inches or less in height	$9.25 \times \text{AV} + 136.8$	$8.33 \times \text{AV} + 123.1$

This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as a freezer meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR, defined as using at least 10% less measured energy than the minimum federal efficiency standards.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a model that meets the federal minimum standard for energy efficiency. The standard varies depending on the size and configuration of the freezer (chest freezer or upright freezer, automatic or manual defrost) and is defined in the table above.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 12 years.<sup>72</sup>

<sup>70</sup> [http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance\\_standards/product.aspx/productid/43](http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/43)

<sup>71</sup> [http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance\\_calculator.xlsx](http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance_calculator.xlsx)

<sup>72</sup> 2012 EPA research on available models, as cited in the 2015 Energy Star Freezer Calculator;

## DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is \$0.<sup>73</sup>

## LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE15 – Residential Freezer

## Algorithm

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS:

$$\Delta kWh_{unit} = kWh_{BASE} - kWh_{ESTAR}$$

Where:

- $kWh_{BASE}$  = Baseline kWh consumption per year.  
 = Based on average consumption of non-ENERGY STAR units available in 4 main product classes. See tables below.
- $kWh_{ESTAR}$  = ENERGY STAR kWh consumption per year

#### Additional Waste Heat Impacts

For units in conditioned spaces in the home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

$$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat} = \Delta kWh * (WHFeHeatElectric + WHFeCool)$$

Where:

- $\Delta kWh$  = kWh savings calculated from either method above
- $WHFeHeatElectric$  = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for electric heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).  
 =  $-(HF / \eta_{HeatElectric}) * \%ElecHeat$
- $HF$  = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated  
 = 59% for unit in heated space or unknown<sup>74</sup>  
 = 0% for unit in unheated space
- $\eta_{HeatElectric}$  = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment  
 = Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use.<sup>75</sup>

[http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance\\_calculator.xlsx](http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance_calculator.xlsx)

<sup>73</sup> 2014 EPA research on available models, as cited in the 2015 Energy Star Freezer Calculator;

[http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance\\_calculator.xlsx](http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/appliance_calculator.xlsx)

<sup>74</sup> Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

<sup>75</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 <sup>76</sup>

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating Fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	19% <sup>77</sup>

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer.

= (CoolF /  $\eta_{\text{Cool}}$ ) \* %Cool

CoolF = Cooling Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that no longer needs to be cooled

= 34% for unit in cooled space or unknown<sup>78</sup>

= 0% for unit in uncooled space

$\eta_{\text{Cool}}$  = Efficiency in COP of Cooling equipment

= Actual – If not available, assume 2.8 COP<sup>79</sup>

%Cool = Percentage of home with cooling

Home	%Cool
Cooling	100%
No Cooling	0%
Unknown	88% <sup>80</sup>

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Category	kWh <sub>BASE</sub>	kWh <sub>ESTAR</sub>	Unit kWh Savings	$\Delta$ kWh <sub>WasteHeat</sub>	Total $\Delta$ kWh
Upright Freezers	494.1	423.0	71.1	2.0	73.1
Chest Freezers	248.3	195.2	53.1	1.5	54.6

<sup>76</sup> Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see “HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls”. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

<sup>77</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

<sup>78</sup> Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, divided by 365.25.

<sup>79</sup> Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm  $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$  (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

<sup>80</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

Product Category	kWh <sub>BASE</sub>	kWh <sub>ESTAR</sub>	Unit kWh Savings	ΔkWh <sub>WasteHeat</sub>	Total ΔkWh
Compact Upright Freezers	190.0	159.9	30.1	0.8	30.9
Compact Chest Freezers	248.3	195.2	53.1	1.5	54.6

If product class is also unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

Product Class	Market Weight <sup>81</sup>	Unit kWh Savings	ΔkWh <sub>WasteHeat</sub>	Total ΔkWh
Upright Freezer	55%	62.8	1.8	64.6
Chest Freezer	32%			
Compact Upright Freezer	4%			
Compact Chest Freezer	9%			

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{Unit}}{Hours} * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh<sub>Unit</sub> = Gross customer annual kWh savings for the measure (not including ΔkWh<sub>wasteheat</sub>)

Hours = Full Load hours per year

= 5895<sup>82</sup>

WHFdCool = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat.

Freezer Location	WHFdCool
Cooled space	1.22 <sup>83</sup>
Uncooled	1.0
Unknown	1.19 <sup>84</sup>

CF = Summer Peak Coincident Factor

= 0.953<sup>85</sup>

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Category	kW Savings
Upright Freezers	0.0137

<sup>81</sup> Weighted based on numbers of models available in the California Energy Commission Appliance Efficiency Program.

<https://cacertappliances.energy.ca.gov/Pages/Search/AdvancedSearch.aspx>.

<sup>82</sup> Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

<sup>83</sup> The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours.

<sup>84</sup> The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as 1 + (0.88 \* 0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours. The 88% is the percentage of homes have central cooling (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC7.9 Air Conditioning in Midwest Region.xls").

<sup>85</sup> Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.



Product Category	kW Savings
Chest Freezers	0.0102
Compact Upright Freezers	0.0193
Compact Chest Freezers	0.0058

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

Product Class	Market Weight <sup>86</sup>	kW Savings
Upright Freezer	55%	0.0121
Chest Freezer	32%	
Compact Upright Freezer	4%	
Compact Chest Freezer	9%	

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

$$\Delta Therms = \Delta kWh_{Unit} * WHFeHeatGas * 0.03412$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{Unit}$  = kWh savings calculated from either method above, not including the  $\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$

$WHFeHeatGas$  = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for gas heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer

$$= - (HF / \eta_{HeatGas}) * \%GasHeat$$

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space or unknown<sup>87</sup>

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\eta_{HeatGas}$  = Efficiency of heating system

= 74%<sup>88</sup>

$\%GasHeat$  = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating Fuel	$\%GasHeat$
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% <sup>89</sup>

<sup>86</sup> Weighted based on numbers of models available in the California Energy Commission Appliance Efficiency Program.

<https://cacertappliances.energy.ca.gov/Pages/Search/AdvancedSearch.aspx>.

<sup>87</sup> Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

<sup>88</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes - based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>89</sup> Based on data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Category	ΔTherms
Upright Freezers	-1.61
Chest Freezers	-1.20
Compact Upright Freezers	-2.27
Compact Chest Freezers	-0.68

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

Product Class	Market Weight <sup>90</sup>	ΔTherms
Upright Freezer	55%	-1.42
Chest Freezer	32%	
Compact Upright Freezer	4%	
Compact Chest Freezer	9%	

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from conditioned space).

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{(\Delta Therms)}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above  
 HeatDays = Heat season days per year  
 = 217<sup>91</sup>

Default assumptions are provided below:

Product Category	ΔTherms
Upright Freezers	-0.0074
Chest Freezers	-0.0055
Compact Upright Freezers	-0.0104
Compact Chest Freezers	-0.0031

If product class is unknown, the following table provides a market weighting to be applied to give a single deemed savings:

Heating in Midwest Region.xls”.

<sup>90</sup> Weighted based on numbers of models available in the California Energy Commission Appliance Efficiency Program. <https://cacertappliances.energy.ca.gov/Pages/Search/AdvancedSearch.aspx>.

<sup>91</sup> Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

Product Class	Market Weight <sup>92</sup>	ΔTherms
Upright Freezer	55%	-0.0065
Chest Freezer	32%	
Compact Upright Freezer	4%	
Compact Chest Freezer	9%	

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-ESFR-V02-180101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021\***

\* This measure is overdue for a reliability review due to no utility currently offering the measure. If a utility plans to start using this measure again, it should be reviewed accordingly.

---

<sup>92</sup> Weighted based on numbers of models available in the California Energy Commission Appliance Efficiency Program.  
<https://cacertappliances.energy.ca.gov/Pages/Search/AdvancedSearch.aspx>.

## 2.1.5 Refrigerator and Freezer Recycling

### DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from the retirement and recycling of inefficient but operational refrigerators and freezers. Savings are provided in two ways. First, a regression equation is provided that requires the use of key inputs describing the retired unit (or population of units) and is based on a 2013 workpaper provided by Cadmus that used data from a 2012 ComEd metering study and metering data from a Michigan study. The second methodology is a deemed approach based on applying program data from MidAmerican and Alliant from 2019 and 2020 to the regression equation.

The savings are equivalent to the Unit Energy Consumption of the retired unit and should be claimed for the assumed remaining useful life of that unit. A part-use factor is applied to account for those secondary units that are not in use throughout the entire year. The user should note that the regression algorithm is designed to provide an accurate portrayal of savings for the population as a whole and includes those parameters that have a significant effect on the consumption. The precision of savings for individual units will vary. This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: ERET.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The existing inefficient refrigerator is removed from service and not replaced.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing inefficient unit must be operational.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The estimated remaining useful life of the recycling units is 6.5 years.<sup>93</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

Measure cost includes the cost of pickup and recycling of the refrigerator and should be based on actual costs of running the program. If unknown, assume \$100 per unit.<sup>94</sup>

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE16 – Residential Refrigerator

Loadshape RE15 – Residential Freezer

---

<sup>93</sup> DOE refrigerator and freezer survival curves are used to calculate RUL for each equipment age and develop a RUL schedule.

The RUL of each unit in the ARCA database is calculated and the average RUL of the dataset serves as the final measure RUL. Refrigerator recycling data from ComEd, IL (PY7-PY9) and Ameren, IL (PY6-PY8) were used to determine EUL with the DOE survival curves from the 2009 TSD. A weighted average of the retailer ComEd data and the Ameren data results in an average of 6.5 years. See Navigant 'ComEd Effective Useful Life Research Report', May 2018.

<sup>94</sup> Based on program costs provided by Mid American and Alliant Energy in 2021.

### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ENERGY SAVINGS

#### Regression analysis; Refrigerators

Energy savings for refrigerators are based upon a linear regression model using the following coefficients:<sup>95</sup>

Independent Variable Description	Estimate Coefficient
Intercept	83.324
Age (years)	3.678
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	485.037
Size (cubic feet)	27.149
Dummy: Side-by-Side (= 1 if side-by-side)	406.779
Dummy: Primary Usage Type (in absence of the program) (= 1 if primary unit)	161.857
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25	15.366
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x HDD/365.25	-11.067

$$\Delta kWh_{unit} = [83.32 + (Age * 3.68) + (Pre - 1990 * 485.04) + (Size * 27.15) + (Side - by - side * 406.78) + (Primary Usage * 161.86) + (CDD/365.25 * unconditioned * 15.37) + (HDD/365.25 * unconditioned * -11.07)] * Part Use Factor$$

Where:

- Age = Age of retired unit
- Pre-1990 = Pre-1990 dummy (=1 if manufactured pre-1990, else 0)
- Size = Capacity (cubic feet) of retired unit
- Side-by-side = Side-by-side dummy (= 1 if side-by-side, else 0)
- Single-Door = Single-door dummy (= 1 if Single-door, else 0)
- Primary Usage = Primary Usage Type (in absence of the program) dummy  
(= 1 if Primary, else 0)
- CDD = Cooling Degree Days  
= Dependent on location:<sup>96</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65	CDD/365.25
5 (Burlington)	1209	3.31
6 (Mason City)	616	1.69
Average/unknown	1,068	2.92

Unconditioned = If unit in unconditioned space = 1, otherwise 0

<sup>95</sup> Coefficients provided in July 30, 2014 memo from Cadmus: "Appliance Recycling Update no single door July 30 2014". Based on the specified regression, a small number of units may have negative energy and demand consumption. These are a function of the unit size and age, and should comprise a very small fraction of the population. While on an individual basis this result is counterintuitive, it is important that these negative results remain such that as a population the average savings is appropriate.

<sup>96</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

Note unconditioned means a space that is not intentionally heated via furnace vents or boiler radiators. The presence of and/or leakage from a heating system in a space doesn't in itself imply the space is conditioned.

HDD = Heating Degree Days  
= Dependent on location:<sup>97</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60	HDD/365.25
5 (Burlington)	4,496	12.31
6 (Mason City)	6,391	17.50
Average/unknown	5,052	13.83

Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.91.<sup>98</sup>

### Deemed approach; Refrigerators

$$\Delta kWh_{unit} = UEC * Part Use Factor$$

Where:

UEC = Unit Energy Consumption based on Mid American and Alliant 2019 and 2020 program data<sup>99</sup>:

Independent Variable Description	2019/2020 Program Data
Age (years)	22.7
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	0.21
Size (cubic feet)	19.4
Dummy: Side-by-Side (= 1 if side-by-side)	0.23
Dummy: Primary Usage Type (in absence of the program) (= 1 if primary unit)	0.72
Located in Unconditioned Space	0.62

= Dependent on climate zone as provided in table below.

Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.91.<sup>100</sup>

Deemed refrigerator savings are provided below:

<sup>97</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F.

<sup>98</sup> Estimated using PY6 Illinois survey responses. Page 12, Impact and Process Evaluation of 2016 (PY9) Ameren Illinois Company Appliance Recycling Program, Opinion Dynamics, October 13, 2017.

<sup>99</sup> See "IA Refrig Freezer Recycling.xls" for details.

<sup>100</sup> Estimated using PY6 Illinois survey responses. Page 12, Impact and Process Evaluation of 2016 (PY9) Ameren Illinois Company Appliance Recycling Program, Opinion Dynamics, October 13, 2017.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	UEC	ΔkWh per unit
5 (Burlington)	954.0	868.1
6 (Mason City)	902.5	821.3
Average/unknown	939.8	855.2

### Regression analysis; Freezers:

Energy savings for freezers are based upon a linear regression model using the following coefficients:<sup>101</sup>

Independent Variable Description	Estimate Coefficient
Intercept	132.122
Age (years)	12.130
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	156.181
Size (cubic feet)	31.839
Chest Freezer Configuration (=1 if chest freezer)	-19.709
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25	9.778
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x HDD/365.25	-12.755

$$\Delta kWh_{unit} = [132.12 + (Age * 12.13) + (Pre - 1990 * 156.18) + (Size * 31.84) + (Chest Freezer * -19.71) + (CDD/365.25 * unconditioned * 9.78) + (HDD/365.25 * unconditioned * -12.75)] * Part Use Factor$$

Where:

- Age = Age of retired unit
- Pre-1990 = Pre-1990 dummy (=1 if manufactured pre-1990, else 0)
- Size = Capacity (cubic feet) of retired unit
- Chest Freezer = Chest Freezer dummy (= 1 if chest freezer, else 0)
- CDD = Cooling Degree Days (see table in refrigerator section)
- Unconditioned = If unit in unconditioned space = 1, otherwise 0
- HDD = Heating Degree Days (see table in refrigerator section)
- Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.86.<sup>102</sup>

### Deemed approach; Freezers

$$\Delta kWh_{unit} = UEC * Part Use Factor$$

<sup>101</sup> Coefficients provided in January 31, 2013 memo from Cadmus: "Appliance Recycling Update". Based on the specified regression, a small number of units may have negative energy and demand consumption. These are a function of the unit size and age, and should comprise a very small fraction of the population. While on an individual basis this result is counterintuitive it is important that these negative results remain such that as a population the average savings is appropriate.

<sup>102</sup> Estimated using PY6 Illinois survey responses. Page 12, Impact and Process Evaluation of 2016 (PY9) Ameren Illinois Company Appliance Recycling Program, Opinion Dynamics, October 13, 2017.

Where:

UEC = Unit Energy Consumption of retired unit based on Mid American and Alliant 2019 and 2020 program data<sup>103</sup>:

Independent Variable Description	2019/2020 Program Data
Age (years)	30.3
Pre-1990 (=1 if manufactured pre-1990)	0.49
Size (cubic feet)	15.7
Chest Freezer Configuration (=1 if chest freezer)	0.50
Interaction: Located in Unconditioned Space x CDD/365.25	0.83

Part Use Factor = To account for those units that are not running throughout the entire year. If available, part-use factor participant survey results should be used. If not available, assume 0.86.<sup>104</sup>

Deemed freezer savings are provided below:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	UEC	ΔkWh per unit
5 (Burlington)	962.4	827.6
6 (Mason City)	894.5	769.3
Average/unknown	943.2	811.1

### Additional Waste Heat Impacts

Only for retired units from conditioned spaces in the home.

$$\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat} = Conditioned * \Delta kWh * (WHFeHeatElectric + WHFeCool)$$

Where:

Conditioned = % of units in conditioned space  
 = 100% if unit in conditioned space, 0% if unit in unconditioned space,  
 = If unknown and for deemed approach assume 38% for refrigerators and 17% for freezers<sup>105</sup>

ΔkWh<sub>unit</sub> = kWh savings calculated from either method above

WHFeHeatElectric = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for electric heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

<sup>103</sup> See “IA Refrig Freezer Recycling.xls” for details.

<sup>104</sup> Estimated using PY6 Illinois survey responses. Page 12, Impact and Process Evaluation of 2016 (PY9) Ameren Illinois Company Appliance Recycling Program, Opinion Dynamics, October 13, 2017.

<sup>105</sup> Percentage of units in conditioned space is based on Mid American and Alliant program data from 2019/2020.



$$= - (HF / \eta_{\text{HeatElectric}}) * \% \text{ElecHeat}$$

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space<sup>106</sup>

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\eta_{\text{HeatElectric}}$  = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:<sup>107</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 <sup>108</sup>

$\% \text{ElecHeat}$  = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating Fuel	$\% \text{ElecHeat}$
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% <sup>109</sup>

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer.

$$= (\text{CoolF} / \eta_{\text{Cool}}) * \% \text{Cool}$$

CoolF = Cooling Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that no longer needs to be cooled

= 34% for unit in cooled space<sup>110</sup>

= 0% for unit in uncooled space

$\eta_{\text{Cool}}$  = Efficiency in COP of Cooling equipment

= Actual – If not available, assume 2.8 COP<sup>111</sup>

<sup>106</sup> Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

<sup>107</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>108</sup> Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see “HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls”. Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

<sup>109</sup> Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

<sup>110</sup> Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, divided by 365.25.

<sup>111</sup> Starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm  $(-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$  (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy

%Cool = Percentage of homes with cooling

Home	%Cool
Cooling	100%
No Cooling	0%
Unknown	88% <sup>112</sup>

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{unit}}{HOURS} * (\%Cool * WHFdCool) * CF$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{unit}$  = Savings provided in algorithm above (not including  $\Delta kWh_{wasteheat}$ )

HOURS = Equivalent Full Load Hours as calculated using eShapes loadprofile

Refrigerators = 5280

Freezers = 5895

WHFdCool = Waste heat factor for demand to account for cooling savings from removing waste heat.

Refrigerator Location	WHFdCool
Cooled space	1.22 <sup>113</sup>
Uncooled	1.0

CF = Coincident factor as calculated using eShapes loadprofile

Refrigerators = 70.9%

Freezers = 95.3%

#### Deemed approach; Refrigerators

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta kW$ per unit
5 (Burlington)	0.1252
6 (Mason City)	0.1184
Average/unknown	0.1233

#### Deemed approach; Freezers

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta kW$ per unit
5 (Burlington)	0.1436
6 (Mason City)	0.1335

Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

<sup>112</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

<sup>113</sup> The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as  $1 + (0.61 / 2.8)$ ). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour), consistent with the lighting peak hours.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	ΔkW per unit
Average/unknown	0.1408

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for retired units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from unconditioned space).

$$\Delta Therms_{WasteHeat} = Conditioned * \Delta kWh_{Unit} * WHFeHeatGas * 0.03412$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{Unit}$  = kWh savings calculated from either method above, not including the  $\Delta kWh_{WasteHeat}$

WHFeHeatGas = Waste Heat Factor for Energy to account for gas heating increase from removing waste heat from refrigerator/freezer

$$= - (HF / \eta_{HeatGas}) * \%GasHeat$$

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of reduced waste heat that must now be heated

= 59% for unit in heated space<sup>114</sup>

= 0% for unit in unheated space

$\eta_{HeatGas}$  = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual, if unknown assume 74%<sup>115</sup>

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating Fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% <sup>116</sup>

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

Deemed waste heat impacts are provided below:

Unit Type	Climate Zone (City based upon)	ΔTherms <sub>WasteHeat</sub>
Refrigerator	5 (Burlington)	-7.4

<sup>114</sup> Based on 217 days where HDD 60>0, divided by 365.25.

<sup>115</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes - based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>116</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

Unit Type	Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta\text{Therms}_{\text{WasteHeat}}$
	6 (Mason City)	-7.0
	Average/unknown	-7.2
	5 (Burlington)	-3.2
Freezer	6 (Mason City)	-3.0
	Average/unknown	-3.2

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Heating penalty for reduction in waste heat, only for retired units from conditioned space in gas heated home (if unknown, assume unit is from unconditioned space).

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \frac{(\Delta\text{Therms})}{\text{HeatDays}}$$

Where:

$\Delta\text{Therms}$  = Therm impact calculated above

HeatDays = Heat season days per year

= 217<sup>117</sup>

Deemed waste heat impacts are provided below:

Unit Type	Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta\text{PeakTherms}$
Refrigerator	5 (Burlington)	-0.0339
	6 (Mason City)	-0.0321
	Average/unknown	-0.0334
Freezer	5 (Burlington)	-0.0149
	6 (Mason City)	-0.0139
	Average/unknown	-0.0146

<sup>117</sup> Number of days where HDD 60 > 0.

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-RFRC-V05-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026**

### 2.1.6 Room Air Conditioner (Removed 2021)

This measure was archived due to no utility currently offering the measure and an out of date savings characterization. Please refer to Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual Version 4.0 Volume 2: Residential Measures; Final: August 2, 2019; Effective January 1, 2020 in which the measure was last active.

## 2.1.7 Room Air Conditioner Recycling

### DESCRIPTION

This measure describes the savings resulting from running a drop-off service taking existing residential, inefficient Room Air Conditioner units from service prior to their natural end of life. This measure assumes that a percentage of these units will be replaced with a baseline standard efficiency unit (note that if it is actually replaced by a new ENERGY STAR qualifying unit, the savings increment between baseline and ENERGY STAR will be recorded in the Efficient Products program).

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: ERET.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

N/A. This measure relates to the retiring of an existing inefficient unit.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is the existing inefficient room air conditioning unit.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed remaining useful life of the existing room air conditioning unit being retired is 4 years.<sup>118</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual implementation cost for recycling the existing unit should be used.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

### Algorithm

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$DkWh = kWh_{exist} - (\%replaced * kWh_{newbase})$$

$$= \frac{Hours * BtuH}{EER_{exist} * 1000} - (\%replaced * \frac{Hours * BtuH}{EER_{NewBase} * 1000})$$

Where:

Hours = Full Load Hours of room air conditioning unit

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Hours <sup>119</sup>
5 (Burlington)	330

<sup>118</sup> One third of assumed measure life for Room AC.

<sup>119</sup> The average ratio of FLH for Room AC (provided in RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008: [http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117\\_RLW\\_CF%20Res%20AC.pdf](http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20AC.pdf)) to FLH for Central Cooling for the same locations (provided by AHRI: see [reference file "RoomAC Calculator"](#)) is 31%. This factor was applied to the ENERGY STAR FLH for Central Cooling provided for Des Moines, IA to provide an assumption for FLH for Room AC, and adjusted by CDD for the other locations.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Hours <sup>119</sup>
6 (Mason City)	168
Average/unknown	292

BtuH = Average size of rebated unit. Use actual if available – if not, assume 8500<sup>120</sup>

EERexist = Efficiency of recycled unit  
= Actual if recorded – If not, assume 9.0<sup>121</sup>

%replaced = Percentage of units dropped off that are replaced

Scenario	%replaced
Customer states unit will not be replaced	0%
Customer states unit will be replaced	100%
Unknown	76% <sup>122</sup>

EERbase = Efficiency of baseline unit  
= 10.9<sup>123</sup>

Results using defaults provided above:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$\Delta kWh$		
	Unit not replaced	Unit replaced	Unknown
5 (Burlington)	311.7	54.3	116.1
6 (Mason City)	158.7	27.7	59.1
Average/Unknown	275.8	48.1	102.7

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

<sup>120</sup> Based on maximum capacity average from the RLW Report; “Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008.”

<sup>121</sup> The Federal Minimum for the most common type of unit (8000 – 13999 Btu/h with side vents) from 1990-2000 was 9.0 EER, from 2000-2014 it was 9.8 EER, and is currently (2015) 10.9 CEER. Retirement programs will see a large array of ages being retired, and the true EER of many will have been significantly degraded. We have selected 9.0 as a reasonable estimate of the average retired unit. This is supported by material on the ENERGY STAR website, which, if reverse-engineered, indicates that an EER of 9.16 is used for savings calculations for a 10-year old RAC. Another statement indicates that units that are at least 10 years old use 20% more energy than a new ES unit, which equates to:  $10.9\text{EER}/1.2 = 9.1\text{EER}$ ; <http://www.energystar.gov/ia/products/recycle/documents/RoomAirConditionerTurn-InAndRecyclingPrograms.pdf>

<sup>122</sup> Based on Nexus Market Research Inc, RLW Analytics, December 2005; “Impact, Process, and Market Study of the Connecticut Appliance Retirement Program: Overall Report.” Report states that 63% were replaced with ENERGY STAR units and 13% with non-ENERGY STAR. However, this formula assumes all are non-ENERGY STAR since the increment of savings between baseline units and ENERGY STAR would be recorded by the Efficient Products program when the new unit is purchased.

<sup>123</sup> Minimum Federal Standard for capacity range and most popular class (Without reverse cycle, with louvered sides, and 8,000 to 13,999 Btu/h); [http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance\\_standards/product.aspx/productid/41](http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/41)



$$= 0.3^{124}$$

Results using defaults provided above:

$\Delta kW$		
Unit not replaced	Unit replaced	Unknown
0.2833	0.0494	0.1055

**NATURAL GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**PEAK GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-RARC-V01-170101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

<sup>124</sup> Consistent with coincidence factors found in:

RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008

([http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117\\_RLW\\_CF%20Res%20RA\\_C.pdf](http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RA_C.pdf))

## 2.1.8 ENERGY STAR Air Purifier/Cleaner

### DESCRIPTION

An air purifier (cleaner) meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR is purchased and installed in place of a non-ENERGY STAR model.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is defined as an air purifier meeting the efficiency specifications of ENERGY STAR as provided below.

- Must produce a minimum 30 Clean Air Delivery Rate (CADR) for Smoke<sup>125</sup> to be considered under this specification.
- UL Safety Requirement: Models that emit ozone as a byproduct of air cleaning must meet UL Standard 867 (ozone production must not exceed 50ppb).
- Minimum Performance Requirements for Smoke as listed below:<sup>126</sup>

Smoke CADR Bins	Minimum Smoke CADR/W
$30 \leq \text{CADR} < 100$	1.9
$100 \leq \text{CADR} < 150$	2.4
$150 \leq \text{CADR} < 200$	2.9
$200 \leq \text{CADR}$	2.9

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a conventional unit<sup>127</sup> that does not meet ENERGY STAR Efficiency Requirements.<sup>128</sup>

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 9 years.<sup>129</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is dependent on the Air Purifier size in CADR of Smoke.<sup>130</sup>

Product Size	Smoke CADR/W	Average Purchase Cost (\$)	Average Incremental Cost (\$)
$30 \leq \text{CADR} < 100$	1.90	\$ 82.49	\$ 8.44
$100 \leq \text{CADR} < 150$	2.40	\$ 140.43	\$ 22.33
$150 \leq \text{CADR} < 200$	2.90	\$ 349.00	\$ 92.34
$200 \leq \text{CADR}$	2.90	\$ 264.49	\$ 44.50

<sup>125</sup> Measured according to the latest ANSI/AHAM AC-1 (AC-1) Standard.

<sup>126</sup> ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Room Air Cleaners - Eligibility Criteria V2.0.

<sup>127</sup> As defined as the average of non-ENERGY STAR products found in EPA research, 2011, ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator.

<sup>128</sup> ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Room Air Cleaners - Eligibility Criteria V2.0.

<sup>129</sup> ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator citing Appliance Magazine, Portrait of the U.S. Appliance Industry 1998.

<sup>130</sup> ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package (October 11, 2019). See file "ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package\_GH 05122020\_VEIC.xlsx"

## LOADSHAPE

Loadshape E01 – Flat

### Algorithm

## CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \text{Annual Electrical Savings}$$

Where

Annual Electrical Savings = Electrical Savings in kWh, for the specific CADR range as outlined in the table below:<sup>131</sup>

CADR Range	Electrical Savings (kWh)
$30 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 100$	39
$100 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 150$	95
$150 \leq \text{Smoke CADR} < 200$	173
$200 \leq \text{Smoke CADR}$	328

Assumptions considered for the table above are:

The baseline used to calculate savings was a Smoke CADR/W equivalent just under the ENERGY STAR V1.2 at a Dust CADR/W of 1.9. Calculations assume (1) Smoke CADR/W is equal to the Dust CADR/W divided by Dust CADR and multiplied by Smoke CADR.

### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta \text{kWh}}{\text{Hours}} * CF$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{kWh}$  = Gross customer annual kWh savings for the measure

Hours = Average hours of use per year

= 5844 hours<sup>132</sup>

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

= 66.7%<sup>133</sup>

### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

Clean Air Delivery Rate	$\Delta \text{kW}$
$30 \leq \text{CADR} < 100$	0.005
$100 \leq \text{CADR} < 150$	0.011
$150 \leq \text{CADR} < 200$	0.020
$200 \leq \text{CADR}$	0.037

<sup>131</sup> ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package (October 11, 2019). See file "ENERGY STAR V2 Room Air Cleaners Data Package\_GH 05122020\_VEIC.xlsx"

<sup>132</sup> Consistent with ENERGY STAR Qualified Room Air Cleaner Calculator assumption of 16 hours per day ( $16 * 365.25 = 5844$ ).

<sup>133</sup> Assumes that the purifier usage is evenly spread throughout the year, therefore coincident peak is calculated as  $5844/8766 = 66.7\%$ .

**PEAK GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

There are no operation and maintenance cost adjustments for this measure.<sup>134</sup>

**MEASURE CODE: RS-APL-AIRP-V02-210101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026**

---

<sup>134</sup> Some types of room air cleaners require filter replacement or periodic cleaning, but this is likely to be true for both efficient and baseline units and so no difference in cost is assumed.

## 2.2 Consumer Electronics

### 2.2.1 Tier 1 Advanced Power Strip (APS)

#### DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to Tier 1 Advanced Power Strips which are multi-plug power strips with the ability to automatically disconnect specific connected loads depending upon the power draw of a master control load, also plugged into the strip. Power is disconnected from the switched (controlled) outlets when the master control load power draw is reduced below a certain adjustable threshold, thus turning off the appliances plugged into the switched outlets. By disconnecting, the standby load of the controlled devices, the overall load of a centralized group of equipment (i.e., entertainment centers and home office) can be reduced. Uncontrolled outlets are also provided that are not affected by the control device and so are always providing power to any device plugged into it. This measure characterization provides savings for use of the Advanced Power Strip in an entertainment, office or unknown setting.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

#### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is the use of a 4-8 plug Tier 1 master controlled advanced power strip.

#### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For time of sale or new construction applications, the assumed baseline is a standard power strip that does not control connected loads.

For direct install programs, the baseline is the existing equipment utilized in the home.

#### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the advanced power strip is 7 years.<sup>135</sup>

#### DEEMED MEASURE COST

For time of sale or new construction, the incremental cost of a Tier 1 advanced power strip over a standard power strip with surge protection is assumed to be \$9<sup>136</sup> (\$28 for advanced power strip and \$19 for baseline).

For direct install programs, the actual full installed cost (including labor) should be used.

#### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE05 – Residential Multifamily Plug Load

Loadshape RE13 – Residential Single-Family Plug Load

#### COINCIDENCE FACTOR

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 80%.<sup>137</sup>

---

<sup>135</sup> This is a consistent assumption with 2.2.2 Advanced Power Strip – Tier 2.

<sup>136</sup> 2016 Price survey performed by Illume Advising LLC, see “Current Surge Protector Costs and Comparison 7-2016” spreadsheet.

<sup>137</sup> In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (kWh_{office} * Weighting_{office} + kWh_{Ent} * Weighting_{Ent}) * ISR$$

Where:

$kWh_{office}$  = Estimated energy savings from using an APS in a home office  
= 31.0 kWh<sup>138</sup>

$Weighting_{Office}$  = Relative penetration of use in home office

Installation	$Weighting_{Office}$
Home Office	100%
Home Entertainment System	0%
Unknown	41% <sup>139</sup>

$kWh_{Ent}$  = Estimated energy savings from using an APS in a home entertainment system  
= 75.1 kWh<sup>140</sup>

$Weighting_{Ent}$  = Relative penetration of use with home entertainment systems

Installation	$Weighting_{Ent}$
Home Office	0%
Home Entertainment System	100%
Unknown	59% <sup>141</sup>

$ISR$  = In service rate  
= 87%<sup>142</sup>

Based on defaults provided above the following are the default savings:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{office} &= (31 * 100\% + 75.1 * 0\%) * 0.87 \\ &= 27.0 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{Ent} &= (31 * 0\% + 75.1 * 100\%) * 0.87 \\ &= 65.3 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

<sup>138</sup> NYSERDA 2011, Advanced Power Strip Research Report. Note that estimates are not based on pre/post metering but on analysis based on frequency and consumption of likely products in active, standby and off modes. This measure should be reviewed frequently to ensure that assumptions continue to be appropriate.

<sup>139</sup> Relative weightings of home office and entertainment systems is based on Navigant, Cadmus, EmPower Maryland Final Evaluation Report – Evaluation Year 4; Residential Retrofit Programs, 2014. If the programs have improved basis for these numbers they should be used.

<sup>140</sup> NYSERDA 2011, Advanced Power Strip Research Report

<sup>141</sup> Relative weightings of home office and entertainment systems is based on Navigant, Cadmus, EmPower Maryland Final Evaluation Report – Evaluation Year 4; Residential Retrofit Programs, 2014. If the programs have improved basis for these numbers they should be used.

<sup>142</sup> Based on MidAmerican Energy Company & TetraTech "Residential Assessment Impact and Process Evaluation FINAL". December 22, 2020, APPENDIX B: IN-SERVICE RATES ANALYSIS, p. 47.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{\text{unknown}} &= (31 * 41\% + 75.1 * 59\%) * 0.87 \\ &= 49.6 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

Hours = Annual number of hours during which the controlled standby loads are turned off by the Advanced power Strip.

$$= 7,129^{143}$$

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure

$$= 0.8^{144}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{\text{office}} &= 27.0 / 7129 * 0.8 \\ &= 0.0030 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{\text{Ent}} &= 65.3 / 7129 * 0.8 \\ &= 0.0073 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW_{\text{unknown}} &= 49.6 / 7129 * 0.8 \\ &= 0.0056 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

N/A

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

N/A

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-CEL-APS1-V03-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025

---

<sup>143</sup> Average of hours for controlled TV and computer from; NYSERDA Measure Characterization for Advanced Power Strips

<sup>144</sup> In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

## 2.2.2 Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips (APS) – Residential Audio Visual

### DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a Tier 2 Advanced Power Strip / surge protector for household audio visual environments (Tier 2 AV APS). Tier 2 AV APS are multi-plug power strips that remove power from audio visual equipment through intelligent control and monitoring strategies. By utilizing advanced control strategies such as a countdown timer, external sensors (e.g., of infra-red remote usage and/or occupancy sensors, true RMS (Root Mean Square) power sensing;<sup>145</sup> both active power loads and standby power loads of controlled devices are managed by Tier 2 AV APS devices. Monitoring and controlling both active and standby power loads of controlled devices will reduce the overall load of a centralized group of electrical equipment (i.e., the home entertainment center). This more intelligent sensing and control process has been demonstrated to deliver increased energy savings and demand reduction compared with ‘Tier 1 Advanced Power Strips.’

To date there have been two distinct control strategies to reduce the active AV loads:

1. Infra-red only – this type will begin a count down from the last remote-control signal. Once the set time period is reached without an additional remote signal, there will be a warning (visual and/or audio) to warn the user that the units are about to be switched off. If the user does not then indicate they are still actively using the equipment by using the remote control, the system will switch off.
2. Infra-red and occupancy sensor – in addition to the remote-control signal count down, this system uses motion detection to determine if there is an active user. Only after a set period of no remote-control activity or motion is sensed in the space will a similar warning and ultimate switch off occur.

The Tier 2 APS market is a relatively new and developing one. With several new Tier 2 APS products coming to market, it is important that energy savings are clearly demonstrated through independent field trials. Due to the inherent variance day to day and week to week for hours of use of AV systems, it is critical that field trial studies effectively address the variability in usage patterns. There is significant discussion in the EM&V and academic domain on the optimal methodology for controlling for these factors and in submitting evidence of energy savings, it is critical that it is demonstrated that these issues are adequately addressed. It is therefore recommended that only models that have provided independent evidence to demonstrate an appropriate deemed savings should be eligible, please see Product Classification memo.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: DI. If applied to other program delivery types, the installation characteristics including the number of AV devices under control and an appropriate in service rate should be verified through evaluation.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient case is the use of a Tier 2 AV APS in a residential AV (home entertainment) environment that includes control of at least 2 AV devices with one being the television.<sup>146</sup>

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The assumed baseline equipment is the existing equipment being used in the home (e.g., a standard power strip or wall socket that does not control loads of connected AV equipment).

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The default deemed lifetime value for Tier 2 AV APS is assumed to be 7 years.<sup>147</sup>

---

<sup>145</sup> Tier 2 AV APS identify when people are not engaged with their AV equipment and then remove power, for example a TV and its peripheral devices that are unintentionally left on when a person leaves the house or for instance where someone falls asleep while watching television.

<sup>146</sup> Given this requirement, an AV environment consisting of a television and DVD player or a TV and home theater would be eligible for a Tier 2 AV APS installation.

<sup>147</sup> There is little evaluation to base a lifetime estimate upon. Based on review of assumptions from other jurisdictions and the



Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.2.2 Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips (APS) – Residential Audio Visual

# **DEEMED MEASURE COST**

Direct Installation: The actual installed cost (including labor) of the new Tier 2 AV APS equipment should be used.

Time of Sale: The full cost of a new Tier 2 Advanced Power Strip should be assumed, with a default of \$80.<sup>148</sup>

# **LOADSHAPE**

Loadshape RE05 – Residential Multifamily Plug Load

Loadshape RE13 – Residential Single-Family Plug Load

# **COINCIDENCE FACTOR**

The summer peak coincidence factor for this measure is assumed to be 80%.<sup>149</sup>

## **Algorithm**

### **CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS**

#### **ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

$$\Delta kWh = ERP * BaselineEnergy_{AV} * ISR$$

Where:

ERP = Energy Reduction Percentage of qualifying Tier2 AV APS product. See reference documents for Product Classification memo.

Control Strategy	ERP
Infrared Only	40%
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	25%

BaselineEnergy<sub>AV</sub> = 454 kWh<sup>150</sup>

ISR = In Service Rate. See reference documents for Product Classification memo.

Control Strategy	ISR
Infrared Only	73%
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	83%

Based upon default assumptions above, savings are as follows:

Control Strategy	ΔkWh
Infrared Only	132.6
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	94.2

relative treatment of In Service Rates and persistence, an estimate of 7 years is proposed, but further evaluation is recommended.

<sup>148</sup> Based on internet review of leading manufacturers, 3/2019.

<sup>149</sup> In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

<sup>150</sup> Weighted average of assumptions derived from AESC, Inc, “Energy Savings of Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips in Residential AC Systems”, p28 and NMR Inc “Advanced Power Strip Metering Study”, October 5 2018, p19. Note that this load represents the average *controlled* AV devices only and will likely be lower than total AC usage.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.2.2 Tier 2 Advanced Power Strips (APS) – Residential Audio Visual

---

**SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS**

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh$  = Energy savings as calculated above

Hours = Annual number of hours during which the APS provides savings.  
= 4,380<sup>151</sup>

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure  
= 0.8<sup>152</sup>

Based upon default assumptions above, savings are as follows:

Control Strategy	$\Delta kW$
Infrared Only	0.0242
Infrared and Occupancy Sensor	0.0172

**NATURAL GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**PEAK GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**WATER AND OTHER NON-ENERGY IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-CEL-APS2-V04-200101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

---

<sup>151</sup> This is estimate based on assumption that approximately half of savings are during active hours (assumed to be 5.3 hrs/day, 1936 per year (NYSERDA 2011. "Advanced Power Strip Research Report")) and half during standby hours (8760-1936 = 6824 hours). The weighted average is 4380.

<sup>152</sup> In the absence of empirical evaluation data, this was based on assumptions of the typical run pattern for televisions and computers in homes.

## 2.3 Hot Water

### 2.3.1 Gas Water Heater

#### DESCRIPTION

This measure applies to gas water heaters under the following program types:

Time of Sale or New Construction:

The purchase and installation of a new, residential gas-fired storage or tankless (or instantaneous) water heater meeting program Uniform Energy Factor (UEF) requirements, in place of a storage unit meeting Federal standards.

Early Replacement:

The early removal of an existing and functioning, residential gas-fired storage or tankless water heater, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new unit meeting program Uniform Energy Factor (UEF) requirements. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.<sup>153</sup>

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.

#### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a residential gas-fired storage water heater or tankless water heater meeting ENERGY STAR criteria. On January 5, 2022 a new version of the ENERGY STAR Water Heater Program Requirements will be effective (Version 4), with the following efficiency requirements:

Unit Type	Unit Capacity	ENERGY STAR Requirements (Uniform Energy Factor)
Gas Storage	≤ 55 gallons	Medium Draw Pattern UEF ≥ 0.64 High Draw Pattern UEF ≥ 0.68
	> 55 gallons	Medium Draw Pattern UEF ≥ 0.78 High Draw Pattern UEF ≥ 0.80
Gas Tankless	All	UEF ≥ 0.87

#### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale or New Construction: The baseline equipment is assumed to be a new, gas-fired storage residential water heater meeting minimum Federal efficiency standards. For storage water heaters with a storage capacity equal to or less than 55 gallons, the Federal energy factor requirement is calculated as  $0.6483 - (0.0017 * \text{storage capacity in gallons})$  and  $0.7897 - (0.0004 * \text{storage capacity in gallons})$  for greater than 55 gallon storage water heaters.<sup>154</sup>

Early Replacement: The baseline is the efficiency of the existing gas water heater for the remaining useful life of the unit and the efficiency of a new gas water heater of the same type meeting minimum Federal efficiency standards for the remainder of the measure life.

<sup>153</sup> If the existing water heater has an Energy Factor (EF) rating and the efficient model has a UEF rating, use the baseline EF and efficient UEF in the below algorithm.

<sup>154</sup> Minimum Federal standard as of 4/16/2015;

[https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=80dfa785ea350ebee184bb0ae03e7f0&mc=true&node=se10.3.430\\_132&rgn=div8](https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=80dfa785ea350ebee184bb0ae03e7f0&mc=true&node=se10.3.430_132&rgn=div8)

**DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT**

The expected measure life is assumed to be 11 years for a gas storage water heater and 20 years for a gas tankless water heater.<sup>155</sup>

For Early Replacement: The remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 3.7 for gas storage water heaters and 6.7 years for gas tankless water heaters.<sup>156</sup>

**DEEMED MEASURE COST**

Time of Sale or New Construction:

The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on the type of water heater, as listed below. Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Water Heater Type	Incremental Capital Cost <sup>157</sup>	Full Install Cost <sup>158</sup>
Baseline Storage Unit	N/A	\$1,336
Efficient Storage	\$320	\$1,656
Efficient Tankless	\$1,560	\$2,896

Early Replacement: Actual full installed costs should be used where available. If actual costs are unavailable, the full installed cost is provided in the table above. The assumed deferred cost (after 4 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be \$1,336. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utility's discount rate.<sup>159</sup>

**LOADSHAPE**

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

---

**Algorithm**


---

**CALCULATION OF SAVINGS****ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS**

N/A

**SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS**

N/A

**NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS**

Time of Sale or New Construction:

---

<sup>155</sup> 2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, "Cost Values and Summary Documentation", California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014.

<sup>156</sup> Assumes one third of the expected equipment life.

<sup>157</sup> Measure costs based on information from DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Table 8.2.13.

<sup>158</sup> Measure costs based on information from DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Table 8.2.13.

<sup>159</sup> Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

$$\Delta Therms = (1/UEF_{Base} - 1/UEF_{EE}) * (GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{Out} - T_{In}) * 1.0) / 100,000$$

Early Replacement:<sup>160</sup>

$\Delta Therms$  for remaining life of existing unit (1st 3.7 years for gas storage unit and 1<sup>st</sup> 6.7 years for gas tankless unit):

$$\Delta Therms = (1/UEF_{Existing} - 1/UEF_{EE}) * (GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{Out} - T_{In}) * 1.0) / 100,000$$

$\Delta Therms$  for remaining measure life (next 7.3 years for gas storage unit and next 13.3 years for gas tankless unit):

$$\Delta Therms = (1/UEF_{Base} - 1/UEF_{EE}) * (GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{Out} - T_{In}) * 1.0) / 100,000$$

Where:

$UEF_{Base}$  = UEF (efficiency) rating of standard storage water heater according to federal standards<sup>161</sup>

= For gas storage water heaters ≤55 gallons:  $0.6483 - (0.0017 * \text{storage capacity in gallons})$

= For gas storage water heaters >55 gallons:  $0.7897 - (0.0004 * \text{storage capacity in gallons})$

= If tank size is unknown, assume 0.5633 for a gas storage water heater with a 50-gallon storage capacity

$UEF_{EE}$  = UEF rating of efficient gas water heater.

= Actual or if unknown, assume 0.64 for gas storage water heaters ≤55 gallons, 0.78 for gas storage water heaters >55 gallons, and 0.87 for gas tankless water heaters<sup>162</sup>

$UEF_{Existing}$  = UEF rating for existing gas water heater

= Actual or if unknown, assume 0.52<sup>163</sup>

GPD = Gallons per day of hot water use per person

= 17.6<sup>164</sup>

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household <sup>165</sup>
Manufactured	1.96
Single-Family – Deemed	2.12
Multifamily – Deemed	1.4
Custom	Actual Occupancy or

<sup>160</sup> The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may require a first year savings calculation (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input, which would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

<sup>161</sup> Minimum Federal standard as of 4/16/2015

<sup>162</sup> ENERGY STAR Product Specification for Residential Water Heaters, Version 4.0, effective January 5, 2022.

<sup>163</sup> Based on DCEO Efficient Living Program Data for a sample size of 157 gas water heaters.

<sup>164</sup> Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study 2013 Update. Water Research Foundation, 2014.

<sup>165</sup> Average household size by building type and water heater fuel type based on the 2007 RASS.

Household Unit Type	Household <sup>165</sup>
	Number of Bedrooms <sup>166</sup>

365.25	= Number of days per year
$\gamma_{\text{Water}}$	= Specific weight of water
	= 8.33 pounds per gallon
$T_{\text{Out}}$	= Tank temperature
	= 126.5°F <sup>167</sup>
$T_{\text{In}}$	= Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system
	= 56.5°F <sup>168</sup>
1.0	= Heat capacity of water (1 Btu/lb*°F)
100,000	= Conversion factor from Btu to therms

**For example**, a new 50-gallon gas storage water heater installed in a single family home under the Time of Sale program type, using defaults from above, would save:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (1/0.5633 - 1/0.64) * (17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 - 56.5) * 1.0) / 100,000$$

$$= 16.9 \text{ therms}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} / 365.25$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{Therms}$  = Gas savings from installation of efficient water heater

Other variables as defined above

**For example**, a new 50-gallon gas storage water heater installed in a single family home under the Time of Sale program type, using defaults from above, would save:

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = 16.9 / 365.25$$

$$= 0.0463 \text{ therms}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

<sup>166</sup> Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

<sup>167</sup> CPUC Residential Retrofit - High Impact Measure Evaluation Report Draft. Dec. 7, 2009. Pg 76. Average temperature setpoints for two utilities.

<sup>168</sup> Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-GWHT-V04-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

## 2.3.2 Heat Pump Water Heaters

### DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the installation of a heat pump domestic hot water heater in a home. Savings are presented dependent on the heating system installed in the home due to the impact of the heat pump water heater on the heating and cooling loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.<sup>169</sup>

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be an ENERGY STAR Heat Pump domestic water heater.<sup>170</sup> On January 5, 2022 a new version of the ENERGY STAR Water Heater Program Requirements will be effective (Version 4), with the following efficiency requirements:

Unit Type	ENERGY STAR Requirements (Uniform Energy Factor)
Integrated HPWH	UEF ≥ 3.3
Integrated HPWH, 120 Volt / 15 Amp Circuit	UEF ≥ 2.2
Split-system HPWH	UEF ≥ 2.2

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a new electric water heater meeting federal minimum efficiency standards,<sup>171</sup> dependent on the storage volume (in gallons) of the water heater.

For units ≤55 gallons – resistance storage unit with efficiency:  $0.9307 - (0.0002 * \text{rated volume in gallons})$

For units >55 gallons – assume a 50 gallon resistance tank baseline i.e. 0.9207 UEF.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 13 years.<sup>172</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

For Time of Sale or New Construction the incremental installation cost (including labor) should be used. Defaults are provided below.<sup>173</sup> Actual efficient costs can also be used although care should be taken as installation costs can vary significantly due to complexities of a particular site.

<sup>169</sup> If the existing water heater has an Energy Factor (EF) rating and the efficient model has a UEF rating, use the baseline EF and efficient UEF in the below algorithm.

<sup>170</sup> If the water heater does not have a UEF rating, but a EF rating, revert to using the previous version of this measure.

<sup>171</sup> Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015. Medium draw pattern;

[https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=80dfa785ea350ebee184bb0ae03e7f0&mc=true&node=se10.3.430\\_132&rgn=div8](https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=80dfa785ea350ebee184bb0ae03e7f0&mc=true&node=se10.3.430_132&rgn=div8)

<sup>172</sup> DOE, 2010 Residential Heating Products Final Rule Technical Support Document, Chapter 8, Page 8-46.

<sup>173</sup> Costs for <2.6 UEF are based upon averages from the NEEP Phase 3 Incremental Cost Study;

<http://www.neep.org/incremental-cost-study-phase-3>. The assumption for higher efficiency tanks is based upon averaged from NEEP Phase 4 Incremental Cost Study;

[http://www.neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP%20Incremental%20Cost%20Study%20FINAL\\_061016.pdf](http://www.neep.org/sites/default/files/resources/NEEP%20Incremental%20Cost%20Study%20FINAL_061016.pdf). See 'HPWH Cost Estimation.xls' for more information.



For retrofit costs, the actual full installation cost should be used (default provided below if unknown).

Capacity	Efficiency Range	Baseline Installed Cost	Efficient Installed Cost	Incremental Installed Cost
≤55 gallons	<2.6 UEF	\$1,032	\$2,062	\$1,030
	≥2.6 UEF	\$1,032	\$2,231	\$1,199
>55 gallons	<2.6 UEF	\$1,319	\$2,432	\$1,113
	≥2.6 UEF	\$1,319	\$3,116	\$1,797

#### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE04 – Residential Multifamily Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

#### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left( \frac{(1/UEF_{BASE} - 1/UEF_{EE}) * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{in}) * 1.0}{3412} \right) + kWh_{cool} - kWh_{heat}$$

Where:

UEF<sub>BASE</sub> = Uniform Energy Factor (efficiency) of standard electric water heater according to federal standards:<sup>174</sup>

For ≤55 gallons: 0.9307 – (0.0002 \* rated volume in gallons)

= Default of 0.9207 for a 50 gallon tank a typical sized Residential unit

For >55 gallons: Assume 0.9207 for a 50 gallon tank a typical sized Residential unit

UEF<sub>EE</sub> = Uniform Energy Factor (efficiency) of Heat Pump water heater.

= Actual

GPD = Gallons Per Day of hot water use per person

= 45.5 gallons hot water per day per household/2.59 people per household<sup>175</sup>

= 17.6

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household <sup>176</sup>
Manufactured	1.96
Single-Family – Deemed	2.12
Multifamily – Deemed	1.4

<sup>174</sup> Minimum Federal Standard as of 1/1/2015. CFR, Title 10: Energy, Chapter II D §430.32(d) Energy and water conservation standards and their compliance dates. (Water Heaters).

<sup>175</sup> Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study Update. Forthcoming. ©2015 Water Research Foundation. Reprinted With Permission.

<sup>176</sup> Average household size by building type and water heater fuel type based on the 2007 RASS.

Household Unit Type	Household <sup>176</sup>
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms <sup>177</sup>

365.25 = Days per year

$\gamma_{Water}$  = Specific weight of water  
= 8.33 pounds per gallon

$T_{OUT}$  = Tank temperature  
= 126.5°F <sup>178</sup>

$T_{IN}$  = Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system  
= 56.5<sup>179</sup>

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (1 Btu/lb\*°F)

3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh

kWh\_cool = Cooling savings from conversion of heat in home to water heat<sup>180</sup>

$$= \left[ \frac{\left( \left( 1 - \frac{1}{UEF_{EE}} \right) * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0 \right) * LF * 34\% * LM}{COP_{COOL} * 3412} \right] * \%Cool$$

Where:

LF = Location Factor  
= 1.0 for HPWH installation in a conditioned space  
= 0.5 for HPWH installation in an unknown location<sup>181</sup>  
= 0.0 for installation in an unconditioned space

34% = Portion of reduced waste heat that results in cooling savings<sup>182</sup>

$COP_{COOL}$  = COP of Central Air Conditioner  
= Actual - If unknown, assume 3.08 (10.5 SEER / 3.412)

LM = Latent multiplier to account for latent cooling demand

<sup>177</sup> Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

<sup>178</sup> CPUC Residential Retrofit - High Impact Measure Evaluation Report Draft. Dec. 7, 2009. Pg 76. Average temperature setpoints for two utilities.

<sup>179</sup> Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

<sup>180</sup> This algorithm calculates the heat removed from the air by subtracting the HPWH electric consumption from the total water heating energy delivered. This is then adjusted to account for location of the HP unit and the coincidence of the waste heat with cooling requirements, the efficiency of the central cooling, and latent cooling demands.

<sup>181</sup> Note unconditioned means a space that is not intentionally heated via furnace vents or boiler radiators. The presence of and/or leakage from a heating system in a space doesn't in itself imply the space is conditioned.

<sup>182</sup> REMRate determined percentage (34%) of lighting savings that result in reduced cooling loads (lighting is used as a proxy for hot water heating since load shapes suggest their seasonal usage patterns are similar).

$$= 1.33^{183}$$

%Cool = Percentage of homes with central cooling

Cooling System	%Cool
Central Air Conditioner	100%
No Central Air Conditioner	0%
Unknown <sup>184</sup>	88%

kWh\_heat = Heating cost from conversion of heat in home to water heat (dependent on heating fuel)

$$= \left( \frac{\left( \left( 1 - \frac{1}{UEF_{EE}} \right) * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0 \right) * LF * 53\%}{COP_{HEAT} * 3412} \right) * \%ElectricHeat$$

Where:

53% = Portion of reduced waste heat that results in increased heating load<sup>185</sup>

COP<sub>HEAT</sub> = COP of electric heating system

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss - If not available, use:<sup>186</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	ηHeat (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 - 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1

%ElectricHeat = Factor dependent on heating fuel:

Heating System	%ElectricHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	100%
Gas	0%
Unknown heating fuel <sup>187</sup>	17%

<sup>183</sup> A sensible heat ratio (SHR) of 0.75 corresponds to a latent multiplier of 4/3 or 1.33. SHR of 0.75 for typical split system from page 10 of "Controlling Indoor Humidity Using Variable-Speed Compressors and Blowers" by M. A. Andrade and C. W. Bullard, 1999: [www.ideals.illinois.edu/bitstream/handle/2142/11894/TR151.pdf](http://www.ideals.illinois.edu/bitstream/handle/2142/11894/TR151.pdf)

<sup>184</sup> Based on assumption that 64% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

<sup>185</sup> REMRate determined percentage (53%) of lighting savings that result in increased heating loads (lighting is used as a proxy for hot water heating since load shapes suggest their seasonal usage patterns are similar).

<sup>186</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>187</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

**For example**, for a 2.2 UEF 50 gallon heat pump water heater in a single family home using default assumptions provided above:

$$\text{kWh}_{\text{cool}} = (((1 - 1/2.2) * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 - 56.5) * 1.0 * 0.5 * 0.34 * 1.33) / (3.08 * 3412)) * 0.88$$

$$= 82.1 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{kWh}_{\text{heat}} = (((1 - 1/2.2) * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 - 56.5) * 1.0 * 0.5 * 0.53) / (1.38 * 3412)) * 0.17$$

$$= 41.5 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = ((1 / 0.9207 - 1 / 2.2) * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 - 56.5)) / 3412 + 75.2 - 38.0$$

$$= 1511.6 \text{ kWh}$$

Note: whenever using the unknown heating fuel defaults, an additional therm penalty (to account for the percentage of homes with gas heat) should be applied.

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{\text{Hours}} * CF$$

Where:

$$\text{Hours} = \text{Full load hours of water heater}$$

$$= 5186^{188}$$

$$CF = \text{Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure}$$

$$= 0.33^{189}$$

**For example**, for a 2.2 UEF 50 gallon heat pump water heater using default assumptions provided above:

$$\Delta kW = 1511.6 / 5186 * 0.33$$

$$= 0.0962 \text{ kW}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = - \left( \frac{\left( \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\text{UEFEE}} \right) * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma_{\text{Water}} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0 \right) * \text{LF} * 53\%}{\eta_{\text{Heat}} * 100,000} \right) * \% \text{GasHeat}$$

Where:

$$\Delta \text{Therms}_{\text{heat}}^{190} = \text{Heating cost from conversion of heat in home to water heat for homes with Natural Gas}$$

<sup>188</sup> Full load hours assumption based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

<sup>189</sup> Calculated from Figure 8 "Combined six-unit summer weekday average electrical demand" in FEMP study; Field Testing of Pre-Production Prototype Residential Heat Pump Water Heaters [http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/pdfs/tir\\_heatpump.pdf](http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/pdfs/tir_heatpump.pdf) as (average kW usage during peak period) / [(annual kWh savings / FLH)] = (0.1 kW) / [(1556 kWh (default assumptions) / 5183 hours) = 0.33.

<sup>190</sup> This is the additional energy consumption required to replace the heat removed from the home during the heating season by the heat pump water heater. The variable kWh\_heating (electric resistance) is that additional heating energy for a home

- 0.03412 = conversion factor (therms per kWh)
- $\eta_{\text{Heat}}$  = Efficiency of heating system, i.e., AFUE multiplied by distribution efficiency<sup>191</sup>  
= Actual - If not available, use 74%.<sup>192</sup>
- %GasHeat = Factor dependent on heating fuel:

Heating System	%GasHeat
Electric resistance or heat pump	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown heating fuel <sup>193</sup>	83%

Other factors as defined above

**For example,** for a 2.2 UEF 50 gallon heat pump water heater using default assumptions provided above:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= -(((1 - 1/2.2) * 17.6 * 2.12 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5 - 56.5) * 1.0 * 0.5 * 0.53) / (0.74 \\ &\quad * 100000)) * 0.83 \\ &= - 12.9 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the heating season. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \frac{\Delta\text{Therms}}{\text{HeatDays}}$$

Where:

- $\Delta\text{Therms}$  = Therm impact calculated above
- HeatDays = Heat season days per year  
= 217<sup>194</sup>

with electric resistance heat (COP 1.0). This formula converts the additional heating kWh for an electric resistance home to the MMBtu required in a Natural Gas heated home, applying the relative efficiencies.

<sup>191</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look-up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>192</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant space heating system is a central warm-air furnace, and energy source of natural gas (based on Energy Information Administration, 2019 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, HC6.9 for the Midwest)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  
 $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$

<sup>193</sup> Based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey.

<sup>194</sup> Number of days where HDD 60 > 0.

**For example**, for a 2.2 UEF 50 gallon heat pump water heater, using default assumptions provided above:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= -12.9 / 217 \\ &= -0.0594 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-HPWH-V04-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

## 2.3.3 Water Heater Temperature Setback

### DESCRIPTION

Set point temperatures on hot water systems are often set higher than necessary. Savings are calculated for lowering the set temperature to 120-125 degrees (DOE recommended minimum to prevent Legionella contamination).

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, RNC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The high efficiency measure is a hot water tank with the thermostat reduced from its existing temperature to a lower temperature between 120-125 degrees.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a hot water tank with a thermostat setting that is higher than 120 degrees, typically systems with settings of 130 degrees or higher. Note: if there is more than one DHW tank in the home at or higher than 130 degrees and they are all turned down, then the savings per tank can be multiplied by the number of tanks.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of the measure is 2 years.<sup>195</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost of a setback is assumed to be \$10 for contractor time.<sup>196</sup>

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE04 – Residential Multifamily Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

---

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS<sup>197</sup>

For homes with electric DHW tanks:

---

<sup>195</sup> Professional judgment.

<sup>196</sup> Based on labor cost of \$40/h and 15min work.

<sup>197</sup> Note this algorithm provides savings only from reduction in standby losses. VEIC considered avoided energy from not heating the water to the higher temperature, but determined that dishwashers are likely to boost the temperature within the unit (roughly canceling out any savings); faucet and shower use is likely to be at the same temperature, so there would need to be more lower temperature hot water being used (cancelling any savings); and clothes washers will only see savings if the water from the tank is taken without any temperature control. It was felt the potential impact was too small to be characterized.

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{(U * A * (T_{pre} - T_{post}) * Hours)}{3412 * RE_{electric}}$$

Where:

- U = Overall heat transfer coefficient of tank (Btu/Hr-°F-ft²)  
 = Actual if known - If unknown, assume R-12, U = 0.083
- A = Surface area of storage tank (square feet)  
 = Actual if know - If unknown, use the table below based on capacity of tank. If capacity unknown, assume 50 gal tank; A = 24.99ft².

Capacity (gal)	A (ft²) <sup>198</sup>
30	19.16
40	23.18
50	24.99
80	31.84

- Tpre = Actual hot water setpoint prior to adjustment. If unknown, assume 135 degrees
- Tpost = Actual new hot water setpoint, which may not be lower than 120 degrees. If unknown, assume 120 degrees.
- Hours = Number of hours in a year (since savings are assumed to be constant over year)  
 = 8766
- 3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh
- RE\_electric = Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater  
 = 0.98<sup>199</sup>

A deemed savings assumption for single family homes, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

$$\Delta kWh = (0.083 * 24.99 * (135 - 120) * 8766) / (3412 * 0.98)$$

$$= 81.6 \text{ kWh}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

- Hours = 8766
- CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure  
 = 1

<sup>198</sup> Assumptions from Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Technical Reference Manual;  
[http://www.puc.pa.gov/filing\\_resources/issues\\_laws\\_regulations/act\\_129\\_information/technical\\_reference\\_manual.aspx](http://www.puc.pa.gov/filing_resources/issues_laws_regulations/act_129_information/technical_reference_manual.aspx).

Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation.

<sup>199</sup> Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/Search/SearchHome>



A deemed savings assumption, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= (81.6 / 8766) * 1 \\ &= 0.0093 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For homes with gas water heaters:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{U * A * (T_{pre} - T_{post}) * Hours}{100,000 * RE_{gas}}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned}100,000 &= \text{Converts Btus to Therms (Btu/Therm)} \\ RE_{gas} &= \text{Recovery efficiency of gas water heater} \\ &= \text{Actual if known - if not, assume:} \\ &= 78\% \text{ For SF homes}^{200} \\ &= 60\% \text{ For MF homes with DHW from central boiler} \\ &= 78\% \text{ for MF homes with dedicated gas DHW system}\end{aligned}$$

A deemed savings assumption, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

For Single Family homes or multifamily homes with dedicated gas DHW system:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Therms &= (0.083 * 24.99 * (135 - 120) * 8766) / (100,000 * 0.78) \\ &= 3.5 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

An example for multifamily homes with DHW from a central boiler is provided below (tank capacity can vary considerably so actual values should be used). This example assumes a 119 gallon tank with a surface area of 47.80ft<sup>2</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Therms &= (0.083 * 47.80 * (135 - 120) * 8766) / (100,000 * 0.60) \\ &= 8.7 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Therms &= \text{Therm impact calculated above} \\ GCF &= \text{Gas Coincidence Factor for Water Heating} \\ &= 0.002952 \text{ for Residential Water Heating}\end{aligned}$$

A deemed savings assumption, where site-specific inputs are not available, would be as follows:

For Single Family homes or multifamily homes with dedicated gas DHW system:

<sup>200</sup> DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 3.5 * 0.002952 \\ &= 0.0103 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

An example for multifamily homes with DHW from a central boiler is provided below (tank capacity can vary considerably so actual values should be used). This example assumes a 119 gallon tank with a surface area of 47.80ft<sup>2</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 8.7 * 0.002952 \\ &= 0.0257 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-TMPS-V01-170101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

## 2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

### DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a low flow faucet aerator in a single family home or multifamily unit in unit kitchen or bathroom faucet fixture.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a low flow faucet aerator, rated at 1.5 gallons per minute (GPM)<sup>201</sup> or less. Savings are calculated on an average savings per faucet fixture basis.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is assumed to be a standard faucet aerator rated at 2.2 GPM<sup>202</sup> or greater.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 10 years.<sup>203</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

For RF and DI, the incremental cost for this measure is \$16 or program actual.<sup>204</sup>

For TOS and NC, the incremental cost is \$0.<sup>205</sup>

For faucet aerators provided in Efficiency Kits, the actual program delivery costs should be used.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE04 – Residential Multifamily Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

---

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Note these savings are *per* faucet retrofitted (unless faucet type is unknown, then it is per household).<sup>206</sup>

---

<sup>201</sup> IPL program product data for 2014 Iowa Residential Energy Assessments.

<sup>202</sup> DOE Energy Cost Calculator for Faucets and Showerheads:

([http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/technologies/eep\\_faucets\\_showerheads\\_calc.html#output](http://www1.eere.energy.gov/femp/technologies/eep_faucets_showerheads_calc.html#output))

<sup>203</sup> 2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, "Cost Values and Summary Documentation," California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014. "

<sup>204</sup> Direct-install price per faucet assumes cost of aerator and install time. (2011, Market research average of \$3 and assess and install time of \$13(20min @ \$40/hr).

<sup>205</sup> Based on VEIC's market research of the lower 10th percentile of product categories, which is assumed to filter out price differences related to product quality and features, the incremental cost difference between a baseline and low-flow aerator is negligible.

<sup>206</sup> This algorithm calculates the amount of energy saved per aerator by determining the fraction of water consumption savings for the upgraded fixture.

$$\Delta kWh = \%ElectricDHW * ((GPM\_base - GPM\_low) * L * Household * 365.25 * \frac{DF}{FPH}) * EPG\_electric * ISR$$

Where:

%ElectricDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	30% <sup>207</sup>

GPM\_base = Average flow rate, in gallons per minute, of the baseline faucet “as-used”

= Measured full throttle flow \* 0.83 throttling factor<sup>208</sup>

If flow not measured, assume (2.2 \* 0.83) = 1.83 GPM

GPM\_low = Average flow rate, in gallons per minute, of the low-flow faucet aerator “as-used”

= Rated full throttle flow \* 0.95 throttling factor<sup>209</sup>

If flow not available, assume (1.5 \* 0.95) = 1.43 GPM

L = Average daily length faucet use per capita for faucet of interest in minutes

= if available, custom based on metering studies - if not, use:

Faucet Type	L (min/person/day)
Kitchen	4.5 <sup>210</sup>
Bathroom	1.6 <sup>211</sup>
If location unknown (total for household): Single-Family	9.0 <sup>212</sup>
If location unknown (total for household): Multifamily	6.9 <sup>213</sup>

Household = Average number of people per household

<sup>207</sup> Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used

<sup>208</sup> 2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings. Page 1-265. [www.seattle.gov/light/Conserve/Reports/paper\\_10.pdf](http://www.seattle.gov/light/Conserve/Reports/paper_10.pdf)

<sup>209</sup> 2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings. Page 1-265. [www.seattle.gov/light/Conserve/Reports/paper\\_10.pdf](http://www.seattle.gov/light/Conserve/Reports/paper_10.pdf)

<sup>210</sup> Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. This study of 135 single and multi-family homes in Michigan metered energy parameters for efficient showerhead and faucet aerators.

<sup>211</sup> Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

<sup>212</sup> One kitchen faucet plus 2.83 bathroom faucets. Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd, Illinois residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

<sup>213</sup> One kitchen faucet plus 1.5 bathroom faucets. Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd, Illinois residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

Household Unit Type	Household <sup>214</sup>
Single-Family - Deemed	2.51
Multifamily - Deemed	2.18
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms <sup>215</sup>

365.25 = Days in a year, on average

DF = Drain Factor

Faucet Type	Drain Factor <sup>216</sup>
Kitchen	75%
Bath	90%
Unknown	79.5%

FPH = Faucets Per Household

Faucet Type	FPH
Kitchen or Bathroom (i.e., divide by 1 since use assumption is per faucet)	1
If location unknown (total for household): Single-Family	3.83
If location unknown (total for household): Multifamily	2.5

EPG<sub>electric</sub> = Energy per gallon of water used by faucet supplied by electric water heater  

$$= (\gamma_{\text{Water}} * 1.0 * (\text{WaterTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE}_{\text{electric}} * 3412)$$

$$= 0.0735 \text{ kWh/gal (Bath)}, 0.0909 \text{ kWh/gal (Kitchen)}, 0.0859 \text{ kWh/gal (Unknown) if resistance tank (or unknown)}$$

$$= 0.0360 \text{ kWh/gal (Bath)}, 0.0446 \text{ kWh/gal (Kitchen)}, 0.0421 \text{ kWh/gal (Unknown) if heat pump water heater}$$

Where:

$\gamma_{\text{Water}}$  = Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)

= 8.33 lbs/gallon

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (Btu/lb-°F)

WaterTemp = Assumed temperature of mixed water

<sup>214</sup> Average household size from U.S. Census Bureau, 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates for Iowa (Table DP04). Single-family household size based on owner-occupied estimate and multifamily household size based on renter-occupied estimate.

<sup>215</sup> Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

<sup>216</sup> Because faucet usages are at times dictated by volume, only usage of the sort that would go straight down the drain will provide savings. VEIC is unaware of any metering study that has determined this specific factor and so through consensus with the Illinois Technical Advisory Group have deemed these values to be 75% for the kitchen and 90% for the bathroom. If the aerator location is unknown, an average of 79.5% should be used, which is based on the assumption that 70% of household water runs through the kitchen faucet and 30% through the bathroom  $(0.7 * 0.75) + (0.3 * 0.9) = 0.795$ .

	= 86F for Bath, 93F for Kitchen 91F for Unknown <sup>217</sup>
SupplyTemp	= Assumed temperature of water entering house = 56.5 <sup>218</sup>
RE_electric	= Average Recovery efficiency of electric water heater = 98% <sup>219</sup> for electric resistance (or unknown) = 200% <sup>220</sup> for heat pump water heaters
3412	= Converts Btu to kWh (Btu/kWh)
ISR	= In service rate of faucet aerators

Program		ISR
Direct-install, NC, or TOS		0.95 <sup>221</sup>
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	0.74 <sup>222</sup>
	Bathroom	0.46 <sup>223</sup>
	Unknown	0.60 <sup>224</sup>
Efficiency Kits –Schools <sup>225</sup>		0.43

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95$	106.9
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.95$	52.4
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95$	32.1
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95$	92.8

<sup>217</sup> Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. If the aerator location is unknown, an average of 91F should be used, which is based on the assumption that 70% of household water runs through the kitchen faucet and 30% through the bathroom:  
 $(0.7*93)+(0.3*86)=0.91$ .

<sup>218</sup> Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg. 19-20. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

<sup>219</sup> Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/Search/SearchHome>

<sup>220</sup> 200% represents a reasonable estimate of the weighted average event recovery efficiency for heat pump water heaters, including those that are set to Heat Pump only mode (and so have a recovery efficiency >250%) and those that are set in hybrid mode where a larger draw would kick the unit in to resistance mode (98%), or where low total water consumption can result in lower COPs due to relatively high standby losses. Note that the AHRI directory provides recovery efficiency ratings, some of which are >250% but most are rated at 100%. This is due to the rating test involving a large hot water draw, consistent with multiple showers.

<sup>221</sup> ComEd Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Table 3-8.

<sup>222</sup> Based on Cadmus, "Final Report: Iowa 2015 Energy Wise Program", January 29, 2016, p16.

<sup>223</sup> Weighted average MidAmerican Energy Company & TetraTech "Residential Assessment Impact and Process Evaluation FINAL". December 22, 2020, APPENDIX B: IN-SERVICE RATES ANALYSIS, p. 47. The MidAmerican Report had two methods of collecting data, both Web Survey and Postcard, and these values were weighted with the Cadmus survey response.

<sup>224</sup> Average of kitchen and Bathroom ISRs.

<sup>225</sup> Based on results provided in "School-based interim process memo\_Final\_100215.doc".

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.95$	45.5
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.95$	27.8
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95$	36.9
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.95$	18.1
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95$	11.1
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95$	32.0
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.95$	15.7
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.95$	9.6
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.95$	55.9
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0421 * 0.95$	27.4
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.95$	16.8
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.95$	57.0
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0421 * 0.95$	28.0
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.95$	17.1
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF <sup>226</sup>	16.8
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74$	83.3
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.74$	40.8
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74$	25.0
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74$	72.3
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.74$	35.5
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.74$	21.7
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.46$	17.7
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.46$	8.7
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.46$	5.3
		Multifamily Electric	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.46$	15.4

<sup>226</sup> Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see “HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls”.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh
		Resistance DHW	$1) * 0.0735 * 0.46$	
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.46$	7.5
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.46$	4.6
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.60$	35.2
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0421 * 0.60$	17.2
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.60$	10.6
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.60$	35.9
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0421 * 0.60$	17.6
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.60$	10.8
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF <sup>227</sup>	10.6
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43$	48.4
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.43$	23.7
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43$	14.5
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43$	42.0
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0446 * 0.43$	20.6
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0909 * 0.43$	12.6
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43$	16.7
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.43$	8.2
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43$	5.0
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43$	14.5
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0360 * 0.43$	7.1
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0735 * 0.43$	4.3
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.43$	25.3
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0421 * 0.43$	12.4
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0859 * 0.43$	7.6

<sup>227</sup> Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see “HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls”.



Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkWh
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.43$	25.8
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0421 * 0.43$	12.7
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.3 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0859 * 0.43$	7.7
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF <sup>228</sup>	7.6

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

ΔkWh = calculated value above

Hours = Annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use per faucet

$$= (GPM\_base * L * Household/FPH * 365.25 * DF * 0.479^{229}) / GPH$$

Building Type	Faucet location	Calculation	Hours per faucet
Single Family Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	Kitchen	$(1.83 * 4.5 * 2.51 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 25.8$	105.1
	Bathroom	$(1.83 * 1.6 * 2.51 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 25.8$	44.9
	Unknown	$(1.83 * 9.0 * 2.51 / 3.83 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 25.8$	58.2
Single Family Heat Pump DHW	Kitchen	$(1.83 * 4.5 * 2.51 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 52.7$	51.5
	Bathroom	$(1.83 * 1.6 * 2.51 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 52.7$	22.0
	Unknown	$(1.83 * 9.0 * 2.51 / 3.83 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 52.7$	28.5
Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	Kitchen	$(1.83 * 4.5 * 2.18 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 25.8$	91.3
	Bathroom	$(1.83 * 1.6 * 2.18 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 25.8$	39.0
	Unknown	$(1.83 * 6.9 * 2.18 / 2.5 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 25.8$	59.4
Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	Kitchen	$(1.83 * 4.5 * 2.18 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.75 * 0.479) / 52.7$	44.7
	Bathroom	$(1.83 * 1.6 * 2.18 / 1 * 365.25 * 0.9 * 0.479) / 52.7$	19.1
	Unknown	$(1.83 * 6.9 * 2.18 / 2.5 * 365.25 * 0.795 * 0.479) / 52.7$	29.1

GPH = Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 70F temp rise (126.5-56.5), 98% recovery efficiency for electric resistance (or unknown) and 200% for heat pump water heaters, and

<sup>228</sup> Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see “HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls”.

<sup>229</sup> 47.9% is the proportion of hot 126.5F water mixed with 56.5F supply water to give 90F mixed faucet water.

typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank

= 25.8 for electric resistance or unknown, 52.7 for heat pump<sup>230</sup>

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction

= 0.017<sup>231</sup>

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkW
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 106.3/105.1 * 0.017	0.0172
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 52.4/51.5 * 0.017	0.0173
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 32.1/105.1 * 0.017	0.0052
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 92.8/91.3 * 0.017	0.0173
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 45.5/44.7 * 0.017	0.0173
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 27.8/91.3 * 0.017	0.0052
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 36.9/44.9 * 0.017	0.0140
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 18.1/22.0 * 0.017	0.0140
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 11.1/44.9 * 0.017	0.0042
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 32.0/39.0 * 0.017	0.0139
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 15.7/19.1 * 0.017	0.0140
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 9.6/39.0 * 0.017	0.0042
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 55.9/58.2 * 0.017	0.0163
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 27.4/28.5 * 0.017	0.0163
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 16.8/58.2 * 0.017	0.0049
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 57.0/59.4 * 0.017	0.0163
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 28.0/29.1 * 0.017	0.0164
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 17.1/59.4 * 0.017	0.0049
		Unknown	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0049
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 83.3/105.1 * 0.017	0.0135
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 40.8/51.5 * 0.017	0.0135
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 25.0/105.1 * 0.017	0.0040
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 72.3/91.3 * 0.017	0.0135
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 35.5/44.7 * 0.017	0.0135
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 21.7/91.3 * 0.017	0.0040
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 17.7/44.9 * 0.017	0.0069
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 8.7/22.0 * 0.017	0.0069
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 5.3/44.9 * 0.017	0.0021
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 15.4/39.0 * 0.017	0.0069
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 7.5/19.1 * 0.017	0.0069
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 4.6/39.0 * 0.017	0.0021
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 35.2/58.2 * 0.017	0.0106
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 17.2/28.5 * 0.017	0.0106
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 10.6/58.2 * 0.017	0.0032

<sup>230</sup> See 'Calculation of GPH Recovery\_03282018.xls' for calculation details.

<sup>231</sup> Calculated as follows: Assume 18% aerator use takes place during peak hours (based on: Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. "The End Uses of Hot Water in Single Family Homes from Flow Trace Analysis", 2001.) There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is  $0.18 * 65 / 365.25 = 3.20\%$ . The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be  $3.20\% * 142 = 4.5$  hours of recovery during peak period, where 142 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for faucet use in SF homes. There are 260 hours in the peak period, so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is  $4.5 / 260 = 0.017$ .

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔkW
Efficiency Kits – Schools		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 35.9/59.4 * 0.017	0.0106
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 17.6/29.1 * 0.017	0.0106
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 10.8/59.4 * 0.017	0.0032
		Unknown	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0032
	Kitchen	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 48.4/105.1 * 0.017	0.0078
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 23.7/51.5 * 0.017	0.0078
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 14.5/105.1 * 0.017	0.0023
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 42.0/91.3 * 0.017	0.0078
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 20.6/44.7 * 0.017	0.0078
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 12.6/91.3 * 0.017	0.0023
	Bathroom	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 16.7/44.9 * 0.017	0.0063
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 8.2/22.0 * 0.017	0.0063
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 5.0/44.9 * 0.017	0.0019
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 14.5/39.0 * 0.017	0.0063
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 7.1/19.1 * 0.017	0.0064
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 4.3/39.0 * 0.017	0.0019
	Unknown	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 25.3/58.2 * 0.017	0.0074
		Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 12.4/28.5 * 0.017	0.0074
		Single Family Unknown DHW	= 7.6/58.2 * 0.017	0.0022
		Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 25.8/59.4 * 0.017	0.0074
		Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 12.7/29.1 * 0.017	0.0074
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 7.7/59.4 * 0.017	0.0022
		Unknown	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0022

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \%FossilDHW * (GPM_{base} - GPM_{low}) * L * Household * 365.25 * \frac{DF}{FPH} * EPG_{gas} * ISR$$

Where:

%FossilDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by Natural Gas heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	70% <sup>232</sup>

EPG<sub>gas</sub> = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by gas water heater  
 = (γ<sub>Water</sub> \* 1.0 \* (WaterTemp - SupplyTemp)) / (RE<sub>gas</sub> \* 100,000)  
 = 0.0032 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tank (Bath), 0.0039 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tank (Kitchen), 0.0037 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tank (Unknown)  
 = 0.0042 Therm/gal for MF homes with central boiler DHW (Bath), 0.0052 Therm/gal for

<sup>232</sup> Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

MF homes with central boiler DHW (Kitchen), 0.0049 Therm/gal for MF homes with central boiler DHW (Unknown)

= 0.0036 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW (Bath), 0.0044 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW (Kitchen), 0.0042 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW (Unknown)

Where:

RE\_gas = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater

= 78% for SF homes<sup>233</sup>

= 78% for MF homes with storage tank, 59% if hot water through central boiler or 69% if unknown<sup>234</sup>

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (Btu/Therm)

Other variables as defined above

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔTherms
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.95$	4.6
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.95$	3.2
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.95$	4.0
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0052 * 0.95$	5.3
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.95$	4.5
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.95$	3.1
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.95$	1.6
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.95$	1.1
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.95$	1.4
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0042 * 0.95$	1.8
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.95$	1.6
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.95$	1.1
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.95$	2.4
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.95$	1.7

<sup>233</sup> DOE Final Rule discusses Recovery Efficiency with an average around 0.76 for Gas Fired Storage Water heaters and 0.78 for standard efficiency gas fired tankless water heaters up to 0.95 for the highest efficiency gas fired condensing tankless water heaters. These numbers represent the range of new units however, not the range of existing units in stock. Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

<sup>234</sup> Water heating in multi-family buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. An average efficiency of 0.69 is used for this analysis as a default for multi-family buildings where water heating system is unknown.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.4 Low Flow Faucet Aerators

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔTherms
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0037 * 0.95$	2.5
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0049 * 0.95$	3.3
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.95$	2.8
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.95$	2.0
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	1.7
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.74$	3.6
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.74$	2.5
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.74$	3.1
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0052 * 0.74$	4.1
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.74$	3.5
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.74$	2.4
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.46$	0.8
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.46$	0.5
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.46$	0.7
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0042 * 0.46$	0.9
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.46$	0.8
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.46$	0.5
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.60$	1.5
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.60$	1.1
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0037 * 0.60$	1.5
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0049 * 0.60$	2.0
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.60$	1.8
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.60$	1.2
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	1.1
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.43$	2.1
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.43$	1.5

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔTherms
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0039 * 0.43$	1.8
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0052 * 0.43$	2.4
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.43$	2.0
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.0044 * 0.43$	1.4
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.43$	0.7
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.43$	0.5
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0032 * 0.43$	0.6
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0042 * 0.43$	0.8
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.43$	0.7
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.0036 * 0.43$	0.5
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.43$	1.1
		Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.0037 * 0.43$	0.8
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0037 * 0.43$	1.1
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0049 * 0.43$	1.5
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.43$	1.3
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.0042 * 0.43$	0.9
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.9

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

365.25 = Days per year

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	ΔPeakTherms
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0126
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0088
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0110
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0145

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	ΔPeakTherms
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0123
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0085
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0044
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0030
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0038
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0049
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0044
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0030
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0066
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0047
		Multifamily Gas DHW	0.0068
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0090
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0077
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0055
		Unknown Location	0.0047
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0099
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0068
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0085
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0112
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0096
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0066
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0021
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0015
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0018
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0024
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0021
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0014
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0041
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0029
		Multifamily Gas DHW	0.0042
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0056
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0048
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0034
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Kitchen	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0057
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0041
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0049
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0066
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0055
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0038
	Bathroom	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0019
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0014
		Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0016
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0022
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0019
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0014
	Unknown	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0030
		Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0022
		Multifamily Gas DHW	0.0030



Program	Faucet	Market/Program	ΔPeakTherms
		Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0041
		Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0036
		Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0025
		Unknown Location	0.0025

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

$$\Delta Gallons = ((GPM_{base} - GPM_{low}) * L * Household * 365.25 * \frac{DF}{FPH}) * ISR$$

Variables as defined above

Program	Faucet	Market/Program	Algorithm	ΔGallons
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	Kitchen	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.95$	1,176
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.95$	1,021
	Bathroom	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.95$	502
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.95$	436
	Unknown	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.95$	651
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.95$	664
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	653
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Kitchen	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.74$	916
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.74$	795
	Bathroom	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.46$	241
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.46$	209
	Unknown	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.60$	410
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.60$	418
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	411
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Kitchen	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.43$	532
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 4.5 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.75 / 1) * 0.43$	462
	Bathroom	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.43$	227
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 1.6 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.90 / 1) * 0.43$	197
	Unknown	Single Family	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 9.0 * 2.51 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 3.83) * 0.43$	295
		Multifamily	$= ((1.83 - 1.43) * 6.9 * 2.18 * 365.25 * 0.795 / 2.5) * 0.43$	301
		Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	296

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-LFFA-V05-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025



## 2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads

### DESCRIPTION

This measure relates to the installation of a low flow showerhead in a single or multifamily household.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, DI, KITS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a low flow showerhead rated at 1.5 gallons per minute (GPM) or less. Savings are calculated on a per showerhead fixture basis.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For direct-install programs, the baseline condition is assumed to be a standard showerhead rated at 2.5 GPM or greater.

For time-of-sale programs, the baseline condition is assumed to be a representative average of existing showerhead flow rates of participating customers including a range of low flow showerheads, standard-flow showerheads, and high-flow showerheads.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 10 years.<sup>235</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

For direct install programs, actual full installed costs should be used where available. If actual costs are unavailable, assume a full installed cost of \$42.22.<sup>236</sup>

For time of sale or new construction, actual incremental costs may be used (assume a baseline showerhead material cost of \$14.32).<sup>237</sup> If actual costs are unavailable, assume an incremental cost of \$14.90.<sup>238</sup>

For low flow showerheads provided in Efficiency Kits, the actual program delivery costs should be used.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Water Heat

Loadshape RE04 – Residential Multifamily Water Heat

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (gas)

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Note: these savings are per showerhead fixture

---

<sup>235</sup>2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, "Cost Values and Summary Documentation," California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014. "

<sup>236</sup>Direct-install price per showerhead assumes cost of showerhead (\$29.22 from the California DEER Ex Ante Database) and install time of \$13 (20min @ \$40/hr).

<sup>237</sup> Cost of standard showerhead from California DEER Ex Ante Database.

<sup>238</sup> Incremental cost from California DEER Ex Ante Database.

$$\Delta kWh = \%ElectricDHW * (GPM\_base - GPM\_low) * L * Household * SPCD * \frac{365.25}{SPH} * EPG\_electric * ISR$$

Where:

%ElectricDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by electric resistance heating

DHW fuel	%ElectricDHW
Electric	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	30% <sup>239</sup>

GPM\_base = Flow rate of the baseline showerhead

= Actual measured flow rate. If not measured assume:

Program	GPM_base
Direct-install	2.5 <sup>240</sup>
Retrofit, Efficiency Kits, NC, or TOS	2.35 <sup>241</sup>

GPM\_low = Flow rate of the low-flow showerhead:

= Actual measured flow rate. If not measured, assume 1.5GPM

L = Shower length in minutes with showerhead

= 7.8 min<sup>242</sup>

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household <sup>243</sup>
Single-Family - Deemed	2.51
Multifamily - Deemed	2.18
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms <sup>244</sup>

SPCD = Showers Per Capita Per Day

= 0.6<sup>245</sup>

<sup>239</sup> Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area then that should be used.

<sup>240</sup> The Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAct) established the maximum flow rate for showerheads at 2.5 gallons per minute (gpm).

<sup>241</sup> Representative value from sources 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 (See Source Table at end of measure section) adjusted slightly upward to account for program participation which is expected to target customers with existing higher flow devices rather than those with existing low flow devices.

<sup>242</sup> Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group. This study of 135 single and multi-family homes in Michigan metered energy parameters for efficient showerhead and faucet aerators.

<sup>243</sup> Average household size from U.S. Census Bureau, 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates for Iowa (Table DP04). Single-family household size based on owner-occupied estimate and multifamily household size based on renter-occupied estimate.

<sup>244</sup> Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

<sup>245</sup> Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

365.25 = Days per year, on average

SPH = Showerheads Per Household so that per-showerhead savings fractions can be determined

Household Unit Type	SPH
Single-Family	1.79 <sup>246</sup>
Multifamily	1.3 <sup>247</sup>
Custom	Actual

EPG<sub>electric</sub> = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by electric

$$= (\gamma_{\text{Water}} * 1.0 * (\text{ShowerTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE}_{\text{electric}} * 3412)$$

= 0.1109 kWh/gal for resistance (or unknown) unit, 0.0543 kWh/gal for heat pump water heaters

Where:

$\gamma_{\text{Water}}$  = Specific weight of water (lbs/gallon)

= 8.33 lbs/gallon

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (Btu/lb-°)

ShowerTemp = Assumed temperature of water

= 101F<sup>248</sup>

SupplyTemp = Assumed temperature of water entering house

= 56.5<sup>249</sup>

RE<sub>electric</sub> = Average Recovery efficiency of electric water heater

= 98%<sup>250</sup> for electric resistance (or unknown)

= 200%<sup>251</sup> for heat pump water heaters

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh (Btu/kWh)

ISR = In service rate of showerhead

Program	ISR
Direct-install, NC, or TOS	0.98 <sup>252</sup>

<sup>246</sup> Based on findings from a 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

<sup>247</sup> 2009 ComEd residential survey of 140 sites, provided by Cadmus.

<sup>248</sup> Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study Memorandum dated June 2013, directed to Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

<sup>249</sup> Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

<sup>250</sup> Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/Search/SearchHome>

<sup>251</sup> 200% represents a reasonable estimate of the weighted average event recovery efficiency for heat pump water heaters, including those that are set to Heat Pump only mode (and so have a recovery efficiency >250%) and those that are set in hybrid mode where a larger draw would kick the unit in to resistance mode (98%), or where low total water consumption can result in lower COPs due to relatively high standby losses. Note that the AHRI directory provides recovery efficiency ratings, some of which are >250% but most are rated at 100%. This is due to the rating test involving a large hot water draw, consistent with multiple showers.

<sup>252</sup> Deemed values are from ComEd Illinois Energy Efficiency/ Demand Response Plan: Plan Year 2 (6/1/2009-5/31/2010) Evaluation Report: All Electric Single Family Home Energy Performance Tune-Up Program Table 3-8. Alternative ISRs may be

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads

Program	ISR
Efficiency Kits – Residential <sup>253</sup>	0.47
Efficiency Kits – Schools <sup>254</sup>	0.43

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔkWh
Direct Install	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98$	260.7
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.98$	127.6
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98$	78.2
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98$	311.8
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.98$	152.5
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98$	93.5
NC or TOS	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98$	221.6
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.98$	108.4
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.98$	66.5
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98$	265.0
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.98$	129.7
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.98$	79.5
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF <sup>255</sup>	69.1
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.47$	106.9
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.47$	52.4
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.47$	32.1
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.47$	127.9
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.47$	62.6
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.47$	38.4
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	33.3

developed for program delivery methods based on evaluation results.

<sup>253</sup> Based on weighted average of data collected in MidAmerican Energy Company & TetraTech "Residential Assessment Impact and Process Evaluation FINAL". December 22, 2020, APPENDIX B: IN-SERVICE RATES ANALYSIS, p. 47.

<sup>254</sup> Based on results provided in "School-based interim process memo\_Final\_100215.doc".

<sup>255</sup> Based on EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) 2009 for Midwest Region, data for the state of IA, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads

Efficiency Kits – LivingWise (Schools)	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.43$	97.2
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.0543 * 0.43$	47.6
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.111 * 0.43$	29.2
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.43$	116.3
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.0543 * 0.43$	56.9
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.30 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.111 * 0.43$	34.9
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	30.3

**SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS**

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh}{Hours} * CF$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh$  = calculated value above

Hours = Annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use

$$= (GPM\_base * L * Household * SPCD * 365.25 * 0.636^{256}) / GPH$$

Program	Building Type	Calculation	Hours
Direct Install	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	$= (2.5 * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8$	264.4
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= (2.5 * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7$	129.4
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	$= (2.5 * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8$	229.7
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= (2.5 * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7$	112.4
Efficiency Kits, NC and TOS	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	$= (2.35 * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8$	248.6
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	$= (2.35 * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7$	121.7
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW (or unknown)	$= (2.35 * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 25.8$	215.9
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	$= (2.35 * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 * 0.636) / 52.7$	105.7

Where:

GPH = Gallons per hour recovery of electric water heater calculated for 70F temp rise (126.5-56.5), 98% recovery efficiency for electric resistance (or unknown) and 200% for heat pump water heaters, and typical 4.5kW electric resistance storage tank.

<sup>256</sup> 63.6% is the proportion of hot 126.5F water mixed with 56.5F supply water to give 101F shower water.

= 25.8 for electric resistance or unknown, 52.7 for heat pump<sup>257</sup>

CF = Coincidence Factor for electric load reduction

= 1.6%<sup>258</sup>

Based on defaults provided above:

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔkW
Direct Install	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 260.7/264.4 * 0.016	0.0158
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 127.6/129.4 * 0.016	0.0158
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 78.2/264.4 * 0.016	0.0047
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 311.8/229.7 * 0.016	0.0217
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 152.5/112.4 * 0.016	0.0217
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 93.5/229.7 * 0.016	0.0065
NC or TOS	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 221.6/248.6 * 0.016	0.0143
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 108.4/121.7 * 0.016	0.0143
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 66.5/248.6 * 0.016	0.0043
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 265.0/215.9 * 0.016	0.0196
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 129.7/105.7 * 0.016	0.0196
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 79.5/215.9 * 0.016	0.0059
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0046
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 106.9/248.9 * 0.016	0.0070
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 52.4/121.7 * 0.016	0.0070
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 32.1/248.9 * 0.016	0.0021
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 127.9/215.9 * 0.016	0.0096
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 62.6/105.7 * 0.016	0.0096
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 38.4/215.9 * 0.016	0.0029
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0023
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Single Family Electric Resistance DHW	= 97.2/248.9 * 0.016	0.0063
	Single Family Heat Pump DHW	= 47.6/121.7 * 0.016	0.0063
	Single Family Unknown DHW	= 29.2/248.9 * 0.016	0.0019
	Multifamily Electric Resistance DHW	= 116.3/215.9 * 0.016	0.0086
	Multifamily Heat Pump DHW	= 56.9/105.7 * 0.016	0.0086
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	= 34.9/215.9 * 0.016	0.0026
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	0.0020

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \% \text{FossilDHW} * ((\text{GPM}_{\text{base}} - \text{GPM}_{\text{low}}) * L * \text{Household} * \text{SPCD} * \frac{365.25}{\text{SPH}}) * \text{EPG}_{\text{gas}} * \text{ISR}$$

Where:

<sup>257</sup> See 'Calculation of GPH Recovery\_06122019.xls' for calculation details.

<sup>258</sup> Calculated as follows: Assume 11% showers take place during peak hours (based on: Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. "The End Uses of Hot Water in Single Family Homes from Flow Trace Analysis", 2001). There are 65 days in the summer peak period, so the percentage of total annual aerator use in peak period is 0.11\*65/365.25 = 1.96%. The number of hours of recovery during peak periods is therefore assumed to be 1.96% \* 216 = 4.23 hours of recovery during peak period, where 216 equals the average annual electric DHW recovery hours for showerhead use in SF homes with Direct Install and Retrofit/TOS measures. There are 260 hours in the peak period, so the probability you will see savings during the peak period is 4.23/260 = 0.016.

%FossilDHW = proportion of water heating supplied by Natural Gas heating

DHW fuel	%Fossil_DHW
Electric	0%
Fossil Fuel	100%
Unknown	70% <sup>259</sup>

EPG\_gas = Energy per gallon of hot water supplied by gas

$$= (\gamma_{\text{Water}} * 1.0 * (\text{ShowerTemp} - \text{SupplyTemp})) / (\text{RE}_{\text{gas}} * 100,000)$$

= 0.00475 Therm/gal for SF or MF homes with storage tanks

= 0.00626 Therm/gal for MF homes with central boiler DHW, 0.00535 Therm/gal for MF homes with unknown DHW

Where:

RE\_gas = Recovery efficiency of gas water heater

= 78% For SF homes<sup>260</sup>

= 78% for MF homes with storage tank, 59% if hot water through central boiler or 69% if unknown<sup>261</sup>

100,000 = Converts Btus to Therms (Btu/Therm)

Other variables as defined above.

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔTherms
Direct Install	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	11.2
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	7.8
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	13.3
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.98$	17.6
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98$	15.0
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98$	10.5
NC or TOS	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	9.5
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	6.6
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.98$	11.3
	Multifamily Gas	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.98$	14.9

<sup>259</sup> Default assumption for unknown fuel is based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

<sup>260</sup> Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%.

<sup>261</sup> Water heating in multifamily buildings is often provided by a larger central boiler. An average efficiency of 0.69 is used for this analysis as a default for multifamily buildings where the water heating system is unknown.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔTherms
	Central Boiler DHW		
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98$	12.8
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.98$	8.9
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	7.1
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.47$	4.6
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.47$	3.2
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.47$	5.5
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.47$	7.2
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.47$	6.2
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.47$	4.3
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	3.4
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Single Family Gas DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.43$	4.2
	Single Family Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79) * 0.00475 * 0.43$	2.9
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00475 * 0.43$	5.0
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00626 * 0.43$	6.6
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	$= 1.0 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.43$	5.6
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	$= 0.70 * ((2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3) * 0.00535 * 0.43$	3.9
	Unknown location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	3.1

**PEAK GAS SAVINGS**

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

365.25 = Days per year

Program	Market	ΔPeakTherms
Direct Install	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0307
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0214
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0364
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0482
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0411
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0287



Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads

Program	Market	ΔPeakTherms
NC or TOS	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0260
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0181
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0309
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0408
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0350
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0244
	Unknown location	0.0194
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0125
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0088
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0150
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0198
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0169
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0118
	Unknown location	0.0094
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Single Family Gas DHW	0.0115
	Single Family Unknown DHW	0.0079
	Multifamily Gas Storage DHW	0.0137
	Multifamily Gas Central Boiler DHW	0.0181
	Multifamily Gas Unknown DHW	0.0153
	Multifamily Unknown DHW	0.0107
	Unknown location	0.0085

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

$$\Delta Gallons = (GPM_{base} - GPM_{low}) * L * Household * SPCD * \frac{365.25}{SPH} * ISR$$

Variables as defined above

Program	Market	Algorithm	ΔGallons
Direct Install	Single Family	$= (2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.98$	2349
	Multifamily	$= (2.5 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.98$	2809
NC or TOS	Single Family	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.98$	1997
	Multifamily	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.98$	2388
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	2075
Efficiency Kits – Residential	Single Family	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.47$	964
	Multifamily	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.47$	1153
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	1002
Efficiency Kits – Schools	Single Family	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.51 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.79 * 0.43$	876
	Multifamily	$= (2.35 - 1.5) * 7.8 * 2.18 * 0.6 * 365.25 / 1.3 * 0.43$	1048
	Unknown Location	Assumes 80% SF and 20% MF	910

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**SOURCES**

Source ID	Reference
1	2011, DeOreo, William. California Single Family Water Use Efficiency Study. April 20, 2011.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.3.5 Low Flow Showerheads

---

2	2000, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo, and David Lewis. Seattle Home Water Conservation Study. December 2000.
3	1999, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential End Uses of Water. Published by AWWA Research Foundation and American Water Works Association. 1999.
4	2003, Mayer, Peter, William DeOreo. Residential Indoor Water Conservation Study. Aquacraft, Inc. Water Engineering and Management. Prepared for East Bay Municipal Utility District and the US EPA. July 2003.
5	2011, DeOreo, William. Analysis of Water Use in New Single Family Homes. By Aquacraft. For Salt Lake City Corporation and US EPA. July 20, 2011.
6	2011, Aquacraft. Albuquerque Single Family Water Use Efficiency and Retrofit Study. For Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority. December 1, 2011.
7	2008, Schultdt, Marc, and Debra Tachibana. Energy related Water Fixture Measurements: Securing the Baseline for Northwest Single Family Homes. 2008 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings.

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-LFSH-V05-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

## 2.3.6 Domestic Hot Water Pipe Insulation

### DESCRIPTION

This measure applies to the addition of insulation to uninsulated domestic hot water pipes. The measure assumes the pipe wrap is installed on the first length of both the hot and cold pipe up to the first elbow. This is the most cost effective section to insulate since the water pipes act as an extension of the hot water tank up to the first elbow, which acts as a heat trap. Insulating this length therefore helps reduce standby losses.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: DI, RF.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is a domestic hot or cold water pipe with pipe wrap installed that has an R value that meets program requirements.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is an uninsulated, domestic hot or cold water pipe.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 12 years.<sup>262</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The measure cost is the actual cost of material and installation. If the actual cost is unknown, assume a default cost of \$4 per linear foot,<sup>263</sup> including material and installation.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape E01 – Flat

Loadshape G01 – Flat

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Custom calculation below for electric domestic hot water (DHW) systems, otherwise assume 24.7 kWh per 6 linear feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation or 35.5 kWh per 6 linear feet of 1 in, R-6 insulation:

$$\Delta kWh = ((C_{Base}/R_{Base} - C_{EE}/R_{EE}) * L * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Elec}} * 3,412)$$

Where:

$C_{Base}$  = Circumference (ft) of uninsulated pipe  
 = Diameter (in) \*  $\pi/12$  (pipe with 0.50 in diameter = 0.131 ft, pipe with 0.75 in diameter = 0.196 ft)  
 = Actual or if unknown, assume 0.131 ft

<sup>262</sup> 2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, “Cost Values and Summary Documentation”, California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014. Average of values for electric DHW (13 years) and gas DHW (11 years).

<sup>263</sup> Consistent with DEER 2008 Measure Cost Summary, Revised June 2, 2008 (www.deeresources.com).

$R_{Base}$	= Thermal resistance coefficient (hr-°F-ft <sup>2</sup> )/Btu of uninsulated pipe = 1.0 <sup>264</sup>
$C_{EE}$	= Circumference (ft) of insulated pipe = Diameter (in) * $\pi/12$ = Actual or if unknown, assume 0.524 ft for a 0.50 in diameter pipe insulated with 3/4 in, R-4 wrap ((0.5 + 3/4 + 3/4) * $\pi/12$ ) or 0.654 ft for a 0.50 in diameter pipe insulated with 1 in, R-6 wrap ((0.5 + 1 + 1) * $\pi/12$ ) <sup>265</sup>
$R_{EE}$	= Thermal resistance coefficient (hr-°F-ft <sup>2</sup> )/Btu of insulated pipe = 1.0 + R value of insulation = Actual or if unknown, assume 5.0 for R-4 wrap or 7.0 for R-6 wrap
$L$	= Length of pipe from water heating source covered by pipe wrap (ft) = Actual or if unknown, assume 6 ft
$\Delta T$	= Average temperature difference (°F) between supplied water and outside air = Actual or if unknown, assume 60°F <sup>266</sup>
Hours	= Hours per year = 8,766
$\eta_{DHW_{Elec}}$	= Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater = Actual or if unknown, assume 0.98 <sup>267</sup>
3,412	= Conversion factor from Btu to kWh

**For example**, an electric DHW pipe with 6 feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= ((C_{Base}/R_{Base} - C_{EE}/R_{EE}) * L * \Delta T * \text{Hours}) / (\eta_{DHW_{Elec}} * 3,412) \\ &= ((0.131/1.0 - 0.524/5.0) * 6 * 60 * 8,766) / (0.98 * 3,412) \\ &= 24.7 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh$  = Electric energy savings from pipe wrap installation

Other variables as defined above.

<sup>264</sup> Navigant Consulting Inc., April 2009; “Measures and Assumptions for Demand Side Management (DSM) Planning; Appendix C Substantiation Sheets”, p77.

<sup>265</sup> Pipe wrap thicknesses based on review of available products on Grainger.com

<sup>266</sup> Assumes 125°F water leaving the hot water tank and average temperature of basement of 65°F.

<sup>267</sup> Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/Search/SearchHome>

**For example**, an electric DHW pipe with 6 feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 24.7/8,766 \\ &= 0.0028 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Custom calculation below for gas DHW systems, otherwise assume 1.1 therms per 6 linear feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation or 1.5 therms per 6 linear feet of 1 in, R-6 insulation:

$$\Delta Therms = ((C_{Base}/R_{Base} - C_{EE}/R_{EE}) * L * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Gas}} * 100,000)$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{DHW_{Gas}} &= \text{Recovery efficiency of gas hot water heater} \\ &= 0.78^{268}\end{aligned}$$

$$100,000 = \text{Conversion factor from Btu to therms}$$

Other variables as defined above

**For example**, a gas DHW pipe with 6 feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Therms &= ((C_{Base}/R_{Base} - C_{EE}/R_{EE}) * L * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Gas}} * 100,000) \\ &= ((0.131/1.0 - 0.524/5.0) * 6 * 60 * 8,766) / (0.78 * 100,000) \\ &= 1.1 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year.

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms / 365.25$$

Where:

$$\Delta Therms = \text{Gas savings from pipe wrap insulation}$$

$$365.25 = \text{Number of days per year}$$

**For example**, a gas DHW pipe with 6 feet of ¾ in, R-4 insulation installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta PeakTherms &= 1.1/365.25 \\ &= 0.0030 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

<sup>268</sup> Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-PINS-V01-170101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

## 2.3.7 Water Heater Wrap

### DESCRIPTION

This measure applies to a tank wrap or insulation “blanket” that is wrapped around the outside of an electric or gas domestic hot water (DHW) tank to reduce stand-by losses.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: DI, RF.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is an electric or gas DHW tank with wrap installed that has an R-value that meets program requirements.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is an uninsulated, electric or gas DHW tank.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 7 years.<sup>269</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The measure cost is the actual cost of material and installation. If actual costs are unknown, assume \$58 for material and installation.<sup>270</sup>

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape E01 – Flat

Loadshape G01 – Flat

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Custom calculation below for electric DHW tanks, otherwise use default values from table that follows:

$$\Delta kWh = ((A_{Base}/R_{Base} - A_{EE}/R_{EE}) * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Elec}} * 3,412)$$

Where:

- $A_{Base}$  = Surface area (ft<sup>2</sup>) of storage tank prior to adding tank wrap<sup>271</sup>  
= Actual or if unknown, use default based on tank capacity (gal) from table below
- $R_{Base}$  = Thermal resistance coefficient (hr-°F-ft<sup>2</sup>/BTU) of uninsulated tank  
= Actual or if unknown, assume 14<sup>272</sup>

<sup>269</sup> 2014 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2014, “Cost Values and Summary Documentation”, California Public Utilities Commission, January, 2014. Average of values for electric DHW (13 years) and gas DHW (11 years).

<sup>270</sup> Average cost of R-10 tank wrap installation from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory’s National Residential Efficiency Measures Database. <http://www.nrel.gov/ap/retrofits/measures.cfm?gId=6&ctId=270>

<sup>271</sup> Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

<sup>272</sup> Baseline R-value based on information from Chapter 6 of The Virginia Energy Savers Handbook, Third Edition: The best heaters have 2 to 3 inches of urethane foam, providing R-values as high as R-20. Other less expensive models have fiberglass

$A_{EE}$	= Surface area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) of storage tank after addition of tank wrap <sup>273</sup> = Actual or if unknown, use default based on tank capacity (gal) from table below
$R_{EE}$	= Thermal resistance coefficient ((hr-°F-ft <sup>2</sup> /BTU) of tank after addition of tank wrap (R-value of uninsulated tank + R-value of tank wrap) = Actual or if unknown, assume 24
$\Delta T$	= Average temperature difference (°F) between tank water and outside air = Actual or if unknown, assume 60°F <sup>274</sup>
Hours	= Hours per year = 8,766
$\eta_{DHW_{Elec}}$	= Recovery efficiency of electric hot water heater = Actual or if unknown, assume 0.98 <sup>275</sup>
3,412	= Conversion from Btu to kWh

The following table contains default savings for various tank capacities.

Capacity (gal)	$A_{Base}$ (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>276</sup>	$A_{EE}$ (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>277</sup>	$\Delta kWh$	$\Delta kW$
30	19.16	20.94	78.0	0.0089
40	23.18	25.31	94.6	0.0108
50	24.99	27.06	103.4	0.0118
80	31.84	34.14	134.0	0.0153

**For example**, a 30 gallon electric DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before insulation is installed and an R-value of 24 after insulation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= ((A_{Base}/R_{Base} - A_{EE}/R_{EE}) * \Delta T * \text{Hours}) / (\eta_{DHW_{Elec}} * 3,412) \\ &= ((19.16/14 - 20.94/24) * 60 * 8,766) / (0.98 * 3,412) \\ &= 78.0 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / \text{Hours}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh$  = Electric energy savings from tank wrap installation

Other variables as defined above

The table above contains default kW savings for various tank capacity and pre and post R-values.

tank insulation with R-values ranging between R-7 and R-10.

<sup>273</sup> Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

<sup>274</sup> Assumes 125°F hot water tank temperature and average temperature of basement of 65°F.

<sup>275</sup> Electric water heaters have recovery efficiency of 98%: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/Search/SearchHome>

<sup>276</sup> Assumptions from PA TRM. Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation. Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

<sup>277</sup> Assumptions from PA TRM.  $A_{EE}$  was calculated by assuming that the water heater wrap is a 2" thick fiberglass material.



**For example**, a 30 gallon electric DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before insulation is installed and an R-value of 24 after insulation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 78.0/8,766 \\ &= 0.0089 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Custom calculation below for gas DHW tanks, otherwise use default values from table that follows:

$$\Delta Therms = ((A_{Base}/R_{Base} - A_{EE}/R_{EE}) * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Gas}} * 100,000)$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{DHW_{Gas}} &= \text{Recovery efficiency of gas hot water heater} \\ &= 0.78^{278}\end{aligned}$$

$$100,000 = \text{Conversion factor from Btu to therms}$$

Other variables as defined above

The following table contains default savings for various tank capacities.

Capacity (gal)	$A_{Base} \text{ (ft}^2\text{)}^{279}$	$A_{EE} \text{ (ft}^2\text{)}^{280}$	$\Delta Therms$	$\Delta Peak Therms$
30	19.16	20.94	3.3	0.0092
40	23.18	25.31	4.1	0.0111
50	24.99	27.06	4.4	0.0121
80	31.84	34.14	5.7	0.0157

**For example**, a 30 gallon gas DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before insulation is installed and an R-value of 24 after insulation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Therms &= ((A_{Base}/R_{Base} - A_{EE}/R_{EE}) * \Delta T * Hours) / (\eta_{DHW_{Gas}} * 100,000) \\ &= ((19.16/14 - 20.94/24) * 60 * 8,766) / (0.78 * 100,000) \\ &= 3.3 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

Savings for this measure are assumed to be evenly spread across the year.

$$\Delta Peak Therms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{365.25}$$

Where:

$$\Delta Therms = \text{Gas savings from tanks wrap insulation}$$

$$365.25 = \text{Number of days per year}$$

The table above contains default Peak Therm savings for various tank capacity and pre and post R-values.

<sup>278</sup> Review of AHRI Directory suggests range of recovery efficiency ratings for new Gas DHW units of 70-87%. Average of existing units is estimated at 78%

<sup>279</sup> Assumptions from PA TRM. Area values were calculated from average dimensions of several commercially available units, with radius values measured to the center of the insulation. Area includes tank sides and top to account for typical wrap coverage.

<sup>280</sup> Assumptions from PA TRM.  $A_{EE}$  was calculated by assuming that the water heater wrap is a 2" thick fiberglass material.

**For example**, a 30 gallon gas DHW tank with an R-value of 14 before installation is installed and an R-value of 24 after installation is installed, with defaults from above, would save:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 3.3/365.25 \\ &= 0.0092 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HWE-WRAP-V01-170101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

## 2.4 Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

### 2.4.1 Central Air Source Heat Pump

#### DESCRIPTION

A heat pump provides heating or cooling by moving heat between indoor and outdoor air.

This measure characterizes:

- a) Time of Sale:
  - i. The installation of a new residential sized ( $\leq 65,000$  Btu/hr) central air source heat pump that is more efficient than required by federal standards. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- b) Early Replacement:
  - i. The early removal of functioning electric heating and cooling (if present) systems from service, prior to the natural end of life, and replacement with a new high efficiency central air source heat pump unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
  - ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and SEER  $\leq 10$ . “Functioning” is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions, the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: SEER  $\leq 10$  and cost of any repairs  $< \$471$  per ton.

#### Quality Installation:

Additional savings are attributed to the Quality Installation (QI) of the system. QI programs should follow industry standards such as those described in ANSI ACCA QI5 and QI9vp. This must include considerations of system design (including sizing, matching, ventilation calculations) and equipment installation (including static pressure, airflow, refrigerant charge) and may also consider distribution.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

#### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

A new residential sized ( $\leq 65,000$  Btu/hr) central air source heat pump with specifications to be determined by program.

#### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale: The baseline is a new residential sized ( $\leq 65,000$  Btu/hr) central air source heat pump meeting federal standards. The current Federal Standard efficiency level as of January 1, 2015 is 14 SEER and 8.2HSPF but for calculating savings the average of non-ENERGY STAR available product is used: 14.4 SEER, 11.8 EER and 8.2HSPF.<sup>281</sup> It is assumed that ‘Quality Installation’ did not occur.

Note: New Federal Standards affecting heat pumps become effective January 1, 2023.

Early replacement: The baseline is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.

---

<sup>281</sup> Based on review of available models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See ‘CAC and ASHP AHRI average\_04262017.xls’.

#### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected equipment measure life is assumed to be 18 years.<sup>282</sup> Quality installation savings are assumed to last the time of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years.<sup>283</sup>

#### DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on the efficiency of the new unit.<sup>284</sup>

Efficiency (SEER)	Incremental Cost (\$/unit)
14.5	\$123
15	\$303
16	\$438
17	\$724
18+	\$724

Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Early replacement: The full install cost for this measure is the actual cost of removing the existing unit and installing the new one. If this is unknown, assume the following (note these costs are per ton of unit capacity):<sup>285</sup>

Efficiency (SEER)	Full Retrofit Cost (including labor) per Ton of Capacity (\$/ton)
14.5	\$2,355 / ton + \$123
15	\$2,355 / ton + \$303
16	\$2,355 / ton + \$438
17	\$2,355 / ton + \$724
18+	\$2,355 / ton + \$724

Assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with new baseline unit is assumed to be \$2,355 per ton of capacity.<sup>286</sup> This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities' discount rate.<sup>287</sup>

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to add \$150 to the installed cost.<sup>288</sup>

#### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

<sup>282</sup> Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

<sup>283</sup> Assumed to be one third of effective useful life.

<sup>284</sup> Based on incremental cost results from Cadmus "HVAC Program: Incremental Cost Analysis Update", December 19, 2016.

<sup>285</sup> Costs based upon average cost per ton from "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014.

<sup>286</sup> Costs based upon average cost per ton from "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014.

<sup>287</sup> Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

<sup>288</sup> Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers; see 'Iowa HVAC Incremental Cost Study' for details.

### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

##### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of sale:

$\Delta kWh$

$$= \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] + \left[ \frac{EFLH_{heat} * Capacity_{heat} * \left( \frac{1}{(HSPF_{base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(HSPF_{ee} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Early replacement:<sup>289</sup>

$\Delta kWh$  for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$\Delta kWh$

$$= \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(SEER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] + \left[ \frac{EFLH_{heat} * Capacity_{heat} * \left( \frac{1}{(HSPF_{exist} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(HSPF_{ee} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$\Delta kWh$  for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$\Delta kWh$

$$= \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] + \left[ \frac{EFLH_{heat} * Capacity_{heat} * \left( \frac{1}{(HSPF_{base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(HSPF_{ee} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

$EFLH_{cool}$  = Equivalent Full Load Hours of air conditioning  
= Dependent on location:<sup>290</sup>

<sup>289</sup> The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation), and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

<sup>290</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from National Climatic Data Center, NCDC).

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.4.1 Central Air Source Heat Pump

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>cool</sub> (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown	484	811	445	650	449	764

Capacity<sub>Cool</sub> = Cooling capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr), rated at A2 conditions, 95°F outdoor dry-bulb temperature.

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

SEER<sub>base</sub> = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)

= 14.4<sup>291</sup>

SEER<sub>ee</sub> = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of efficient Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual. If unknown assume 15.1<sup>292</sup>

SEER<sub>exist</sub> = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate

Existing Cooling System	SEER <sub>exist</sub> <sup>293</sup>
Air Source Heat Pump	9.12
Central AC	8.60
No central cooling <sup>294</sup>	Set '1/SEER <sub>exist</sub> ' = 0

DeratingCool<sub>eff</sub> = Efficient ASHP Cooling derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed

= 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed<sup>295</sup>

DeratingCool<sub>base</sub> = Baseline ASHP Cooling derating

= 10.5%

EFLH<sub>Heat</sub> = Equivalent Full Load Hours of heating

= Dependent on location:<sup>296</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>Heat</sub> (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1922	2022	1389	1643	1797	2137

<sup>291</sup> Based on review of available non-ES models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See 'CAC and ASHP AHRI average\_04262017.xls'.

<sup>292</sup> Based on review of available ENERGY STAR models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See 'CAC and ASHP AHRI average\_04262017.xls'.

<sup>293</sup> Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

<sup>294</sup> If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

<sup>295</sup> Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

<sup>296</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>Heat</sub> (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2732	2874	1975	2335	2554	3037
Average/ unknown	2160	2272	1561	1846	2019	2401

Capacity<sub>Heat</sub> = Heating capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr) at Standard Rating Conditions (High Temperature Steady State Heating, 47°F Dry-bulb)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

HSPF<sub>Base</sub> = Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)

= 8.2<sup>297</sup>

HSFP<sub>ee</sub> = Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of efficient Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual. If unknown assume 8.6<sup>298</sup>

HSPF<sub>Exist</sub> = Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of existing heating system (kBtu/kWh)

= Use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If not available, use:

Existing Heating System	HSPF <sub>exist</sub>
Air Source Heat Pump	5.44 <sup>299</sup>
Electric Resistance or Electric Furnace	3.41 <sup>300</sup>

DeratingHeat<sub>eff</sub> = Efficient ASHP Heating derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed

= 11.8% if Quality Installation is not performed<sup>301</sup>

DeratingHeat<sub>base</sub> = Baseline ASHP Heating derating

= 11.8%

<sup>297</sup> Based on review of available non-ES models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See 'CAC and ASHP AHRI average\_04262017.xls'.

<sup>298</sup> Based on review of available ENERGY STAR models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See 'CAC and ASHP AHRI average\_04262017.xls'.

<sup>299</sup> This is estimated based on finding the average HSPF/SEER ratio from the AHRI directory data (using the least efficient models – SEER 12 and SEER 13) – 0.596, and applying to the average nameplate SEER rating of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). This estimation methodology appears to provide a result within 10% of actual HSPF.

<sup>300</sup> Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0, which equals 1/0.293 = 3.41 HSPF.

<sup>301</sup> Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

**Time of Sale:**

**For example**, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed with quality installation in an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(14.4 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) + ((2272 * 36,000 * (1/(8.2 * (1-11.8\%)) - 1/(9 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) \\ &= 2540.0 kWh\end{aligned}$$

**For example**, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed without quality installation in an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(14.4 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15 * (1-10.5\%)))) / 1000) + ((2272 * 36,000 * (1/(8.2 * (1-11.8\%)) - 1/(9 * (1-11.8\%)))) / 1000) \\ &= 1095.9 kWh\end{aligned}$$

**Early Replacement:**

**For example**, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump that replaces an existing working Air Source Heat Pump using quality installation with unknown efficiency ratings in unknown location:

$\Delta kWh$  for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\begin{aligned}&= ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(9.12 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) + ((2272 * 36,000 * (1/(5.44 * (1-11.8\%)) - 1/(9 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) \\ &= 9589.3 kWh\end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh$  for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\begin{aligned}&= ((811 * 36,000 * (1/(14.4 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) + ((2272 * 36,000 * (1/(8.2 * (1-11.8\%)) - 1/(9 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000) \\ &= 2540.0 kWh\end{aligned}$$

**SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS**

Time of sale:

$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Early replacement:<sup>302</sup>

$\Delta kW$  for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(EER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

$\Delta kW$  for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

<sup>302</sup> The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).



$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

$EER_{base}$  = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/hr / kW)  
= 11.8<sup>303</sup>

$EER_{ee}$  = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of baseline Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/hr / kW)  
= Actual - If not provided, convert SEER to EER using this formula:<sup>304</sup>  
=  $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$   
Or if unknown assume 12.5<sup>305</sup>

$EER_{exist}$  = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)  
= Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available, convert using the equation:  
 $EER_{base} = (-0.02 * SEER_{base}^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$

If SEER rating unavailable, use:

Existing Cooling System	$EER_{exist}$ <sup>306</sup>
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15
No central cooling <sup>307</sup>	Set '1/ $EER_{exist}$ ' = 0

$DeratingCool_{eff}$  = Efficient Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating  
= 0% if Quality Installation is performed and/or if unit is right-sized  
= 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed<sup>308</sup>

$DeratingCool_{base}$  = Baseline Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating  
= 10.5%

CF = Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling  
= 72% for non-QI<sup>309</sup>

<sup>303</sup> Based on review of available non-ES models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See 'CAC and ASHP AHRI average\_04262017.xls'.

<sup>304</sup> Based on Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder. Note: this is appropriate for single speed units only.

<sup>305</sup> Based on review of available ENERGY STAR models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See 'CAC and ASHP AHRI average\_04262017.xls'.

<sup>306</sup> Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012).

<sup>307</sup> If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

<sup>308</sup> Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

<sup>309</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

= 80% for QI or right sized units<sup>310</sup>

**Time of Sale:**

**For example**, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12.5 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed with quality installation in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(12.5 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000) * 80\% \\ &= 0.4230 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

**For example**, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12.5 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump installed without quality installation in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(12.5 * (1 - 10.5\%)))) / 1000) * 72\% \\ &= 0.1374 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

**Early Replacement:**

**For example**, for a three ton, 15 SEER, 12.5 EER, 9 HSPF Air Source Heat Pump that replaces an existing working Air Source Heat Pump with quality installation and with unknown efficiency ratings in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW \text{ for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):} \\ &= ((36,000 * (1/(8.55 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(12.5 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000) * 80\% \\ &= 1.4596 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW \text{ for remaining measure life (next 12 years):} \\ &= ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(12.5 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000) * 80\% \\ &= 0.4230 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

**NATURAL GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**PEAK GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ASHP-V04-200101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

<sup>310</sup> This higher CF accounts for the demand benefit from right sizing the equipment,

## 2.4.2 Central Air Conditioner

### DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes:

- a) Time of Sale:
  - i. The installation of a new high efficiency residential Central Air Conditioner ducted split system. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home. The characterization can be used for both residential sized units (< 65,000 Btu/hr) and larger units (≥65,000 and <135,000 Btu/hr).
- b) Early Replacement:
  - i. The early removal of an existing inefficient Central Air Conditioner unit from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new qualifying unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
  - ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and SEER ≤10. “Functioning” is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions, the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: SEER ≤10 and cost of any repairs <\$437 per ton.

### Quality Installation:

Additional savings are attributed to the Quality Installation (QI) of the system. QI programs should follow industry standards such as those described in ANSI ACCA QI5 and QI9vp. This must include considerations of system design (including sizing, matching, ventilation calculations) and equipment installation (including static pressure, airflow, refrigerant charge) and may also consider distribution.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment is assumed to be a ducted split Central Air Conditioner unit meeting or exceeding the minimum efficiency standards set by the utility and at least ≥14 SEER and 11.5 EER (note the v5 ENERGY STAR efficiency level standards: 15 SEER and 12.5 EER<sup>311</sup>).

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The current Federal Standard efficiency level is 13 SEER and 11.2 EER for units <65,000 Btu/hr<sup>312</sup> or 11.4 IEER and 11.2 EER for units ≥65,000 Btu/hr.<sup>313</sup> For calculating savings for units <65,000 Btu/hr, the average of non-ENERGY STAR available product is used: 13.6 SEER and 11.5 EER. It is assumed that ‘Quality Installation’ did not occur.

Note: New Federal Standards affecting central air conditioners become effective January 1, 2023.

The baseline for the early replacement measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed

---

<sup>311</sup> Version 5.0 ENERGY STAR specifications, effective September 15, 2015.

<sup>312</sup> The federal Standard does not currently include an EER component. The value is approximated based on the SEER standard (13) and equals EER 11.2. To perform this calculation we are using this formula:  $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$  (from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Masters Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder).

<sup>313</sup> Based on IECC 2012 requirements.

remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.<sup>314</sup>

#### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected equipment measure life is assumed to be 18 years.<sup>315</sup> Quality installation savings are assumed to last the lifetime of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years.<sup>316</sup>

#### DEEMED MEASURE COST<sup>317</sup>

Time of sale: The incremental capital cost for this measure is dependent on efficiency. Assumed costs are provided below.<sup>318</sup>

Efficiency Level (SEER)	Incremental Cost
14	\$0
15	\$108
16	\$221
17	\$620
18+	\$620

Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Early replacement: The full install cost for this measure is the actual cost of removing the existing unit and installing the new one. If this is unknown, assume the following (note these costs are per ton of unit capacity).<sup>319</sup>

Efficiency Level (SEER)	Full Retrofit Cost per Ton of Capacity (\$/ton)
14	\$2,185/ ton + \$0
15	\$2,185/ ton + \$108
16	\$2,185/ ton + \$221
17	\$2,185/ ton + \$620
18+	\$2,185/ ton + \$620

Assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with new baseline unit is assumed to be \$2,185.<sup>320</sup> This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities' discount rate.<sup>321</sup>

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to add \$150 to the installed cost.<sup>322</sup>

<sup>314</sup> Baseline SEER and EER should be updated when new minimum federal standards become effective.

<sup>315</sup> Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007. The "lifespan" of a central air conditioner is about 15 to 20 years. See reference file "GDS\_MeasureLifeStudy\_1Jun2007."

<sup>316</sup> Assumed to be one third of effective useful life.

<sup>317</sup> Measure costs will be updated when results of MidAmerican's HVAC incremental cost study are available.

<sup>318</sup> Based on incremental cost results from Cadmus "HVAC Program: Incremental Cost Analysis Update", December 19, 2016.

<sup>319</sup> Costs based upon average cost per ton from "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014.

<sup>320</sup> Costs based upon average cost per ton from "2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report", Itron, February 28, 2014.

<sup>321</sup> Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

<sup>322</sup> Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers.

## LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE02 – Residential Multifamily Cooling

## Algorithm

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of sale:

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{coolee} * \left( \frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{coolee} * \left( \frac{1}{(IEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(IEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Early replacement:<sup>323</sup>

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

$\Delta kWh$  for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * \left( Capacity_{coolexist} * \frac{1}{(SEER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} \right) - \left( Capacity_{coolee} * \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$\Delta kWh$  for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{coolee} * \left( \frac{1}{(SEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(SEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

$\Delta kWh$  for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

<sup>323</sup> The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

$$\Delta kWh = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * \left( Capacity_{Cool_{exist}} * \frac{1}{(IEER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} \right) - \left( Capacity_{Cool_{ee}} * \frac{1}{(IEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

ΔkWh for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\Delta kWh = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{Cool_{ee}} * \left( \frac{1}{(IEER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(IEER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

EFLH<sub>cool</sub> = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling  
= Dependent on location:<sup>324</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>cool</sub> (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown	484	811	445	650	449	764

Capacity<sub>Cool<sub>ee</sub></sub> = Cooling capacity of new equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

= Actual installed - If actual size unknown, assume 36,000

Capacity<sub>Cool<sub>exist</sub></sub> = Cooling capacity of existing equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

= Actual - If actual size unknown, assume same as new installed unit

SEER<sub>base</sub> = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh)

= 13.6<sup>325</sup>

SEER<sub>exist</sub> = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If unknown, assume:

Existing Cooling System	SEER <sub>exist</sub> <sup>326</sup>
Air Source Heat Pump	9.12
Central AC	8.60

SEER<sub>ee</sub> = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) of efficient unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual installed or 15 if ENERGY STAR<sup>327</sup>

<sup>324</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>325</sup> Based on review of available non-ES models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See 'CAC and ASHP AHRI average\_04262017.xls'.

<sup>326</sup> Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

<sup>327</sup> Based on review of available ENERGY STAR models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See 'CAC and ASHP AHRI

DeratingCool <sub>eff</sub>	= Efficient Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating
	= 0% if Quality Installation is performed
	= 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed <sup>328</sup>
DeratingCool <sub>base</sub>	= Baseline Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating
	= 10.5%
IEER <sub>base</sub>	= Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio (IEER) of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh)
	= 11.4 <sup>329</sup>
IEER <sub>exist</sub>	= Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio (IEER) of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)
	= Use actual IEER rating where it is possible to measure, or reasonably estimate
IEER <sub>ee</sub>	= Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio (IEER) of efficient unit (kBtu/kWh)
	= Actual installed

**Time of Sale:**

**For example,** for a 3 ton unit with SEER rating of 15, in unknown location with quality installation:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= (811 * 36,000 * (1/(13.6 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000 \\ &= 452.2 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

**For example,** for a 3 ton unit with SEER rating of 15, in unknown location without quality installation:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= (811 * 36,000 * (1/(13.6 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15 * (1-10.5\%)))) / 1000 \\ &= 223.9 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

**Early Replacement:**

**For example,** for a 3 ton unit, with SEER rating of 15 replacing an existing unit with quality installation with unknown efficiency in a single family home in Burlington, IA:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh}(\text{for first 6 years}) &= (918 * 36,000 * (1/(10 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15 * (1-0\%))) / 1000 \\ &= 1,489.3 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh}(\text{for next 12 years}) &= (918 * 36,000 * (1/(13.6 * (1-10.5\%)) - 1/(15 * (1-0\%)))) / 1000 \\ &= 511.9 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, record a savings adjustment of 34% (511.9/1489.3) after 6 years.

**SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS**

Time of sale:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= \left[ \frac{\text{Capacity}_{\text{Cooler}} * \left( \frac{1}{(\text{IEER}_{\text{base}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{base}}))} - \frac{1}{(\text{IEER}_{\text{ee}} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{\text{eff}}))} \right)}{1000} \right] \\ &* CF\end{aligned}$$

average\_04262017.xls'.

<sup>328</sup> Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

<sup>329</sup> Based on IECC 2012 requirements.

Early replacement:<sup>330</sup>

$\Delta kW$  for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{\left( Capacity_{Cool_{exist}} * \frac{1}{(EER_{exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} \right) - \left( Capacity_{Cool_{ee}} * \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

$\Delta kW$  for remaining measure life (next 12 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{Capacity_{Cool_{ee}} * \left( \frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{ee} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

- EER<sub>base</sub> = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of baseline unit  
= 11.5<sup>331</sup>
- EER<sub>exist</sub> = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of existing unit  
= Actual EER of unit should be used - If EER is unknown, use 9.2<sup>332</sup>
- EER<sub>ee</sub> = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of efficient unit  
= Actual installed - Or 12.5 if ENERGY STAR<sup>333</sup>
- DeratingCool<sub>eff</sub> = Efficient Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating  
= 0% if Quality Installation is performed and/or if unit is right-sized  
= 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed<sup>334</sup>
- DeratingCool<sub>base</sub> = Baseline Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating  
= 10.5%
- CF = Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling  
= 68% for non-QI<sup>335</sup>  
= 80% for QI or right sized units<sup>336</sup>

<sup>330</sup> The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

<sup>331</sup> Based on review of available non-ES models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See ‘CAC and ASHP AHRI average\_04262017.xls’.

<sup>332</sup> Based on SEER of 10,0, using formula above to give 9.2 EER.

<sup>333</sup> Based on review of available ENERGY STAR models on AHRI directory on 04/19/2017. See ‘CAC and ASHP AHRI average\_04262017.xls’.

<sup>334</sup> Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

<sup>335</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; ‘Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company’s Residential HVAC Program (PY5)’. This would account for variance in usage pattern across a population as well as oversizing of equipment.

<sup>336</sup> This higher CF accounts for the demand benefit from right sizing the equipment,



**Time of Sale:**

**For example,** for a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 12.5 installed with quality installation/right sized in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= (36,000 * (1/(11.5 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(12.5 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000 * 0.80 \\ &= 0.4942 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

**For example,** for a 3 ton unit with EER rating of 12.5 installed without quality installation in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= (36,000 * (1/(11.5 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(12.5 * (1 - 10.5\%)))) / 1000 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.1903 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

**Early Replacement:**

**For example,** for a 3 ton unit, with EER rating of 12 replacing an existing unit with unknown efficiency in a single family home in Burlington, IA with quality installation:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW \text{ (for first 6 years)} &= (36,000 * (1/(9.2 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(12.5 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000 * 0.80 \\ &= 1.1937 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW \text{ (for next 12 years)} &= (36,000 * (1/(11.5 * (1 - 10.5\%)) - 1/(12.5 * (1 - 0\%)))) / 1000 * 0.80 \\ &= 0.4942 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

**NATURAL GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**PEAK GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-CAC-V04-200101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

## 2.4.3 Boiler

### DESCRIPTION

High efficiency boilers achieve most gas savings through the use of a sealed combustion chamber and multiple heat exchangers that remove a significant portion of the waste heat from flue gases. Because multiple heat exchangers are used to remove waste heat from the escaping flue gases, some of the flue gases condense and must be drained.

This measure characterizes:

- a) Time of Sale:
  - i. The installation of a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) new high efficiency, gas-fired hot water boiler in a residential location. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- b) Early Replacement:
  - i. The early removal of an existing functional boiler from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) new high efficiency unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
  - ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and AFUE  $\leq 75\%$ . “Functioning” is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: AFUE  $\leq 75\%$  and cost of any repairs <\$811.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed Boiler must be a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) unit that meets or exceeds the efficiency requirements determined by the program.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of sale: The baseline equipment for this measure is a new residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h), gas-fired, standard-efficiency water boiler. The current Federal Standard minimum AFUE rating is 84%.<sup>337</sup>

Early replacement: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.<sup>338</sup>

Early replacement: Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 8 years.<sup>339</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental install cost for this measure is provided below, dependent on efficiency:<sup>340</sup>

---

<sup>337</sup> Code of Federal Regulations for gas-fired hot water boilers manufactured on or after January 15, 2021 (10 CFR 432(e)(3))

<sup>338</sup> Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3 of DOE Technical Support Documents, Table 8.3.3.

<sup>339</sup> Assumed to be one third of effective useful life.

<sup>340</sup> Based on data provided in Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3, of DOE Technical Support Documents; Table 8.5.6 LCC and PBP Results for Hot-Water Gas Boilers (High Cost). Where efficiency ratings are not provided, the values are interpolated

AFUE	Full Install Cost	Incremental Install Cost
84%	\$4,053	N/A
85%	\$4,468	\$415
86%	\$5,264	\$1,211
87%	\$5,328*	\$1,275
88%	\$5,392*	\$1,339
89%	\$5,455*	\$1,402
90%	\$5,519*	\$1,466
91%	\$5,583	\$1,530
92%	\$5,734*	\$1,681
93%	\$5,885*	\$1,832
94%	\$6,036*	\$1,983
95%	\$6,188*	\$2,135
96%	\$6,339*	\$2,286
97%	\$6,490*	\$2,437
98%	\$6,641*	\$2,588
99%	\$6,792	\$2,739

Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Early Replacement: The full installation cost is provided in the table above. The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be \$4,053. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities' discount rate.<sup>341</sup>

#### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

#### Algorithm

##### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

##### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

##### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

##### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Time of Sale:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left( \frac{(AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{Eff}))}{(AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{Base}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

from those that are and marked with an \*. See "Boiler\_DOE Chapter 8.xls" for more information.

<sup>341</sup> Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

Early replacement:<sup>342</sup>

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$= \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left( \frac{(AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{Eff}))}{(AFUE_{exist} * (1 - Derating_{Base}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$= \frac{EFLH * Capacity * \left( \frac{(AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{Eff}))}{(AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{Base}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Where:

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating  
= Dependent on location:<sup>343</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

Capacity = Nominal heating input capacity boiler size (Btu/hr) for efficient unit not existing unit  
= Actual

AFUE<sub>exist</sub> = Existing boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating  
= Use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate -  
If unknown, assume 61.6 AFUE%<sup>344</sup>

AFUE<sub>base</sub> = Baseline boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating  
= 84%

AFUE<sub>eff</sub> = Efficient boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating  
= Actual

Derating<sub>Eff</sub> = Derating of AFUE to account for units not operating in field at rated efficiency

<sup>342</sup> The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

<sup>343</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the unit’s capacity. See “Res Furnace EFLH Findings\_30April2018.ppt” for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single-family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>344</sup> Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

$$= 5.9\%^{345}$$

Derating<sub>Base</sub> = Derating of AFUE to account for units not operating in field at rated efficiency  
 $= 3.3\%^{346}$

**Time of Sale:**

**For example**, for a 100,000 Btuh 92% AFUE boiler purchased and installed for existing home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= (991 * 100000 * ((0.92 * (1-0.059))/(0.84 * (1-0.033)) - 1))/100000 \\ &= 65.2 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

**Early Replacement:**

**For example**, for an existing functioning boiler with unknown efficiency that is replaced with a 100,000 Btuh, 88% AFUE boiler purchased and installed in unknown location:

$\Delta\text{Therms}$  for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$\begin{aligned}&= (991 * 100000 * ((0.88 * (1-0.059))/(0.616 * (1-0.033)) - 1))/100000 \\ &= 386.6 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

$\Delta\text{Therms}$  for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$\begin{aligned}&= (991 * 100000 * ((0.88 * (1-0.059))/(0.84 * (1-0.033)) - 1))/100000 \\ &= 19.3 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

**PEAK GAS SAVINGS**

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

$\Delta\text{Therms}$  = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for heating<sup>347</sup>  
 = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

**Time of Sale:**

**For example**, for a 100,000 Btuh 88% AFUE boiler purchased and installed for existing home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= 19.3 * 0.014378 \\ &= 0.2775 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

<sup>345</sup> Based on findings from Massachusetts study; Cadmus “High Efficiency Heating Equipment Impact Evaluation”, March 2015.

<sup>346</sup> Ibid.

<sup>347</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GHEB-V04-210101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

## 2.4.4 Furnace

### DESCRIPTION

This measure covers the installation of a residential sized (<225,000 Btuh/h) high efficiency gas furnace in a residential application. High efficiency gas furnaces achieve savings through the use of a sealed, super insulated combustion chamber, more efficient burners, and multiple heat exchangers that remove a significant portion of the waste heat from the flue gases. Because multiple heat exchangers are used to remove waste heat from the escaping flue gases, most of the flue gases condense and must be drained. Furnaces equipped with ECM fan motors can save additional electric energy. The ECM furnace fan is a separate measure.

This measure characterizes:

- a) Time of Sale:
  - i. The installation of a new residential sized (<225,000 Btuh/h) high efficiency, gas-fired furnace in a residential location. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- b) Early Replacement:
  - i. The early removal of an existing functional furnace from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a new residential sized (<225,000 Btuh/h) high efficiency unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
  - ii. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and AFUE  $\leq 75\%$ . “Functioning” is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: AFUE  $\leq 75\%$  and cost of any repairs  $< \$516$ .

### Quality Installation:

Additional savings are attributed to the Quality Installation (QI) of the system. QI programs should follow industry standards such as those described in ANSI ACCA QI5 and QI9vp. This must include considerations of system design (including sizing, matching, ventilation calculations) and equipment installation (including static pressure, airflow, combustion efficiency) and may also consider distribution.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure, the installed equipment must be a furnace with input energy < 225,000 Btu/hr rated natural gas fired furnace with an Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating that meets program standards.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of Sale or New Construction: The baseline for this measure is an AFUE rating of 85%.<sup>348</sup> It is assumed that ‘Quality Installation’ did not occur.

Early Replacement: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed

---

<sup>348</sup> The Federal Standard of 80% is inflated to 85% for Furnaces to account for significant market demand above the Federal minimum. This is based upon agreement of the Technical Advisory Committee, reviewing information from other jurisdictions and in lieu of Iowa-specific information. Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this adjusted baseline should be replaced with the appropriate Federal Standard efficiency level.

remaining useful life of the unit and a new baseline unit for the remainder of the measure life.

#### DEFINITION OF MEASURE LIFE

The expected equipment measure life is assumed to be 20 years.<sup>349</sup> Quality installation savings are assumed to last the time of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

For early replacement: Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 6 years.<sup>350</sup>

#### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental capital cost for this measure depends on efficiency as listed below:<sup>351</sup>

AFUE	Full Install Cost	Incremental Install Cost
85%	\$4,030	N/A
86%	\$4,086	\$56
87%	\$4,143	\$113
88%	\$4,199	\$169
89%	\$4,256	\$226
90%	\$4,312	\$282
91%	\$4,369	\$339
92%	\$4,425	\$395
93%	\$4,482	\$452
94%	\$4,538	\$508
95%	\$4,595	\$565
96%	\$4,888	\$858
97%	\$5,181	\$1,151
98%	\$5,474	\$1,444
99%	\$5,768	\$1,738

Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Early Replacement: The full installation cost is provided in the table above. The assumed deferred cost (after 6 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new 85% AFUE baseline unit is assumed to be \$4,030. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities' discount rate.<sup>352</sup>

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to add \$90 to the installed cost.<sup>353</sup>

#### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

<sup>349</sup> Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3 of DOE Technical Support Documents, Table 8.3.3.

<sup>350</sup> Assumed to be one third of effective useful life

<sup>351</sup> Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers. *(Please note, Per MidAmerican in April 2021, there are no plans to update this data).* Full install costs are interpolated from data provided in the 2018 MA 'Water Heating, boiler and Furnace Cost Study' and adjusted from MA to IA costs using the 2016 implicit regional price deflators from the Bureau of Economic Analysis. See "Iowa Incremental Cost Study2\_Adjusted.xls" for more information.

<sup>352</sup> Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

<sup>353</sup> Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers. *As of April 2021, there are no plans to update this data, per MidAmerican.*



Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.4.4 Furnace

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

Algorithm

CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A. See Furnace Blower Motor

SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of Sale:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{\frac{EFLH * Capacity}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left( \frac{AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{eff})}{AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{base})} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Early replacement:<sup>354</sup>

$\Delta$ Therms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$= \frac{\frac{EFLH * Capacity}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left( \frac{AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{eff})}{AFUE_{exist} * (1 - Derating_{base})} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

$\Delta$ Therms for remaining measure life (next 14 years):

$$= \frac{\frac{EFLH * Capacity}{(1 - Derating_{eff})} * \left( \frac{AFUE_{eff} * (1 - Derating_{eff})}{AFUE_{base} * (1 - Derating_{base})} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Where:

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

= Dependent on location:<sup>355</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

<sup>354</sup> The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

<sup>355</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the units capacity. See “Res Furnace EFLH Findings\_30April2018.ppt” for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC). As of April 2021, there are no plans to update this data & report, per MidAmerican.

Capacity	= Nominal heating input capacity furnace size (Btu/hr) for efficient unit not existing unit = Actual
AFUE <sub>exist</sub>	= Existing furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating = Use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate - If unknown, assume 64.4 AFUE% <sup>356</sup>
AFUE <sub>base</sub>	= Baseline furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating = 85%  Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this adjusted baseline should be replaced with the appropriate Federal Standard efficiency level.
AFUE <sub>eff</sub>	= Efficient furnace Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating = Actual
Derating <sub>eff</sub>	= Efficient furnace AFUE derating = 0% if Quality Installation is performed = 6.4% if Quality Installation is not performed <sup>357</sup>
Derating <sub>base</sub>	= Baseline furnace AFUE derating = 6.4% <sup>358</sup>

**Time of Sale:**

**For example,** for an 80,000 Btuh 95% AFUE furnace purchased and installed with quality installation for an existing home in unknown location:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((991 * 80000) / (1 - 0\%) * (((0.95 * (1 - 0\%)) / (0.85 * (1 - 6.4\%))) - 1) / 100000$$

$$= 153.9 \text{ Therms}$$

**For example,** for an 80,000 Btuh 95% AFUE furnace purchased and installed without quality installation for an existing home in unknown location:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((991 * 80000) / (1 - 6.4\%) * (((0.95 * (1 - 6.4\%)) / (0.85 * (1 - 6.4\%))) - 1) / 100000$$

$$= 99.6 \text{ Therms}$$

**Early Replacement:**

**For example,** for an existing functioning furnace with unknown efficiency that is replaced with an 80,000 Btuh, 95% AFUE furnace using quality installation in unknown location:

$\Delta$ Therms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 6 years):

$$= ((991 * 80000) / (1 - 0\%) * (((0.95 * (1 - 0\%)) / (0.644 * (1 - 6.4\%))) - 1) / 100000$$

$$= 456.7 \text{ Therms}$$

$\Delta$ Therms for remaining measure life (next 14 years):

$$= ((991 * 80000) / (1 - 0\%) * (((0.95 * (1 - 0\%)) / (0.85 * (1 - 6.4\%))) - 1) / 100000$$

$$= 153.9 \text{ Therms}$$

<sup>356</sup> Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012).

<sup>357</sup> Based on findings from Building America, US Department of Energy, Brand, Yee and Baker "Improving Gas Furnace Performance: A Field and Laboratory Study at End of Life", February 2015.

<sup>358</sup> As above

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$  = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for heating<sup>359</sup>

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

#### Time of Sale:

**For example**, for an 80,000 Btuh 95% AFUE furnace purchased and quality installed in an existing home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= 153.9 * 0.016525 \\ &= 2.54 \text{ Therms} \end{aligned}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FRNC-V05-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

---

<sup>359</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

## 2.4.5 Furnace Blower Motor

**NOTE: THIS MEASURE IS EFFECTIVE UNTIL 12/31/2019. IT SHOULD NOT BE USED BEYOND THAT DATE BUT IS LEFT IN THE MANUAL FOR REFERENCE PURPOSES.**

### DESCRIPTION

A new furnace with a brushless permanent magnet furnace blower motor (BPM) (also known as an Electronically Commutated Motor (ECM)) is installed instead of a new furnace with a lower efficiency motor. This measure characterizes only the electric savings associated with the fan and could be coupled with gas savings associated with a more efficient furnace. Savings decrease sharply with static pressure, so duct improvements and design, and clean, low pressure drop filters can maximize savings. Savings improve when the blower is used for cooling as well as when it is used for continuous ventilation, but only if the non-BPM motor would have been used for continuous ventilation as well. If the resident runs the BPM blower continuously because it is a more efficient motor and would not run a non-BPM motor in the same way, savings are near zero and possibly negative. This characterization uses a 2009 Focus on Energy study of BPM blower motor savings in Wisconsin, which accounted for the effects of this behavioral impact.

This measure also includes a section accounting for the interactive effect of reduced waste heat on the heating loads.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

A furnace with a brushless permanent magnet (BPM) blower motor, also known by the trademark ECM, BLDC, and other names.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

A furnace with a non-BPM blower motor.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years<sup>360</sup>.

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The capital cost for this measure is assumed to be \$97<sup>361</sup> if a stand-alone measure or \$0 if coupled with 2.4.4 Furnace measure, since incremental cost of a fan will be included in that measure cost.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

---

<sup>360</sup> Consistent with assumed life of a new gas furnace. Federal Appliance Standards, Chapter 8.3 of DOE Technical Support Documents, Table 8.3.3.

<sup>361</sup> Adapted from Tables 8.2.3 and 8.2.13 in Technical Support Documents for Federal residential appliance standards: “Chapter 8, Life-Cycle Cost and Payback Period Analysis”, 2011. This is for new furnaces, not retrofitting an existing furnace.

### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \text{Heating Savings} + \text{Cooling Savings} + \text{Shoulder Season Savings}$$

Where:

$$\text{Heating Savings} = \text{Blower motor savings during heating season}^{362}$$

Building Type	Vintage	End Use	Heating Savings (kWh)		
			Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	Heat Central Furnace	268.4	381.5	301.6
Manufactured	New	Heat Central Furnace	193.5	275.0	217.4
Multifamily	Existing	Heat Central Furnace	222.6	316.4	250.1
Multifamily	New	Heat Central Furnace	158.8	225.7	178.5
Single-family	Existing	Heat Central Furnace	262.0	372.4	294.4
Single-family	New	Heat Central Furnace	227.7	323.7	255.9
Residential <sup>363</sup>	Residential	Heat Central Furnace	290.0		

$$\text{Cooling Savings} = \text{Blower motor savings during cooling season}$$

If home has Central AC:

Building Type	Vintage	End Use	Cooling Savings with CAC (kWh)		
			Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	Cool Central	266.2	208.0	252.3
Manufactured	New	Cool Central	217.3	183.1	209.2
Multifamily	Existing	Cool Central	248.5	199.0	236.7
Multifamily	New	Cool Central	216.7	182.8	208.6
Single-family	Existing	Cool Central	273.5	211.7	258.8
Single-family	New	Cool Central	222.7	185.9	214.0
Residential	Residential	Cool Central	256.5		

$$\text{If No Central AC} = 147.6 \text{ kWh}^{364}$$

If unknown<sup>365</sup>:

<sup>362</sup> To estimate heating, cooling, and shoulder season savings for Iowa, VEIC adapted results from a 2009 Focus on Energy study of BPM blower motor savings in Wisconsin. This study included effects of behavior change based on the efficiency of new motor greatly increasing the amount of people that run the fan continuously. The savings from the Wisconsin study were adjusted to account for different equivalent full load hour assumptions for Iowa. See: FOE to IA Blower Savings.xlsx.

<sup>363</sup> Where location and home type is unknown.

<sup>364</sup> These savings are for those homes that use the fan on continuous mode (13% of households) from Focus on Energy study.

<sup>365</sup> The weighted average value is based on assumption that 86% of homes installing BPM furnace blower motors have Central AC. Using the formula from Note 1 in Table B-2 in the FOE study, and assuming that before the furnace purchase, purchasing households have the statewide average CAC penetration, and that the percent of purchasers that add CAC during the purchase is the same in IA as WI.

Building Type	Vintage	End Use	Cooling Savings, if cooling unknown (kWh)		
			Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	Cool Central	249.4	199.5	237.6
Manufactured	New	Cool Central	207.4	178.1	200.5
Multifamily	Existing	Cool Central	234.2	191.7	224.1
Multifamily	New	Cool Central	206.9	177.8	200.0
Single-family	Existing	Cool Central	255.7	202.7	243.1
Single-family	New	Cool Central	212.1	180.5	204.6
Residential	Residential	Cool Central	241.1		

Shoulder Season Savings = Blower motor savings during shoulder seasons  
= 24.3 kWh

Using default values above the total savings are provided below:

Building Type	Vintage	Total Savings (kWh)								
		With CAC			No CAC			Unknown CAC		
		Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ Unknown	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ Unknown	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	558.9	613.8	578.2	440.3	553.4	473.5	542.1	605.3	563.5
Manufactured	New	435.1	482.5	450.9	365.4	447.0	389.3	425.3	477.4	442.2
Multifamily	Existing	495.4	539.7	511.1	394.5	488.3	422.0	481.2	532.5	498.6
Multifamily	New	399.8	432.9	411.4	330.7	397.7	350.4	390.0	427.9	402.8
Single-family	Existing	559.8	608.4	577.5	433.9	544.3	466.3	542.0	599.4	561.8
Single-family	New	474.8	533.9	494.2	399.6	495.6	427.8	464.2	528.5	484.8
Residential		570.8			462.0			555.5		

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left( \frac{\text{NoACCooling Savings}}{\text{Cooling Season Hours}} + \frac{\text{Cooling Savings} - \text{NoACCooling Savings}}{\text{FLH}_{\text{cooling}}} \right) * CF$$

Where:

NoACCooling Savings = kWh savings in cooling season for homes without cooling  
= 147.6 kWh

Cooling Season Hours = Total hours during cooling season  
= 2952<sup>366</sup>

Cooling Savings = kWh savings in cooling season for homes with cooling  
= See tables above

FLH<sub>cooling</sub> = Full load hours of air conditioning  
= Dependent on location<sup>367</sup>:

<sup>366</sup> Based on 123 days where CDD 65>0, multiplied by 24.

<sup>367</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

		Cooling Load Hours—EFLHc		
Building Type	Vintage	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	865	441	764
Manufactured	New	508	259	449
Multifamily	Existing	736	375	650
Multifamily	New	504	257	445
Single-family	Existing	918	468	811
Single-family	New	548	279	484
Residential	Residential	794		

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
= 68%<sup>368</sup>

Using default values above the total savings are provided below:

		Total Savings (kW)		
Building Type	Vintage	With CAC	No CAC	Unknown CAC
All	All	0.1272	0.0465	0.1141

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms^{369} = - \frac{Heating Savings * 0.03412}{AFUE}$$

Where:

0.03412 = Converts kWh to therms  
AFUE = Efficiency of the furnace  
= Actual. If unknown assume 95%<sup>370</sup>

Using default values above the total savings are provided below:

		Total Savings (Therms)		
Building Type	Vintage	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	- 9.6	- 13.7	- 10.8
Manufactured	New	- 6.9	- 9.9	- 7.8
Multifamily	Existing	- 8.0	- 11.4	- 9.0
Multifamily	New	- 5.7	- 8.1	- 6.4
Single-family	Existing	- 9.4	- 13.4	- 10.6
Single-family	New	- 8.2	- 11.6	- 9.2
Residential	Residential	- 10.4		

<sup>368</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'..

<sup>369</sup> The blower fan is in the heating duct, so all, or very nearly all, of its waste heat is delivered to the conditioned space. This is a negative value, since this measure will increase the heating load due to reduced waste heat.

<sup>370</sup> Minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency after 2/1/2012.

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$  = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for heating<sup>371</sup>

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

Building Type	Vintage	Total Savings (Peak Therms)		
		Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average / Unknown
Manufactured	Existing	-0.159	-0.226	-0.179
Manufactured	New	-0.115	-0.163	-0.129
Multifamily	Existing	-0.132	-0.188	-0.148
Multifamily	New	-0.094	-0.134	-0.106
Single-family	Existing	-0.155	-0.221	-0.175
Single-family	New	-0.135	-0.192	-0.152
Residential	Residential	-0.172		

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FBMT-V03-190101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2020

<sup>371</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.



## 2.4.6 Geothermal Source Heat Pump

### DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the installation of an ENERGY STAR qualified Geothermal Source Heat Pump (GSHP) either during new construction or at Time of Sale/Replacement of an existing system(s). Savings are realized due to the GSHP providing heating and cooling more efficiently than the existing or baseline unit, and where a desuperheater is installed, additional Domestic Hot Water (DHW) savings are realized due to displacing existing water heating.

This measure characterizes:

- c) Time of Sale:
  - ii. The installation of a new residential sized ground source heat pump in place of a new baseline Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP) meeting federal standards. This could relate to the replacement of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- d) Early Replacement:
  - iii. The early removal of functioning electric heating and cooling (if present) systems from service, prior to the natural end of life, and replacement with a new high efficiency ground source heat pump unit. Savings are calculated between existing unit and efficient unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline Air Source Heat Pump and efficient unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
  - iv. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost (<\$471 per ton).

Quality Installation:

Additional savings are attributed to the Quality Installation (QI) of the system. QI programs should follow industry standards such as those described in ANSI ACCA QI5 and QI9vp. This must include considerations of system design (including sizing, matching, ventilation calculations) and equipment installation (including static pressure, airflow, refrigerant charge) and may also consider distribution.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment must be a Geothermal Source Heat Pump unit meeting the minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency level standards effective at the time of installation as detailed below:

**ENERGY STAR Requirements (Effective January 1, 2012)**

Product Type	Cooling EER	Heating COP
<b>Water-to-air</b>		
Closed Loop	17.1	3.6
Open Loop	21.1	4.1
<b>Water-to-Water</b>		
Closed Loop	16.1	3.1
Open Loop	20.1	3.5
DGX	16	3.6

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

New Construction:

The baseline equipment is assumed to be an Air Source Heat Pump meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level: 14 SEER, 8.2 HSPF, and 11.8 EER.<sup>372</sup> If a desuperheater is installed, the baseline for DHW savings is assumed to be a Federal Standard electric hot water heater, with Energy Factor calculated as follows:<sup>373</sup>

For ≤55 gallons: EF =  $0.96 - (0.0003 * \text{rated volume in gallons})$

For >55 gallons: EF =  $2.057 - (0.00113 * \text{rated volume in gallons})$

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.945 EF.

#### Time of Sale:

The baseline equipment is assumed to be an Air Source Heat Pump meeting the Federal Standard efficiency level: 14 SEER, 8.2 HSPF, and 11.8 EER. If a desuperheater is installed, the baseline for DHW savings is assumed to be the existing home's hot water heater fuel and efficiency.

If electric DHW, and unknown efficiency – assume efficiency is equal to pre 4/2015 Federal Standard:

EF =  $0.93 - (0.00132 * \text{rated volume in gallons})$ <sup>374</sup>

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.864 EF

If gas water heater, and unknown efficiency – assume efficiency is equal to pre 04/2015 Federal Standard:

EF =  $(0.67 - 0.0019 * \text{rated volume in gallons})$ <sup>375</sup>

If size is unknown, assume 40 gallon; 0.594 EF

If DHW fuel is unknown, assume electric DHW provided above.

#### Early replacement / Retrofit:

The baseline is the efficiency of the *existing* electric heating, cooling and hot water equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the existing unit and a new baseline Air Source Heat Pump for the remainder of the measure life.

It is assumed that 'Quality Installation' did not occur.

#### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for Time of Sale or New Construction is assumed to be 25 years.<sup>376</sup>

For early replacement, the remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 8 years.<sup>377</sup>

Quality installation savings are assumed to last the time of the equipment because they come from the selection of fans and ducts, as well as airflow and other settings that do not change through normal operation of the equipment.

#### DEEMED MEASURE COST

New Construction and Time of Sale: The actual installed cost of the Geothermal Source Heat Pump should be used

---

<sup>372</sup> The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with this formula:  $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$  Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

<sup>373</sup> Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015; <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf>

<sup>374</sup> Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497.

<sup>375</sup> Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497.

<sup>376</sup> The expected system life of indoor components is assumed to be 25 years as per DOE estimate: <http://energy.gov/energysaver/articles/geothermal-heat-pumps>. The ground loop life is estimated at 50 years (based on U.S. DOE Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy, Energy Saver details and descriptions for Geothermal Heat Pumps).

<sup>377</sup> Assumed to be one third of effective useful life

(default of \$3,381 per ton<sup>378</sup>), minus the assumed installation cost of the baseline equipment (\$1,867 per ton of capacity for ASHP<sup>379</sup>). Note if replacing an existing Geothermal Source Heat Pump with a functioning ground or water loop, it should be assumed that the indoor components of the Geothermal Source Heat Pump are consistent with the incremental cost of an efficient ASHP over the baseline ASHP. The incremental cost for this scenario only is provided below.<sup>380</sup>

Efficiency (SEER)	Incremental Cost when existing GSHP Loop (\$/unit)
14.5	\$123
15	\$303
16	\$438
17	\$724
18+	\$724

Early Replacement: The full installation cost of the Ground Source Heat Pump should be used (default provided above). Note if replacing an existing Geothermal Source Heat Pump with a functioning ground or water loop, the full cost will only reflect the install of the indoor components of the Geothermal Source Heat Pump. The full installation cost for this scenario only is provided below (note these costs are per ton of unit capacity):<sup>381</sup>

Efficiency (SEER)	Full Retrofit Cost (including labor) per Ton of Capacity (\$/ton)
14.5	\$2,355 / ton + \$123
15	\$2,355 / ton + \$303
16	\$2,355 / ton + \$438
17	\$2,355 / ton + \$724
18+	\$2,355 / ton + \$724

The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline Air Source Heat Pump is assumed to be \$2,355 per ton. This future cost should be discounted to present value using the nominal societal discount rate.<sup>382</sup>

Quality Installation: The additional design and installation work associated with quality installation has been estimated to add \$150 to the installed cost.<sup>383</sup>

#### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RE12 – Residential Single Family Water Heat (Electric)

Loadshape RG07 – Residential Water Heat (Gas)

<sup>378</sup> Based on data provided on Home Advisor website, providing national average GSHP costs based on actual project quotes from 132 Home Advisor members and contractors. Equipment and material cost of \$2,581 per ton plus an added \$800 per ton installation cost, assuming a horizontal loop.

<sup>379</sup> Based on data provided on Home Advisor website, providing national average ASHP costs based on actual project quotes from 3,523 Home Advisor members and contractors.

<sup>380</sup> Based on incremental cost results from Cadmus “HVAC Program: Incremental Cost Analysis Update”, December 19, 2016.

<sup>381</sup> Costs based upon average cost per ton from “2010-2012 WA017 Ex Ante Measure Cost Study Draft Report”, Itron, February 28, 2014.

<sup>382</sup> Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

<sup>383</sup> Based on data provided by MidAmerican in April 2018 summarizing survey results from 11 HVAC suppliers, see ‘Iowa HVAC Incremental Cost Study’ for details.

### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Time of sale, New Construction:

$$\Delta kWh = [Cooling\ savings] + [Heating\ savings] + [DHW\ savings]$$

$$= \left[ \frac{EFLH_{Cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left( PLF_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(EER_{Base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-PL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right) + FLF_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(EER_{Base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left( PLF_{Heat} * \left( \frac{1}{(HSPF_{Base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-PL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right) + FLF_{Heat} * \left( \frac{1}{(HSPF_{Base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-FL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{ElecDHW * \%DHWDisp * \frac{1}{EF_{ELEC}} * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0}{3412} \right]$$

Early replacement:<sup>384</sup>

ΔkWh for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$\Delta kWh = [Cooling\ savings] + [Heating\ savings] + [DHW\ savings]$$

$$= \left[ \frac{EFLH_{Cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left( PLF_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(EER_{Exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-PL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right) + FLF_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(EER_{Exist} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left( PLF_{Heat} * \left( \frac{1}{(HSPF_{Exist} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-PL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right) + FLF_{Heat} * \left( \frac{1}{(HSPF_{Exist} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-FL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

<sup>384</sup> The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation), and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

$$+ \left[ \frac{ElecDHW * \%DHWDisp * \frac{1}{EF_{ELEC}} * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0}{3412} \right]$$

$\Delta kWh$  for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$\Delta kWh = [Cooling\ savings] + [Heating\ savings] + [DHW\ savings]$$

$$= \left[ \frac{EFLH_{Cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left( PLF_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(EER_{Base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-PL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right) + FLF_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(EER_{Base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left( PLF_{Heat} * \left( \frac{1}{(HSPF_{Base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-PL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right) + FLF_{Heat} * \left( \frac{1}{(HSPF_{Base} * (1 - DeratingHeat_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(COP_{EE-FL} * 3.412 * (1 - DeratingHeat_{eff}))} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{ElecDHW * \%DHWDisp * \frac{1}{EF_{ELEC}} * GPD * Household * 365.25 * \gamma_{Water} * (T_{OUT} - T_{IN}) * 1.0}{3412} \right]$$

Where:

$EFLH_{Cool}$  = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling  
= Dependent on location:<sup>385</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>Cool</sub> (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown	484	811	445	650	449	764

$Capacity_{Cool}$  = Cooling capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)  
= Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)

$PLF_{Cool}$  = Part load cooling mode operation  
= 0.85 if variable speed GSHP<sup>386</sup>  
= 0 if single/constant speed GSHP

$FLF_{Cool}$  = Equivalent full load cooling mode operation factor  
= 0.15 if variable speed GSHP  
= 1 if single/constant speed GSHP

$EER_{Base}$  = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of new baseline ASHP unit  
= 11.8<sup>387</sup>

$EER_{Exist}$  = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling unit  
= Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available convert using the equation:

$$EER_{Exist} = (-0.02 * SEER_{Exist}^2) + (1.12 * SEER_{Exist})$$

If SEER rating unavailable use:

Existing Cooling System	$EER_{Exist}$ <sup>388</sup>
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15
No central cooling <sup>389</sup>	Set '1/EER <sub>exist</sub> ' = 0

$EER_{EE-PL}$  = Part load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of GSHP unit

<sup>385</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>386</sup> Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BE opt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.

<sup>387</sup> The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with the conversion formula from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

<sup>388</sup> Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012).

<sup>389</sup> If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

	= Actual installed with adjustment for pumping energy: <sup>390</sup>
Adjusted EER (closed loop)	= $0.0000315 * EER^3 - 0.0111 * EER^2 + 0.959 * EER$
Adjusted EER (open loop)	= $0.00005 * EER^3 - 0.0145 * EER^2 + 0.93 * EER$
$EER_{EE-FL}$	= Full load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of GSHP unit
	= Actual installed with adjustment for pumping energy described above
DeratingCool <sub>eff</sub>	= Efficient GSHP cooling derating
	= 0% if Quality Installation is performed
	= 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed <sup>391</sup>
Derating <sub>base</sub>	= Baseline GSHP cooling derating
	= 10.5%
$EFLH_{Heat}$	= Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating
	= Dependent on location: <sup>392</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>Heat</sub> (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,922	2,022	1,389	1,643	1,797	2,137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2,732	2,874	1,975	2,335	2,554	3,037
Average/ unknown	2,160	2,272	1,561	1,846	2,019	2,401

Capacity <sub>Heat</sub>	= Full load heating capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)
	= Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)
PLF <sub>Heat</sub>	= Part load heating mode operation
	= 0.5 if variable speed GSHP <sup>393</sup>
	= 0 if single/constant speed GSHP
FLF <sub>Heat</sub>	= Full load heating mode operation factor
	= 0.5 if variable speed GSHP
	= 1 if single/constant speed GSHP
HSPF <sub>Base</sub>	= Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of new replacement baseline heating system (kBtu/kWh)
	= 8.2 <sup>394</sup>
HSPF <sub>Exist</sub>	= Heating System Performance Factor (HSPF) of existing heating system (kBtu/kWh)

<sup>390</sup> The methodology provided is based upon REMRate protocol 'Auxiliary Electric Energy of Ground Source Heat Pumps'; [http://www.resnet.us/standards/Auxiliary\\_Electric\\_Energy\\_of\\_Ground\\_Source\\_Heat\\_Pumps\\_Amendment.pdf](http://www.resnet.us/standards/Auxiliary_Electric_Energy_of_Ground_Source_Heat_Pumps_Amendment.pdf)

<sup>391</sup> Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

<sup>392</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>393</sup> Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BEopt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.

<sup>394</sup> Minimum Federal Standard as of 1/1/2015;  
<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf>

= Use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If not available, use:

Existing Heating System	HSPF <sub>exist</sub>
Air Source Heat Pump	5.44 <sup>395</sup>
Electric Resistance or Electric Furnace	3.41 <sup>396</sup>

$COP_{EE-PL}$  = Part load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit

= Actual Installed with adjustment for pumping energy.<sup>397</sup>

Adjusted COP (closed loop) =  $0.000416 * COP^3 - 0.041 * COP^2 + 1.0086 * COP$

Adjusted COP (open loop) =  $0.00067 * COP^3 - 0.0531 * COP^2 + 0.976 * COP$

$COP_{EE-FL}$  = Full load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit

= Actual Installed with adjustment for pumping energy described above

$DeratingHeat_{eff}$  = Efficient GSHP heating derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed

= 11.8% if Quality Installation is not performed<sup>398</sup>

$DeratingHeat_{base}$  = Baseline GSHP heating derating

= 11.8%

3.412 = Constant to convert the COP of the unit to the Heating Season Performance Factor (HSPF)

$ElecDHW$  = 1 if existing DHW is electrically heated

= 0 if existing DHW is not electrically heated

$\%DHWDisp$  = Percentage of total DHW load that the GSHP will provide

= Actual if known

= If unknown and if desuperheater installed, assume 44%<sup>399</sup>

= 0% if no desuperheater installed

$EF_{ELEC}$  = Energy Factor (efficiency) of electric water heater. Note if the unit is rated with a Uniform Energy Factor, for version 2.0 of the TRM this will conservatively be applied as an Energy Factor. In version 3.0, these new ratings will be fully incorporated

New Construction = Actual - If unknown, assume federal standard:<sup>400</sup>

<sup>395</sup> This is estimated based on finding the average HSPF/SEER ratio from the AHRI directory data (using the least efficient models – SEER 12 and SEER 13) – 0.596, and applying to the average nameplate SEER rating of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). This estimation methodology appears to provide a result within 10% of actual HSPF.

<sup>396</sup> Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0, which equals  $1/0.293 = 3.41$  HSPF.

<sup>397</sup> The methodology provided is based upon REMRate protocol 'Auxiliary Electric Energy of Ground Source Heat Pumps'; [http://www.resnet.us/standards/Auxiliary\\_Electric\\_Energy\\_of\\_Ground\\_Source\\_Heat\\_Pumps\\_Amendment.pdf](http://www.resnet.us/standards/Auxiliary_Electric_Energy_of_Ground_Source_Heat_Pumps_Amendment.pdf)

<sup>398</sup> Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

<sup>399</sup> Assumes that the desuperheater can provide two thirds of hot water needs for eight months of the year ( $2/3 * 2/3 = 44\%$ ). Based on input from Doug Dougherty, Geothermal Exchange Organization.

<sup>400</sup> Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015;

<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf>



For ≤55 gallons:  $0.96 - (0.0003 * \text{rated volume in gallons})$

For >55 gallons:  $2.057 - (0.00113 * \text{rated volume in gallons})$

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.945EF

Existing Homes = Actual - If unknown, assume pre 4/2015 Federal Standard:<sup>401</sup>

$0.93 - (0.00132 * \text{rated volume in gallons})$

If size is unknown, assume 50 gallon; 0.864 EF

GPD = Gallons Per Day of hot water use per person

= 45.5 gallons hot water per day per household/2.59 people per household<sup>402</sup>

= 17.6

Household = Average number of people per household

Household Unit Type	Household <sup>403</sup>
Manufactured	1.96
Single-Family – Deemed	2.12
Multifamily – Deemed	1.4
Custom	Actual Occupancy or Number of Bedrooms <sup>404</sup>

365.25 = Days per year

$\gamma_{\text{Water}}$  = Specific weight of water

= 8.33 pounds per gallon

$T_{\text{OUT}}$  = Tank temperature

= 126.5°F <sup>405</sup>

$T_{\text{IN}}$  = Incoming water temperature from well or municipal system

= 56.5<sup>406</sup>

1.0 = Heat Capacity of water (1 Btu/lb\*°F)

3412 = Conversion from Btu to kWh

<sup>401</sup> Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497.

<sup>402</sup> Deoreo, B., and P. Mayer. Residential End Uses of Water Study Update. Forthcoming. ©2015 Water Research Foundation. Reprinted With Permission.

<sup>403</sup> Average household size by building type and water heater fuel type based on the 2007 RASS.

<sup>404</sup> Bedrooms are suitable proxies for household occupancy, and may be preferable to actual occupancy due to turnover rates in residency and non-adult population impacts.

<sup>405</sup> CPUC Residential Retrofit - High Impact Measure Evaluation Report Draft. Dec. 7, 2009. Pg. 76. Average temperature setpoints for two utilities.

<sup>406</sup> Averaged monthly water main temperature calculated using the methodology provided in Building America Research Benchmark Definition, updated December 2009. Pg.19-20. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy10osti/47246.pdf>; water main temperature represents the average of TMY3 data from all Class I stations located in Des Moines, IA.

**For example**, for a 3 ton closed loop GSHP unit with 20 Part Load EER, 18 Full Load EER and 4.4 Part Load COP, 3.4 Full Load COP with desuperheater installed with quality installation with a 50 gallon electric water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA.:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Adjusted Part Load EER} &= 0.0000315 * 20^3 - 0.0111 * 20^2 + 0.959 * 20 \\ &= 15.0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Adjusted Full Load EER} &= 0.0000315 * 18^3 - 0.0111 * 18^2 + 0.959 * 18 \\ &= 13.8\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Adjusted Part Load COP} &= 0.000416 * 4.4^3 - 0.041 * 4.4^2 + 1.0086 * 4.4 \\ &= 3.7\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Adjusted Full Load COP} &= 0.000416 * 3.4^3 - 0.041 * 3.4^2 + 1.0086 * 3.4 \\ &= 3.0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= [(548 * 36,000 * ((0.85 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105))) - 1/(15 * (1-0.105)))) + (0.15 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105))) - 1/(13.8 * (1-0.105)))) / 1000] + [(1922 * 36,000 * ((0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118))) - 1/(3.7 * 3.412 * (1-0.118)))) + (0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118))) - 1/(3.0 * 3.412 * (1-0.118)))) / 1000] + [(1 * 0.44 * 1/0.945 * 17.6 * 2.126 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5-56.5) * 1)/3412] \\ &= 535.7 + 3446.7 + 1087.5 \\ &= 5,069.9 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

**For example**, for a 3 ton closed loop GSHP unit with 20 Part Load EER, 18 Full Load EER and 4.4 Part Load COP, 3.4 Full Load COP with desuperheater installed without quality installation with a 50 gallon electric water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= [(548 * 36,000 * ((0.85 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105))) - 1/(15 * (1-0.105)))) + (0.15 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105))) - 1/(13.8 * (1-0.105)))) / 1000] + [(1922 * 36,000 * ((0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118))) - 1/(3.7 * 3.412 * (1-0.118)))) + (0.5 * (1/(8.2 * (1-0.118))) - 1/(3.0 * 3.412 * (1-0.118)))) / 1000] + [(1 * 0.44 * 1/0.945 * 17.6 * 2.126 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5-56.5) * 1)/3412] \\ &= 379.3 + 2627.9 + 1087.5 \\ &= 4094.7 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

Time of Sale, New Construction:

$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{\text{Capacity}_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(\text{EER}_{base} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(\text{EER}_{EE-FL} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Early replacement:

$\Delta$ kWh for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{\text{Capacity}_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(\text{EER}_{Exist} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(\text{EER}_{EE-FL} * (1 - \text{DeratingCool}_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

$\Delta$ kWh for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{Capacity_{Cool} * \left( \frac{1}{(EER_{base} * (1 - DeratingCool_{base}))} - \frac{1}{(EER_{EE-FL} * (1 - DeratingCool_{eff}))} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

EER<sub>base</sub> = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of new baseline unit

= 11.8<sup>407</sup>

EER<sub>Exist</sub> = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling unit

= Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available convert using the equation:

EER<sub>Exist</sub> = (-0.02 \* SEER<sub>Exist</sub><sup>2</sup>) + (1.12 \* SEER<sub>Exist</sub>)

If SEER rating unavailable use:

Existing Cooling System	EER <sub>Exist</sub> <sup>408</sup>
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15
No central cooling <sup>409</sup>	Set '1/EER <sub>exist</sub> ' = 0

EER<sub>FL</sub> = Full load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of ENERGY STAR GSHP unit

= Actual with adjustment for pumping energy described above

DeratingCool<sub>eff</sub> = Efficient Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating

= 0% if Quality Installation is performed and/or if unit is right-sized

= 10.5% if Quality Installation is not performed<sup>410</sup>

DeratingCool<sub>base</sub> = Baseline Central Air Conditioner Cooling derating

= 10.5%

CF = Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling

= 72% for non-QI<sup>411</sup>

= 80% for QI or right sized units<sup>412</sup>

<sup>407</sup> The Federal Standard does not include an EER requirement, so it is approximated with the conversion formula from Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

<sup>408</sup> Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012).

<sup>409</sup> If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

<sup>410</sup> Based on Cadmus assumption in IPL TRM— results in a QI savings that is within a feasible range.

<sup>411</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

<sup>412</sup> This higher CF accounts for the demand benefit from right sizing the equipment,

**For example**, for a 3 ton closed loop GSHP unit with Full Load EER rating of 18 installed with quality installation in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Adjusted Full Load EER} &= 0.0000315 * 18^3 - 0.0111 * 18^2 + 0.959 * 18 \\ &= 13.8\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105)) - 1/(13.8 * (1-0))))/1000) * 0.80 \\ &= 0.6401 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

**For example**, for a 3 ton closed loop GSHP unit with Full Load EER rating of 18 installed without quality installation in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= ((36,000 * (1/(11.8 * (1-0.105)) - 1/(13.8 * (1-0.105))))/1000) * 0.72 \\ &= 0.3557 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

DHW savings for homes with existing gas hot water:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therms} &= [\text{DHW Savings}] \\ &= \frac{(1 - \text{ElecDHW}) * \% \text{DHWDisp} * \frac{1}{\text{EF}_{\text{Gas}}} * \text{GPD} * \text{Household} * 365.25 * \gamma \text{Water} * (T_{\text{OUT}} - T_{\text{IN}}) * 1.0}{100,000}\end{aligned}$$

Where:

$\text{EF}_{\text{GAS}}$  = Energy Factor (efficiency) of gas water heater

New Construction = Actual – If unknown, assume federal standard:<sup>413</sup>

For ≤55 gallons:  $0.675 - (0.0015 * \text{tank\_size})$

For > 55 gallons:  $0.8012 - (0.00078 * \text{tank size})$

If tank size unknown assume 40 gallons; 0.615 EF

Existing Homes = Actual – If unknown, assume pre 4/2015 Federal Standard:<sup>414</sup>

$(0.67 - 0.0019 * \text{rated volume in gallons})$

If size is unknown, assume 40 gallon; 0.594 EF

All other variables provided above

**For example**, for a 3 ton unit with desuperheater installed with a 40 gallon gas water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therms} &= ((1-0) * 0.44 * 1/0.615 * 17.6 * 2.126 * 365.25 * 8.33 * (126.5-56.5) * 1) / 100000 \\ &= 57.0 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

<sup>413</sup> Minimum Federal Standard as of 4/1/2015;

<http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf>

<sup>414</sup> Federal Standard from 2004 until 2015, Federal Register Vol. 66, No. 11/1/17/2001, page 4497

[http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance\\_standards/residential/pdfs/water\\_heater\\_fr.pdf](http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/pdfs/water_heater_fr.pdf)

Where:

$\Delta$ Therms = Therm impact calculated above  
 GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for water heating  
 = 0.002952 for Residential Water Heating

**For example**, for a 3 ton unit with desuperheater installed with a 40 gallon gas water heater in a new construction single family house in Burlington, IA:

$\Delta$ PeakTherms =  $57.0 * 0.002952$   
 = 0.1683 therms

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GSHP-V05-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

## 2.4.7 Ductless Heat Pumps

### DESCRIPTION

This measure is designed to calculate electric savings for supplementing or replacing existing electric HVAC systems with ductless heat pumps or adding conditioning to a new space. Existing systems can include: electric resistance heating or ducted Air Source Heat Pumps (ASHP). Note this measure does not describe savings from displacement of gas heating. In such circumstances a custom calculation should be performed.

Savings are achieved either by displacing some of the heating or cooling load currently provided by the existing system or adding space conditioning to a new space, and meeting that load with the more efficient ductless heat pump. The offset of the home's heating load is likely for the milder heating periods. The limitations on heating offset increase as the outdoor temperature drops, because the DHP capacity decreases, and the point-source nature of the heater is less able to satisfy heating loads in remote rooms.

For cooling, the proposed savings calculations are aligned with those of typical replacement systems. In most cases, the DHP is expected to replace (rather than offset) a comparable amount of cooling in homes at a much higher efficiency than the previously used cooling.

In order for this measure to apply, the control strategy for the heat pump is assumed to be chosen to maximize savings per installer recommendation.<sup>415</sup>

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, the new equipment must be a high-efficiency, variable-capacity (typically “inverter-driven” DC motor) ductless heat pump system that exceeds the program requirements.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, baseline equipment must be a permanent electric resistance heating source or a ducted ASHP. Existing cooling equipment is assumed to be standard efficiency. Note that in order to claim cooling savings, there must be an existing air conditioning system.

For adding space conditioning to a new space within a home, for example a new addition, the baseline is assumed to be a baseline ductless heat pump.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 18 years.<sup>416</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The full installation cost for this measure should be used, if unavailable a default is provided below:<sup>417</sup>

---

<sup>415</sup> The whole purpose of installing ductless heat pumps is to conserve energy, so the installer can be assumed to be capable of recommending an appropriate controls strategy. For most applications, the heating setpoint for the ductless heat pump should be at least 2F higher than any remaining existing system and the cooling setpoint for the ductless heat pump should be at least 2F cooler than the existing system (this should apply to all periods of a programmable schedule, if applicable). This helps ensure that the ductless heat pump will be used to meet as much of the load as possible before the existing system operates to meet the remaining load. Ideally, the new ductless heat pump controls should be set to the current comfort settings, while the existing system setpoints should be adjusted down (heating) and up (cooling) to capture savings.

<sup>416</sup> Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, Inc., June 2007

<sup>417</sup> Cadmus, Opinion Dynamics; ‘PY7 HVAC and Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pump Incremental Cost Analysis’ memo for Ameren Illinois, dated September 4, 2015.

Unit Capacity (BTU/h)	Equivalent Capacity (tons)	Total Installation Cost
12,000	1.00	\$3,051
15,000	1.25	\$4,093
18,000	1.50	\$5,182
20,000	1.67	\$5,897
22,000	1.83	\$6,637
24,000	2.00	\$7,310
28,000	2.33	\$8,209
35,000+	2.92	\$10,814

For adding space conditioning to a new space within a home, the incremental cost should be used and is estimated below:<sup>418</sup>

SEER	Incremental Cost
<=18	\$346
19	\$423
20	\$498
21	\$577
22	\$589
23	\$605
24	\$621
25	\$637
26+	\$651

## LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

## 2 Algorithms

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electric savings

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{heat} + \Delta kWh_{cool}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{heat} = \left[ \frac{Capacity_{Heat} * EFLH_{Heat} * \left( \frac{1}{HSPF_{base}} - \frac{1}{HSPF_{ee}} \right)}{1000} \right] * LF$$

$$\Delta kWh_{cool} = \left[ \frac{Capacity_{Cool} * EFLH_{cool} * \left( \frac{1}{SEER_{base}} - \frac{1}{SEER_{ee}} \right)}{1000} \right] * LF$$

Where:

<sup>418</sup> Costs are estimated based on data from NEEP Phase 2 Incremental Cost Study, 2014. See “DHP Costs\_04262017.xls” for details.

Capacity<sub>Heat</sub> = the heating capacity of the ductless heat pump unit in Btu/hr.<sup>419</sup>

= Actual installed

EFLH<sub>Heat</sub> = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

= Dependent on location and application (whole house or add-on/supplemental):<sup>420</sup>

Application	Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>Heat</sub> (Hours)					
		Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Whole house conditioning	Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,922	2,022	1,389	1,643	1,797	2,137
	Zone 6 (Mason City)	2,732	2,874	1,975	2,335	2,554	3,037
	Average/ unknown	2,160	2,272	1,561	1,846	2,019	2,401
Add-on / supplemental	Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,345	1,415	972	1,150	1,258	1,496
	Zone 6 (Mason City)	1,912	2,012	1,383	1,635	1,788	2,126
	Average/ unknown	1,512	1,590	1,093	1,292	1,413	1,681

HSPF<sub>ee</sub> = HSPF rating of new equipment

= Actual installed

HSPF<sub>base</sub> = HSPF rating of existing or new baseline equipment

= Actual, if unknown assume:

Existing Equipment Type	HSPF <sub>base</sub>
Electric resistance heating	3.41 <sup>421</sup>
Air Source Heat Pump	5.44 <sup>422</sup>
For new space conditioning, assume baseline ductless heat pump	9.1 <sup>423</sup>

Capacity<sub>cool</sub> = the cooling capacity of the ductless heat pump unit in Btu/hr.<sup>424</sup>

= Actual installed

EFLH<sub>cool</sub> = Equivalent Full Load Hours for cooling. Depends on location and application (whole house v add-on / supplemental). See table below.<sup>425</sup>

<sup>419</sup> 1 Ton = 12 kBtu/hr

<sup>420</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC). Add-on / supplemental EFLH are estimated by multiplying by a factor of 70% (consistent with PA TRM 2013).

<sup>421</sup> Electric resistance has a COP of 1.0 which equals 1/0.293 = 3.41 HSPF.

<sup>422</sup> This is from the ASHP measure which estimated HSPF based on finding the average HSPF/SEER ratio from the AHRI directory data (using the least efficient models – SEER 12 and SEER 13) – 0.596, and applying to the average nameplate SEER rating of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren PY3-PY4. This estimation methodology appears to provide a result within 10% of actual HSPF.

<sup>423</sup> Based on average of non-ENERGY STAR qualifying units on AHRI directory. See “AHRI download\_0426201.xls” for details.

<sup>424</sup> 1 Ton = 12 kBtu/hr

<sup>425</sup> Residential EFLH for room AC



Application	Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>cool</sub> <sup>426</sup>					
		Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Whole house conditioning	5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
	6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
	Average/unknown	484	811	445	650	449	764
Add-on / supplemental	5 (Burlington)	330					
	6 (Mason City)	168					
	Average/unknown	292					

SEER<sub>ee</sub> = SEER rating of new equipment

= Actual installed<sup>427</sup>

SEER<sub>exist</sub> = SEER rating of existing equipment

= Use actual value. If unknown, see table below.

Existing Cooling System	SEER <sub>exist</sub>
Air Source Heat Pump	9.12
Central AC	8.60 <sup>429</sup>
Room AC	8.0 <sup>430</sup>
No cooling <sup>431</sup>	Set '1/SEER <sub>exist</sub> ' = 0
For new space conditioning, assume baseline ductless heat pump	16.6 <sup>432</sup>

LF = Load Factor accounting for DHP operating at partial loads and to calibrate savings to findings from evaluations

= 25%<sup>433</sup>

<sup>426</sup> EFLH for whole house conditioning are consistent with the Central AC measure (Des Moines EFLH based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment and the other locations calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDCC)). EFLH for add-on are consistent with Room AC (based on the average ratio of FLH for Room AC (provided in RLW Report: Final Report Coincidence Factor Study Residential Room Air Conditioners, June 23, 2008:

[http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117\\_RLW\\_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf](http://www.puc.nh.gov/Electric/Monitoring%20and%20Evaluation%20Reports/National%20Grid/117_RLW_CF%20Res%20RAC.pdf)) to FLH for Central Cooling for the same locations (provided by AHRI: see reference file "ENERGY STARCalc\_CAC") is 31%. This factor was applied to the ENERGY STAR FLH for Central Cooling provided for Des Moines, IA to provide an assumption for FLH for Room AC, and adjusted by CDD for the other locations.)

<sup>427</sup> Note that if only an EER rating is available, a conversion factor of SEER=1.1\*EER can be used

<sup>428</sup> Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

<sup>429</sup> Ibid.

<sup>430</sup> Estimated by converting the EER assumption using the conversion equation; EER<sub>base</sub> = (-0.02 \* SEER<sub>base</sub><sup>2</sup>) + (1.12 \* SEER). From Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

<sup>431</sup> If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

<sup>432</sup> Based on average of non-ENERGY STAR qualifying units on AHRI directory. See "AHRI download\_0426201.xls" for details.

<sup>433</sup> Factor used by Cadmus, and supported by findings in Cadmus "Ductless Mini-Split Heat Pump Impact Evaluation", December 30, 2016.

**For example**, installing a 1.5-ton (heating and cooling capacity) ductless heat pump unit rated at 10 HSPF and 18 SEER in a single-family home in unknown location to displace electric baseboard heat load and replace a window air conditioner, savings are:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh_{\text{heat}} &= ((18000 * 2272 * (1/3.41 - 1/10)) / 1000) * 0.25 &= 1975.8 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta kWh_{\text{cool}} &= ((18000 * 292 * (1/8 - 1/18)) / 1000) * 0.25 &= 91.3 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta kWh &= 1975.8 + 91.3 &= 2,067 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{\text{Capacity}_{\text{Cool}} * \left( \frac{1}{\text{EER}_{\text{exist}}} - \frac{1}{\text{EER}_{\text{ee}}} \right) * CF}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

$\text{EER}_{\text{exist}}$  = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)  
= Use actual EER rating, otherwise:

Existing Cooling System	EER <sub>exist</sub>
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15 <sup>435</sup>
Room AC	7.7 <sup>436</sup>
No central cooling <sup>437</sup>	Set '1/EER <sub>exist</sub> ' = 0
For new space conditioning, assume baseline ductless heat pump	10.0 <sup>438</sup>

$\text{EER}_{\text{ee}}$  = Energy Efficiency Ratio of new ductless Air Source Heat Pump (kBtu/hr / kW)  
= Actual, If not provided convert SEER to EER using this formula:

$$\text{EER} = (-0.02 * \text{SEER}^2) + (1.12 * \text{SEER})$$

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
For supplemental or limited zonal cooling = 43.1%<sup>439</sup>  
For whole house cooling = 72%<sup>440</sup>

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Note this measure does not describe savings from displacement of gas heating. In such circumstances a custom

<sup>434</sup> Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 program. The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

<sup>435</sup> Ibid.

<sup>436</sup> Based on Nexus Market Research Inc, RLW Analytics, December 2005; "Impact, Process, and Market Study of the Connecticut Appliance Retirement Program: Overall Report."

<sup>437</sup> If there is no central cooling in place but the incentive encourages installation of a new ASHP with cooling, the added cooling load should be subtracted from any heating benefit.

<sup>438</sup> Based on average of non-ENERGY STAR qualifying units on AHRI directory. See "AHRI download\_0426201.xls" for details.

<sup>439</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

<sup>440</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

calculation should be performed.

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-DSHP-V03-200101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2024**

## 2.4.8 Energy Recovery Ventilator

### DESCRIPTION

An energy recovery ventilator saves energy in a home ventilation system by preconditioning incoming air with heated or cooled exhaust air before it is ventilated outside. An ERV is capable of transferring both sensible and latent heat loads. This measure includes the addition of energy recovery equipment on the HVAC system of a newly constructed home. This measure analyzes the heating and cooling savings potential from recovering energy from exhaust air.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: NC.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is a mechanical ventilation system outfitted with an energy recovery ventilator.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a mechanical ventilation system without energy recovery capabilities.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for the domestic energy recovery equipment is 15 years.<sup>441</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual install cost (including labor) for this measure should be used, if unknown use \$2300.<sup>442</sup>

### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

There are no expected O&M savings associated with this measure, as compared to the O&M costs of a mechanical ventilation system.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

---

<sup>441</sup> Assumed service life limited by controls -" Demand Control Ventilation Using CO2 Sensors", pg. 19, by US Department of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

<sup>442</sup> The average of \$800 and \$1100, the costs associated with average and high efficiency ERVs as per the Minnesota Sustainable Housing Initiative <http://www.mnshi.umn.edu/kb/scale/hrverv.html> plus \$1350 (average of \$1200 and 1500) for installation labor costs.

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$  = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling load due to ERV recovery

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * \left( \frac{1}{SEER_{exist}} \right)}{1000} \right] * RF_{cool}$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * \left( \frac{1}{IEER_{exist}} \right)}{1000} \right] * RF_{cool}$$

Where:

$EFLH_{cool}$  = Equivalent Full load cooling hours

= Dependent on location:<sup>443</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>cool</sub> (Hours)	
	Single Family New	Manufactured New
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	508
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	259
Average/ unknown	484	449

$Capacity_{cool}$  = Cooling Capacity of equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

= Actual installed

$SEER_{exist}$  = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual installed

$IEER_{exist}$  = Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing unit (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual installed

1000 = Converts Btu to kWh

$RF_{cool}$  = Recovery factor, expressed as a percentage of total design load reduction for cooling

= 9%<sup>444</sup>

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$  = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to ERV recovery

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{heat} * Capacity_{heat} * \left( \frac{1}{HSPF_{exist}} \right)}{1000} \right] * RF_{heat}$$

<sup>443</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>444</sup> Based on modeling performed for the Minnesota Sustainable Housing Initiative. Results obtained using REM Rate 12.3 based on an 864sf Minnesota code base house, with wood siding, 15% window-to-floor area, window U-value 0.33 and SHGC 0.3, 80 AFUE furnace, and 10 EER air conditioning. Value is assumed to be reasonably applicable for a home in Iowa.

Where:

$EFLH_{Heat}$  = Equivalent Full load hours of heating  
= Dependent on location:<sup>445</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>Heat</sub> (Hours)	
	Single Family New	Manufactured New
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1922	1797
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2732	2554
Average/ unknown	2160	2019

Capacity<sub>Heat</sub> = Heating Capacity of equipment in (Btu/hr)  
= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

HSPF<sub>Exist</sub> = Heating System Performance Factor of existing heating system (kBtu/kWh)  
= Actual. Note: resistance heat will have an HSPF of 3.412<sup>446</sup>

1000 = Converts Btu to kWh

RF<sub>heat</sub> = Recovery factor, expressed as a percentage of total design load reduction for heating  
= 10%<sup>447</sup>

**For example**, an ERV installed in a new single family home in Mason City with 3 ton 16 SEER, 12.5 EER, 9 HSPF ducted air source heat pump.

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = ((279 * 36,000 * (1/16))/1000) * 0.09$$

$$= 56.5 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = ((2732 * 36,000 * (1/9))/1000) * 0.10$$

$$= 1092.8 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

$$= 56.5 + 1092.8$$

$$= 1149.3 \text{ kWh}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{EFLH_{cool}} * CF$$

Where:

<sup>445</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>447</sup> Based on modeling performed for the Minnesota Sustainable Housing Initiative. Results obtained using REM Rate 12.3 based on an 864sf Minnesota code base house, with wood siding, 15% window-to-floor area, window U-value 0.33 and SHGC 0.3, 80 AFUE furnace, and 10 EER air conditioning. Value is assumed to be reasonably applicable for a home in Iowa.

<sup>447</sup> Based on modeling performed for the Minnesota Sustainable Housing Initiative. Results obtained using REM Rate 12.3 based on an 864sf Minnesota code base house, with wood siding, 15% window-to-floor area, window U-value 0.33 and SHGC 0.3, 80 AFUE furnace, and 10 EER air conditioning. Value is assumed to be reasonably applicable for a home in Iowa.

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
= 68% if central AC, 72% if ducted ASHP<sup>448</sup>

Other factors as defined above.

**For example**, an ERV installed in a new single family home in Mason City with 3 ton 16 SEER, 12.5 EER, 9 HSPF ducted air source heat pump.

$$\Delta kW = 56.5/279 * 0.68$$

$$= 0.1377 \text{ kW}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$\Delta$ Therms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{EFLH_{GasHeat} * Capacity_{Heat}}{\eta_{Heat} * 100,000} * RF_{heat}$$

Where:

$EFLH_{GasHeat}$  = Equivalent Full load heating hours  
= Dependent on location:<sup>449</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

$\eta_{Heat}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
= Actual<sup>450</sup>

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above.

**For example**, an ERV installed in a new single family home in Mason City with 90,000Btu, 95% AFUE gas furnace.

$$\Delta Therms = ((1090 * 90,000) / (0.95 * 100,000)) * 0.10$$

$$= 103.3 \text{ Therms}$$

<sup>448</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

<sup>449</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the units capacity. See "Res Furnace EFLH Findings\_30April2018.ppt" for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>450</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test.

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{Therms}$  = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>451</sup>

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, an ERV installed in a new single family home in Mason City with 90,000Btu, 95% AFUE gas furnace.

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = 103.3 * 0.016525$$

$$= 1.707 \text{ Therms}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ERVE-V04-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026**

---

<sup>451</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.



## 2.4.9 Gas Fireplace

### DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the energy savings from the installation of a new gas fireplace with a 70% AFUE.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, RF, NC.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criterion for this measure is a heat rated gas fireplace with 70%+ AFUE, intermittent ignition, and thermostatic control with blower.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline equipment is a gas fireplace with <64% AFUE.<sup>452</sup>

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life of a gas fireplace is assumed to be 20 years.<sup>453</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

For retrofits, actual material and labor costs should be used. For time of sale and new construction, actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application. If actual costs are unknown, the incremental equipment cost of this measure is \$244 and the incremental installation cost is \$18. Total incremental cost is \$262.<sup>454</sup>

### LOADSHAPE

N/A

### COINCIDENCE FACTOR

N/A

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

#### NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \text{Capacity}_{\text{output}} * \left( \frac{1}{\text{eff}_b} - \frac{1}{\text{eff}_e} \right) * \text{Hours of Use} * 0.01$$

Where:

$$\text{Capacity}_{\text{output}} = \text{Output Capacity in kBTU}$$

<sup>452</sup> "Direct Heating Equipment: Market Technology and Characterization," *Consortium for Energy Efficiency*, January, 2011.

<sup>453</sup> *InterNACHI's Standard Estimated Life Expectancy Chart for Homes*. International Association of Certified Home Inspectors. <https://www.nachi.org/life-expectancy.htm>. Accessed January 21, 2016.

<sup>454</sup> Incremental costs developed through linear extrapolation from incremental costs provided in "Direct Heating Equipment: Market and Technology Characterization," *Consortium for Energy Efficiency*, January 2011. Tables 5 and 6.

	= Actual, if unknown assume 37kBtu
$eff_b$	= Efficiency of baseline equipment
	= 64%
$eff_e$	= Efficiency of new unit
	= Actual, if unknown assume 70%
<i>Hours of Use</i>	= 135 <sup>455</sup>
0.01	= Conversion factor kBtu to Therms

Using default assumptions, deemed savings is:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = 37 * (1/0.64 - 1/0.70) * 135 * 0.01$$

$$= 6.7 \text{ Therms}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{Therms}$	= Therm impact calculated above
GCF	= Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating <sup>456</sup>
	= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

Using default assumptions, deemed savings is:

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = 6.7 * 0.016525$$

$$= 0.1107 \text{ Therms}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GASF-V02-180101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

---

<sup>455</sup> This value was calculated using the data available on the website that a typical fireplace is used 52 times a year and with an average usage time of 2.6 hours. <https://www.hpba.org/Resources/PressRoom/ID/79/2011-State-of-the-Hearth-Industry-Report>

<sup>456</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

## 2.4.10 Whole House Fan

### DESCRIPTION

A whole house fan can be a simple and inexpensive method of cooling a house. During shoulder seasons, it is possible to reduce or even eliminate the need for air conditioning by operating the fans during periods when outside air is cooler than that inside a home. The fan draws cool outdoor air inside through open windows and exhausts hot indoor air through the attic to the outside. As temperatures rise during the daytime, the fan is turned off and windows are shut to allow the home to “coast” through the hottest part of the day, reducing or eliminating the need for supplemental air conditioning.

The use of timers or thermostatic controls is highly recommended to safeguard against situations that could result in increased energy consumption. For example, prolonged operation of the fan, long after the temperature inside the house has been equalized to temperatures outside could potentially create a situation where more energy is used than would have been by an air conditioning unit.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, NC, TOS

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is a home equipped with a whole house fan. A whole house fan is distinct from an exhaust fan, which may be intended to ventilate specific areas of a home. Whole house fans are installed in the attic and sized to provide 30 to 60 air changes per hour throughout the entire home.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a home without a whole house fan that operates an air conditioner during shoulder seasons and periods.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.<sup>457</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

For all project types, full installation costs should be used for screening purposes.

### LOADSHAPE

RE11: Residential Single Family Vent.

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electric energy savings are deemed based on building type and vintage.<sup>458</sup>

Building Type	Vintage	Annual Energy Savings kWh
Manufactured	Existing	284
Manufactured	New	155
Single Family	Existing	343

<sup>457</sup> Conservative estimate based upon GDS Associates Measure Life Report “Residential and C&I Lighting and HVAC measures” 25 years for whole-house fans, and 19 for thermostatically-controlled attic fans.

<sup>458</sup> Inferred from the 2011 Assessment of Potential [IPL], deemed based on 15% savings of CAC/ASHP system from shoulder periods. These values should be reevaluated if there is significant uptake in this measure.

Building Type	Vintage	Annual Energy Savings kWh
Single Family	New	197

**SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS**

There are no coincident peak demand savings expected for this measure.

**NATURAL GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-WHF-V02-190101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

## 2.4.11 Central Air Source Heat Pump Tune-Up

### DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a central Air Source Heat Pump (ASHP). The tune-up will improve heat pump performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the heat pump for correct and efficient operation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure refers to a tune up of a Central ASHP and should include a thorough cleaning, the measurement of refrigerant charge levels and airflow, correction of any problems found and post-treatment re-measurement.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a residential heat pump ( $\leq 65,000$  Btu/hr) that has not been serviced for at least 3 years.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for the tune up is assumed to be 3 years.<sup>459</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

---

## Algorithms

---

### CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * RatedCapacity_{cool} * \left( \frac{SF_{cool}}{RatedSEER} \right)}{1000} \right] + \left[ \frac{EFLH_{heat} * RatedCapacity_{heat} * \left( \frac{SF_{heat}}{RatedHSPF} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

$EFLH_{cool}$  = Equivalent Full load hours of air conditioning  
 = Dependent on location:<sup>460</sup>

<sup>459</sup> Based on DEER 2014 EUL Table for “Clean Condenser Coils – Residential” and “Refrigerant Charge – Residential”.

<sup>460</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>cool</sub> (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

RatedCapacity<sub>Cool</sub> = Rated Cooling Capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

SF<sub>cool</sub> = Cooling Savings Factor for ASHP tune-ups

=7.5%<sup>461</sup>

RatedSEER = Rated Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual. If unknown assume 10 SEER<sup>462</sup>

SF<sub>heat</sub> = Heating Savings Factor for ASHP tune-ups

=2.3%<sup>463</sup>

EFLH<sub>Heat</sub> = Equivalent Full load hours of heating

= Dependent on location:<sup>464</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>Heat</sub> (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2022	1643	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2874	2335	3037
Average/ unknown	2272	1846	2401

RatedCapacity<sub>Heat</sub> = Rated Heating Capacity of Air Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

RatedHSPF = Rated Heating System Performance Factor of existing heating system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual. If unknown assume 6.8 HSPF<sup>465</sup>

were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from National Climatic Data Center, NCDC).

<sup>461</sup> Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

<sup>462</sup> Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 10 SEER is a VEIC estimate of existing unit efficiency, based on minimum federal standard between the years of 1992 and 2006.

<sup>463</sup> Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

<sup>464</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>465</sup> Use actual HSPF rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 6.8 HSPF is a VEIC estimate based on minimum Federal Standard between 1992 and 2006.

**For example**, for a two ton air source heat pump with rated efficiency of 14 SEER, 12 EER, 9 HSPF undergoing a tune-up in an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= ((811 * 24,000 * 0.075/14)/1000) + ((2,272 * 24,000 * 0.023/9)/1000) \\ &= 104.3 + 139.3 \\ &= 244 kWh\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{RatedCapacity_{cool} * \left( \frac{SF_{cool}}{RatedEER} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

**RatedEER** = Rated Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)  
 = Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available, convert using the equation:  
 $EER = (-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$   
 If using default SEER, EER estimate should be 9.2EER.

**CF** = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
 = 72%<sup>466</sup>

**For example**, for a two ton, 14 SEER, 12 EER, 9 HSPF air source heat pump undergoing a tune-up in an existing single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= (24,000 * 0.075/12)/1000 * 72\% \\ &= 0.108 kW\end{aligned}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ATUN-V04-210101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

<sup>466</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

## 2.4.12 Central Air Conditioner Tune-Up

### DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a Central Air Conditioner. The tune-up will improve performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the system for correct and efficient operation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure refers to a tune up of a Central Air Conditioner and should include a thorough cleaning, the measurement of refrigerant charge levels and airflow, correction of any problems found and post-treatment re-measurement.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a central air conditioner with a capacity up to 135,000 Btu/hr that has not been serviced for at least 3 years.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for the tune-up is assumed to be 3 years.<sup>467</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE02 – Residential Multifamily Cooling

---

<sup>467</sup> Based on DEER 2014 EUL Table for “Clean Condenser Coils – Residential” and “Refrigerant Charge – Residential”.



## Algorithms

### CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

For units with cooling capacities less than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * RatedCapacity_{cool} * \left( \frac{SF_{cool}}{RatedSEER} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

For units with cooling capacities equal to or greater than 65 kBtu/hr:

$$\Delta kWh = \left[ \frac{EFLH_{cool} * RatedCapacity_{cool} * \left( \frac{SF_{cool}}{RatedIEER} \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

$EFLH_{cool}$  = Equivalent Full load hours of air conditioning  
= Dependent on location:<sup>468</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>cool</sub> (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

$RatedCapacity_{cool}$  = Rated Cooling Capacity (Btu/hr)

= Actual (where 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)

$SF_{cool}$  = Cooling Savings Factor for CAC tune-ups

=7.5%<sup>469</sup>

$RatedSEER$  = Rated Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual. If unknown assume 10 SEER<sup>470</sup>

$RatedIEER$  = Rated Integrated Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual

<sup>468</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from National Climatic Data Center, NCDC).

<sup>469</sup> Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

<sup>470</sup> Use actual SEER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. Unknown default of 10 SEER is a VEIC estimate of existing unit efficiency, based on minimum federal standard between the years of 1992 and 2006.

**For example**, for a three ton CAC unit with rated efficiency 15 SEER, 12 EER undergoing a tune-up in a single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= (811 * 36,000 * 0.075/15)/ 1,000 \\ &= 146 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{\text{RatedCapacity}_{cool} * \left( \frac{SF_{cool}}{\text{RatedEER}} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

- EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)  
 = Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available, convert using the equation:  
 $EER = (-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$   
 If using default SEER, EER estimate should be 9.2EER.
- CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
 = 68%<sup>471</sup>

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-CTUN-V04-210101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

<sup>471</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'..

## 2.4.13 Boiler Tune-up

### DESCRIPTION

This measure is for a residential boiler that provides space heating. The tune-up will improve boiler efficiency by cleaning and/or inspecting burners, combustion chamber, and burner nozzles. Components of tune-up: adjust air flow and reduce excessive stack temperatures; adjust burner and gas input; check venting, safety controls, and adequacy of combustion air intake. Combustion efficiency should be measured before and after tune-up using an electronic flue gas analyzer.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The recommended tune-up requirements are listed below. It is recommended that utility programs require that technicians performing the work are appropriately certified.

- Measure combustion efficiency using an electronic flue gas analyzer.
- Adjust airflow and reduce excessive stack temperatures.
- Adjust burner and gas input, manual or motorized draft control.
- Check for proper venting.
- Complete visual inspection of system piping and insulation.
- Check safety controls.
- Check adequacy of combustion air intake.
- Clean fireside surfaces.
- Inspect all refractory. Patch and wash coat as required.
- Inspect gaskets on front and rear doors and replace as necessary.
- Seal and close front and rear doors properly.
- Clean low and auxiliary low water cut-off controls, then re-install using new gaskets.
- Clean plugs in control piping.
- Remove all hand hole and man hole plates. Flush boiler with water to remove loose scale and sediment.
- Replace all hand hole and man hole plates with new gaskets.
- Open feedwater tank manway, inspect and clean as required. Replace manway plate with new gasket.
- Clean burner and burner pilot.
- Check pilot electrode and adjust or replace.
- Clean air damper and blower assembly.
- Clean motor starter contacts and check operation.
- Make necessary adjustments to burner for proper combustion.
- Perform all flame safeguard and safety trip checks.
- Check all hand hole plates and man hole plates for leaks at normal operating temperatures and pressures.
- Troubleshoot any boiler system problems as requested by on-site personnel.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition of this measure is a boiler that has not had a tune-up within the past 12 months

#### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The life of this measure is 1 year.

#### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The cost of this measure is the actual tune-up cost.

#### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

#### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

##### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

N/A

##### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

##### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{Capacity * EFLH * \left( \frac{Eff_{before} + E_i}{Eff_{before}} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Where:

Capacity = Boiler gas input size (Btu/hr)  
 = Actual  
 EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating  
 = Dependent on location:<sup>472</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

Eff<sub>before</sub> = Combustion efficiency of the boiler before the tune-up<sup>473</sup>

<sup>472</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the units capacity. See "Res Furnace EFLH Findings\_30April2018.ppt" for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>473</sup> The percentage improvement in combustion efficiency is deemed a reasonable proxy for the system improvement. If a full thermal efficiency test is performed instead, that should be used.

	= Actual
Ei	= Combustion efficiency Improvement of the boiler tune-up measure
	= Actual
100,000	= Converts Btu to therms

**For example**, for a 100 kBtu boiler in an unknown location single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune-up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune-up:

$$\Delta \text{therms} = (100,000 * 991 * (((0.82 + 0.018) / 0.82) - 1)) / 100,000$$

$$= 21.8 \text{ therms}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{Therms}$	= Therm impact calculated above
GCF	= Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating <sup>474</sup>
	= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

**For example**, for a 100 kBtu boiler in an unknown location single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune up:

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = 21.8 * 0.014378$$

$$= 0.3134 \text{ therms}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-BLRT-V02-190101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

<sup>474</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

## 2.4.14 Furnace Tune-Up

### DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a natural gas Residential furnace. The tune-up will improve furnace performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the furnace and appurtenances for correct and efficient operation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The recommended tune-up requirements are listed below. It is recommended that utility programs require that technicians performing the work are appropriately certified.

- Measure combustion efficiency using an electronic flue gas analyzer.
- Check and clean blower assembly and components per manufacturer's recommendations.
- Where applicable, lubricate motor and inspect and replace fan belt if required.
- Inspect for gas leaks.
- Clean burner per manufacturer's recommendations and adjust as needed.
- Check ignition system and safety systems and clean and adjust as needed.
- Check and clean heat exchanger per manufacturer's recommendations.
- Inspect exhaust/flue for proper attachment and operation.
- Inspect control box, wiring, and controls for proper connections and performance.
- Check air filter and clean or replace per manufacturer's recommendations.
- Inspect duct work connected to furnace for leaks or blockages.
- Measure temperature rise and adjust flow as needed.
- Check for correct line and load volts/amps.
- Check that thermostat operation is per manufacturer's recommendations (if adjustments are made, refer to 'Residential Programmable Thermostat' measure for savings estimate).
- Perform Carbon Monoxide test and adjust heating system until results are within standard industry acceptable limits.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline for tune-up is a furnace assumed not to have had a tune-up in the past 2 years.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life of a tune-up is 2 years.<sup>475</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

---

<sup>475</sup> Based on VEIC professional judgment.

## Algorithms

### CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$  = as calculated below  
 $F_e$  = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption  
 = 3.14%<sup>476</sup>  
 29.3 = kWh per therm

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{CAPInput * EFLH * \left( \frac{Effbefore + Ei}{Effbefore} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Where:

$CAPInput$  = Gas Furnace input capacity (Btuh)  
 = Actual rated capacity  
 $EFLH$  = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating  
 = Dependent on location:<sup>477</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

$Effbefore$  = Combustion Efficiency of the furnace before the tune-up

<sup>476</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBtu/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

<sup>477</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the units capacity. See "Res Furnace EFLH Findings\_30April2018.ppt" for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

	= Actual
EI	= Combustion Efficiency Improvement of the furnace tune-up measure <sup>478</sup>
	= Actual
100,000	= Converts Btu to therms

**For example**, for a 100 kBtu furnace in an unknown location single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune-up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune-up:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= (100,000 * 991 * (((0.82 + 0.018) / 0.82) - 1)) / 100,000 \\ &= 21.8 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

$\Delta\text{Therms}$	= Therm impact calculated above
GCF	= Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating <sup>479</sup>
	= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, for a 100 kBtu furnace in an unknown location single family house that records an efficiency prior to tune-up of 82% AFUE and has a 1.8% improvement in efficiency after tune-up:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 21.8 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.3602 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-FTUN-V04-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

<sup>478</sup> The percentage improvement in combustion efficiency is deemed a reasonable proxy for the system improvement. If a full thermal efficiency test is performed instead, that should be used.

<sup>479</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.



## 2.4.15 Geothermal Source Heat Pump Tune-Up

### DESCRIPTION

This measure is for the tune-up of a Geothermal Source Heat Pump (GSHP). The tune-up will improve heat pump performance by inspecting, cleaning, and adjusting the heat pump for correct and efficient operation.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

This measure refers to a tune up of a GSHP and should include a thorough cleaning, the measurement of refrigerant charge levels and airflow, correction of any problems found and post-treatment re-measurement.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is a residential GSHP that has not been serviced for at least 3 years.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life for the tune up is assumed to be 3 years.<sup>480</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure should be the actual cost of tune-up.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

---

<sup>480</sup> Based on DEER 2014 EUL Table for “Clean Condenser Coils – Residential” and “Refrigerant Charge – Residential”.

## Algorithms

### CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = [Cooling\ savings] + [Heating\ savings]$$

$$= \left[ \frac{EFLH_{Cool} * Capacity_{Cool} * \left( PLF_{Cool} * \left( \frac{SF_{Cool}}{EER_{PL}} \right) + FLF_{Cool} * \left( \frac{SF_{Cool}}{EER_{FL}} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{EFLH_{Heat} * Capacity_{Heat} * \left( PLF_{Heat} * \left( \frac{SF_{Heat}}{(COP_{PL} * 3.412)} \right) + FLF_{Heat} * \left( \frac{SF_{Heat}}{(COP_{FL} * 3.412)} \right) \right)}{1000} \right]$$

Where:

EFLH<sub>Cool</sub> = Full load cooling hours  
= Dependent on location<sup>481</sup>:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>cool</sub> (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

Capacity<sub>Cool</sub> = Cooling capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)  
= Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)

PLF<sub>Cool</sub> = Part load cooling mode operation  
= 0.85<sup>482</sup> if variable speed GSHP  
= 0 if single/constant speed GSHP

SF<sub>cool</sub> = Cooling Savings Factor for GSHP tune-ups  
= 7.5%<sup>483</sup>

FLF<sub>Cool</sub> = Equivalent full load cooling mode operation factor  
= 0.15 if variable speed GSHP  
= 1 if single/constant speed GSHP

EER<sub>PL</sub> = Part load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of efficient GSHP unit  
= Actual installed

<sup>481</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>482</sup> Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BEopt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.

<sup>483</sup> Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

$EER_{FL}$  = Full load Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of ENERGY STAR GSHP unit  
 = Actual installed

$EFLH_{Heat}$  = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating  
 = Dependent on location<sup>484</sup>:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{Heat}$ (Hours)		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2022	1643	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2874	2335	3037
Average/ unknown	2272	1846	2401

$Capacity_{Heat}$  = Full load heating capacity of Geothermal Source Heat Pump (Btu/hr)  
 = Actual (1 ton = 12,000 Btu/hr)

$PLF_{Heat}$  = Part load heating mode operation  
 = 0.5<sup>485</sup> if variable speed GSHP  
 = 0 if single/constant speed GSHP

$FLF_{Heat}$  = Full load heating mode operation factor  
 = 0.5 if variable speed GSHP  
 = 1 if single/constant speed GSHP

$SF_{heat}$  = Heating Savings Factor for ASHP tune-ups  
 = 2.3%<sup>486</sup>

$COP_{PL}$  = Part load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit  
 = Actual Installed

$COP_{FL}$  = Full load Coefficient of Performance of efficient unit  
 = Actual Installed

3.412 = Constant to convert the COP of the unit to the Heating Season Performance Factor (HSPF)

**For example,** for a 3 ton, variable speed GSHP unit with 20 Part Load EER, 18 Full Load EER and 4.4 Part Load COP, 3.4 Full Load COP undergoing a tune-up in an existing, single family home in unknown location:

$$\Delta kWh = (811 * 36,000 * (0.85 * (7.5\%/20) + 0.15 * (7.5\%/18)) / 1,000) + (2,272 * 36,000 * (0.5 * (2.3\%/4.4 * 3.412) + 0.5 * (2.3\%/3.4 * 3.412)) / 1,000)$$

$$= 255.0 \text{ kWh}$$

<sup>484</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>485</sup> Based on Cadmus analysis of the relationship between part- and full-load capacities from building simulations of BEopt (Building Energy Optimization) to generate the energy models. The models were calibrated using Cadmus metered data of 13 high efficiency multi-stage GSHP models functioning in both part- and full-loads.

<sup>486</sup> Calculated based on Cadmus report: Savings percent for a refrigerant charged AC unit, Bin Analysis, Energy Savings Impact of Improving the Installation of Residential Central Air Conditioners, 2005

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \left[ \frac{Capacity_{cool} * \left( \frac{SF_{cool}}{EER} \right)}{1000} \right] * CF$$

Where:

EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of existing cooling system (kBtuh/kW)  
 = Actual Installed  
 CF = Summer system peak Coincidence Factor for cooling  
 = 72%<sup>487</sup>

**For example**, for a 3 ton, variable speed GSHP unit with 20 Part Load EER, 18 Full Load EER and 4.4 Part Load COP, 3.4 Full Load COP undergoing a tune-up in an existing, single family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= (36,000 * (7.5\%/18)/1,000) * 72\% \\ &= 0.1080 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

While there are likely to be some O&M cost savings due to reduced service calls, increased equipment life, etc., these will only be realized with a regular maintenance schedule, which cannot be assumed for each individual tune-up measure. This benefit is therefore conservatively excluded.

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ASHP-TUN-V03-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

<sup>487</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from 24 heat pumps in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

## 2.4.16 Duct Sealing

### DESCRIPTION

This measure describes evaluating the savings associated with performing duct sealing using mastic sealant, aerosol, or UL-181 compliant duct sealing tape to the distribution system of homes with either Central Air Conditioner or a ducted heating system. While sealing ducts in conditioned space can help with control and comfort, energy savings are largely limited to sealing ducts in unconditioned space where the heat loss is to outside the thermal envelope. Therefore, for this measure to be applicable, at least 30% of ducts should be within unconditioned space (e.g., attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages. Basements should be considered conditioned space).

Three methodologies for estimating the savings associate from sealing the ducts are provided.

1. **Modified Blower Door Subtraction** – this technique is described in detail on p. 44 of the Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual; see reference file “Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual.” It involves performing a whole house depressurization test and repeating the test with the ducts excluded.
2. **Duct Blaster Testing** – as described in RESNET Test 803.7 (see SharePoint Reference Folder). This involves using a blower door to pressurize the house to 25 Pascals and pressurizing the duct system using a duct blaster to reach equilibrium with the inside. The air required to reach equilibrium provides a duct leakage estimate.
3. **Deemed Savings per Linear Foot** – this method provides a deemed conservative estimate of savings and should only be used where performance testing described above is not possible.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is sealed duct work throughout the unconditioned or semi-conditioned space in the home. A non-conditioned space is defined as a space outside of the thermal envelope of the building that is not intentionally heated for occupancy (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages). A semi-conditioned space is defined as a space within the thermal envelop that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated (e.g. unfinished basement).<sup>488</sup>

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing baseline condition is leaky duct work within the unconditioned or semi-conditioned space in the home.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The assumed lifetime of this measure is 20 years.<sup>489</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual duct sealing measure cost should be used.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

---

<sup>488</sup> Definition matches Regain factor discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012

<sup>489</sup> Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, June 2007.

### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

#### **Methodology 1: Modified Blower Door Subtraction**

Claiming Cooling savings from reduction in Air Conditioning Load:

- a. Determine Duct Leakage rate before and after performing duct sealing:

$$Duct\ Leakage\ (CFM50_{DL}) = (CFM50_{Whole\ House} - CFM50_{Envelope\ Only}) * SCF$$

Where:

CFM50<sub>Whole House</sub> = Standard Blower Door test result finding Cubic Feet per Minute at 50 Pascal pressure differential

CFM50<sub>Envelope Only</sub> = Blower Door test result finding Cubic Feet per Minute at 50 Pascal pressure differential with all supply and return registers sealed

SCF = Subtraction Correction Factor to account for underestimation of duct leakage due to connections between the duct system and the home. Determined by measuring pressure in duct system with registers sealed and using look up table provided by Energy Conservatory below:

House to Duct Pressure	Subtraction Correction Factor	House to Duct Pressure	Subtraction Correction Factor
50	1.00	30	2.23
49	1.09	29	2.32
48	1.14	28	2.42
47	1.19	27	2.52
46	1.24	26	2.64
45	1.29	25	2.76
44	1.34	24	2.89
43	1.39	23	3.03
42	1.44	22	3.18
41	1.49	21	3.35
40	1.54	20	3.54
39	1.60	19	3.74
38	1.65	18	3.97
37	1.71	17	4.23
36	1.78	16	4.51
35	1.84	15	4.83
34	1.91	14	5.20
33	1.98	13	5.63
32	2.06	12	6.12
31	2.14	11	6.71

- b. Calculate duct leakage reduction, convert to CFM25<sub>DL</sub><sup>490</sup>, and factor in Supply and Return Loss Factors:

$$\text{Duct Leakage Reduction } (\Delta \text{CFM25}_{DL}) = (\text{Pre CFM50}_{DL} - \text{Post CFM50}_{DL}) * 0.64 * (SLF + RLF)$$

Where:

0.64	= Converts CFM50 <sub>DL</sub> to CFM25 <sub>DL</sub> <sup>491</sup>
SLF	= Supply Loss Factor <sup>492</sup>
	= % leaks sealed located in Supply ducts * 1
	Default = 0.5 <sup>493</sup>
RLF	= Return Loss Factor <sup>494</sup>
	= % leaks sealed located in Return ducts * 0.5
	Default = 0.25 <sup>495</sup>

- c. Calculate Energy Savings:

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{Fan}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \frac{\frac{\Delta \text{CFM25}_{DL}}{(\text{CapacityCool} / 12000 * 400)} * \text{TRF}_{Cool} * \text{EFLH}_{cool} * \text{CapacityCool}}{1000 * \eta_{Cool}}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{Fan} = (\Delta \text{Therms} * Fe * 29.3)$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{CFM25}_{DL}$	= Duct leakage reduction in CFM25
CapacityCool	= Capacity of Air Cooling system (Btu/hr)
	= Actual
12,000	= Converts Btu/H capacity to tons
400	= Conversion of Capacity to CFM (400CFM / ton) <sup>496</sup>

$\text{TRF}_{Cool}$  = Thermal Regain Factor for cooling by space type. This accounts for the fact that not all cool air in semi-

<sup>490</sup> 25 Pascals is the standard assumption for typical pressures experienced in the duct system under normal operating conditions.

<sup>491</sup> To convert CFM50 to CFM25, multiply by 0.64 (inverse of the “Can’t Reach Fifty” factor for CFM25; see Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual).

<sup>492</sup> Assumes that for each percent of supply air loss there is one percent annual energy penalty. This assumes supply side leaks are direct losses to the outside and are not recaptured back to the house. This could be adjusted downward to reflect regain of usable energy to the house from duct leaks. For example, during the winter some of the energy lost from supply leaks in a crawlspace will probably be regained back to the house (sometimes 1/2 or more may be regained). More information provided in “Appendix E Estimating HVAC System Loss From Duct Airtightness Measurements” from Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual.

<sup>493</sup> Assumes 50% of leaks are in supply ducts.

<sup>494</sup> Assumes that for each percent of return air loss there is a half percent annual energy penalty. Note that this assumes that return leaks contribute less to energy losses than do supply leaks. This value could be adjusted upward if there was reason to suspect that the return leaks contribute significantly more energy loss than “average” (e.g., pulling return air from a super-heated attic), or can be adjusted downward to represent significantly less energy loss (e.g., pulling return air from a moderate temperature crawl space). More information provided in “Appendix E Estimating HVAC System Loss From Duct Airtightness Measurements” from Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual.

<sup>495</sup> Assumes 50% of leaks are in return ducts.

<sup>496</sup> This conversion is an industry rule of thumb; e.g., see reference file “61-Why 400 CFM per ton.”

conditioned spaces is lost to the atmosphere and will provide useful conditioning<sup>497</sup>.

= 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces

= 0.4 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces<sup>498</sup>

EFLHcool = Equivalent Full Load Cooling Hours

= Dependent on location:<sup>499</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLHcool (Hours)		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

$\eta_{Cool}$  = Efficiency in SEER of Air Conditioning equipment

= Actual – If not available, use:<sup>500</sup>

Equipment Type	Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate
Central AC	Before 2006	10
	After 2006	13
Heat Pump	Before 2006	10
	2006-2014	13
	2015 on	14

$\Delta$ Therms = Therm savings as calculated in Natural Gas Savings

$F_e$  = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%<sup>501</sup>

29.3 = kWh per therm

<sup>497</sup> Definition of unconditioned areas is a space outside the thermal envelope (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages) and semi-conditioned (defined as a space within the thermal envelope that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated e.g. unfinished basement).

<sup>498</sup> Thermal regain (i.e. the potential for conditioned air escaping from ducts not being lost to the atmosphere) for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

<sup>499</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>500</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

<sup>501</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBtu/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ .



**For example**, for duct sealing in unconditioned space in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace, and the following blower door test results:

Before: CFM<sub>50Whole House</sub> = 4800 CFM<sub>50</sub>

CFM<sub>50Envelope Only</sub> = 4500 CFM<sub>50</sub>

House to duct pressure of 45 Pascals. = 1.29 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

After: CFM<sub>50Whole House</sub> = 4600 CFM<sub>50</sub>

CFM<sub>50Envelope Only</sub> = 4500 CFM<sub>50</sub>

House to duct pressure of 43 Pascals = 1.39 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

Duct Leakage:

CFM<sub>50DL before</sub> = (4800 – 4500) \* 1.29

= 387 CFM

CFM<sub>50DL after</sub> = (4600 – 4500) \* 1.39

= 139 CFM

Duct Leakage reduction at CFM25:

$\Delta CFM_{25DL}$  = (387 – 139) \* 0.64 \* (0.5 + 0.25)

= 119 CFM<sub>25</sub>

Energy Savings:

$\Delta kWh$  = [((119 / ((36,000/12,000) \* 400)) \* 1 \* 918 \* 36,000) / (1000 \* 11)] + [51.6 \* 0.0314 \* 29.3]

= 297.9 + 47.5

Claiming Heating savings for homes with electric heat (Heat Pump):

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{\Delta CFM_{25DL}}{(CapacityHeat/12000 * 400)} * TRF_{Heat} * EFLHelectriceat * CapacityHeat$$

$$\eta_{Heat} * 3412$$

Where:

$\Delta CFM_{25DL}$  = Duct leakage reduction in CFM<sub>25</sub>

CapacityHeat = Heating output capacity (Btu/hr) of electric heat

= Actual

TRF<sub>heat</sub> = Thermal Regain Factor for heating by space type. This accounts for the fact that not all heat loss in semi-conditioned spaces is lost to the atmosphere and will provide useful conditioning<sup>502</sup>.

= 0.40 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces

= 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces<sup>503</sup>

<sup>502</sup> Definition of unconditioned areas is a space outside the thermal envelope (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages) and semi-conditioned (defined as a space within the thermal envelope that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated e.g. unfinished basement).

<sup>503</sup> Thermal regain (i.e. the potential for conditioned air escaping from ducts not being lost to the atmosphere) for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential

$EFLH_{electricheat}$  = Equivalent Full Load Heating Hours for ASHP

= Dependent on location:<sup>504</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{electricheat}$		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2022	1643	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2874	2335	3037
Average/ unknown	2272	1846	2401

$\eta_{Heat}$  = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual – If not available, use:<sup>505</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{Heat}$ (COP Estimate)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	2.00
	2006-2014	7.7	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.40

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

**For example**, for duct sealing in unconditioned space in a 36,000 Btu/H 2.5 COP heat pump heated single family house in Burlington with the blower door results in the example described above:

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = ((119 / ((36,000/12,000) * 1 * 400)) * 2022 * 36,000) / (2.5 * 3412)$$

$$= 846.3 \text{ kWh}$$

## Methodology 2: Duct Blaster Testing

Claiming Cooling savings from reduction in Air Conditioning Load:

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{Fan}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \frac{\frac{Pre\_CFM25 - Post\_CFM25}{CapacityCool/12000 * 400} * TRF_{Cool} * EFLH_{cool} * CapacityCool}{1000 * \eta_{Cool}}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{Fan} = (\Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3)$$

Where:

Pre\_CFM25 = Duct leakage in CFM25 as measured by duct blaster test before sealing

Post\_CFM25 = Duct leakage in CFM25 as measured by duct blaster test after sealing

All other variables as provided above

Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

<sup>504</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>505</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

**For example**, for duct sealing in a unconditioned space in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace, and the following duct blaster test results:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pre\_CFM25} &= 220 \text{ CFM25} \\ \text{Post\_CFM25} &= 80 \text{ CFM25} \\ \Delta \text{kWh} &= [(((220 - 80) / (36000/12000 * 400)) * 1 * 918 * 36000) / (1000 * 11)] + \\ &\quad [60.7 * 0.0314 * 29.3] \\ &= 350.5 + 55.8 \\ &= 406.3 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

Claiming Heating savings for homes with electric heat (Heat Pump):

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} = \frac{\frac{\text{Pre\_CFM25} - \text{Post\_CFM25}}{\text{CapacityCool}/12000 * 400} * \text{TRF}_{\text{Heat}} * \text{EFLHelectricheat} * \text{CapacityHeat}}{\eta_{\text{Heat}} * 3412}$$

Where:

All other variables as provided above

**For example**, for duct sealing in a unconditioned space in a 36,000 Btu/H 2.5 COP heat pump heated single family house in Burlington with the duct blaster results described in the example above:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} &= (((220 - 80) / (36000/12000 * 1 * 400)) * 2022 * 36000) / (2.5 * 3412) \\ &= 995.6 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

### Methodology 3: Deemed Savings<sup>506</sup>

Claiming Cooling savings from reduction in Air Conditioning Load:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{Fan}}$$

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} = \text{CoolSavingsPerUnit} * \text{Duct}_{\text{Length}}$$

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{Fan}} = (\Delta \text{Therms} * \text{Fe} * 29.3)$$

Where:

CoolSavingsPerUnit = Annual cooling savings per linear foot of duct

Building Type	HVAC System	CoolSavingsPerUnit (kWh/ft)	
		Unconditioned	Semi-conditioned
Manufactured	Cool Central	0.95	0.38
Multifamily	Cool Central	0.70	0.28
Single-family	Cool Central	0.81	0.32
Manufactured	Heat Pump—Cooling	0.95	0.38
Multifamily	Heat Pump—Cooling	0.70	0.28
Single-family	Heat Pump—Cooling	0.81	0.32

<sup>506</sup> Savings per unit are based upon analysis performed by Cadmus for the 2011 Joint Assessment of Potential. It was based on 10% savings in system efficiency (ENERGY STAR suggests savings potential of up to 20% on its website). This would represent savings from homes with significant duct work outside of the thermal envelope. With no performance testing or verification, a deemed savings value should be very conservative and therefore the values provided in this section represent half of the savings – or 5% improvement.

$Duct_{Length}$  = Total linear feet of duct within the home  
 = Actual. If unavailable a default of 142ft for single family, 92 ft for Multifamily or 100 ft for manufactured homes can be used.<sup>507</sup>

Claiming Heating savings for homes with electric heat (Heat Pump):

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = HeatSavingsPerUnit * Duct_{Length}$$

Where:

HeatSavingsPerUnit = Annual heating savings per linear foot of duct

Building Type	HVAC System	HeatSavingsPerUnit (kWh/ft)	
		Unconditioned	Semi-conditioned
Manufactured	Heat Pump—Cooling	5.06	2.02
Multifamily	Heat Pump—Cooling	3.41	1.36
Single-family	Heat Pump—Cooling	4.11	1.64

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{EFLH_{cool}} * CF$$

Where:

EFLH<sub>cool</sub> = Equivalent Full load cooling hours:  
 = Dependent on location:<sup>508</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>cool</sub>		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
 = 68% if central AC, 72% if ducted ASHP<sup>509</sup>

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

For homes with Natural Gas Heating:

##### **Methodology 1: Modified Blower Door Subtraction**

$$\Delta Therm = \frac{\frac{\Delta CFM_{25_{DL}}}{CapacityHeat * 0.0136} * TRF_{Heat} * EFLH_{gasheat} * CapacityHeat * \frac{\eta_{Equipment}}{\eta_{System}}}{100,000}$$

<sup>507</sup> Based upon Cadmus developed estimate using REMRate assumption of duct surface area to range from 10-15% of conditioned floor area, 6" and 8" duct diameter and square footage based on IUA 2011 Assessment of Potential.

<sup>508</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>509</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

Where:

- $\Delta CFM_{25_{DL}}$  = Duct leakage reduction in CFM<sub>25</sub>  
 = As calculated in Methodology 1 under electric savings
- CapacityHeat = Heating input capacity (Btu/hr)  
 = Actual
- 0.0136 = Conversion of Capacity to CFM ( $0.0136 CFM / Btu/hr$ )<sup>510</sup>
- EFLH<sub>gasheat</sub> = Equivalent Full load heating hours for Furnaces  
 = Dependent on location:<sup>511</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	915	1054	638	896	777	1079
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1302	1496	906	1272	1106	1533
Average/ unknown	1028	1183	718	1005	874	1212

- $\eta_{Equipment}$  = Heating Equipment Efficiency  
 = Actual<sup>512</sup> – If not available, use 87%<sup>513</sup>
- $\eta_{System}$  = Pre duct sealing Heating System Efficiency (Equipment Efficiency \* Pre Distribution Efficiency)<sup>514</sup>  
 = Actual – If not available use 74%<sup>515</sup>
- 100,000 = Converts Btu to therms

<sup>510</sup> Based on Natural Draft Furnaces requiring 100 CFM per 10,000 Btu, Induced Draft Furnaces requiring 130CFM per 10,000Btu and Condensing Furnaces requiring 150 CFM per 10,000 Btu (rule of thumb from [http://contractingbusiness.com/enewsletters/cb\\_imp\\_43580/](http://contractingbusiness.com/enewsletters/cb_imp_43580/)). Data provided by GAMA during the federal rule-making process for furnace efficiency standards, suggested that in 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Illinois were condensing units. Therefore a weighted average required airflow rate is calculated assuming a 50:50 split of natural v induced draft non-condensing furnaces, as 123 per 10,000Btu or 0.0136/Btu.

<sup>511</sup> To calculate the EFLH for an average home as opposed to one with a high efficiency that has been installed using HVAC SAVE, the EFLH developed by TetraTech (see Furnace measure for reference) are adjusted to account for a lower AFUE (85% v 95%) and to derate the AFUE by the factor used for a non-QI furnace. See 'Adjusting EFLH for 'average' home'.xls for more information..

<sup>512</sup> The Equipment Efficiency can be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test.

If there is more than one heating system, the weighted (by consumption) average efficiency should be used.

If the heating system or distribution is being upgraded within a package of measures together with the insulation upgrade, the new average heating system efficiency should be used.

<sup>513</sup> In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the state. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $(0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8) = 0.872$ .

<sup>514</sup> The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look-up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>515</sup> Estimated as follows:  $0.872 * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

**For example**, for duct sealing in a unconditioned space in a house in Burlington with an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H (input capacity) natural gas furnace and the following blower door test results:

Before: CFM50<sub>Whole House</sub> = 4800 CFM50

CFM50<sub>Envelope Only</sub> = 4500 CFM50

House to duct pressure of 45 Pascals = 1.29 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

After: CFM50<sub>Whole House</sub> = 4600 CFM50

CFM50<sub>Envelope Only</sub> = 4500 CFM50

House to duct pressure of 43 Pascals = 1.39 SCF (Energy Conservatory look up table)

Duct Leakage:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CFM50}_{\text{DL before}} &= (4800 - 4500) * 1.29 \\ &= 387 \text{ CFM} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CFM50}_{\text{DL after}} &= (4600 - 4500) * 1.39 \\ &= 139 \text{ CFM} \end{aligned}$$

Duct Leakage reduction at CFM25:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{CFM25}_{\text{DL}} &= (387 - 139) * 0.64 * (0.5 + 0.25) \\ &= 119 \text{ CFM25} \end{aligned}$$

Energy Savings:

$$\text{Pre Distribution Efficiency} = 1 - (387/4800) = 92\%$$

$$\eta_{\text{System}} = 80\% * 92\% = 74\%$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{Therm} &= ((119 / (105,000 * 0.0136)) * 1 * 1054 * 105,000 * (0.8/0.74)) / 100,000 \\ &= 99.7 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

### Methodology 2: Duct Blaster Testing

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \frac{\text{Pre\_CFM25} - \text{Post\_CFM25}}{\text{CapacityHeat} * 0.0136} * \text{TRF}_{\text{Heat}} * \text{EFLH}_{\text{gasheat}} * \text{CapacityHeat} * \frac{\eta_{\text{Equipment}}}{\eta_{\text{System}}} * \frac{1}{100,000}$$

Where:

All variables as provided above

**For example**, for duct sealing in an unconditioned space in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace and the following duct blaster test results:

Pre\_CFM25 = 220 CFM25

Post\_CFM25 = 80 CFM25

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{Therms} &= (((220 - 80) / (105,000 * 0.0136)) * 1 * 1054 * 105,000 * (0.8/0.74)) / 100,000 \\ &= 117.3 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

### Methodology 3: Deemed Savings<sup>516</sup>

<sup>516</sup> Savings per unit are based upon analysis performed by Cadmus for the 2011 Joint Assessment of Potential. It was based on 10% savings in system efficiency (ENERGY STAR suggests savings potential of up to 20% on its website). This would represent savings from homes with significant duct work outside of the thermal envelope. With no performance testing or verification, a

$$\Delta Therms = HeatSavingsPerUnit * Duct_{Length}$$

Where:

HeatSavingsPerUnit = Annual heating savings per linear foot of duct

Building Type	HVAC System	HeatSavingsPerUnit (Therms/ft)	
Manufactured	Heat Central Furnace	0.26	0.10
Multifamily	Heat Central Furnace	0.19	0.076
Single-family	Heat Central Furnace	0.21	0.084

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$  = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>517</sup>

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, for duct sealing in unconditioned space in a single family house in Burlington with a 36,000 Btu/H, SEER 11 Central Air Conditioner, an 80% AFUE, 105,000 Btu/H natural gas furnace, and the following duct blaster test results:

Pre_CFM25	= 220 CFM25
Post_CFM25	= 80 CFM25
$\Delta PeakTherms$	= 117.3 * 0.016525
	= 1.94 therms

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-DINS-V04-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

deemed savings value should be very conservative and therefore the values provided in this section represent half of the savings – or 5% improvement.

<sup>517</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

## 2.4.17 Programmable Thermostats

### DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the household energy savings from the installation of a new standard Programmable Thermostat for reduced heating energy consumption through temperature set-back during unoccupied or reduced demand times. Because a literature review was not conclusive in providing a defensible source of prescriptive cooling savings from standard programmable thermostats, cooling savings are assumed to be zero for this version of the measure.

Energy savings are applicable at the household level; all thermostats controlling household heat should be programmable and installation of multiple programmable thermostats per home does not accrue additional savings.

If the home has a Heat Pump, a programmable thermostat specifically designed for heat pumps should be used to minimize the use of backup electric resistance heat systems.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, DI, TOS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criteria for this measure are established by replacement of a manual-only temperature control with one that has the capability to adjust temperature setpoints according to a schedule without manual intervention.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For new thermostats the baseline is a non-programmable thermostat requiring manual intervention to change temperature set point.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life of a programmable thermostat is assumed to be 8 years.<sup>518</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

Actual material and labor costs should be used if the implementation method allows. If unknown (e.g., through a retail program), the capital cost for the new installation is assumed to be \$70.<sup>519</sup>

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

---

<sup>518</sup> 8 years is based upon ASHRAE Applications (2003), Section 36, Table 3 estimate of 16 years for the equipment life, reduced by 50% to account for persistence issues.

<sup>519</sup> Market prices vary significantly in this category, generally increasing with thermostat capability and sophistication. The basic functions required by this measure's eligibility criteria are available on units readily available in the market for \$30. Labor is assumed to be one hour at \$40 per hour.



### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh^{520} = (\%ElectricHeat * Elec_{HeatingConsumption} * \%Controlled * Heating_{Reduction} * Eff_{ISR}) + (\Delta Therms * Fe * 29.3)$$

Where:

%ElectricHeat = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be electric

Heating fuel	%ElectricHeat
Controllable Electric Heat (i.e., ducted ASHP or GSHP)	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	6% <sup>521</sup>

Elec\_Heating\_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for electrically heated homes.<sup>522</sup> If location and heating type is unknown, assume 10,599 kWh.<sup>523</sup>

		Elec_Heating_Consumption (kWh) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
Heating System <sup>524</sup>	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown
Air-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	9,031	12,838	10,148
	Multifamily	5,576	7,927	6,266
	Single-family	10,396	14,778	11,682
Ground-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	5,247	7,459	5,896
	Multifamily	3,234	4,597	3,634
	Single-family	6,029	8,571	6,775

%Controlled = Assumed percentage of household heating consumption that is controlled by the thermostat

= If single zone, assume 100%

= If single zone thermostat in multi zone home, assume 1 / # zones

<sup>520</sup> Note the second part of the algorithm relates to furnace fan savings if the heating system is Natural Gas.

<sup>521</sup> Average (default) value of 6% electric ducted heat pump space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa (note advanced thermostats are unlikely to be applied to resistance heating or ductless heat pumps). 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Data was not used as it does not have the geographical specificity that is provided in 2009. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

<sup>522</sup> Based on Cadmus modeling performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment.

<sup>523</sup> Assumes Air Source Heat Pump consumption values and 80% Single Family and 20% Multi Family, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls". 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Data was not used as it does not have the geographical specificity that is provided in 2009.

<sup>524</sup> If the home has a Heat Pump, a programmable thermostat specifically designed for heat pumps should be used to minimize the use of backup electric resistance heat systems.

= If multi zone thermostat, assume 100%

= If unknown, assume 93%<sup>525</sup>

Heating\_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in total household heating energy consumption due to programmable thermostat

= 6.2%<sup>526</sup>

Eff\_ISR = Effective In-Service Rate, the percentage of thermostats installed and programmed effectively

Program Delivery	Eff_ISR
Direct Install	100%
Other, or unknown	56% <sup>527</sup>

ΔTherms = Therm savings if Natural Gas heating system

= See calculation in Natural Gas section below

F<sub>e</sub> = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%<sup>528</sup>

29.3 = kWh per therm

Based on defaults provided above.<sup>529</sup>

Heating Fuel	Heating System	Building Type	ΔkWh					
			by Climate Zone (city based upon)					
			Direct Install <sup>530</sup>			Other Programs		
			Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/unknown	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/unknown
Electrically Heated Home	Air-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	559.9	795.9	629.2	291.6	414.5	327.7
		Multifamily	345.7	491.5	388.5	180.1	256.0	202.3
		Single-family	644.6	916.3	724.3	335.7	477.2	377.2
	Ground-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	325.3	462.4	365.6	169.4	240.8	190.4
		Multifamily	200.5	285.0	225.3	104.4	148.4	117.3
		Single-family	373.8	531.4	420.1	194.7	276.7	218.8
Gas Heated	Furnace	Manufactured	26.7	37.9	29.9	13.9	19.7	15.6

<sup>525</sup> RECS Table HC6.9 Space Heating in U.S. Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009, indicates that 14% of homes have two or more thermostats in the region. If it is unknown the total heat consumption per thermostat is reduced by 7%, assuming that the 14% are controlling 50% of the homes total consumption. 2015 Residential Energy Consumption Data was not used as it does not have the geographical specificity that is provided in 2009.

<sup>526</sup> The savings from programmable thermostats are highly susceptible to many factors best addressed, so far for this category, by a study that controlled for the most significant issues with a very large sample size; RLW Analytics, 2007 “Validating the Impact of Programmable Thermostats”, 2007. To the extent that the treatment group is representative of the program participants for IA, this value is suitable. Higher and lower values would be justified based upon clear dissimilarities due to program and product attributes. Future evaluation work should assess program specific impacts associated with penetration rates, baseline levels, persistence, and other factors which this value represents.

<sup>527</sup> “Programmable Thermostats. Report to KeySpan Energy Delivery on Energy Savings and Cost Effectiveness,” GDS Associates, Marietta, GA. 2002GDS

<sup>528</sup> F<sub>e</sub> is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (Ef in MMBtu/yr) and Eae (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2% F<sub>e</sub>.

See “Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx” for reference.

<sup>529</sup> See “Programmable Thermostat Savings\_03252019.xls” for calculation detail.

<sup>530</sup> Assumes single zone. If not – adjust accordingly.

			ΔkWh by Climate Zone (city based upon)					
			Direct Install <sup>530</sup>			Other Programs		
Heating Fuel	Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown
Home		Multifamily	17.7	25.1	19.9	9.2	13.1	10.3
		Single-family	30.6	43.5	34.4	15.9	22.7	17.9
	Boiler	Manufactured	34.1	48.5	38.3	17.8	25.3	20.0
		Multifamily	27.5	39.0	30.9	14.3	20.3	16.1
		Single-family	37.9	53.9	42.6	19.7	28.1	22.2
Unknown Heat and Location			n/a	n/a	75.0	n/a	n/a	41.1

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A due to no savings from cooling during the summer peak period.

#### NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \%FossilHeat * Gas\_Heating\_Consumption * \%Controlled * Heating\_Reduction * Eff\_ISR$$

Where:

%FossilHeat = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Heating fuel	%FossilHeat
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	94% <sup>531</sup>

Gas\_Heating\_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for gas heated single-family homes.<sup>532</sup> If location is unknown, assume 578 therms.<sup>533</sup>

		<b>Gas_Heating_Consumption (Therms)</b> by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown
Heat Central Furnace	Manufactured	467	664	525
	Multifamily	310	440	348
	Single-family	537	763	603
Heat Central Boiler	Manufactured	598	850	672
	Multifamily	481	684	541
	Single-family	665	945	747

<sup>531</sup> Average (default) value of 83% gas space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

<sup>532</sup> Based on Cadmus modeling performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment.

<sup>533</sup> Assumption that 83% of gas heated homes have furnaces and 17% have boilers, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Assume 80% Single Family and 20% Multifamily, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

Based on defaults provided above:<sup>534</sup>

		<b>ΔTherms by Climate Zone (city based upon)</b>					
		<b>Direct Install<sup>535</sup></b>			<b>Other Programs</b>		
<b>Heating System</b>	<b>Building Type</b>	<b>Zone 5 (Burlington)</b>	<b>Zone 6 (Mason City)</b>	<b>Average/ unknown</b>	<b>Zone 5 (Burlington)</b>	<b>Zone 6 (Mason City)</b>	<b>Average/ unknown</b>
Heat Central Furnace	Manufactured	29.0	41.2	32.6	15.1	21.4	17.0
	Multifamily	19.2	27.3	21.6	10.0	14.2	11.2
	Single-family	33.3	47.3	37.4	17.3	24.6	19.5
Heat Central Boiler	Manufactured	37.1	52.7	41.7	19.3	27.4	21.7
	Multifamily	29.9	42.4	33.5	15.5	22.1	17.5
	Single-family	41.2	58.6	46.3	21.5	30.5	24.1
Unknown Heat and Location		n/a	n/a	36.9	n/a	n/a	19.2

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

ΔTherms = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>536</sup>

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

Based on defaults provided above:

		<b>ΔTherms by Climate Zone (city based upon)</b>					
		<b>Direct Install</b>			<b>Other Programs</b>		
<b>Heating System</b>	<b>Building Type</b>	<b>Zone 5 (Burlington)</b>	<b>Zone 6 (Mason City)</b>	<b>Average/ unknown</b>	<b>Zone 5 (Burlington)</b>	<b>Zone 6 (Mason City)</b>	<b>Average/ unknown</b>
Heat Central Furnace	Manufactured	0.4787	0.6805	0.5379	0.2493	0.3544	0.2801
	Multifamily	0.3173	0.4510	0.3565	0.1653	0.2349	0.1857
	Single-family	0.5498	0.7815	0.6178	0.2863	0.4070	0.3218
Heat Central Boiler	Manufactured	0.5331	0.7578	0.5990	0.2776	0.3947	0.3120
	Multifamily	0.4292	0.6101	0.4823	0.2235	0.3177	0.2512
	Single-family	0.5926	0.8424	0.6659	0.3086	0.4387	0.3468
Unknown Heat and Location <sup>537</sup>		n/a	n/a	0.5953	n/a	n/a	0.3100

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

<sup>534</sup> See "Programmable Thermostat Savings.xls" for calculation detail.

<sup>535</sup> Assumes single zone. If not – adjust accordingly.

<sup>536</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

<sup>537</sup> Assumes 83% furnace v 17% boiler as per 'Table HC6.9 Space Heating in U.S. Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions and States, 2009'. See "Programmable Thermostat Savings.xls" for calculation detail.

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-PROG-V03-200101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

## 2.4.18 Advanced Thermostats

### DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the household energy savings from the installation of a new thermostat(s) for reduced heating and cooling consumption through a configurable schedule of temperature setpoints (like a programmable thermostat) *and* automatic variations to that schedule to better match HVAC system runtimes to meet occupant comfort needs. These schedules may be defaults, established through user interaction, and be changed manually at the device or remotely through a web or mobile app. Automatic variations to that schedule could be driven by local sensors and software algorithms, and/or through connectivity to an internet software service. Data triggers to automatic schedule changes might include, for example: occupancy/activity detection, arrival & departure within conditioned spaces, optimization based on historical or population-specific trends, weather data and forecasts.<sup>538</sup> This class of products and services are relatively new, diverse, and rapidly changing. Generally, the savings expected for this measure aren't yet established at the level of individual features, but rather at the system level and how it performs overall. Like programmable thermostats, it is not suitable to assume that heating and cooling savings follow a similar pattern of usage and savings opportunity, and so here too this measure treats these savings independently. Note that it is a very active area of ongoing study to better map features to savings value and establish standards of performance measurement based on field data so that a standard of efficiency can be developed.<sup>539</sup> That work is not yet complete but does inform the treatment of some aspects of this characterization and recommendations. Energy savings are applicable at the household level; all thermostats controlling household heat should be programmable and installation of multiple advanced thermostats per home does not accrue additional savings.

Note that though these devices and service could potentially be used as part of a demand response program, the costs, delivery, impacts, and other aspects of DR-specific program delivery are not included in this characterization at this time, though they could be added in the future.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF, DI.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The criteria for this measure are established by replacement of a manual-only or programmable thermostat, with an ENERGY STAR qualified Advanced Thermostat, with the default enabled capability—or the capability to automatically—a schedule of temperature setpoints according to driving device inputs above and beyond basic time and temperature data of conventional programmable thermostats. As summarized in the description, this category of products and services is broad and rapidly advancing in regard to their capability, usability, and sophistication, but at a minimum must be capable of two-way communication<sup>540</sup> and exceed the typical performance of manual and conventional programmable thermostats through the automatic or default capabilities described above.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is either the actual type (manual or programmable) if it is known,<sup>541</sup> or an assumed mix of these two types based upon information available from evaluations or surveys that represent the population of program

---

<sup>538</sup> For example, the capabilities of products and added services that use ultrasound, infrared, or geofencing sensor systems, automatically develop individual models of home's thermal properties through user interaction and optimize system operation based on equipment type and performance traits based on weather forecasts demonstrate the type of automatic schedule change functionality that apply to this measure characterization.

<sup>539</sup> The ENERGY STAR program discontinued its support for basic programmable thermostats effective 12/31/09, and is presently developing a new specification for 'Residential Climate Controls'.

<sup>540</sup> This measure recognizes that field data may be available, through this 2-way communication capability, to better inform characterization of efficiency criteria and savings calculations. It is recommended that program implementations incorporate this data into their planning and operation activities to improve understanding of the measure to manage risks and enhance savings results.

<sup>541</sup> If the actual thermostat is a programmable and it is found to be used in override mode or otherwise effectively being operated like a manual thermostat, then the baseline may be considered to be a manual thermostat

participants. This mix may vary by program, but as a default, 44% programmable and 56% manual thermostats may be assumed.<sup>542</sup>

#### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life for advanced thermostats is assumed to be similar to that of a programmable thermostat 10 years<sup>543</sup> based upon equipment life only.<sup>544</sup>

#### DEEMED MEASURE COST

For DI and other programs for which installation services are provided, the actual material, labor, and other costs should be used. For retail, BYOT, or other program types, actual costs should be used if available,<sup>545</sup> along with a baseline equipment cost of \$50. If actual costs are unknown, then the average incremental cost for the new installation measure is assumed to be \$125.<sup>546</sup>

#### LOADSHAPE

$\Delta kWh$	→ RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump
$\Delta kWh_{heating}$	→ RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat → RE01 – Residential Multifamily Central Heat
$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$	→ RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling → RE02 – Residential Multifamily Cooling
$\Delta Therms$	→ RG02 – Residential Boiler → RG04 – Residential Other Heating

### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

##### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{heat} + \Delta kWh_{cool}$$

$$\Delta kWh_{heat} = \%ElectricHeat * Elec\_Heating\_Consumption * \%Controlled * Heating\_Reduction * HF * Eff\_ISR + (\Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3)$$

$$\Delta kWh_{cool} = \%AC * ((EFLH_{cool} * Capacity_{cool} * 1/SEERbase)/1000) * \%Controlled * Cooling\_Reduction * Eff\_ISR$$

Where:

$\%ElectricHeat$  = Percentage of heating savings assumed to be electric

<sup>542</sup> Value for blend of baseline thermostats comes from an IL Potential Study conducted by ComEd in 2013

<sup>543</sup> Table 1, HVAC Controls, Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

<sup>544</sup> Future evaluation is strongly encouraged to inform the persistence of savings to further refine measure life assumption. As this characterization depends heavily upon a number of savings studies that only lasted a single year or less, the longer term impacts should be assessed.

<sup>545</sup> Including any one-time software integration or annual software maintenance, and or individual device energy feature fees.

<sup>546</sup> ENERGY STAR models are currently being offered in the \$150-\$200 range. The assumed incremental cost is based on the middle of this range (\$175) minus a cost of \$50 for the baseline equipment blend of manual and programmable thermostats. Note that any add-on energy service costs, which may include one-time setup and/or annual per device costs are not included in this assumption.

Heating fuel	%ElectricHeat
Controllable Electric Heat (i.e., ducted ASHP, GSHP or forced air electric furnace)	100%
Natural Gas	0%
Unknown	6% <sup>547</sup>

#### Elec\_Heating\_Consumption

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for electrically heated single-family homes.<sup>548</sup> If location and heating type is unknown, assume 11,407 kWh.<sup>549</sup>

		Elec_Heating_Consumption (kWh) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
Heating System <sup>550</sup>	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown
Air-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	9,031	12,838	10,148
	Multifamily	5,576	7,927	6,266
	Single-family	10,396	14,778	11,682
Ground-Source Heat Pump	Manufactured	5,247	7,459	5,896
	Multifamily	3,234	4,597	3,634
	Single-family	6,029	8,571	6,775
Forced Air Electric Furnace	Manufactured	11,325	16,098	12,725
	Multifamily	7,619	10,830	8,561
	Single-family	12,454	17,703	13,994

%Controlled = Assumed percentage of household heating consumption that is controlled by the thermostat

= If single zone, assume 100%

= If single zone thermostat in multi zone home, assume 1 / # zones

= If multi zone thermostat, assume 100%

= If unknown, assume 93%<sup>551</sup>

Heating\_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in total household heating energy consumption due to advanced thermostat including accounting for Thermostat Optimization services<sup>552</sup>

<sup>547</sup> Average (default) value of 6% electric ducted heat pump space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa (note advanced thermostats are unlikely to be applied to resistance heating or ductless heat pumps). Note Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results indicate 17% electric, though the proportion of that that could be controlled (i.e. not resistance) is not clear. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

<sup>548</sup> Based on Cadmus modeling performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment.

<sup>549</sup> Assumes 65% Air Source Heat Pump consumption value, 35% Forced Air Electric Furnace (data provided by Cedar Falls Utility program) and 80% Single Family and 20% Multi Family (based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa), see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

<sup>550</sup> If the home has a Heat Pump, a programmable thermostat specifically designed for heat pumps should be used to minimize the use of backup electric resistance heat systems.

<sup>551</sup> RECS Table HC6.9 Space Heating in U.S. Homes in Midwest Region, Divisions, and States, 2009, indicates that 14% of homes have two or more thermostats in the region. If it is unknown the total heat consumption per thermostat is reduced by 7%, assuming that the 14% are controlling 50% of the home's total consumption.

<sup>552</sup> Based on 2020 Guidehouse consumption data analysis in Illinois.



= If programs are evaluated during program deployment then custom savings assumptions should be applied. Otherwise use:

Existing Thermostat Type	Heating Reduction <sup>553</sup>
Manual	10.4%
Programmable	7.3%
Unknown (Blended)	8.5%

HF = Household factor, to adjust heating consumption for non-single-family households.

Household Type	HF
Single-Family	100%
Multifamily	65% <sup>554</sup>
Actual	Custom <sup>555</sup>

Eff\_ISR = Effective In-Service Rate, the percentage of thermostats installed and configured effectively for 2-way communication

= If programs are evaluated during program deployment then custom ISR assumptions should be applied. If in service rate is captured within the savings percentage, ISR should be 100%. If using default savings:

Program Delivery	Eff_ISR
Direct Install	100%
Other	90% <sup>556</sup>

$\Delta$ Therms = Therm savings if Natural Gas heating system  
= See calculation in Natural Gas section below

$F_e$  = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption  
= 3.14%<sup>557</sup>

29.3 = kWh per therm

---

<sup>553</sup> These values represent adjusted baseline savings values for different existing thermostats as presented in Navigant's IL TRM Workpaper on Impact Analysis from Preliminary Gas savings findings (page 28). These values are adjusted upwards in v6 to account for inclusion of Thermostat Optimization savings in an estimated 45% of future participants (based on reported share of Nest and ecobee Illinois participants and 2020 rates of Thermostat Optimization). The basis for the Thermostat Optimization savings is Navigant "ComEd CY2018 Seasonal Savings Heating Season Impact Evaluation Report", March 2019. The unknown assumption is calculated by multiplying the savings for manual and programmable thermostats by their respective share of baseline, based upon results from the Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics 2017 Baseline Study.

<sup>554</sup> Multifamily household heating consumption relative to single-family households is affected by overall household square footage and exposure to the exterior. This 65% reduction factor is applied to MF homes with electric resistance, based on professional judgment that average household size, and heat loads of MF households are smaller than single-family homes

<sup>555</sup> Program-specific household factors may be utilized on the basis of sufficiently validated program evaluations.

<sup>556</sup> The 2020 Guidehouse evaluation in Illinois indicated that 6.75% of participants installed the advanced thermostat out of state. An additional reduction is applied to account for purchases that are never installed. Based on the available data this is estimated as an additional 3.25%.

<sup>557</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBTU/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

%AC = Fraction of customers with thermostat-controlled air-conditioning

Thermostat control of air conditioning?	%AC
Yes	100%
No	0%
Unknown	Actual population data, or 88% <sup>558</sup>

EFLH<sub>cool</sub> = Estimate of annual household full load cooling hours for air conditioning equipment based on location and home type. If location and cooling type are unknown, assume the weighted average.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	FLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	548	918	504	736	508	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	279	468	257	375	259	441
Average/ unknown	484	811	445	650	449	764

Capacity<sub>cool</sub> = Cooling Capacity of new equipment in Btu/hr (note 1 ton = 12,000Btu/hr)  
= Actual installed – If actual size unknown, assume 36,000

SEER<sub>base</sub> = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of baseline unit (kBtu/kWh)  
= 13<sup>559</sup>

1/1000 = kBtu per Btu

Cooling\_Reduction = Assumed percentage reduction in total household cooling energy consumption due to installation of advanced thermostat including accounting for Thermostat Optimization:  
= If programs are evaluated during program deployment then custom savings assumptions should be applied. Otherwise use:  
= 8.4%<sup>560</sup>

<sup>558</sup> 88% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

<sup>559</sup> Based on Minimum Federal Standard;

[http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance\\_standards/residential/residential\\_cac\\_hp.html](http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/residential/residential_cac_hp.html).

<sup>560</sup> Note: This factor represents estimated savings as a percentage of cooling consumption. When reviewing against factors from other evaluations, it is important to understand whether savings percentages are applied against cooling, cooling and heating fan or total annual household kWh. 8.4% is consistent with the Illinois TRM assumption and incorporates a statewide advanced thermostat evaluation utilizing participant AMI data. Further evaluation and regular review of this key assumption is encouraged.

**For example**, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly installed in a single zone air source heat pump heated, single-family home in Mason City with advanced thermostat-controlled air conditioning of a system of unknown size and seasonal efficiency rating:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= \Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} + \Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} \\ &= ((1 * 14,778 * 1 * 0.073 * 1 * 0.9) + (0 * 0.0314 * 29.3)) + (1 * ((468 * 36,000 * (1/13))/1000) \\ &\quad * 1 * 0.084 * 0.9) \\ &= 971 + 98 \\ &= 1069 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \%AC * (\%Controlled * Cooling\_DemandReduction * Capacity_{cool} * (1/EER))/1000 * Eff\_ISR * CF$$

Where:

Cooling\_DemandReduction = Assumed average percentage reduction in total household cooling demand due to installation of advanced thermostat including accounting for Thermostat Optimization services  
= 16.4%<sup>561</sup>

EER = Energy Efficiency Ratio of existing cooling system (kBtu/hr / kW)  
= Use actual EER rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate. If EER unknown but SEER available convert using the equation:<sup>562</sup>

$$EER = (-0.02 * SEER_{\text{exist}}^2) + (1.12 * SEER_{\text{exist}})$$

If SEER or EER rating unavailable use:

Cooling System	EER <sup>563</sup>
Air Source Heat Pump	8.55
Central AC	8.15

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
= 34%<sup>564</sup>

**For example**, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly installed in a single zoned air source heat pump heated, single-family home in Mason City with advanced thermostat-controlled air conditioning of a system of unknown size and seasonal efficiency rating:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= (1 * 1 * 0.164 * 36,000 * (1/8.15))/1000 * 0.9 * 0.34 \\ &= 0.2217 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

<sup>561</sup> The current Cooling\_DemandReduction assumption is consistent with the Illinois TRM and incorporates a statewide advanced thermostat evaluation utilizing participant AMI data.

<sup>562</sup> From Wassmer, M. (2003). A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder.

<sup>563</sup> Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, Illinois PY3-PY4 program data.

<sup>564</sup> In the absence of conclusive results from empirical studies on peak savings, we recommend a temporary assumption of 50% of the cooling coincidence factor acknowledging that while the savings from the advanced Thermostat will track with the cooling load, the impact during peak periods may be lower. This is an assumption that could use future evaluation to improve these estimates.

### NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta Therms = \%FossilHeat * Gas\_Heating\_Consumption * \%Controlled * Heating\_Reduction * HF * Eff\_ISR$$

Where:

$\%FossilHeat$

= Percentage of heating savings assumed to be Natural Gas

Heating fuel	$\%FossilHeat$
Electric	0%
Natural Gas	100%
Unknown	94% <sup>565</sup>

$Gas\_Heating\_Consumption$

= Estimate of annual household heating consumption for gas heated single-family homes.  
If location is unknown, assume 562 therms.<sup>566</sup>

		Gas_Heating_Consumption (Therms) by Climate Zone (City based upon)		
Heating System	Building Type	Zone 5 (Burlington)	Zone 6 (Mason City)	Average/ unknown
Heat Central Furnace	Manufactured	467	664	525
	Multifamily	310	440	348
	Single-family	537	763	603
Heat Central Boiler	Manufactured	598	850	672
	Multifamily	481	684	541
	Single-family	665	945	747

Other variables as provided above

**For example**, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly-installed in a single zoned gas heated furnace single-family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Therms &= 1.0 * 603 * 1 * 0.073 * 1 * 0.9 \\ &= 39.6 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$  = Therm impact calculated above

<sup>565</sup> Average (default) value of 94% gas space heating from 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa. If utilities have specific evaluation results providing a more appropriate assumption for homes in a particular market or geographical area, then they should be used.

<sup>566</sup> Assumption that 93.4% of gas heated homes have furnaces and 6.6% have boilers, based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results. Assume 80% Single Family and 20% Multifamily, based on 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey for Iowa, see "HC2.9 Structural and Geographic in Midwest Region.xls".

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>567</sup>  
 = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler  
 = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, an advanced thermostat replacing a programmable thermostat directly-installed in a single zoned gas heated furnace single-family home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Peak Therms} &= 39.6 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.6544 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-ADTH-V06-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

---

<sup>567</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

## 2.4.19 Duct Insulation

### DESCRIPTION

Energy and demand saving are realized through reductions in the home cooling and heating loads by insulating ductwork in unconditioned areas (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages) and semi-conditioned (defined as a space within the thermal envelope that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated e.g. unfinished basement<sup>568</sup>). If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is ductwork in unconditioned or semi-conditioned areas that has been insulated.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is the existing uninsulated or poorly insulated ductwork in unconditioned or semi-conditioned areas.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.<sup>569</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual duct insulation measure cost should be used.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

---

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

Savings should only be claimed for ductwork that exists on the exterior of the home or in uninsulated spaces.

### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electric energy savings is calculated as the sum of energy saved when cooling the home and energy saved when heating the building

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

If central cooling, the electric energy saved in annual cooling due to the added insulation is

---

<sup>568</sup> Definition matches Regain factor discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012

<sup>569</sup> Consistent with duct insulation measure life specified in the MidAmerican Energy Company Joint Assessment, February 2013.

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}} \right) * Area * TRF_{cool} * EFLH_{cooling} * \Delta T_{AVG,cooling}}{(1,000 * \eta_{cooling})}$$

Where:

- $R_{existing}$  = Duct heat loss coefficient of existing duct [(hr-°F-ft²)/Btu]  
 = Estimate of actual with minimum of 1.0 for uninsulated duct<sup>570</sup>
- $R_{new}$  = Duct heat loss coefficient with new insulation [(hr-°F-ft²)/Btu]  
 = Actual
- Area = Area of the duct surface exposed to the unconditioned space that has been insulated [ft²]. (e.g., for circular duct – Calculate the circumference of the duct (= π \* diameter) multiplied by length (ft))
- $TRF_{cool}$  = Thermal Regain Factor for cooling by space type. This accounts for the fact that not all cool air in semi-conditioned spaces is lost to the atmosphere and will provide useful conditioning<sup>571</sup>.  
 = 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces  
 = 0.4 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces<sup>572</sup>
- $EFLH_{cooling}$  = Equivalent Full Load Cooling Hours  
 = Dependent on location:<sup>573</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$EFLH_{cooling}$		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/Unknown	811	650	764

- $\Delta T_{AVG,cooling}$  = Average temperature difference [°F] during cooling season between outdoor air temperature and assumed 60°F duct supply air temperature<sup>574</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	$OA_{AVG,cooling}$ [°F] <sup>575</sup>	$\Delta T_{AVG,cooling}$ [°F]
Zone 5 (Burlington)	80.4	20.4

<sup>570</sup> Based upon findings in ACEEE study of internal film resistance: L. Palmiter and E Kruse, Ecotope Inc, “True R-Values of Round Residential Ductwork”.

<sup>571</sup> Definition of unconditioned areas is a space outside the thermal envelope (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages) and semi-conditioned (defined as a space within the thermal envelope that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated e.g. unfinished basement).

<sup>572</sup> Thermal regain (i.e. the potential for conditioned air escaping from ducts not being lost to the atmosphere) for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.

<sup>573</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>574</sup> Leaving coil air temperatures are typically about 55°F. 60°F is used as an average temperature, recognizing that some heat transfer occurs between the ductwork and the environment it passes through.

<sup>575</sup> National Solar Radiation Data Base -- 1991- 2005 Update: Typical Meteorological Year 3 [http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/old\\_data/nsrdb/1991-2005/tmy3/by\\_state\\_and\\_city.html](http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/old_data/nsrdb/1991-2005/tmy3/by_state_and_city.html) . Heating Season defined as September 17<sup>th</sup> through April 13<sup>th</sup>, cooling season defined as May 20 through August 15<sup>th</sup>. For cooling season, temperatures from 8AM to 8PM were used to establish average temperatures as this is when cooling systems are expected to be loaded.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	OA <sub>AVG,cooling</sub> [°F] <sup>575</sup>	ΔT <sub>AVG,cooling</sub> [°F]
Zone 6 (Mason City)	78.6	18.6
Average/Unknown	75.2	15.2

1,000 = Conversion from Btu to kBtu

$\eta_{cooling}$  = Seasonal energy efficiency ratio (SEER) of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual – If not available, use:<sup>576</sup>

Equipment Type	Age of Equipment	SEER Estimate of Sealed Duct	SEER Estimate of Unsealed Duct (SEER*0.85)
Central AC	Before 2006	10	8.5
	After 2006	13	11.05
Heat Pump	Before 2006	10	8.5
	2006-2014	13	11.05
	2015 on	14	11.9

**For example,** a single family house in Burlington with Central Air SEER = 13 and 10 ft. of uninsulated standard 6-inch round sealed duct in an unconditioned space.

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = ((1/1.0 - 1/(1.0 + 6)) * (\pi * 0.5 * 10) * 1 * 918 * 20.4) / (1000 * 13)$$

$$= 19.4 \text{ kWh}$$

If the home is heated with electric heat (resistance or heat pump), the electric energy saved in annual heating due to the added insulation is:

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}} \right) * Area * TRF_{Heat} * EFLH_{heating} * \Delta T_{AVG,heating}}{(3,412 * \eta_{heating})}$$

Where:

TRF<sub>Heat</sub> = Thermal Regain Factor for heating by space type. This accounts for the fact that not all heat loss in semi-conditioned spaces is lost to the atmosphere and will provide useful conditioning<sup>577</sup>.

= 0.40 for Semi-Conditioned Spaces

= 1.0 for Unconditioned Spaces<sup>578</sup>

EFLH<sub>heating</sub> = Equivalent Full Load Heating Hours for ASHP

<sup>576</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

<sup>577</sup> Definition of unconditioned areas is a space outside the thermal envelope (e.g., ventilated attic with floor insulation, vented crawlspace, unheated garages) and semi-conditioned (defined as a space within the thermal envelope that is not intentionally heated for occupancy and is unventilated e.g. unfinished basement).

<sup>578</sup> Thermal regain (i.e. the potential for conditioned air escaping from ducts not being lost to the atmosphere) for residential pipe insulation measures is discussed in Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation, prepared for the Massachusetts Residential Retrofit and Low Income Program Area Evaluation, Cadmus Group, Inc., August 2012.



= Dependent on location:<sup>579</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>heating</sub>		
	Single Family Existing	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2022	1643	2137
Zone 6 (Mason City)	2874	2335	3037
Average/Unknown	2272	1846	2401

$\Delta T_{AVG,heating}$  = Average temperature difference [°F] during heating season between outdoor air temperature and assumed 115°F duct supply temperature<sup>580</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	OA <sub>AVG,heating</sub> [°F] <sup>581</sup>	$\Delta T_{AVG,heating}$ [°F]
Zone 5 (Burlington)	39.6	75.4
Zone 6 (Mason City)	35.9	79.1
Average/Unknown	30.1	84.9

3,142 = Conversion from Btu to kWh.

$\eta_{heating}$  = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual – If not available, use:<sup>582</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) of Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)	$\eta$ Heat (Effective COP Estimate) of Unsealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.99	1.69
	2006 – 2014	7.7	2.26	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.40	2.04

**For example,** a single family house in Burlington with a Heat Pump COP = 1.92 and 10 ft. of uninsulated standard 6-inch round sealed duct in an unconditioned space.

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = ((1/1.0 - 1/(1.0 + 6)) * (\pi * 0.5 * 10) * 1 * 2022 * 75.4) / (3412 * 2.0)$$

$$= 300.8 \text{ kWh}$$

<sup>579</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>580</sup> Forced air supply temperatures are typically 130°F. 115°F is used as an average temperature, recognizing that some heat transfer occurs between the ductwork and the environment it passes through.

<sup>581</sup> National Solar Radiation Data Base -- 1991- 2005 Update: Typical Meteorological Year 3

[http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/old\\_data/nsrdb/1991-2005/tmy3/by\\_state\\_and\\_city.html](http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/old_data/nsrdb/1991-2005/tmy3/by_state_and_city.html) . Heating Season defined as September 17<sup>th</sup> through April 13<sup>th</sup>, cooling season defined as May 20 through August 15<sup>th</sup>. For cooling season, temperatures from 8AM to 8PM were used to establish average temperatures as this is when cooling systems are expected to be loaded.

<sup>582</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

If the home is heated with a gas furnace, there will be some electric savings in heating the building attributed to extra insulation since the furnace fans will run less.

$$\Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} = \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

- $\Delta \text{Therms}$  = Gas savings calculated with equation below.  
 $F_e$  = Percentage of heating energy consumed by fans, assume 3.14%<sup>583</sup>  
 29.3 = Conversion from therms to kWh

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}}}{EFLH_{\text{cooling}}} * CF$$

Where:

- $EFLH_{\text{cooling}}$  = Equivalent Full Load Cooling Hours  
 = Dependent on location:<sup>584</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH <sub>cooling</sub>		
	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/Unknown	811	650	764

- $CF$  = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
 = 68% if central AC, 72% if ducted ASHP<sup>585</sup>

**For example**, using the above for a single family house in Burlington with Central Air SEER = 13 and 10 ft. of uninsulated standard 6-inch round sealed duct in an unconditioned space.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW &= 19.4 / 918 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0144 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If homes with a gas heating system, the savings resulting from the insulation is calculated with the following formula.

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{\text{existing}}} - \frac{1}{R_{\text{new}}} \right) * \text{Area} * TRF_{\text{Heat}} * EFLH_{\text{gasheat}} * \Delta T_{\text{AVG,heating}}}{(100,000 * \eta_{\text{heat}})}$$

Where:

<sup>583</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBtu/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14% for residential units. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference. Assumed to be consistent with C&I applications.

<sup>584</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>585</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

$R_{existing}$	= Duct heat loss coefficient with existing insulation [(hr-°F-ft <sup>2</sup> )/Btu]
$R_{new}$	= Duct heat loss coefficient with new insulation [(hr-°F-ft <sup>2</sup> )/Btu]
Area	= Area of the duct surface exposed to the unconditioned space that has been insulated [ft <sup>2</sup> ].
$EFLH_{gasheat}$	= Equivalent Full load heating hours for Furnaces (see above) = Dependent on location: <sup>586</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	915	1054	638	896	777	1079
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1302	1496	906	1272	1106	1533
Average/ unknown	1028	1183	718	1005	874	1212

$\Delta T_{AVG, heating}$	= Average temperature difference [°F] during heating season (see above)
100,000	= Conversion from BTUs to Therms
$\eta_{heat}$	= Efficiency of gas heating system = Actual <sup>587</sup> – If not available, use 87% for sealed ducts <sup>588</sup> or 74% for unsealed ducts <sup>589</sup>

**For example**, a single family house in Burlington with a gas heating system COP = 0.87 and 10 ft. of uninsulated standard 6-inch round sealed ducts in an unconditioned space.

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((1/1.0 - 1/(1.0 + 6)) * (\pi * 0.5 * 10) * 1 * 1054 * 75.4) / (100,000 * 0.87)$$

$$= 12.3 \text{ Therms}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * GCF$$

Where:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \text{Therm impact calculated above}$$

<sup>586</sup> To calculate the EFLH for an average home as opposed to one with a high efficiency that has been installed using HVAC SAVE, the EFLH developed by TetraTech (see Furnace measure for reference) are adjusted to account for a lower AFUE (85% v 95%) and to derate the AFUE by the factor used for a non-QI furnace. See 'Adjusting EFLH for 'average' home'.xls for more information.

<sup>587</sup> The Equipment Efficiency can be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. If there is more than one heating system, the weighted (by consumption) average efficiency should be used. If the heating system or distribution is being upgraded within a package of measures together with the insulation upgrade, the new average heating system efficiency should be used.

<sup>588</sup> In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the state. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: (0.60\*0.92) + (0.40\*0.8) = 0.872.

<sup>589</sup> An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for furnaces.

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>590</sup>  
 = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, using the above, a single family house in Burlington with a gas heating system COP = 0.87 and 10 ft. of uninsulated standard 6-inch round sealed ducts in an unconditioned space.

$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = 12.3 * 0.016525$   
 = 0.203 Therms

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-DUCT-V04-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026**

---

<sup>590</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

#### 2.4.20 Advanced Thermostat Optimization Services (Removed 2021)

This measure was removed in 2021. Optimization services are now offered for all customers by the main advanced thermostat manufacturers and the added benefit from these services has been added to the 2.4.18 Advanced Thermostat measure.

## 2.4.21 Gas-Fired Heat Pump

### DESCRIPTION

A gas-fired heat pump (also commonly referred to a gas heat pump or GHP) is a type of heat pump whose primary input drive energy is natural gas, rather than an electrically-driven compressor. Gas heat pumps can typically be direct replacements or substitutes for conventional space heating boilers. Additionally, some are capable of providing cooling and/or domestic water heating. This characterization is limited to estimating the impacts associated with space heating loads only and does not apply to scenarios where a gas-fired heat pump is used to meeting cooling and/or DHW loads. A custom analysis should be used in such a case.

This measure characterizes:

- c) Time of Sale:
  - ii. The installation of a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h), gas-fired heat pump in a residential location. This could relate to the replacement of an existing conventional boiler at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new home.
- d) Early Replacement:
  - iii. The early removal of an existing functional boiler from service, prior to its natural end of life, and replacement with a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) gas-fired heat pump. Savings are calculated between existing unit and new unit consumption during the remaining life of the existing unit, and between new baseline unit and new unit consumption for the remainder of the measure life.
  - iv. In order to apply Early Replacement savings, the existing unit must be functioning and AFUE  $\leq 75\%$ . “Functioning” is defined as being fully operational – providing sufficient space conditioning (i.e., heat exchanger, compressors, pumps work effectively) and/or the cost of repair is under 20% of the new baseline replacement cost. Therefore, in order to apply early replacement assumptions the programs should apply the following eligibility criteria: AFUE  $\leq 75\%$  and cost of any repairs  $< \$811$ .

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, EREP. If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

To qualify for this measure the installed gas-fired heat pump must be a residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h) unit that meets or exceeds the efficiency requirements determined by the program.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

Time of sale: The baseline equipment for this measure is a new residential sized (<300,000 Btuh/h), gas-fired, standard-efficiency water boiler. The current Federal Standard minimum AFUE rating is 84%.<sup>591</sup>

Early replacement: The baseline for this measure is the efficiency of the existing equipment for the assumed remaining useful life of the unit and the new baseline as defined above for the remainder of the measure life.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.<sup>592</sup>

Early replacement: Remaining life of existing equipment is assumed to be 8 years.<sup>593</sup>

---

<sup>591</sup> Code of Federal Regulations for gas-fired hot water boilers manufactured on or after January 15, 2021 (10 CFR 432(e)(3))

<sup>592</sup> Consistent with assumption for a conventional space heat boiler.

<sup>593</sup> Assumed to be one third of effective useful life.

## DEEMED MEASURE COST

Time of sale: The incremental install cost for this measure is:<sup>594</sup>

$$\$0.115 * \text{Capacity}_{\text{out}}$$

Where:

$$\text{Capacity}_{\text{out}} = \text{Nominal heating output capacity (Btu/hr)}$$

Actual costs may be used if associated baseline costs can also be estimated for the application.

Early Replacement/Retrofit: The full and actual invoiced installation cost should be used. The assumed deferred cost (after 8 years) of replacing existing equipment with a new baseline unit is assumed to be \$4,053. This cost should be discounted to present value using the utilities' discount rate.<sup>595</sup>

## LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

---

## Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Gas-fired heat pumps consume electricity during their operation and therefore result in increased site electric load.

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{-\text{Power} * \text{EFLH}}{1000}$$

Where:

Power	= Nominal maximum electrical power requirement for the gas-fired heat pump, W
	= Actual. If unknown, assume 0.0052 W per Btu/hr heating input capacity <sup>596</sup>
EFLH	= Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating
	= Dependent on location: <sup>597</sup>

---

<sup>594</sup> Based on a first cost estimates from GTI, which lists gas heat pumps as \$100-\$180/MBH output and conventional boilers as \$15-35/MBH. The difference of the range averages (\$140 - \$25) is used to establish the incremental costs based on MBH output.

<sup>595</sup> Costs provided have not been adjusted for inflation and therefore should be discounted using a Real Discount Rate (RDR) rather than a nominal one.

<sup>596</sup> Based on average of power requirements for Robur K18 (0.004341794 W/Btu/hr) and GAHP-A (0.005960768 W/Btu/hr)

<sup>597</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the unit's capacity. See "Res Furnace EFLH Findings\_30April2018.ppt" for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single-family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Time of Sale:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \frac{\text{EFLH} * \text{Capacity} * \left( \frac{(\text{AFUE}_{\text{eff}} * (1 - \text{Derating}_{\text{Eff}}))}{(\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}} * (1 - \text{Derating}_{\text{Base}}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Early replacement:<sup>598</sup>

ΔTherms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):

$$= \frac{\text{EFLH} * \text{Capacity} * \left( \frac{(\text{AFUE}_{\text{eff}} * (1 - \text{Derating}_{\text{Eff}}))}{(\text{AFUE}_{\text{exist}} * (1 - \text{Derating}_{\text{Base}}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

ΔTherms for remaining measure life (next 17 years):

$$= \frac{\text{EFLH} * \text{Capacity} * \left( \frac{(\text{AFUE}_{\text{eff}} * (1 - \text{Derating}_{\text{Eff}}))}{(\text{AFUE}_{\text{base}} * (1 - \text{Derating}_{\text{Base}}))} - 1 \right)}{100,000}$$

Where:

EFLH = Equivalent Full Load Hours for heating

= Dependent on location:<sup>599</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Zone 5 (Burlington)	766	883	534	750	651	904
Zone 6 (Mason City)	1090	1253	759	1065	926	1284

<sup>598</sup> The two equations are provided to show how savings are determined during the initial phase of the measure (existing to efficient) and the remaining phase (new baseline to efficient). In practice, the screening tools used may either require a First Year savings (using the first equation) and then a “number of years to adjustment” and “savings adjustment” input that would be the (new base to efficient savings)/(existing to efficient savings).

<sup>599</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are based on analysis performed by Tetra Tech in April, 2018. Tetra Tech gathered MidAmerican program data from two residential programs with installs between October 2012 to December 2016, and matched them with gas meter consumption data following the install. Regression models were performed to estimate the Normalized Annual Heating (NAH) consumption. EFLH is then estimated by dividing NAH by the unit’s capacity. See “Res Furnace EFLH Findings\_30April2018.ppt” for more information. The resulting value of 991 hours for a single-family existing home in Des Moines is scaled to other building types using the relative assumptions based upon the Cadmus modeling exercise performed for the 2011 Joint Assessment, and to other climate zones based on relative Heating Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).



Climate Zone (City based upon)	EFLH (Hours)					
	Single Family New	Single Family Existing	Multifamily New	Multifamily Existing	Manufactured New	Manufactured Existing
Average/ unknown	861	991	601	842	732	1015

Capacity	= Nominal heating input capacity (Btu/hr) for gas-fired heat pump = Actual
AFUE <sub>exist</sub>	= Existing boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating = Use actual AFUE rating where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate - If unknown, assume 61.6 AFUE% <sup>600</sup>
AFUE <sub>base</sub>	= Baseline boiler Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating = 84%
AFUE <sub>eff</sub>	= Annual Fuel Utilization Efficiency (AFUE) rating of the gas-fired heat pump = Actual. If unknown, 130% can be assumed <sup>601</sup>
Derating <sub>Eff</sub>	= Derating of AFUE to account for units not operating in field at rated efficiency = 5.9% <sup>602</sup>
Derating <sub>Base</sub>	= Derating of AFUE to account for units not operating in field at rated efficiency = 3.3% <sup>603</sup>

<sup>600</sup> Average nameplate efficiencies of all Early Replacement qualifying equipment in Ameren, IL PY3-PY4 (2010-2012). The utilities should collect this information if possible to inform a future update.

<sup>601</sup> Based on findings presented Brio and GTI's Gas Heat Pump Roadmap Industry White Paper, November 2019.

<sup>602</sup> Based on findings from Massachusetts study; Cadmus "High Efficiency Heating Equipment Impact Evaluation", March 2015.

<sup>603</sup> Ibid.

**Time of Sale:**

**For example**, for a 100,000 Btuh 133% AFUE gas-fired heat pump purchased and installed for existing home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= -0.0052 * 100000 * 991 / 1000 \\ &= -515.32 \text{ kWh} \\ \Delta \text{Therms} &= (991 * 100000 * ((1.33 * (1-0.059)) / (0.84 * (1-0.033)) - 1)) / 100000 \\ &= 535.9 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

**Early Replacement:**

**For example**, for an existing functioning boiler with unknown efficiency that is replaced with a 100,000 Btuh, 133% AFUE gas-fired heat pump purchased and installed in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therms for remaining life of existing unit (1st 8 years):} \\ &= (991 * 100000 * ((1.33 * (1-0.059)) / (0.616 * (1-0.033)) - 1)) / 100000 \\ &= 1091.1 \text{ Therms} \\ \Delta \text{Therms for remaining measure life (next 17 years):} \\ &= (991 * 100000 * ((1.33 * (1-0.059)) / (0.84 * (1-0.033)) - 1)) / 100000 \\ &= 535.9 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

**PEAK GAS SAVINGS**

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therms} &= \text{Therm impact calculated above} \\ \text{GCF} &= \text{Gas Coincidence Factor for heating}^{604} \\ &= 0.014378 \text{ for Residential Boiler}\end{aligned}$$

**Time of Sale:**

**For example**, for a 100,000 Btuh 133% AFUE gas-fired heat pump purchased and installed for existing home in unknown location:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{Therms} &= 535.9 * 0.014378 \\ &= 7.7052 \text{ Therms}\end{aligned}$$

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

<sup>604</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

**MEASURE CODE: RS-HVC-GFHP-V01-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

## 2.5 Lighting

### 2.5.1 Compact Fluorescent Lamp – Standard (Removed 2021)

This measure was archived due to no utility currently offering the measure and an out of date savings characterization. Please refer to Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual Version 1.0 Volume 3: Nonresidential Measures; Final: August 1, 2016; Effective January 1, 2017 in which the measure was last active.

## 2.5.2 Compact Fluorescent Lamp – Specialty (Removed 2021)

This measure was archived due to no utility currently offering the measure and an out of date savings characterization. Please refer to Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual Version 1.0 Volume 3: Nonresidential Measures; Final: August 1, 2016; Effective January 1, 2017 in which the measure was last active.

### 2.5.3 LED Lamp – Standard

#### DESCRIPTION

This characterization provides savings assumptions for LED Screw Based Omnidirectional (e.g., A-Type) lamps. This characterization provides assumptions for LEDs installed in a known location (i.e., residential and in-unit interior or exterior) or, if the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g., an upstream retail program or efficiency kit), an unknown residential location assumption is provided. For upstream programs, utilities should develop an assumption of the Residential v Nonresidential split and apply the relevant assumptions to each portion.

Federal legislation stemming from the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) requires all general-purpose light bulbs between 40W and 100W to be approximately 30% more energy efficient than standard incandescent bulbs. Production of 100W, standard efficacy incandescent lamps ended in 2012, followed by restrictions on 75W lamps in 2013 and 60W and 40W lamps in 2014. The baseline for this measure has therefore become bulbs (improved incandescent or halogen) that meet the new standard. Furthermore, the Technical Advisory Committee approved assuming a blended baseline condition of EISA qualified incandescent/halogen, CFL and LED lamps. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

In December 2019, DOE issued a final determination for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSILs), finding that the more stringent standards (45 lumen per watt) prescribed in the 2007 EISA regulation to become effective in 2020 (known as the ‘Backstop’ provision), were not economically justified. However, natural growth of LED market share has and will continue to grow over the lifetime of the measure, and since baseline halogens would need to be replaced multiple times within the life of the measure, a single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecast decline in annual savings.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

#### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, new lamps must be ENERGY STAR labeled based upon the v2.1 ENERGY STAR specification for lamps

([https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification\\_1.pdf](https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification_1.pdf)) or CEE Tier 2 qualified. Specifications are as follows:

Efficiency Level	Lumens / watt	
	CRI<90	CRI≥90
ENERGY STAR v2.1	80	70
CEE Tier 2 <sup>605</sup>	95	80

Qualification could also be based on the Design Light Consortium’s qualified product list.<sup>606</sup>

#### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition for this measure is assumed to be a blend of 38% EISA qualified halogen or incandescent and 1% CFL and 61% baseline LED.<sup>607</sup> The baseline is forecasted to continue to shift towards LEDs and therefore a mid-life adjustment is provided.

<sup>605</sup> Also required to have rated life of 25,000 hours and dimming capability.

<sup>606</sup> <https://www.designlights.org/QPL>

<sup>607</sup> Based on review of CREED LightTracker data from Illinois and DOE, 2019 ‘Energy Savings Forecast of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications’. See ‘Lighting Forecast Workbook.xls’.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The rated life of omnidirectional LED lamps is assumed to be 20,230.<sup>608</sup> This would imply a lifetime of 19 years for Residential interior and 8.2 years for Residential exterior; however, all installations are capped at 10 years,<sup>609</sup> so interior bulbs should assume a 10-year measure life.

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

Wherever possible, actual incremental costs should be used. If unavailable, assume the following incremental costs:<sup>610</sup>

Lamp Type	CRI	Product Type	Cost	Incremental Cost
Standard A-lamp	<90	Baseline	\$2.47	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$3.16	\$0.69
		CEE T2 LED	\$3.29	\$0.82
	≥90	Baseline	\$2.78	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$3.67	\$0.89
		CEE T2 LED	\$3.75	\$0.96

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE09 – Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape RE10 – Residential Outdoor Lighting

## Algorithm

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

Where:

Watts<sub>Base</sub> = Based on lumens of LED bulb installed and includes blend of incandescent/halogen,<sup>611</sup> CFL and LED by weightings provided in table below.<sup>612</sup> Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this blended baseline should be replaced with the Incandescent/Halogen baseline only.

Watts<sub>EE</sub> = Actual wattage of LED purchased / installed – If unknown, use default provided below.<sup>613</sup>

<sup>608</sup> Average rated life of omnidirectional bulbs on the ENERGY STAR qualified products list as of June 5, 2018.

<sup>609</sup> Based on recommendation in the Dunskey Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-18. Particularly in residential applications, lamps are susceptible to persistence issues such as removal, new occupants etc.

<sup>610</sup> Lamp costs are based upon WECC review of bulbs purchased through the Alliant program January – April 2017. The baseline cost reflects the baseline mix. See “2021 LED Measure Cost and O&M Calc.xls” for more information.

<sup>611</sup> Incandescent/Halogen wattage is based upon the post first phase of EISA wattage.

<sup>612</sup> Weightings based upon review of CREED LightTracker data for Illinois and DOE, 2019 ‘Energy Savings Forecast of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications’. See ‘Lighting Forecast Workbook.xls’.

<sup>613</sup> Watts<sub>EE</sub> are calculated using the midpoint of the lumen range and an efficacy of 80 lumens/watt for ESTAR CRI <90, 70 lumens/watt for ESTAR CRI >90, 95 lumens/watt for CEE Tier 2 CRI <90, 80 lumens/watt for CEE Tier 2 CRI >90,

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.5.3 LED Lamp – Standard

Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/ Halogen	CFL <sup>614</sup>	LED <sup>615</sup>	Watts <sub>Base</sub>	WattsEff ESTAR		WattsEff CEE T2		DeltaWatts ESTAR		DeltaWatts CEE T2	
		38%	1%	61%		CRI <90	CRI ≥90	CRI <90	CRI ≥90	CRI <90*	CRI ≥90	CRI <90	CRI ≥90
250	309	25	4.7	3.7	11.9	3.5	4.0	2.9	3.5	8.4	7.9	9.0	8.4
310	749	29	8.8	7.1	15.5	6.6	7.6	5.6	6.6	8.9	7.9	9.9	8.9
750	1049	43	15.0	12.0	23.9	11.2	12.9	9.5	11.2	12.7	11.1	14.4	12.7
1050	1489	53	21.2	16.9	30.8	15.9	18.1	13.4	15.9	14.9	12.7	17.4	14.9
1490	2600	72	34.1	27.3	44.5	25.6	29.2	21.5	25.6	18.9	15.3	23.0	18.9
2601	3300	150	49.2	39.3	81.9	36.9	42.2	31.1	36.9	45.0	39.7	50.8	45.0
3301	3999	200	60.8	48.7	106.8	45.6	52.1	38.4	45.6	61.2	54.7	68.4	61.2
4000	6000	300	83.3	66.7	156.3	62.5	71.4	52.6	62.5	93.8	84.9	103.7	93.8
Weighted Average, if unknown <sup>616</sup>					25.6	12.4				13.2			

\*If lumen range is known but Efficiency rating or CRI is unknown assume ESTAR and CRI<90.

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service

Program		Discounted In Service Rate (ISR) <sup>617</sup>
Retail (Time of Sale) <sup>618</sup>		90%
Direct Install <sup>619</sup>		97%
Efficiency Kits	Schools <sup>620</sup>	60%
	Residential <sup>621</sup>	87%

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	1,088 <sup>622</sup>
Exterior	2,475 <sup>623</sup>
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and	1,157 <sup>624</sup>

<sup>614</sup> Baseline CFL watts are calculated using the midpoint of the lumen range and an assumed efficacy of 60 lumens/watt.

<sup>615</sup> Baseline LED watts are calculated using the midpoint of the lumen range and an assumed efficacy of 75 lumens/watt.

<sup>616</sup> Weighted average is based on 2018 and 2019 data provided by MidAmerican and Alliant. Assumes ENERGY STAR CRI<90 for the efficient wattage.

<sup>617</sup> All Programs except for Direct Install assume that some lamps are not installed in the first year but are later installed in years 2 and 3. To ease implementation, these future installs are discounted using the statewide real discount rate (7.71%). The second and third year installations rates are from NREL, "Chapter 6: Residential Lighting Evaluation Protocol of the Uniform Methods Project," October 2017. See "Res Lighting ISR calculation\_2019.xlsx" for more information.

<sup>618</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> year in service rate is a 2-year weighted average of ComEd PY7, PY8 and PY9 intercept data.

<sup>619</sup> Based upon review of the Illinois PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys. <http://www.ilsag.info/evaluation-documents.html>

<sup>620</sup> In Service Rates provided are for the bulb within a kit only. Kits provided free to students through school, with education program. 1<sup>st</sup> year ISR for school kits based on ComEd PY9 data for the Elementary Energy Education program.

<sup>621</sup> Based on MidAmerican Energy Company & TetraTech "Residential Assessment Impact and Process Evaluation FINAL". December 22, 2020, APPENDIX B: IN-SERVICE RATES ANALYSIS, p. 47.

<sup>622</sup> Based on recommended value for standard LED lamps (2.98) in interior locations from Opinion Dynamics "Illinois Statewide Residential LED Hours of Use Study Additional Results," April 17, 2018.

<sup>623</sup> Based on secondary research conducted as part of the Illinois PY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

<sup>624</sup> Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on PYPY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.



Installation Location	Hours
Efficiency Kits)	

$WHF_{Heat}$  = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

$$= 1 - ((HF / \eta_{Heat}) * \%ElecHeat)$$

If unknown assume 0.93<sup>625</sup>

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated

= 53% for interior or unknown location<sup>626</sup>

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

$\eta_{HeatElectric}$  = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:<sup>627</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{Heat}$ (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 <sup>628</sup>

$\%ElecHeat$  = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	$\%ElecHeat$
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% <sup>629</sup>

$WHF_{Cool}$  = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting.

<sup>625</sup> Calculated using defaults;  $1 - ((0.53/1.27) * 0.17) = 0.93$ .

<sup>626</sup> This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

<sup>627</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>628</sup> Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

<sup>629</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

Bulb Location	WHFe <sub>Cool</sub>
Building with cooling	1.12 <sup>630</sup>
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.11 <sup>631</sup>

### Mid-Life Baseline Adjustment

During the lifetime of a standard Omnidirectional LED, the baseline incandescent/halogen bulb would need to be replaced multiple times. In December 2019, DOE issued a final determination for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSILs), finding that the more stringent standards (45 lumen per watt) prescribed in the 2007 EISA regulation to become effective in 2020 (known as the ‘Backstop’ provision), was not economically justified. However, natural growth of LED market share has, and will continue to grow over the lifetime of the measure, and so a single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecast decline in annual savings. See ‘Lighting Forecast Workbook\_2021.xls’ for details.

The calculated mid-life adjustments for 2022 are provided below for each fixture type:

Lamp Category	Year on adjustment is applied	Adjustment
ENERGY STAR	5	45%
CEE Tier 2	5	52%

**For example**, a 11W LED lamp, 900 lumens, CRI 85, is purchased through retail in 2022:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= ((23.9 - 11) / 1000) * 0.90 * 1,157 * (0.93 + (1.11 - 1)) \\ &= 14.0 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

This value should be claimed for four years, but from year five until the end of the measure life for that same lamp, savings should be reduced to (14.0 \* 0.45 =) 6.3 kWh for the remainder of the measure life. Note these adjustments should be applied to kW and fuel impacts as well.

### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

WHFdCool = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22 <sup>632</sup>

<sup>630</sup> The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as 1 + (0.34 / 2.8)). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm (-0.02 \* SEER<sup>2</sup>) + (1.12 \* SEER) (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master’s Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

<sup>631</sup> The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as 1 + (0.88\*(0.34 / 2.8)). Based on assumption that 88% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

<sup>632</sup> The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as 1 + (0.61 / 2.8)). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown (e.g. Retail, Upstream and Efficiency Kits)	1.19 <sup>633</sup>

CF = Summer peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Bulb Location	CF
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily <sup>634</sup>	13.1%
Exterior <sup>635</sup>	1.8%
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits) <sup>636</sup>	12.5%

Other factors as defined above.

**For example**, for a 11W LED lamp, 900 lumens, purchased through retail in 2022:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= ((23.9 - 11) / 1000) * 0.90 * 1.19 * 0.125 \\ &= 0.0017 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes:<sup>637</sup>

$$\Delta Therms = - \frac{\frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta_{Heat}} * \%GasHeat$$

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated  
 = 53% for interior or unknown location<sup>638</sup>  
 = 0% for exterior or unheated location

0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms

$\eta_{Heat_{Gas}}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
 = 74%<sup>639</sup>

%GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

<sup>633</sup> The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as  $1 + (0.88 * 0.61 / 2.8)$ ).

<sup>634</sup> Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

<sup>635</sup> Based on Itron eShapes lighting loadprofiles.

<sup>636</sup> Assumes 5% exterior lighting, based on PYPY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

<sup>637</sup> Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

<sup>638</sup> This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

<sup>639</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% <sup>640</sup>

**For example**, for a 11W LED lamp, 900 lumens, purchased through retail in 2022:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= - (((23.9 - 11) / 1000) * 0.90 * 1,157 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74) * 0.83 \\ &= - 0.27 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \frac{\Delta\text{Therms}}{\text{HeatDays}}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= \text{Therm impact calculated above} \\ \text{HeatDays} &= \text{Heat season days per year} \\ &= 217^{641}\end{aligned}$$

**For example**, for a 11W LED lamp, 900 lumens, purchased through retail in 2022:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= - 0.27 / 217 \\ &= -0.0013 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

In order to account for the shift in baseline due to the natural growth of LED over the lifetime of the measure, an annual levelized baseline replacement cost over the lifetime of the LED bulb is calculated. Bulb replacement costs assumed in the O&M calculations are provided below.<sup>642</sup>

CRI	Product Type	Cost
<90	Inc/Hal	\$1.40
	CFL	\$1.68
	LED	\$3.16
>=90	Inc/Hal	\$1.40
	CFL	\$1.95
	LED	\$3.67

The present value of replacement lamps and annual levelized replacement costs using the statewide real discount

<sup>640</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results

<sup>641</sup> Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

<sup>642</sup> Lamp costs are based upon WECC review of bulbs purchased through the Alliant program January – April 2017 and equivalent baseline bulbs.

rate of 7.20% are presented below:<sup>643</sup>

CRI	Location	PV of replacement costs for period	Levelized annual replacement cost savings
		2022 Installs	2022 Installs
<90	Residential and in-unit Multifamily	\$2.62	\$0.38
	Exterior	\$4.99	\$0.72
	Unknown	\$2.62	\$0.38
>=90	Residential and in-unit Multifamily	\$2.71	\$0.39
	Exterior	\$5.08	\$0.73
	Unknown	\$2.71	\$0.39

**MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDA-V07-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

<sup>643</sup> See “2020 LED Measure Cost and O&M Calc.xlsx” for more information.

## 2.5.4 LED Lamp – Specialty

### DESCRIPTION

This characterization provides savings assumptions for LED Directional, Decorative, and Globe lamps. This characterization provides assumptions for when the LED is installed in a known location (i.e., residential and in-unit interior or exterior) or, if the implementation strategy does not allow for the installation location to be known (e.g., an upstream retail program or efficiency kit), an unknown residential location assumption is provided. For upstream programs, utilities should develop an assumption of the Residential v Nonresidential split and apply the relevant assumptions to each portion.

The Technical Advisory Committee approved assuming a blended baseline condition of incandescent/halogen, CFL and LED lamps. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

A DOE Final Rule released on 1/19/2017 updated the definition of General Service Lamps (GSL) as provided in the 2009 Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA) such that the lamp types characterized in this measure would become subject to the backstop provision in EISA, which requires that after January 1, 2020, all lamps meet efficiency criteria of at least 45 lumens per watt.

On 9/5/2019 DOE repealed the 2017 Final rule, preventing this expansion of the definition of General Service Lamp to include these lamps. However, natural growth of LED market share has, and will continue to grow over the lifetime of the measure, and since baseline halogens would need to be replaced multiple times within the life of the measure, a single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecasted decline in annual savings.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, new lamps must be ENERGY STAR labeled based upon the v2.1 ENERGY STAR specification for lamps

([https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification\\_1.pdf](https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification_1.pdf)) or CEE Tier 2 qualified. Specifications are as follows:

Efficiency Level	Lamp Type	Lumens / watt	
		CRI<90	CRI≥90
ENERGY STAR v2.1	Directional	70	61
	Decorative / Globe	65	65
CEE Tier 2 <sup>644</sup>	Directional	85	70
	Decorative / Globe	95	80

Qualification could also be based on the Design Light Consortium's qualified product list.<sup>645</sup>

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition for this measure is assumed to be a blend of 42% EISA qualified halogen or incandescent and 58% baseline LED for decorative and globe lamps, and 14% EISA qualified halogen or incandescent and 86% baseline LED for decorative and globe lamps.<sup>646</sup> Lamp types include those exempt of the EISA 2007 standard: three-way, plant light, daylight bulb, bug light, post light, globes G40 (≤40W equivalent (We)), candelabra base (≤60We),

<sup>644</sup> Also required to have dimming capability.

<sup>645</sup> <https://www.designlights.org/QPL>

<sup>646</sup> Based on review of CREED LightTracker data for Illinois and DOE, 2019 'Energy Savings Forecast of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications'. See 'Lighting Forecast Workbook.xls'.

vibration service bulb, decorative candle with medium or intermediate base ( $\leq 40\text{We}$ ), shatter resistant, and reflector bulbs, and standard bulbs greater than 2601 lumens, and those non-exempt from EISA 2007: dimmable, globes (less than 5" diameter and  $>40\text{We}$ ), candle (shapes B, BA, CA  $>40\text{We}$ ), candelabra base lamps ( $>60\text{We}$ ), and intermediate base lamps ( $>40\text{We}$ ). Note however that all lamps are subject to a baseline shift to account for the natural growth in LEDs over the lifetime of the measure.

#### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The rated life for directional bulbs is assumed to be 25,042 and for decorative bulbs, 17,129 hours.<sup>647</sup> This would imply a lifetime of 33 years for residential interior directional, 10 years for residential exterior directional, 22.4 years for residential interior decorative, and 6.9 years for residential exterior decorative; however, all installations are capped at 10 years.<sup>648</sup>

#### DEEMED MEASURE COST

Wherever possible, actual incremental costs should be used. If unavailable assume the following incremental costs:<sup>649</sup>

Bulb Type	CRI	Product Type	Cost	Incremental Cost
Directional	<90	Baseline	\$7.42	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$7.80	\$0.38
		CEE T2 LED	\$18.96	\$11.55
	$\geq 90$	Baseline	\$7.27	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$7.63	\$0.36
		CEE T2 LED	\$18.54	\$11.28
Decorative	<90	Baseline	\$5.62	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$7.50	\$1.88
		CEE T2 LED	\$7.83	\$2.21
	$\geq 90$	Baseline	\$6.31	n/a
		ESTAR LED	\$8.69	\$2.38
		CEE T2 LED	\$9.08	\$2.76

#### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE09 – Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape RE10 – Residential Outdoor Lighting

#### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

<sup>647</sup> Average rated life of directional and decorative bulbs on the ENERGY STAR qualified products list as of April, 2020.

<sup>648</sup> Based on recommendation in the Dunsy Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations, and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-18. Particularly in residential applications, lamps are susceptible to persistence issues such as removal, new occupants, etc.

<sup>649</sup> Lamp costs are based upon WECC review of bulbs purchased through the Alliant program January – April 2017. The baseline cost reflects the baseline mix. See “2021 LED Measure Cost and O&M Calc.xls” for more information.

Where:

- Watts<sub>Base</sub> = Based on lumens of LED bulb installed and includes blend of incandescent/halogen,<sup>650</sup> CFL and LED by weightings provided in table below.<sup>651</sup> Note that when an IA net-to-gross (NTG) factor is determined for this measure, this blended baseline should be replaced with the Incandescent/Halogen baseline only.
- Watts<sub>EE</sub> = Actual wattage of LED purchased / installed. If unknown, use default provided below:<sup>652</sup>

---

<sup>650</sup> Incandescent/Halogen wattage is based upon the ENERGY STAR specification for lamps ([http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201\\_Specification.pdf](http://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V1%201_Specification.pdf)) and the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 2012.

<sup>651</sup> Weightings based on review of CREED LightTracker data and DOE, 2019 'Energy Savings Forecast of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications'. See 'Lighting Forecast Workbook.xls'.

<sup>652</sup> Watts<sub>EE</sub> defaults are based upon the ENERGY STAR minimum luminous efficacy (for the mid-point of the lumen range). See calculations in file "2017 Lighting Updates and Baseline Estimates."



Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.5.4 LED Lamp – Specialty

EISA exempt bulb types:

Bulb Type		Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/ Hal	Watts <sup>EE</sup> Baseline LED	Watts <sup>Base</sup>	WattsEff ESTAR		WattsEff CEE T2		DeltaWatts ESTAR		DeltaWatts CEE T2	
				42%	58%		CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90*	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90
EISA Exempt	3-Way <sup>653</sup>	250	449	25	5.0	13.4	4.4	5.0	3.7	4.4	9.0	8.4	9.7	9.0
		450	799	40	8.9	21.9	7.8	8.9	6.6	7.8	14.1	13.0	15.3	14.1
		800	1,099	60	13.6	33.0	11.9	13.6	10.0	11.9	21.1	19.4	23.0	21.1
		1,100	1,599	75	19.3	42.6	16.9	19.3	14.2	16.9	25.7	23.3	28.4	25.7
		1,600	1,999	100	25.7	56.7	22.5	25.7	18.9	22.5	34.3	31.0	37.8	34.3
		2,000	2,549	125	32.5	71.1	28.4	32.5	23.9	28.4	42.7	38.7	47.2	42.7
		2,550	2,999	150	39.6	85.7	34.7	39.6	29.2	34.7	51.1	46.1	56.5	51.1
	Globe (medium and intermediate base < 750 lumens)	90	179	10	2.4	5.6	2.1	2.1	1.4	1.7	3.5	3.5	4.2	3.9
		180	249	15	3.9	8.5	3.3	3.3	2.3	2.7	5.2	5.2	6.3	5.9
		250	349	25	5.4	13.6	4.6	4.6	3.2	3.7	9.0	9.0	10.5	9.9
		350	749	40	10.0	22.5	8.5	8.5	5.8	6.9	14.1	14.1	16.7	15.7
	Decorative (Shapes B, BA, C, CA, DC, F, G, medium and intermediate bases less than 750 lumens)	70	89	10	1.4	5.0	1.2	1.2	0.8	1.0	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.0
		90	149	15	2.2	7.5	1.8	1.8	1.3	1.5	5.7	5.7	6.3	6.0
		150	299	25	4.1	12.8	3.5	3.5	2.4	2.8	9.4	9.4	10.5	10.0
		300	749	40	9.5	22.3	8.1	8.1	5.5	6.6	14.2	14.2	16.7	15.7
	Globe (candelabra bases less than 1050 lumens)	90	179	10	2.4	5.6	2.1	2.1	1.4	1.7	3.5	3.5	4.2	3.9
		180	249	15	3.9	8.5	3.3	3.3	2.3	2.7	5.2	5.2	6.3	5.9
		250	349	25	5.4	13.6	4.6	4.6	3.2	3.7	9.0	9.0	10.5	9.9
		350	499	40	7.7	21.2	6.5	6.5	4.5	5.3	14.7	14.7	16.7	15.9
		500	1,049	60	14.1	33.3	11.9	11.9	8.2	9.7	21.4	21.4	25.1	23.6
	Decorative (Shapes B, BA, C, CA, DC, F, G, candelabra bases less than 1050 lumens)	70	89	10	1.4	5.0	1.2	1.2	0.8	1.0	3.8	3.8	4.2	4.0
		90	149	15	2.2	7.5	1.8	1.8	1.3	1.5	5.7	5.7	6.3	6.0
		150	299	25	4.1	12.8	3.5	3.5	2.4	2.8	9.4	9.4	10.5	10.0
		300	499	40	7.3	20.9	6.1	6.1	4.2	5.0	14.8	14.8	16.7	15.9
		500	1,049	60	14.1	33.3	11.9	11.9	8.2	9.7	21.4	21.4	25.1	23.6
Weighted Average, if unknown <sup>654</sup>						26.3	9.4				16.9			

<sup>653</sup> For 3-way bulbs or fixtures, the product's median lumens value will be used to determine both LED and baseline wattages.

<sup>654</sup> Weighted average is based on 2018 and 2019 data provided by MidAmerican and Alliant. Assumes ENERGY STAR CRI<90 for the efficient wattage.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.5.4 LED Lamp – Specialty

\*If lumen range is known but Efficiency rating or CRI is unknown assume ESTAR and CRI<90.

Directional Lamps – For Directional R, BR, and ER lamp types:<sup>655</sup>

Bulb Type		Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	Watts <sup>EE</sup> Baseline LED	Watts <sub>Base</sub>	WattsEff ESTAR		WattsEff CEE T2		DeltaWatts ESTAR		DeltaWatts CEE T2	
				14%	86%		CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90*	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90
Directional	R, ER, BR with medium screw bases w/ diameter >2.25" (*see exceptions below)	420	472	40	7.4	11.9	6.4	7.3	5.2	6.4	5.5	4.6	6.6	5.5
		473	524	45	8.3	13.3	7.1	8.2	5.9	7.1	6.2	5.1	7.5	6.2
		525	714	50	10.3	15.7	8.9	10.2	7.3	8.9	6.9	5.6	8.5	6.9
		715	937	65	13.8	20.8	11.8	13.5	9.7	11.8	9.0	7.2	11.0	9.0
		938	1,259	75	18.3	26.0	15.7	18.0	12.9	15.7	10.4	8.0	13.1	10.4
		1,260	1,399	90	22.2	31.4	19.0	21.8	15.6	19.0	12.4	9.6	15.8	12.4
		1,400	1,739	100	26.2	36.2	22.4	25.7	18.5	22.4	13.8	10.5	17.8	13.8
		1,740	2,174	120	32.6	44.5	28.0	32.1	23.0	28.0	16.6	12.5	21.5	16.6
		2,175	2,624	150	40.0	55.0	34.3	39.3	28.2	34.3	20.7	15.7	26.8	20.7
		2,625	2,999	175	46.9	64.4	40.2	46.1	33.1	40.2	24.2	18.3	31.3	24.2
	3,000	4,500	200	62.5	81.3	53.6	61.5	44.1	53.6	27.7	19.8	37.1	27.7	
	*R, BR, and ER with medium screw bases w/ diameter ≤2.25"	400	449	40	7.1	11.6	6.1	7.0	5.0	6.1	5.5	4.6	6.6	5.5
		450	499	45	7.9	13.0	6.8	7.8	5.6	6.8	6.2	5.2	7.4	6.2
		500	649	50	9.6	15.1	8.2	9.4	6.8	8.2	6.9	5.7	8.3	6.9
		650	1,199	65	15.4	22.2	13.2	15.2	10.9	13.2	9.0	7.0	11.3	9.0
	*ER30, BR30, BR40, or ER40	400	449	40	7.1	11.6	6.1	7.0	5.0	6.1	5.5	4.6	6.6	5.5
		450	499	45	7.9	13.0	6.8	7.8	5.6	6.8	6.2	5.2	7.4	6.2
		500	649	50	9.6	15.1	8.2	9.4	6.8	8.2	6.9	5.7	8.3	6.9
	*BR30, BR40, or ER40	650	1,419	65	17.2	23.8	14.8	17.0	12.2	14.8	9.0	6.8	11.6	9.0
	*R20	400	449	40	7.1	11.6	6.1	7.0	5.0	6.1	5.5	4.6	6.6	5.5
		450	719	45	9.7	14.6	8.4	9.6	6.9	8.4	6.2	5.0	7.7	6.2
	*All reflector	200	299	20	4.2	6.3	3.6	4.1	2.9	3.6	2.8	2.2	3.4	2.8

<sup>655</sup> From pg. 13 of the Energy Star Specification for lamps v2.1.

Iowa Energy Efficiency Statewide Technical Reference Manual—2.5.4 LED Lamp – Specialty

Bulb Type		Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/Halogen	Watts <sub>EE</sub> Baseline LED	Watts <sub>Base</sub>	WattsEff ESTAR		WattsEff CEE T2		DeltaWatts ESTAR		DeltaWatts CEE T2	
				14%	86%		CRI <90	CRI ≥90	CRI <90	CRI ≥90	CRI <90*	CRI ≥90	CRI <90	CRI ≥90
	lamps below lumen ranges specified above	300	399	30	5.8	9.1	5.0	5.7	4.1	5.0	4.1	3.4	5.0	4.1
Weighted Average, if unknown <sup>656</sup>						20.6	12.2				8.5			

\*If lumen range is known but Efficiency rating or CRI is unknown assume ESTAR and CRI<90. Directional lamps are exempt from first phase of EISA regulations.

EISA non-exempt bulb types:

Bulb Type		Lower Lumen Range	Upper Lumen Range	Inc/ Hal	Watts <sup>EE</sup> LED	Watts  Base	WattsEff ESTAR		WattsEff CEE T2		DeltaWatts ESTAR		DeltaWatts CEE T2	
				42%	58%		CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90	CRI <90*	CRI >=90	CRI <90	CRI >=90
EISA Non-Exempt	Dimmable Twist, Globe (<5" in diameter and > 749 lumens), candle (shapes B, BA, CA > 749 lumens), Candelabra Base Lamps (>1049 lumens), Intermediate Base Lamps (>749 lumens)	250	309	25	5.1	13.4	3.5	4.0	2.9	3.5	9.9	9.4	10.5	9.9
		310	749	29	9.6	17.7	6.6	7.6	5.6	6.6	11.1	10.2	12.1	11.1
		750	1049	43	16.4	27.5	11.2	12.9	9.5	11.2	16.2	14.6	18.0	16.2
		1050	1489	53	23.1	35.6	15.9	18.1	13.4	15.9	19.7	17.4	22.2	19.7
		1490	2600	72	37.2	51.7	25.6	29.2	21.5	25.6	26.2	22.5	30.2	26.2
Weighted Average, if unknown <sup>657</sup>						29.5	12.4			17.1				

\*If lumen range is known but Efficiency rating or CRI is unknown assume ESTAR and CRI<90.

<sup>656</sup> Weighted average is based on 2018 and 2019 data provided by MidAmerican and Alliant. Assumes ENERGY STAR CRI<90 for the efficient wattage.

<sup>657</sup> Weighted average is based on 2018 and 2019 data provided by MidAmerican and Alliant. Assumes ENERGY STAR CRI<90 for the efficient wattage.

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service

Program		Discounted In Service Rate (ISR) <sup>658</sup>
Retail (Time of Sale) <sup>659</sup>		90%
Direct Install <sup>660</sup>		97%
Efficiency Kits	Schools <sup>661</sup>	60%
	Residential <sup>662</sup>	87%

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Installation Location	Hours
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily	763 <sup>663</sup>
Exterior	2,475 <sup>664</sup>
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	1,020 <sup>665</sup>

W<sub>Heat</sub> = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

$$= 1 - ((HF / \eta_{Heat}) * \%ElecHeat)$$

If unknown assume 0.93<sup>666</sup>

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated  
 = 53% for interior or unknown location<sup>667</sup>  
 = 0% for exterior or unheated location

$\eta_{Heat_{Electric}}$  = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

<sup>658</sup> All Programs except for Direct Install assume that some lamps are not installed in the first year but are later installed in years 2 and 3. To ease implementation, these future installs are discounted using the statewide real discount rate (7.71%). The second and third year installations rates are from NREL, "Chapter 6: Residential Lighting Evaluation Protocol of the Uniform Methods Project," October 2017. See "Res Lighting ISR calculation\_2019.xlsx" for more information.

<sup>659</sup> 1<sup>st</sup> year in service rate is a weighted average of ComEd PY7, PY8 and PY9 intercept data.

<sup>660</sup> Based upon review of the Illinois PY2 and PY3 ComEd Direct Install program surveys. <http://www.ilsag.info/evaluation-documents.html>

<sup>661</sup> In Service Rates provided are for the bulb within a kit only. Kits provided free to students through school, with education program. 1<sup>st</sup> year ISR for school kits based on ComEd PY9 data for the Elementary Energy Education program.

<sup>662</sup> Based on weighted average of MidAmerican Energy Company & TetraTech "Residential Assessment Impact and Process Evaluation FINAL". December 22, 2020, APPENDIX B: IN-SERVICE RATES ANALYSIS, p. 47.

<sup>663</sup> Based on recommended value for specialty LED lamps (2.09) in interior locations from Opinion Dynamics "Illinois Statewide Residential LED Hours of Use Study Additional Results," April 17, 2018.

<sup>664</sup> Based on secondary research conducted as part of the Illinois PY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

<sup>665</sup> Based on a weighted average of interior and exterior hours of use from the IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs, finding 15% exterior specialty lighting.

<sup>666</sup> Calculated using defaults:  $1 - ((0.53/1.27) * 0.17) = 0.93$

<sup>667</sup> This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:<sup>668</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 <sup>669</sup>

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% <sup>670</sup>

WHFe<sub>Cool</sub> = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFe <sub>Cool</sub>
Building with cooling	1.12 <sup>671</sup>
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.11 <sup>672</sup>

### Mid-Life Baseline Adjustment

During the lifetime of an LED, the baseline incandescent/halogen bulb would need to be replaced multiple times. The market share of LED will continue to grow over the lifetime of the measure, and since baseline halogens would need to be replaced multiple times within the life of the measure, a single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecasted decline in annual savings. See 'Lighting Forecast Workbook\_2021.xls' for details.

The calculated mid-life adjustments for 2022 are provided below for each fixture type:

<sup>668</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>669</sup> Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

<sup>670</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

<sup>671</sup> The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as  $1 + (0.34 / 2.8)$ ). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm  $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$  (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

<sup>672</sup> The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as  $1 + (0.88 * (0.34 / 2.8))$ ). Based on assumption that 88% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

Lamp Category	Efficiency Level	Year on adjustment is applied	Adjustment
Decorative and Globe lamps	ENERGY STAR	5	62%
	CEE Tier 2	5	68%
Directional lamps	ENERGY STAR	5	68%
	CEE Tier 2	5	75%

This reduced annual savings will need to be incorporated in to cost effectiveness screening calculations. The baseline adjustment also impacts the O&M schedule.

**For example**, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, 85 CRI decorative LED bulb purchased through retail in 2022:

$$\Delta kWh = ((12.8 - 5) / 1000) * 0.90 * 1,020 * (0.93 + (1.11 - 1))$$

$$= 7.4 \text{ kWh}$$

This value should be claimed for five years, but from year five until the end of the measure life for that same lamp, savings should be reduced to  $(7.4 * 0.62 =) 4.6 \text{ kWh}$  for the remainder of the measure life. Note these adjustments should be applied to kW and fuel impacts as well.

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

WHFdCool = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22 <sup>673</sup>
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits)	1.19 <sup>674</sup>

CF = Summer Peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Bulb Location	CF
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily <sup>675</sup>	13.1%
Exterior <sup>676</sup>	1.8%
Unknown (e.g., Retail, Upstream, and Efficiency Kits) <sup>677</sup>	11.4%

Other factors as defined above

<sup>673</sup> The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as  $1 + (0.61 / 2.8)$ ). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

<sup>674</sup> The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as  $1 + (0.88 * 0.61 / 2.8)$ ).

<sup>675</sup> Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

<sup>676</sup> Based on Itron eShapes lighting loadprofiles.

<sup>677</sup> Assumes 15% exterior lighting, based on IL Statewide LED Lighting Logger study conducted as part of the PY8/PY9 evaluations of the Ameren Illinois and ComEd Residential Lighting programs.

**For example**, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, decorative LED bulb purchased through retail in 2022:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= ((12.8 - 5) / 1000) * 0.90 * 1.19 * 0.114 \\ &= 0.0010 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes:<sup>678</sup>

$$\Delta Therms = - \frac{\frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta_{Heat}} * \%GasHeat$$

Where:

- HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated  
 = 53% for interior or unknown location<sup>679</sup>  
 = 0% for exterior or unheated location
- 0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms
- $\eta_{Heat_{Gas}}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
 = 74%<sup>680</sup>
- %GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% <sup>681</sup>

**For example**, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, decorative LED bulb purchased through retail in 2022:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Therms &= - (((12.8 - 5) / 1000) * 0.90 * 1,020 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74 * 0.83 \\ &= - 0.14 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

<sup>678</sup> Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

<sup>679</sup> This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

<sup>680</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>681</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results

Where:

$\Delta$ Therms = Therm impact calculated above  
HeatDays = Heat season days per year  
= 217<sup>682</sup>

**For example**, for a 5W LED lamp, 200 lumens, decorative LED bulb purchased through retail in 2022:

$\Delta$ PeakTherms = - 0.14 /217  
= -0.00067 therms

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

In order to account for the shift in baseline due to the natural growth of LED over the lifetime of the measure, an annual levelized baseline replacement cost over the lifetime of the LED bulb is calculated. Bulb replacement costs assumed in the O&M calculations are provided below.<sup>683</sup>

Lamp Type	CRI	Product Type	Cost
Directional	<90	Inc/Hal	\$5.00
		LED	\$7.80
	>=90	Inc/Hal	\$5.00
		LED	\$7.63
Decorative	<90	Inc/Hal	\$3.00
		LED	\$7.50
	>=90	Inc/Hal	\$3.00
		LED	\$8.69

<sup>682</sup> Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

<sup>683</sup> Lamp costs are based upon WECC review of bulbs purchased through the Alliant program January – April 2017 and equivalent baseline bulbs.



The present value of replacement lamps and annual levelized replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 7.20% are presented below:<sup>684</sup>

			PV of replacement costs for period	Levelized annual replacement cost savings
Lamp Type	CRI	Location	2021 Installs	2021 Installs
Directional	<90	Residential and in-unit Multifamily	\$3.41	\$0.49
		Exterior	\$8.44	\$1.21
		Unknown	\$3.41	\$0.49
	≥90	Residential and in-unit Multifamily	\$3.40	\$0.49
		Exterior	\$8.44	\$1.21
		Unknown	\$3.40	\$0.49
Decorative	<90	Residential and in-unit Multifamily	\$6.50	\$0.93
		Exterior	\$11.59	\$1.67
		Unknown	\$6.50	\$0.93
	≥90	Residential and in-unit Multifamily	\$6.64	\$0.95
		Exterior	\$11.71	\$1.68
		Unknown	\$6.64	\$0.95

**MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LEDS-V06-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

<sup>684</sup> See “2020 LED Measure Cost and O&M Calc.xlsx” for more information.

## 2.5.5 LED Exit Signs

### DESCRIPTION

This measure characterizes the savings associated with installing a Light Emitting Diode (LED) exit sign in place of an existing fluorescent/compact fluorescent (CFL) or incandescent exit sign in a Multifamily building. LED exit signs use a lower wattage of power ( $\leq 5$  Watts) and have a significantly longer life compared to standard signs that can use up to 40 watts.<sup>685</sup> This in addition to reduced maintenance needs, and characteristic low-temperature light quality makes LED exit signs a superior option compared to other exit sign technologies available today.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF, DI.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient equipment is assumed to be an exit sign illuminated by LEDs with an input power demand of 5 watts or less per face.<sup>686</sup>

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline is the existing system (either a CFL or incandescent unit)

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure life is assumed to be 13 years.<sup>687</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual material and labor costs should be used if available. If actual costs are unavailable, assume a total installed cost of \$49.<sup>688</sup>

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape E01 – Flat

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS<sup>689</sup>

$$\Delta kWh = \left( \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1000} \right) * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

Where:

$Watts_{Base}$  = Actual wattage if known, if unknown assume the following:

---

<sup>685</sup> ENERGY STAR “Save Energy, Money and Prevent Pollution with LED Exit Signs”

<sup>686</sup> ENERGY STAR “Program Requirements for Exit Signs – Eligibility Criteria” Version.3. While the EPA suspended the ENERGY STAR Exit Sign specification effective May 1, 2008, Federal requirements specify minimum efficiency standards for electrically-powered, single-faced exit signs with integral lighting sources that are equivalent to ENERGY STAR levels for input power demand of 5 watts or less per face.

<sup>687</sup> GDA Associates Inc. “Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures”, June 2007.

<sup>688</sup> Price includes new exit sign/fixture and installation. EPA ENERGY STAR Exit Sign Calculator estimates LED cost/unit is \$39 and assuming IA labor cost of 15 minutes @ \$40/hr.

<sup>689</sup> There is no ISR calculation. Exit signs and emergency lighting are required by federal regulations to be installed and functional in all public buildings as outlined by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Standards (USOSHA 1993).

Project Type	Baseline Type	Watts <sub>Base</sub>
Retrofit/Direct Install <sup>690</sup>	Incandescent (dual sided)	40W <sup>691</sup>
	Incandescent (single sided)	20W
	CFL (dual sided)	14W <sup>692</sup>
	CFL (single sided)	7W

Watts<sub>EE</sub> = Actual wattage if known, if unknown assume singled sided 2W and dual sided 4W<sup>693</sup>

Hours = Annual operating hours  
= 8766

WHF<sub>Heat</sub> = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

$$= 1 - ((HF / \eta_{Heat}) * \%ElecHeat)$$

If unknown, assume 0.93<sup>694</sup>

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must be heated

= 53%<sup>695</sup> for interior or unknown location

= 0% for exterior or unheated location

$\eta_{Heat}$  = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:<sup>696</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{Heat}$ (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 <sup>697</sup>

<sup>690</sup> If program type does not know baseline assume the ratio of present incandescent to fluorescent exit sign units to be a deemed a weighted baseline of 70% incandescent to 30% CFL = 32.2W. This ratio has been used by ComEd and is reflective of program experience. In lieu of IA specific market research, we consider this evaluation to be reasonable.

<sup>691</sup> Average incandescent watts are assumed at 40W as listed by the U.S. Department of Energy, ENERGY STAR Life Cycle Cost Exit-Sign Calculator available at [https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=exit\\_signs.pr\\_exit\\_signs](https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=exit_signs.pr_exit_signs).

<sup>692</sup> Average CFL single sided (5W, 7W, 9W) from Appendix B 2013-14 Table of Standard Fixture Wattages. Available at: <http://www.aesc-inc.com/download/spc/2013SPCDocs/PGE/App%20B%20Standard%20Fixture%20Watts.pdf>

<sup>693</sup> Average Exit LED watts are assumed as a 2W as listed in Appendix B 2013-14 Table of Standard Fixture Wattages. Available at: <http://www.aesc-inc.com/download/spc/2013SPCDocs/PGE/App%20B%20Standard%20Fixture%20Watts.pdf>

<sup>693</sup> Average LED single sided (2W) from Appendix B 2013-14 Table of Standard Fixture Wattages. Available at: <http://www.aesc-inc.com/download/spc/2013SPCDocs/PGE/App%20B%20Standard%20Fixture%20Watts.pdf>

<sup>694</sup> Calculated using defaults;  $1 - ((0.53/1.27) * 0.17) = 0.93$

<sup>695</sup> This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, and Mason City and Burlington.

<sup>696</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>697</sup> Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% <sup>698</sup>

WHFeCool = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting.

Bulb Location	WHFeCool
Building with cooling	1.12 <sup>699</sup>
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.11 <sup>700</sup>

**For example,** for a 4W, dual sided LED exit sign replacing a CFL lamp in electrically heated building with cooling:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= ((14 - 4) / 1000) * 8,766 * (0.58 + (1.12 - 1)) \\ &= 61.4 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

**SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS<sup>701</sup>**

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

WHFdCool = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting

Bulb Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22 <sup>702</sup>
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.19 <sup>703</sup>

CF = Summer peak Coincidence Factor for this measure

efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

<sup>698</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

<sup>699</sup> The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as  $1 + (0.34 / 2.8)$ ). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, and Mason City and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm  $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$  (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to  $COP = EER / 3.412 = 2.8COP$ ).

<sup>700</sup> The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as  $1 + (0.88 * (0.34 / 2.8))$ ). Based on assumption that 88% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

<sup>701</sup> There is no ISR calculation. Exit signs and emergency lighting are required by federal regulations to be installed and functional in all public buildings as outlined by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Standards (USOSHA 1993).

<sup>702</sup> The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as  $1 + (0.61 / 2.8)$ ). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

<sup>703</sup> The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as  $1 + (0.88 * 0.61 / 2.8)$ ).

$$= 1.0^{704}$$

**For example**, for a 4W, dual sided LED exit sign replacing a CFL lamp in a building with cooling:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= ((14 - 4) / 1000) * 1.22 * 1.0 \\ &= 0.0122 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS ENERGY SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes:<sup>705</sup>

$$\Delta Therms = - \frac{\frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta_{HeatGas}} * \%GasHeat$$

Where:

- HF = Heating factor, or percentage of lighting savings that must be replaced by heating system.  
 = 53% for interior or unknown location<sup>706</sup>  
 = 0% for exterior or unheated location
- 0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms
- $\eta_{HeatGas}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
 = 74%<sup>707</sup>
- %GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% <sup>708</sup>

**For example**, for a 4W, dual sided LED exit sign replacing a CFL lamp in gas heated building:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta Therms &= - (((14 - 4) / 1000) * 8,766 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74 * 1.0 \\ &= - 2.1 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings

<sup>704</sup> <sup>704</sup> Assuming continuous operation of an LED exit sign, the Summer Peak Coincidence Factor is assumed to equal 1.0.

<sup>705</sup> Results in a negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

<sup>706</sup> This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

<sup>707</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>708</sup> Based on Dunsky and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$  = Therm impact calculated above  
 $HeatDays$  = Heat season days per year  
 = 217<sup>709</sup>

**For example**, for a 4W, dual sided LED exit sign replacing a CFL lamp in gas heated building:

$\Delta PeakTherms$  = -2.1/217  
 = -0.0097 therms

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

The annual O&M Cost Adjustment savings should be calculated using the following component costs and lifetimes.

Program Type	Component	Baseline Measure	
		Cost	Life (yrs)
Retrofit/Direct Install <sup>710</sup>	CFL lamp	\$13.00 <sup>711</sup>	0.57 years <sup>712</sup>
	Incandescent lamp	\$11.27 <sup>713</sup>	0.17 years <sup>714</sup>

**MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-EXIT-V02-180101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

<sup>709</sup> Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

<sup>710</sup> If program component is unknown use 70/30 split for costs and life = \$11.87 and 0.29 yrs

<sup>711</sup> Consistent with assumption as listed by the U.S. Department of Energy, ENERGY STAR Life Cycle Cost Exit-Sign Calculator available at [https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=exit\\_signs.pr\\_exit\\_signs](https://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=exit_signs.pr_exit_signs) for estimated labor cost of \$10 (assuming \$40/hour and a task time of 15 minutes). Replacement of a CFL bulb is assumed to be \$3 as noted by regional IA program details (IPL Business Assessment).

<sup>712</sup> ENERGY STAR "Save Energy, Money and Prevent Pollution with LED Exit Signs" specifies that CFL bulbs for Exit Signs typically have an average rated life of 5000-6000 hours. Given 24/7 run time assume Exit Light replacement requirements as 5,500/8760.

<sup>713</sup> Assume incandescent A-lamp 45W is \$1.27 per Itron, Ex Ante Measure cost Study, 2014 "WA017\_MCS Results Matrix - Volume I (1).xlsx"

<sup>714</sup> ENERGY STAR "Save Energy, Money and Prevent Pollution with LED Exit Signs" specifies that a typical incandescent exit sign bulb will be approx. 40W and will have a rated life of 500-2000 hours. Given 24/7 run time of the Exit Sign the replacement requirements would be an average of 1500/8766.

## 2.5.6 LED Fixtures

### DESCRIPTION

This characterization provides savings assumptions for LED Fixtures and is broken into four ENERGY STAR fixture types: Indoor Fixtures (including track lighting, wall-wash, sconces, ceiling and fan lights), Task and Under Cabinet Fixtures, Outdoor Fixtures (including flood light, hanging lights, security/path lights, outdoor porch lights), and Downlight Fixtures. For upstream programs, utilities should develop an assumption of the Residential v Nonresidential split and apply the relevant assumptions to each portion.

Federal legislation stemming from the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA) requires all general-purpose light bulbs between 40W and 100W to be approximately 30% more energy efficient than standard incandescent bulbs. Production of 100W, standard efficacy incandescent lamps ended in 2012, followed by restrictions on 75W lamps in 2013 and 60W and 40W lamps in 2014. The baseline for this measure has therefore become fixtures with bulbs (improved incandescent or halogen) that meet the new standard. Furthermore, the Technical Advisory Committee approved assuming a blended baseline condition of EISA qualified incandescent/halogen, CFL and LED lamps. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

Most of the lamp types in this measure are considered specialty so the same blended baseline of incandescent/halogen, and LED lamps is applied. This assumption should be reviewed during each update cycle and when the net to gross impacts for this measure are determined.

In December 2019, DOE issued a final determination for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSILs), finding that the more stringent standards (45 lumen per watt) prescribed in the 2007 EISA regulation to become effective in 2020 (known as the 'Backstop' provision), was not economically justified. However, natural growth of LED market share has, and will continue to grow over the lifetime of the measure, and since baseline halogens would need to be replaced multiple times within the life of the measure, a single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecasted decline in annual savings.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

In order for this characterization to apply, new fixtures must be ENERGY STAR labeled based upon the v2.1 ENERGY STAR specification for luminaires

(<https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/Luminaires%20V2.1%20Spec%20Final%20with%20Partner%20Commitments.pdf>). Specifications are as follows:

Fixture Category	Lumens/Watt
Indoor	65
Task and Under Cabinet	50
Outdoor	60
Downlight	55

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition for this measure is assumed to be a blend of 42% of the average EISA-equivalent wattages for the ENERGY STAR-qualified products, and 58% baseline LED.<sup>715</sup> Baseline LED lumens/watt are estimated below:<sup>716</sup>

<sup>715</sup> Consistent with assumptions for specialty lamps, based on review of CREED LightTracker data and DOE, 2019 'Energy Savings Forecast of Solid-State Lighting in General Illumination Applications'. See 'Lighting Forecast Workbook.xls'.

<sup>716</sup> Estimated by applying the ratio from the relevant specialty lamp measures with the ESTAR efficacy for each fixture type.

Fixture Category	Baseline LED Lumens/Watt
LED ENERGY STAR Indoor Fixture	55
LED ENERGY STAR Task /Under Cabinet Fixture	42
LED ENERGY STAR Outdoor Fixture	51
LED ENERGY STAR Downlight Fixture	47

The baseline is forecasted to continue to shift towards LEDs and therefore a mid-life adjustment is provided.

#### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The lifetime of a fixture is a function of its rated life and average hours of use. The rated life is 48,000 hours for indoor and downlight, 44,000 for task and cabinet, and 49,000 for outdoor fixtures.<sup>717</sup> This would imply a lifetime of 52 years for indoor and downlight, 60 years for task and under cabinet, and 20 years for outdoor fixtures. However, all installations are capped at 15 years,<sup>718</sup> so a 15 year measure life should be assumed.

#### DEEMED MEASURE COST

Wherever possible, actual incremental costs should be used. If unavailable, assume the following incremental costs:

Fixture Category	Incremental Cost
Indoor	\$26 <sup>719</sup>
Task /Under Cabinet	\$18 <sup>720</sup>
Outdoor	\$26
Downlight	\$13

#### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE09 – Residential Indoor Lighting

Loadshape RE10 – Residential Outdoor Lighting

#### Algorithm

#### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

##### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * (WHFeHeat + (WHFeCool - 1))$$

Where:

Watts<sub>Base</sub> = Baseline is an average of lumen-equivalent EISA wattages for ENERGY STAR products within the fixture category;<sup>721</sup> see table below

<sup>717</sup> Average rated lives are based on the average rated lives of fixtures available on the ENERGY STAR qualifying list as of 4/3/2020.

<sup>718</sup> Based on recommendation in the Dunsky Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-18.

<sup>719</sup> Incremental costs for indoor and outdoor fixtures based on ENERGY STAR Light Fixtures and Ceiling Fans Calculator, which cites “EPA research on available products, 2012.” ENERGY STAR cost assumptions were reduced by 20% to account for falling LED prices.

<sup>720</sup> Incremental costs for task/under cabinet and downlight fixtures are from the 2018 Michigan Energy Measures Database.

<sup>721</sup> See “Analysis” tab within file Residential LED Fixtures\_Analysis\_2021.xlsx for baseline calculations.



$Watts_{EE}$  = Actual wattage of LED fixture purchased / installed – If unknown, use default provided below<sup>722</sup>

Fixture Category	$Watts_{Base}$	$Watts_{EE}$
Indoor	61.2	23.9
Task /Under Cabinet	45.9	11.5
Outdoor	58.7	19.0
Downlight	54.8	18.4

ISR = In Service Rate, the percentage of units rebated that are actually in service  
= 1.0<sup>723</sup>

Hours = Average hours of use per year

Fixture Category	Hours
Residential and Downlight	926 <sup>724</sup>
Task/Under Cabinet	730 <sup>725</sup>
Outdoor	2,475 <sup>726</sup>

$WHF_{Heat}$  = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for electric heating increase from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting (if fossil fuel heating – see calculation of heating penalty in that section).

$$= 1 - ((HF / \eta_{Heat}) * \%ElecHeat)$$

If unknown assume 0.93<sup>727</sup>

Where:

HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated  
= 53% for interior<sup>728</sup>  
= 0% for exterior or unheated location

$\eta_{HeatElectric}$  = Efficiency in COP of Heating equipment

= Actual system efficiency including duct loss – If not available, use:<sup>729</sup>

<sup>722</sup> Average of ENERGY STAR product category watts for products at or above the version 2.1 efficacy specification, and weighted average baseline of halogen and baseline LED. The ENERGY STAR QPL was accessed on 04/03/2020. See “Residential LED Fixture Analysis\_2021.xls”.

<sup>723</sup> ISR recommendation for fixtures in the Dunskey Energy Consulting, Livingston Energy Innovations and Opinion Dynamics Corporation; NEEP Emerging Technology Research Report, p 6-22.

<sup>724</sup> Assuming 365.25 days/year and average of recommended values for standard LED lamps (1088) and specialty LED lamps (763) in interior locations from Opinion Dynamics “Illinois Statewide Residential LED Hours of Use Study Additional Results,” April 17, 2018.

<sup>725</sup> Task/under cabinet hours of use are estimated at 2 hours per day.

<sup>726</sup> Based on secondary research conducted as part of the Illinois PY5/PY6 ComEd Residential Lighting Program evaluation.

<sup>727</sup> Calculated using defaults;  $1 - ((0.53/1.27) * 0.17) = 0.93$ .

<sup>728</sup> This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

<sup>729</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) (HSPF/3.412)*0.85
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.7
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92
	2015 on	8.2	2.04
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1
Unknown	N/A	N/A	1.27 <sup>730</sup>

%ElecHeat = Percentage of home with electric heat

Heating fuel	%ElecHeat
Electric	100%
Fossil Fuel	0%
Unknown	17% <sup>731</sup>

WHFe<sub>Cool</sub> = Waste Heat Factor for energy to account for cooling savings from reducing waste heat from efficient lighting.

Fixture Location	WHFe <sub>Cool</sub>
Building with cooling	1.12 <sup>732</sup>
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown	1.11 <sup>733</sup>

### Mid-Life Baseline Adjustment

During the lifetime of an LED fixture, baseline incandescent/halogen lamps would need to be replaced multiple times. The market share of LED replacement lamps will continue to grow over the lifetime of the measure, and since baseline halogens would need to be replaced multiple times within the life of the measure, a single mid-life adjustment is calculated that results in an equivalent net present value of lifetime savings as the forecasted decline in annual savings. See 'Residential LED Fixture Analysis\_2021.xls' for details. This reduced annual savings will need to be incorporated in to cost effectiveness screening calculations. The baseline adjustment also impacts the O&M schedule.

The calculated mid-life adjustments are provided below for each fixture type:

Fixture Category	Year on adjustment is applied	Adjustment
Indoor	5	73%
Task /Under Cabinet	5	64%
Outdoor	5	71%

<sup>730</sup> Calculation assumes 33% Heat Pump and 67% Resistance, which is based upon data from Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, see "HC6.9 Space Heating in Midwest Region.xls". Average efficiency of heat pump is based on the assumption that 50% are units from before 2006 and 50% 2006-2014.

<sup>731</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results.

<sup>732</sup> The value is estimated at 1.12 (calculated as  $1 + (0.34 / 2.8)$ ). Based on cooling loads decreasing by 34% of the lighting savings (average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington), assuming typical cooling system operating efficiency of 2.8 COP (starting from standard assumption of SEER 10.5 central AC unit, converted to 9.5 EER using algorithm  $(-0.02 * SEER^2) + (1.12 * SEER)$  (from Wassmer, M. (2003); A Component-Based Model for Residential Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Energy Calculations. Master's Thesis, University of Colorado at Boulder), converted to COP = EER/3.412 = 2.8COP).

<sup>733</sup> The value is estimated at 1.11 (calculated as  $1 + (0.88 * (0.34 / 2.8))$ ). Based on assumption that 88% of homes have central cooling (based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results).

Fixture Category	Year on adjustment is applied	Adjustment
Downlight	5	69%

For example, for an indoor LED fixture installed in 2022, the full savings (as calculated above in the Algorithm) should be claimed for the first four years, but a reduced annual savings (calculated energy savings above multiplied by the adjustment factor in the table above) claimed for the remainder of the measure life.

**For example**, an indoor LED fixture is purchased through retail in 2022:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= ((61.2 - 23.9) / 1000) * 1.0 * 926 * (0.93 + (1.11 - 1)) \\ &= 35.9 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

This value should be claimed for four years, but from 2026 until the end of the measure life for that same fixture, savings should be reduced to  $(35.9 * 0.73) = 26.2 \text{ kWh}$  for the remainder of the measure life. Note these adjustments should be applied to kW and fuel impacts as well.

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * WHFdCool * CF$$

Where:

WHFdCool = Waste Heat Factor for demand to account for cooling savings from efficient lighting.

Fixture Location	WHFdCool
Building with cooling	1.22 <sup>734</sup>
Building without cooling or exterior	1.0
Unknown (e.g. Retail and Upstream)	1.19 <sup>735</sup>

CF = Summer peak Coincidence Factor for measure.

Fixture Location	CF
Residential Interior and in-unit Multifamily <sup>736</sup>	13.1%
Exterior <sup>737</sup>	1.8%

Other factors as defined above

**For example**, for an indoor LED fixture purchased through retail in 2022:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= ((61.2 - 23.9) / 1000) * 1.0 * 1.19 * 0.131 \\ &= 0.0058 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

<sup>734</sup> The value is estimated at 1.22 (calculated as  $1 + (0.61 / 2.8)$ ). See footnote relating to WHFe for details. Note the 61% factor represents the Residential cooling coincidence factor calculated using the average load during the peak period (as opposed to the peak hour) consistent with the lighting peak hours.

<sup>735</sup> The value is estimated at 1.19 (calculated as  $1 + (0.88 * 0.61 / 2.8)$ ).

<sup>736</sup> Based on analysis of loadshape data provided by Cadmus.

<sup>737</sup> Based on Itron eShapes lighting loadprofiles.

## NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

Heating Penalty for Natural Gas heated homes:<sup>738</sup>

$$\Delta Therms = - \frac{\frac{Watts_{Base} - Watts_{EE}}{1,000} * ISR * Hours * HF * 0.03412}{\eta_{Heat}} * \%GasHeat$$

Where:

- HF = Heating Factor or percentage of light savings that must now be heated  
 = 53% for interior<sup>739</sup>  
 = 0% for exterior or unheated location
- 0.03412 = Converts kWh to Therms
- $\eta_{Heat_{Gas}}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
 = 74%<sup>740</sup>
- %GasHeat = Percentage of homes with gas heat

Heating fuel	%GasHeat
Electric	0%
Gas	100%
Unknown	83% <sup>741</sup>

**For example**, for an indoor LED fixture purchased through retail in 2022:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Therms &= - (((61.2 - 23.9) / 1000) * 1.0 * 926 * 0.53 * 0.03412) / 0.74 * 0.83 \\ &= - 0.70 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

## PEAK GAS SAVINGS

For ease of application, savings for this measure is assumed to be evenly spread across the year. The Peak Gas Savings is therefore assumed to be:

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \frac{\Delta Therms}{HeatDays}$$

Where:

- $\Delta Therms$  = Therm impact calculated above
- HeatDays = Heat season days per year

<sup>738</sup> Negative value because this is an increase in heating consumption due to the efficient lighting.

<sup>739</sup> This means that heating loads increase by 53% of the lighting savings. This is based on the average result from REMRate modeling of several different building configurations in Des Moines, Mason City, and Burlington, IA.

<sup>740</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>741</sup> Based on Dunskey and Opinion Dynamics Baseline Study results

$$= 217^{742}$$

**For example**, for an indoor LED fixture purchased through retail in 2019:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{PeakTherms} &= -0.72/217 \\ &= -0.0032 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

In order to account for the shift in baseline due to the natural growth of LED over the lifetime of the measure, an annual levelized baseline replacement cost over the lifetime of the LED bulb is calculated. Bulb replacement costs assumed in the O&M calculations are provided below.<sup>743</sup>

Lamp Type	Product Type	Cost
Specialty	Inc/Hal	\$3.00
	LED	\$8.69
Directional	Inc/Hal	\$5.00
	LED	\$7.80

The present value of replacement lamps and annual levelized replacement costs using the statewide real discount rate of 7.20% are presented below:<sup>744</sup>

Fixture Type	PV of replacement costs for period	Levelized annual replacement cost savings
	2022 Installs	2022 Installs
Indoor	\$8.31	\$1.19
Task /Under Cabinet	\$8.31	\$1.19
Outdoor	\$16.81	\$2.42
Downlight	\$13.09	\$1.88

**MEASURE CODE: RS-LTG-LDFX-V04-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

<sup>742</sup> Number of days where HDD 60 >0.

<sup>743</sup> Lamp costs are based upon WECC review of bulbs purchased through the Alliant program January – April 2017 and equivalent baseline bulbs.

<sup>744</sup> See “Residential LED Fixtures\_Analysis\_2021.xlsx” for more information.

## 2.6 Shell

### 2.6.1 Infiltration Control

**DESCRIPTION**

Thermal shell air leaks are sealed through strategic use and location of air-tight materials. An estimate of savings is provided in two ways. It is highly recommended that leaks be detected and pre- and post-sealing leakage rates measured with the assistance of a blower-door by qualified/certified inspectors.<sup>745</sup> Where this occurs, an algorithm is provided to estimate the site specific savings. Where test in/test out has not occurred, a conservative deemed assumption is provided.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

**DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT**

Air sealing materials and diagnostic testing should meet all eligibility program qualification criteria. The initial and final tested leakage rates should be assessed in such a manner that the identified reductions can be properly discerned, particularly in situations wherein multiple building envelope measures may be implemented simultaneously.

**DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT**

The existing air leakage should be determined through approved and appropriate test methods using a blower door. The baseline condition of a building upon first inspection significantly affects the opportunity for cost-effective energy savings through air sealing.

**DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT**

The expected measure life is assumed to be 15 years.<sup>746</sup>

**DEEMED MEASURE COST**

The actual capital cost for this measure should be used.

**LOADSHAPE**

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

---

---

**Algorithm**

---

---



---

<sup>745</sup> Refer to the Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual for more information on testing methodologies.

<sup>746</sup> Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007.

## CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

#### Test In / Test Out Approach

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$  = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to air sealing

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{CFM50_{pre} - CFM50_{post}}{N_{cool}} \right) * 60 * 24 * CDD * DUA * 0.018 * LM}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

$CFM50_{pre}$  = Infiltration at 50 Pascals as measured by blower door before air sealing  
= Actual<sup>747</sup>

$CFM50_{post}$  = Infiltration at 50 Pascals as measured by blower door after air sealing  
= Actual

$N_{cool}$  = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions  
= Dependent on location and number of stories:<sup>748</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N <sub>cool</sub> (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
Zone 5 (Burlington)	37.0	32.8	30.1	26.6
Zone 6 (Mason City)	32.5	28.8	26.4	23.4
Average/ unknown	34.3	30.4	27.9	24.7

60 \* 24 = Converts Cubic Feet per Minute to Cubic Feet per Day

CDD = Cooling Degree Days  
= Dependent on location:<sup>749</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown	1,068

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their

<sup>747</sup> Because the pre- and post-sealing blower door test will occur on different days, there is a potential for the wind and temperature conditions on the two days to affect the readings. There are methodologies to account for these effects. For wind - first if possible, avoid testing in high wind, place blower door on downwind side, take a pre-test baseline house pressure reading and adjust your house pressure readings by subtracting the baseline reading, and use the time averaging feature on the digital gauge, etc. Corrections for air density due to temperature swings can be accounted for with Air Density Correction Factors. Refer to the Energy Conservatory Blower Door Manual for more information.

<sup>748</sup> N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and # of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegisis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30 year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEAResult "Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc" and calculation worksheets on the SharePoint site.

<sup>749</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temperature of 65°F.

AC when conditions may call for it)

$$= 0.75^{750}$$

0.018 = Specific Heat Capacity of Air (Btu/ft<sup>3</sup>\*°F)

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

$\eta_{Cool}$  = Efficiency (SEER) of Air Conditioning equipment (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) – If unknown, assume the following:<sup>751</sup>

Age of Equipment	SEER Sealed Estimate	SEER Unsealed Estimate (SEER Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14	12

LM = Latent multiplier to account for latent cooling demand

= dependent on location:<sup>752</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	LM
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4.1
Zone 6 (Mason City)	4.2
Average/ unknown	4.2

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$  = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to air sealing

$$= \frac{(CFM50_{pre} - CFM50_{post})}{N_{heat}} * 60 * 24 * HDD * 0.018$$

$$= \frac{(\eta_{Heat} * 3,412)}$$

$N_{heat}$  = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions

= Based on location and building height:<sup>753</sup>

<sup>750</sup> This factor's source: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

<sup>751</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

<sup>752</sup> The Latent Multiplier is used to convert the sensible cooling savings calculated to a value representing sensible and latent cooling loads. The values are derived from the methodology outlined in Infiltration Factor Calculation Methodology by Bruce Harley, Senior Manager, Applied Building Science, CLEAResult 11/18/2015 and is based upon an 8760 analysis of sensible and total heat loads using hourly climate data.

<sup>753</sup> N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and # of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegisis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30 year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEAResult "Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc" and calculation worksheets on the SharePoint site.



Climate Zone (City based upon)	N_heat (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
Zone 5 (Burlington)	23.5	20.8	19.1	16.9
Zone 6 (Mason City)	21.0	18.6	17.0	15.1
Average/ unknown	22.2	19.7	18.0	16.0

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:<sup>754</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

$\eta$ Heat = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual – If not available refer to default table below:<sup>755</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta$ Heat (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

**For example**, for a 2 story single family home in unknown location with 10.5 SEER central cooling and a heat pump with COP of 2 (1.92 including distribution losses), with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta \text{kWh} &= \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} \\
 &= [(((3,400 - 2,250) / 27.9) * 60 * 24 * 1068 * 0.75 * 0.018 * 6.2) / (1000 * 10.5)] + \\
 &\quad [(((3,400 - 2,250) / 18.0) * 60 * 24 * 5092 * 0.018) / (1.92 * 3,412)] \\
 &= 505.3 + 1287.2 \\
 &= 1792.5 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

### Conservative Deemed Approach

<sup>754</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

<sup>755</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

$$\Delta kWh = SavingsPerUnit * SqFt$$

Where:

SavingsPerUnit = Annual savings per square foot, dependent on heating / cooling equipment<sup>756</sup>

Building Type	HVAC System	SavingsPerUnit (kWh/ft)
Manufactured	Central Air Conditioner	0.062
Multifamily	Central Air Conditioner	0.043
Single Family	Central Air Conditioner	0.050
Manufactured	Electric Furnace/Resistance Space Heat	0.413
Multifamily	Electric Furnace/Resistance Space Heat	0.285
Single Family	Electric Furnace/Resistance Space Heat	0.308
Manufactured	Air Source Heat Pump	0.391
Multifamily	Air Source Heat Pump	0.251
Single Family	Air Source Heat Pump	0.308
Manufactured	Air Source Heat Pump – Cooling	0.062
Multifamily	Air Source Heat Pump – Cooling	0.043
Single Family	Air Source Heat Pump – Cooling	0.050
Manufactured	Air Source Heat Pump – Heating	0.329
Multifamily	Air Source Heat Pump – Heating	0.208
Single Family	Air Source Heat Pump – Heating	0.257

SqFt = Building conditioned square footage

= Actual

#### Additional Fan savings

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$  = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

=  $\Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$

$F_e$  = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

= 3.14%<sup>757</sup>

29.3 = kWh per therm

**For example,** for a 2 story single family home in unknown location with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250 (see therm calculation in Natural Gas Savings section):

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= 114 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 105 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

<sup>756</sup> The values in the table represent estimates of savings from a 15% improvement in air leakage. The values are half those provided by Cadmus for the Joint Assessment, based on building simulations performed. While 30% savings are certainly achievable, this represents a thorough job in both the attic and basements and could not be verified without testing. The conservative 15% estimate is more appropriate for a deemed estimate. These values should be re-evaluated if EM&V values provide support for a higher deemed estimate.

<sup>757</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBtu/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the ENERGY STAR version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ . See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

FLH<sub>cooling</sub> = Full load hours of air conditioning  
= Dependent on location:<sup>758</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
= 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;<sup>759</sup> 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone<sup>760</sup>

**For example**, for a 2 story single family home in unknown location with 10.5 SEER central cooling and a heat pump with COP of 2.0, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 505.3 / 811 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.42 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

#### Test In / Test Out Approach

If Natural Gas heating:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{(CFM50_{pre} - CFM50_{post}) * 60 * 24 * HDD * 0.018}{N_{heat} * (\eta_{Heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

N<sub>heat</sub> = Conversion factor from leakage at 50 Pascal to leakage at natural conditions  
= Based on location and building height:<sup>761</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N <sub>heat</sub> (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
Zone 5 (Burlington)	23.5	20.8	19.1	16.9
Zone 6 (Mason City)	21.0	18.6	17.0	15.1

<sup>758</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>759</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

<sup>760</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

<sup>761</sup> N-factor is used to convert 50-pascal blower door air flows to natural air flows and is dependent on geographic location and # of stories. These were developed by applying the LBNL infiltration model (see LBNL paper 21040, *Exegisis of Proposed ASHRAE Standard 119: Air Leakage Performance for Detached Single-Family Residential Buildings*; Sherman, 1986; page v-vi, Appendix page 7-9) to the reported wind speeds and outdoor temperatures provided by the NRDC 30 year climate normals. For more information see Bruce Harley, CLEAResult "Infiltration Factor Calculations Methodology.doc" and calculation worksheets on the SharePoint site.

Climate Zone (City based upon)	N_heat (by # of stories)			
	1	1.5	2	3
Average/ unknown	22.2	19.7	18.0	16.0

HDD = Heating Degree Days  
= Dependent on location:<sup>762</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
= Equipment efficiency \* distribution efficiency  
= Actual<sup>763</sup> – If not available, use 74% for unsealed ducts<sup>764</sup> or 87% for sealed ducts

Other factors as defined above.

**For example**, for 2 story single family home in unknown location with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((3,400 - 2,250) / 18.0) * 60 * 24 * 5052 * 0.018 / (0.74 * 100,000)$$

$$= 113.1 \text{ therms}$$

#### Conservative Deemed Approach

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \text{SavingsPerUnit} * \text{SqFt}$$

Where:

SavingsPerUnit = Annual savings per square foot, dependent on heating / cooling equipment<sup>765</sup>

<sup>762</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

<sup>763</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>764</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant space heating system is a central warm-air furnace, and energy source of natural gas (based on Energy Information Administration, 2019 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, HC6.9 for the Midwest)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>765</sup> The values in the table represent estimates of savings from a 15% improvement in air leakage. The values are half those provided by Cadmus for the Joint Assessment, based on building simulations performed. While 30% savings are certainly achievable, this represents a thorough job in both the attic and basements and could not be verified without testing. The conservative 15% estimate is more appropriate for a deemed estimate. These values should be re-evaluated if EM&V values provide support for a higher deemed estimate.

Building Type	HVAC System	SavingsPerUnit (Therms/ft)
Manufactured	Gas Boiler	0.022
Multifamily	Gas Boiler	0.018
Single Family	Gas Boiler	0.016
Manufactured	Gas Furnace	0.017
Multifamily	Gas Furnace	0.012
Single Family	Gas Furnace	0.013

SqFt = Building square footage  
= Actual

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$  = Therm impact calculated above  
GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>766</sup>  
= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler  
= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, for a 2 story single family home in Chicago with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 70%, with pre- and post-sealing blower door test results of 3,400 and 2,250:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta PeakTherms &= 113.1 * 0.016525 \\ &= 1.87 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### Conservative Deemed Approach

Building Type	HVAC System	SavingsPerUnit (PeakTherms/ft)
Manufactured	Gas Boiler	0.000313
Multifamily	Gas Boiler	0.000259
Single Family	Gas Boiler	0.000237
Manufactured	Gas Furnace	0.000281
Multifamily	Gas Furnace	0.000191
Single Family	Gas Furnace	0.000220

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-AIRS-V04-220101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023

<sup>766</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

## 2.6.2 Attic/Ceiling Insulation

### DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from adding insulation to the attic/ceiling. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre- and post-project R-values and to measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible. If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.<sup>767</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

### Algorithm

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

Where

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$  = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Attic}} \right) * A_{attic} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Attic}) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

$R_{Attic}$  = R-value of new attic assembly including all layers between inside air and outside air (ft<sup>2</sup>.°F.h/Btu)

<sup>767</sup> Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

- $R_{Old}$  = Actual<sup>768</sup>  
 = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation  
 (Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies)<sup>769</sup>  
 $A_{Attic}$  = Total area of insulated ceiling/attic (ft<sup>2</sup>)  
 FramingFactor<sub>Attic</sub> = Adjustment to account for area of framing  
 = 7%<sup>770</sup>  
 CDD = Cooling Degree Days  
 = Dependent on location:<sup>771</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown	1,068

- 24 = Converts days to hours  
 DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)  
 = 0.75<sup>772</sup>  
 1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu  
 $\eta_{Cool}$  = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)  
 = Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) – If unknown, assume the following:<sup>773</sup>

Age of Equipment	$\eta_{Cool}$ Sealed Duct Estimate	$\eta_{Cool}$ Unsealed Duct Estimate (nCool Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14	12

- kWh\_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to

<sup>768</sup> If open cavity, add new insulation value to the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value ( $R_{Old}$ ). If closed cavity, since you are displacing one or two air layers, reduce the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value by one and add to new insulation. Note, if existing insulation is added to/not removed – always re-evaluate R-value of existing insulation as it may have been degraded significantly due to compression etc.

<sup>769</sup> An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).

<sup>770</sup> ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1

<sup>771</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

<sup>772</sup> This factor's source: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

<sup>773</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

insulation

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Attic}}\right) * A_{Attic} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Attic}) * HDD * 24}{(\eta_{Heat} * 3412)}$$

HDD

= Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:<sup>774</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

$\eta_{Heat}$

= Efficiency of heating system

= Actual – If not available, refer to default table below:<sup>775</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{Heat}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3412

= Converts Btu to kWh

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft<sup>2</sup> of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\ &= (((1/5 - 1/49) * 700 * (1-0.07) * 616 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/5 - 1/49) * 700 * (1-0.07) * 6391 * 24) / (1.92 * 3412)) \\ &= 123 + 2737 \\ &= 2860 kWh \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$  = If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$$= \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

$F_e$  = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

<sup>774</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

<sup>775</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.



$$= 3.14\%^{776}$$

$$29.3 = \text{kWh per therm}$$

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft<sup>2</sup> of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section) with unsealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{kWh} &= 179.2 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 165 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

FLH<sub>cooling</sub> = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location:<sup>777</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;<sup>778</sup> 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone<sup>779</sup>

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft<sup>2</sup> of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 123 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.1787 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

<sup>776</sup> F<sub>e</sub> is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E<sub>f</sub> in MMBtu/yr) and E<sub>ae</sub> (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F<sub>e</sub>. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

<sup>777</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>778</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

<sup>779</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{attic}}\right) * A_{Attic} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Attic}) * HDD * 24}{(\eta_{Heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

HDD = Heating Degree Days  
= Dependent on location:<sup>780</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

$\eta_{Heat}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
= Equipment efficiency \* distribution efficiency  
= Actual.<sup>781</sup> If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts<sup>782</sup> or 87% for sealed ducts.

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft<sup>2</sup> of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% with sealed ducts:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((1/5 - 1/49) * 700 * (1 - 0.07) * 6391 * 24) / (0.87 * 100,000)$$

$$= 206.1 \text{ therms}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{Therms}$  = Therm impact calculated above  
GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>783</sup>  
= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

<sup>780</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

<sup>781</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>782</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant space heating system is a central warm-air furnace, and energy source of natural gas (based on Energy Information Administration, 2019 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, HC6.9 for the Midwest)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>783</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 700 ft<sup>2</sup> of R-5 attic insulated to R-49, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% with sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 206.1 * 0.016525 \\ &= 3.406 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-AINS-V05-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

## 2.6.3 Rim/Band Joist Insulation

### DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from adding insulation (either rigid or spray foam) to rim/band joist cavities. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre- and post-project R-values and to measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible.

Note unconditioned means a space that is not intentionally heated via furnace vents or boiler radiators. The presence of and/or leakage from a heating system in a space does not itself imply the space is conditioned. If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be an uninsulated rim/band joist.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.<sup>784</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

---

---

### Algorithm

---

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

Where

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$  = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

---

<sup>784</sup> Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Rim}} \right) * A_{Rim} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Rim}) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

$R_{Rim}$  = R-value of new rim/band joist assembly including all layers between inside air and outside air (ft<sup>2</sup>.°F.h/Btu)

= Actual<sup>785</sup>

$R_{Old}$  = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation (ft<sup>2</sup>.°F.h/Btu).  
(Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies)<sup>786</sup>

$A_{Rim}$  = Net area of insulated rim/band joist (ft<sup>2</sup>)

$FramingFactor_{Rim}$  = Adjustment to account for area of framing

= 25%<sup>787</sup>

$CDD$  = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location and whether in conditioned or unconditioned space:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	CDD 65 <sup>788</sup>	CDD 75 <sup>789</sup>
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209	411
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616	264
Average/ unknown	1,068	474

24 = Converts days to hours

$DUA$  = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)

= 0.75 <sup>790</sup>

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

$\eta_{Cool}$  = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) – If unknown, assume

<sup>785</sup> If open cavity, add new insulation value to the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value ( $R_{Old}$ ). If closed cavity, since you are displacing one or two air layers, reduce the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value by one and add to new insulation. Note, if existing insulation is added to/not removed – always re-evaluate R-value of existing insulation as it may have been degraded significantly due to compression etc.

<sup>786</sup> An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).

<sup>787</sup> Consistent with Wall framing factor assumption; ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1.

<sup>788</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

<sup>789</sup> The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. Five year average cooling degree days with 75F base temp are provided from DegreeDays.net because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC are not available at base temps above 72F.

<sup>790</sup> This factor's source: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research," p31.

the following:<sup>791</sup>

Age of Equipment	$\eta_{Cool}$ Sealed Duct Estimate	$\eta_{Cool}$ Unsealed Duct Estimate ( $\eta_{Cool}$ Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14	12

kWh\_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Rim}} \right) * A_{Rim} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Rim}) * HDD * 24 * ADJRim}{(\eta_{Heat} * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location and whether in conditioned or unconditioned space:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 60 <sup>792</sup>	HDD 50 <sup>793</sup>
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496	2,678
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391	4,222
Average/ unknown	5,052	3,126

$\eta_{Heat}$  = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual – If not available, refer to default table below:<sup>794</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{Heat}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts ( $HSPF/3.412$ )*0.85	$\eta_{Heat}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts ( $HSPF/3.412$ )
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26

<sup>791</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

<sup>792</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

<sup>793</sup> The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

<sup>794</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta$ Heat (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
	2015 on	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

$ADJ_{Rim}$  = Adjustment for rim/band joist insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings.

=63%<sup>795</sup>

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft<sup>2</sup> of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\
 &= (((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1-0.25) * 264 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1-0.25) * 4222 * 24 * 0.63) / (1.92 * 3412)) \\
 &= 4.2 + 89.9 \\
 &= 94.1 kWh
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$  = If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$$= \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

$F_e$  = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

$$= 3.14\%^{796}$$

29.3 = kWh per therm

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft<sup>2</sup> of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section) with unsealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kWh &= 8.0 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\
 &= 7.4 kWh
 \end{aligned}$$

<sup>795</sup> Consistent with ADJWall; Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation.

<sup>796</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBtu/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ . See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xls" for reference.

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

FLH<sub>cooling</sub> = Full load hours of air conditioning  
= Dependent on location:<sup>797</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
= 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;<sup>798</sup> 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone<sup>799</sup>

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft<sup>2</sup> of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 4.2 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0061 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Rim}}\right) * A_{Rim} * (1 - FramingFactor_{Rim}) * HDD * 24 * ADJRim}{(\eta_{Heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

HDD = Heating Degree Days  
= Dependent on location and whether in conditioned or unconditioned space:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 60 <sup>800</sup>	HDD 50 <sup>801</sup>
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496	2,678

<sup>797</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>798</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

<sup>799</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

<sup>800</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

<sup>801</sup> The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in



Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 60 <sup>800</sup>	HDD 50 <sup>801</sup>
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391	4,222
Average/ unknown	5,052	3,126

$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
 = Equipment efficiency \* distribution efficiency  
 = Actual.<sup>802</sup> If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts<sup>803</sup> or 87% for sealed ducts

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

**For example,** for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft<sup>2</sup> of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% with sealed ducts:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((1/5 - 1/13) * 100 * (1-0.25) * 4222 * 24 * 0.63) / (0.87 * 100,000)$$

$$= 6.8 \text{ therms}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{Therms}$  = Therm impact calculated above  
 $\text{GCF}$  = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>804</sup>  
 = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler  
 = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

<sup>802</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>803</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant space heating system is a central warm-air furnace, and energy source of natural gas (based on Energy Information Administration, 2019 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, HC6.9 for the Midwest)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment (see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>804</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 100 ft<sup>2</sup> of uninsulated (assume R-5) rim/band joist cavities in an unconditioned basement that is insulated to R-13. The home has a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% with sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 6.8 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.11\text{therms}\end{aligned}$$

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-RINS-V05-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

## 2.6.4 Wall Insulation

### DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from adding insulation (for example, blown cellulose, spray foam) to wall cavities. This measure requires a member of the implementation staff evaluating the pre- and post-project R-values and to measure surface areas. The efficiency of the heating and cooling equipment in the home should also be evaluated if possible. If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be empty wall cavities.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.<sup>805</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

Where

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$  = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{wall}} \right) * A_{wall} * (1 - FramingFactor_{wall}) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

$R_{wall}$  = R-value of new wall assembly including all layers between inside air and outside air (ft<sup>2</sup>.°F.h/Btu)

---

<sup>805</sup> Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

$R_{old}$  = R-value value of existing assembly and any existing insulation ( $\text{ft}^2 \cdot ^\circ\text{F} \cdot \text{h}/\text{Btu}$ )  
(Minimum of R-5 for uninsulated assemblies)<sup>806</sup>

$A_{wall}$  = Net area of insulated wall ( $\text{ft}^2$ )

$FramingFactor_{wall}$  = Adjustment to account for area of framing  
= 25%<sup>807</sup>

CDD = Cooling Degree Days  
= Dependent on location:<sup>808</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown	1,068

24 = Converts days to hours

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)  
= 0.75<sup>809</sup>

1000 = Converts Btu to kWh

$\eta_{Cool}$  = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kWh/kWh)  
= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) – If unknown, assume the following:<sup>810</sup>

Age of Equipment	$\eta_{Cool}$ Sealed Duct Estimate	$\eta_{Cool}$ Unsealed Duct Estimate (nCool Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14	12

kWh\_heating = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{wall}} \right) * A_{wall} * (1 - FramingFactor_{wall}) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Wall}}{(\eta_{Heat} * 3412)}$$

<sup>806</sup> An estimate based on review of Madison Gas and Electric, Exterior Wall Insulation, R-value for no insulation in walls, and NREL's Building Energy Simulation Test for Existing Homes (BESTEST-EX).

<sup>807</sup> ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1.

<sup>808</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

<sup>809</sup> This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

<sup>810</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

HDD = Heating Degree Days  
= Dependent on location:<sup>811</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
= Actual – If not available, refer to default table below:<sup>812</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

$ADJ_{\text{Wall}}$  = Adjustment for wall insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings  
= 63%<sup>813</sup>

**For example,** for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft<sup>2</sup> of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump with sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta k\text{Wh} &= (\Delta k\text{Wh}_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta k\text{Wh}_{\text{heating}}) \\ &= (((1/5 - 1/13) * 990 * (1-0.25) * 616 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/5 - 1/13) * 990 * (1-0.25) * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (1.92 * 3412)) \\ &= 97 + 1348 \\ &= 1445 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$\Delta k\text{Wh}_{\text{heating}}$  = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time  
=  $\Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3$

Where:

$F_e$  = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel

<sup>811</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

<sup>812</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>813</sup> Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: “Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation”, August 2012. See “Insulation ADJ calculations.xls” for details or calculation.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{consumption} \\ & = 3.14\%^{814} \\ 29.3 & = \text{kWh per therm} \end{aligned}$$

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft<sup>2</sup> of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74% (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section) with sealed ducts:

$$\Delta \text{kWh} = 119.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

FLH<sub>cooling</sub> = Full load hours of air conditioning  
= Dependent on location:<sup>815</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
= 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;<sup>816</sup> 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone<sup>817</sup>

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft<sup>2</sup> of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, 10.5 SEER Central AC, and 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump with sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kW & = 97 / 468 * 0.68 \\ & = 0.1409 \text{ kW} \end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{old}} - \frac{1}{R_{wall}} \right) * A_{wall} * (1 - FramingFactor_{wall}) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Wall}}{(\eta_{Heat} * 100,000)}$$

<sup>814</sup> F<sub>e</sub> is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy (E<sub>f</sub> in MMBtu/yr) and E<sub>ae</sub> (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2% F<sub>e</sub>. See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

<sup>815</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>816</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

<sup>817</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

Where:

HDD = Heating Degree Days  
= Dependent on location:<sup>818</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
= Equipment efficiency \* distribution efficiency  
= Actual<sup>819</sup> – If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts<sup>820</sup> or 87% for sealed ducts  
100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms  
Other factors as defined above

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft<sup>2</sup> of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% with sealed ducts:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = ((1/5 - 1/13) * 990 * (1-0.25) * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (0.74 * 100,000)$$

$$= 101.5 \text{ therms}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{Therms}$  = Therm impact calculated above  
GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>821</sup>  
= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler  
= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

<sup>818</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

<sup>819</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>820</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant space heating system is a central warm-air furnace, and energy source of natural gas (based on Energy Information Administration, 2019 Residential Energy Consumption Survey, HC6.9 for the Midwest)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1-0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>821</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 990 ft<sup>2</sup> of R-5 walls insulated to R-13, with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 87% and sealed ducts:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 101.5 * 0.016525 \\ &= 1.7 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-WINS-V04-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**



## 2.6.5 Insulated Doors

### DESCRIPTION

Energy and demand saving are realized through reductions in the building cooling and heating loads.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient condition is insulation levels that exceed code requirements and should be determined by the program.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The retrofit baseline condition is the existing condition and requires assessment of the existing insulation. It should be based on the entire door assembly.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The measure expected useful life (EUL) is assumed to be 25 years.<sup>822</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

For retrofit projects, full installation costs should be used.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

### Algorithm

### CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Electric energy savings is calculated as the sum of energy saved when cooling the building and energy saved when heating the building.

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

If central cooling, the electric energy saved in annual cooling due to the added insulation is

$$\Delta kWh_{cooling} = \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}} \right) * Area * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1,000 * \eta_{cooling})}$$

Where:

<sup>822</sup> Fannie Mae Estimated useful life tables for multifamily properties.

- $R_{existing}$  = Existing door heat loss coefficient  $[(hr \cdot ^\circ F \cdot ft^2)/Btu]$ . If unknown, assume 3.125<sup>823</sup>
- $R_{new}$  = New door heat loss coefficient  $[(hr \cdot ^\circ F \cdot ft^2)/Btu]$
- Area = Area of the door surface in square feet.
- CDD = Cooling Degree Days
- = Dependent on location:<sup>824</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown	1,068

- 24 = Converts days to hours
- DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)
- = 0.75<sup>825</sup>
- 1,000 = Conversion from Btu to kWh
- $\eta_{cooling}$  = Seasonal energy efficiency ratio (SEER) of cooling system (kWh/kWh)
- = Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) – If unknown, assume the following:<sup>826</sup>

Age of Equipment	$\eta_{Cool}$ Estimate Sealed Duct Estimate	$\eta_{Cool}$ Unsealed Duct Estimate (nCool Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14	12

If the building is heated with electric heat (resistance or heat pump), the electric energy saved in annual heating due to the added insulation is:

$$\Delta kWh_{heating} = \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{existing}} - \frac{1}{R_{new}} \right) * Area * HDD * 24}{(3,412 * \eta_{heating})}$$

Where:

- HDD = Heating Degree Days
- = Dependent on location:<sup>827</sup>

<sup>823</sup> IECC 2012 and 2015 requirements

<sup>824</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

<sup>825</sup> This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

<sup>826</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

<sup>827</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

$\eta_{\text{heating}}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
 = Actual – If not available, refer to default table below:<sup>828</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	$n_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3,142 = Conversion from Btu to kWh.

**For example,** for a single family home in Mason City installing a new 21 ft<sup>2</sup> insulated door with an R-value of 11, savings with a 10.5 SEER central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} \\ &= (((1/3.125 - 1/11) * 21 * 616 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((1/3.125 - 1/11) * 21 * 6,391 * 24) / (3,412 * 1.92)) \\ &= 5.1 \text{ kWh} + 112.6 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

If the building is heated with a gas furnace, there will be some electric savings in heating the building attributed to extra insulation since the furnace fans will run less.

$$\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} = \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{Therms}$  = Gas savings calculated with equation below.  
 $F_e$  = Percentage of heating energy consumed by fans, assume 3.14%<sup>829</sup>  
 29.3 = Conversion from therms to kWh

findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

<sup>828</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>829</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBtu/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ . See “Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx” for reference.

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installing a new 21 ft<sup>2</sup> insulated door with an R-value of 11, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= 10.0 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 9.2 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{kW} = (\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{cooling}} / \text{FLH}_{\text{cooling}}) * \text{CF}$$

Where:

FLH<sub>cooling</sub> = Full load hours of air conditioning  
= Dependent on location:<sup>830</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
= 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;<sup>831</sup> 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone<sup>832</sup>

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installing a new 21 ft<sup>2</sup> insulated door with an R-value of 11, savings for a 10.5 SEER central AC system:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kW} &= 5.1 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0074 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If building uses a gas heating system, the savings resulting from the insulation is calculated with the following formula.

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{\text{existing}}} - \frac{1}{R_{\text{new}}} \right) * \text{Area} * \text{HDD} * 24}{(100,000 * \eta_{\text{heat}})}$$

Where:

R<sub>existing</sub> = Existing door heat loss [(hr-°F-ft<sup>2</sup>)/Btu]  
R<sub>new</sub> = New door heat loss coefficient [(hr-°F-ft<sup>2</sup>)/Btu]  
Area = Area of the door surface in square feet.

<sup>830</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>831</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

<sup>832</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

HDD = Heating Degree Days  
= Dependent on location:<sup>833</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

100,000 = Conversion from BTUs to Therms  
 $\eta_{\text{heat}}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
= Equipment efficiency \* distribution efficiency  
= Actual<sup>834</sup> – If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts<sup>835</sup> or 87% for sealed ducts

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installing a new 21 ft<sup>2</sup> insulated door with an R-value of 11, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (((1/3.125 - 1/11) * 21 * 6,391 * 24) / (100,000 * 0.74))$$

$$= 10.0 \text{ therms}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{Therms}$  = Therm impact calculated above  
GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>836</sup>  
= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler  
= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

<sup>833</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

<sup>834</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>835</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>836</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installing a new 21 ft<sup>2</sup> insulated door with an R-value of 11, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 10.0 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.1653 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-DOOR-V03-200101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021\***

\* Currently, no utilities are offering this measure, and it is therefore overdue for a reliability review. If a utility plans to start using this measure again it should be reviewed accordingly.

## 2.6.6 Floor Insulation Above Crawlspace

### DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to the floor above a vented crawl space that does not contain pipes or HVAC equipment. If there are pipes, HVAC, or a basement, it is desirable to keep them within the conditioned space by insulating the crawl space walls and ground. Insulating the floor separates the conditioned space above from the space below the floor and is only acceptable when there is nothing underneath that could freeze or would operate less efficiently in an environment resembling the outdoors. Even in the case of an empty, unvented crawl space, it is still considered best practice to seal and insulate the crawl space perimeter rather than the floor. Not only is there generally less area to insulate this way, but there are also moisture control benefits. There is a “Basement Insulation” measure for perimeter sealing and insulation. This measure assumes the insulation is installed above an unvented crawl space or unconditioned garage and should not be used in other situations.

Note unconditioned means a space that is not intentionally heated via furnace vents or boiler radiators. The presence of and/or leakage from a heating system in a space doesn’t in itself imply the space is conditioned.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be no insulation on any surface surrounding a crawl space or garage.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.<sup>837</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

---

<sup>837</sup> Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

## Algorithm

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Where available savings from shell insulation measures should be determined through a custom analysis. When that is not feasible for the program the following engineering algorithms can be used with the inclusion of an adjustment factor to de-rate the heating savings.

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$  = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{Old})} \right) * Area * (1 - Framing Factor) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

$R_{Old}$  = R-value value of floor before insulation, assuming 3/4" plywood subfloor and carpet with pad

= Actual. If unknown assume 3.96<sup>838</sup>

$R_{Added}$  = R-value of additional spray foam, rigid foam, or cavity insulation.

= Actual<sup>839</sup>

Area = Total floor area to be insulated

Framing Factor = Adjustment to account for area of framing

= 12%<sup>840</sup>

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Unconditioned Space
	CDD 75 <sup>841</sup>
Zone 5 (Burlington)	411
Zone 6 (Mason City)	264
Average/ unknown	474

<sup>838</sup> Based on 2005 ASHRAE Handbook – Fundamentals: assuming 2x8 joists, 16" OC, 3/4" subfloor, 1/2" carpet with rubber pad, and accounting for a still air film above and below:  $1 / [(0.85 \text{ cavity share of area} / (0.68 + 0.94 + 1.23 + 0.68)) + (0.15 \text{ framing share} / (0.68 + 7.5" * 1.25 \text{ R/in} + 0.94 + 1.23 + 0.68))] = 3.96$

<sup>839</sup> If open cavity, add new insulation value to the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value ( $R_{old}$ ). If closed cavity, since you are displacing one or two air layers, reduce the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value by one and add to new insulation. Note, if existing insulation is added to/not removed – always re-evaluate R-value of existing insulation as it may have been degraded significantly due to compression etc.

<sup>840</sup> ASHRAE, 2001, "Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP)," Table 7.1

<sup>841</sup> The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. Five year average cooling degree days with 75F base temp are provided from DegreeDays.net because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC are not available at base temps above 72F.



DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it).

$$= 0.75^{842}$$

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

$\eta_{Cool}$  = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following:<sup>843</sup>

Age of Equipment	$\eta_{Cool}$ Estimate Sealed Duct Estimate	$\eta_{Cool}$ Unsealed Duct Estimate ( $\eta_{Cool}$ Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14	12

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$  = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{Old})} \right) * Area * (1 - Framing Factor) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Floor}}{(\eta_{Heat} * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 50 <sup>844</sup>
Zone 5 (Burlington)	2,678
Zone 6 (Mason City)	4,222
Average/ unknown	3,126

$\eta_{Heat}$  = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If not available refer to default table below:<sup>845</sup>

<sup>842</sup> Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; “Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research”, p31.

<sup>843</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

<sup>844</sup> The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

<sup>845</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta$ Heat (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

$ADJ_{Floor}$  = Adjustment for floor insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings.

$$= 88\%^{846}$$

Other factors as defined above

**For example**, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, a 10.5 SEER Central AC and a newer heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\ &= (((1/3.96 - 1/(30+3.96)) * (20*25) * (1-0.12) * 24 * 264 * 0.75)/(1000*10.5) + (((1/3.96 - 1/(30+3.96)) * (20*25) * (1-0.12) * 24 * 4222)/(3412*1.92)) * 0.88) \\ &= (44.4 + 1336.0) \\ &= 1380.4 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$  = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time  
 $= \Delta Therms * F_e * 29.3$

$F_e$  = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption  
 $= 3.14\%^{847}$

29.3 = kWh per therm

**For example**, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 74% efficient furnace (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section):

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= 118.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 108.8 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

<sup>846</sup> Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: “Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation”, August 2012. See “Insulation ADJ calculations.xls” for details or calculation. Note that basement wall is used as a proxy for crawlspace ceiling.

<sup>847</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBtu/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ . See “Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx” for reference.

FLH\_cooling = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location:<sup>848</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

= 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;<sup>849</sup> 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone<sup>850</sup>

**For example**, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspce, a 10.5 SEER Central AC and a newer heat pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 44.4 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0645 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

ΔTherms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{Old})} \right) * Area * (1 - Framing Factor) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Floor}}{(\eta_{Heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where

ηHeat = Efficiency of heating system

= Equipment efficiency \* distribution efficiency

= Actual<sup>851</sup> – If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts<sup>852</sup> or 87% for sealed ducts

100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above

<sup>848</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>849</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

<sup>850</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

<sup>851</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>852</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60\*0.92) + (0.40\*0.8)) \* (1-0.15) = 0.74.

**For example**, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 74% efficient furnace:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = (((1/3.96 - 1/(30 + 3.96)) * (20 * 25) * (1 - 0.12) * 24 * 4222) / (100000 * 0.74)) * 0.88$$

$$= 118.3 \text{ therms}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{Therms}$  = Therm impact calculated above  
 GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>853</sup>  
 = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler  
 = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 footprint, insulated with R-30 spray foam above the crawlspace, and a 74% efficient furnace:

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = 118.3 \text{ therms} * 0.016525$$

$$= 1.95 \text{ therms}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-FINS-V04-200101

SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026

<sup>853</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

## 2.6.7 Basement Sidewall Insulation

### DESCRIPTION

Insulation is added to a basement or crawl space. Insulation added above ground in conditioned space is modeled the same as wall insulation. Below ground insulation is adjusted with an approximation of the thermal resistance of the ground. Insulation in unconditioned spaces is modeled by reducing the degree days to reflect the smaller but non-zero contribution to heating and cooling load. Cooling savings only consider above grade insulation, as below grade has little temperature difference during the cooling season.

Note unconditioned means a space that is not intentionally heated via furnace vents or boiler radiators. The presence of and/or leakage from a heating system in a space doesn't in itself imply the space is conditioned.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition will be evaluated by implementation staff or a participating contractor and is likely to be no basement wall or ceiling insulation.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 25 years.<sup>854</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENTS

N/A

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

Where available savings from shell insulation measures should be determined through a custom analysis. When that is not feasible for the program the following engineering algorithms can be used with the inclusion of an adjustment

---

<sup>854</sup> Measure Life Report, Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures, GDS Associates, 2007

factor to de-rate the heating savings.

$$\Delta kWh = (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating})$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$  = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{OldAG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldAG})} \right) * L_{BWT} * H_{BWAG} * (1 - FF) * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{Cool})}$$

$R_{Added}$  = R-value of additional spray foam, rigid foam, or cavity insulation.

= Actual<sup>855</sup>

$R_{OldAG}$  = R-value value of foundation wall above grade.

= Actual, if unknown assume 1.0<sup>856</sup>

$L_{BWT}$  = Length (Basement Wall Total) of basement wall around the entire insulated perimeter (ft)

$H_{BWAG}$  = Height (Basement Wall Above Grade) of insulated basement wall above grade (ft)

$FF$  = Framing Factor, an adjustment to account for area of framing when cavity insulation is used

= 0% if Spray Foam or External Rigid Foam

= 25% if studs and cavity insulation<sup>857</sup>

24 = Converts hours to days

CDD = Cooling Degree Days

= Dependent on location and whether basement is conditioned:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	CDD 65 <sup>858</sup>	CDD 75 <sup>859</sup>
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209	411
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616	264
Average/ unknown	1,068	474

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their

<sup>855</sup> If open cavity, add new insulation value to the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value ( $R_{old}$ ). If closed cavity, since you are displacing one or two air layers, reduce the default or evaluated existing assembly R-value by one and add to new insulation. Note, if existing insulation is added to/not removed – always re-evaluate R-value of existing insulation as it may have been degraded significantly due to compression etc.

<sup>856</sup> ORNL Builders Foundation Handbook, crawl space data from Table 5-5: Initial Effective R-values for Uninsulated Foundation System and Adjacent Soil, 1991. See reference file “ORNL Builders Foundation Handbook.”

<sup>857</sup> ASHRAE, 2001, “Characterization of Framing Factors for New Low-Rise Residential Building Envelopes (904-RP),” Table 7.1

<sup>858</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

<sup>859</sup> The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. Five year average cooling degree days with 75F base temp are provided from DegreeDays.net because the 30 year climate normals from NCDC are not available at base temps above 72F.

AC when conditions may call for it).

$$= 0.75^{860}$$

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

$\eta_{Cool}$  = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate). If unknown assume the following.<sup>861</sup>

Age of Equipment	$\eta_{Cool}$ Sealed Duct Estimate	$\eta_{Cool}$ Unsealed Duct Estimate ( $\eta_{Cool}$ Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14	12

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$  = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left( \left( \left( \frac{1}{R_{OldAG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldAG})} \right) * L_{BWT} * H_{BWAG} * (1 - FF) \right) + \left( \left( \frac{1}{R_{OldBG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldBG})} \right) * L_{BWT} * (H_{BWT} - H_{BWAG}) * (1 - FF) \right) \right) * HDD * 24 * DUA * ADJ_{Basement}}{(3412 * \eta_{Heat})}$$

Where

$R_{OldBG}$  = R-value value of foundation wall below grade (including thermal resistance of the earth)<sup>862</sup>

= dependent on depth of foundation ( $H_{basement\_wall\_total} - H_{basement\_wall\_AG}$ ):

= Actual R-value of wall plus average earth R-value by depth in table below

*For example, for an area that extends 5 feet below grade, an R-value of 7.46 would be selected and added to the existing insulation R-value.*

Below Grade R-value									
Depth below grade (ft)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Earth R-value (°F-ft <sup>2</sup> -h/Btu)	2.44	4.50	6.30	8.40	10.44	12.66	14.49	17.00	20.00
Average Earth R-value (°F-ft <sup>2</sup> -h/Btu)	2.44	3.47	4.41	5.41	6.42	7.46	8.46	9.53	10.69
Total BG R-value (earth + R-1.0 foundation) default	3.44	4.47	5.41	6.41	7.42	8.46	9.46	10.53	11.69

<sup>860</sup> This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

<sup>861</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

<sup>862</sup> Adapted from Table 1, page 24.4, of the 1977 ASHRAE Fundamentals Handbook

$H_{BWT}$  = Total height of basement wall (ft)

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= dependent on location and whether basement is conditioned:

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Conditioned Space	Unconditioned Space
	HDD 60 <sup>863</sup>	HDD 50 <sup>864</sup>
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496	2,678
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391	4,222
Average/ unknown	5,052	3,126

$\eta_{Heat}$  = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual. If not available refer to default table below:<sup>865</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{Heat}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	$\eta_{Heat}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

$ADJ_{Basement}$  = Adjustment for basement wall insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms overclaiming savings.

= 88%<sup>866</sup>

<sup>863</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in “Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends,” 2004.

<sup>864</sup> The base temperature should be the outdoor temperature at which the desired indoor temperature stays constant, in balance with heat loss or gain to the outside and internal gains. Since unconditioned basements are allowed to swing in temperature, are ground coupled, and are usually cool, they have a bigger delta between the two (heating and cooling) base temperatures. 75F for cooling and 50F for heating are used based on professional judgment. National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals.

<sup>865</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>866</sup> Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: “Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation”, August 2012. See “Insulation ADJ calculations.xls” for details or calculation.



**For example**, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot R-2.25 unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= (\Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}) \\ &= [(((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1 - 0)) * 24 * 264 * 0.75)/(1000 * 10.5)] + \\ &\quad [((((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1-0)) + ((1 / (2.25 + 6.42) - 1 / (13 + 2.25 + 6.42)) * (20+25+20+25) * 4 * (1-0))) * 24 * 4222) / (3412 * 1.92)) * 0.88] \\ &= (46.3 + 1731.4.0) \\ &= 1777.7 kWh\end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{heating}$  = If gas *furnace* heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$$= \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3$$

$F_e$  = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

$$= 3.14\%^{867}$$

29.3 = kWh per therm

**For example**, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 74% efficient furnace (for therm calculation see Natural Gas Savings section :

$$\begin{aligned}&= 153.3 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 141 kWh\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

FLH<sub>cooling</sub> = Full load hours of air conditioning

= Dependent on location:<sup>868</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling

<sup>867</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBtu/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ . See "Programmable Thermostats Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

<sup>868</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

= 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;<sup>869</sup> 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone<sup>870</sup>

**For example**, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot unconditioned basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, 10.5 SEER Central AC and 2.26 COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kW} &= 46.3 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0673 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

$\Delta$ Therms =

$$= \frac{\left( \left( \left( \frac{1}{R_{OldAG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldAG})} \right) * L_{BWT} * H_{BWAG} * (1 - FF) \right) + \left( \left( \frac{1}{R_{OldBG}} - \frac{1}{(R_{Added} + R_{OldBG})} \right) * L_{BWT} * (H_{BWT} - H_{BWAG}) * (1 - FF) \right) \right) * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{Basement}}{(100,000 * \eta_{Heat}}$$

Where

$\eta_{Heat}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
 = Equipment efficiency \* distribution efficiency  
 = Actual<sup>871</sup> – If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts<sup>872</sup> or 87% for sealed ducts  
 100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms  
 Other factors as defined above

**For example**, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot R-2.25 basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 74% efficient furnace:

$$\begin{aligned}&= ((1/2.25 - 1/(13 + 2.25)) * (20+25+20+25) * 3 * (1-0) + (1/8.67 - 1/(13 + 8.67)) * (20+25+20+25) \\ &\quad * 4 * (1 - 0)) * 24 * 4222 / (0.74 * 100,000) * 0.88 \\ &= 153.3 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

<sup>869</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

<sup>870</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

<sup>871</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>872</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows: ((0.60\*0.92) + (0.40\*0.8)) \* (1-0.15) = 0.74.

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta PeakTherms = \Delta Therms * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta Therms$  = Therm impact calculated above  
 GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>873</sup>  
 = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler  
 = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, a single family home in Mason City with a 20 by 25 by 7 foot R-2.25 basement, with 3 feet above grade, insulated with R-13 of interior spray foam, and a 74% efficient furnace:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta PeakTherms &= 153.3 \text{ therms} * 0.016525 \\ &= 2.53 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-BINS-V04-200101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2026**

---

<sup>873</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

## 2.6.8 Efficient Windows

### DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings realized by the purchase and installation of new windows that have better thermal insulating properties compared to code requirements. Code does not specify solar heat gain coefficient requirements for residential windows and therefore no impacts are quantified or claimed. For a comprehensive estimate of impacts, computer modeling is recommended.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: NC, TOS.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient solution is a window assembly with a U-factor that is better than code.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The baseline condition is a window assembly with a U-factor equal to code requirements.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is assumed to be 20 years.<sup>874</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The incremental cost for this measure is assumed to be \$1.50 per square foot of window area.<sup>875</sup>

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

The following calculations apply to a single window assembly.

### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$  = If central cooling, reduction in annual cooling requirement due to insulation

---

<sup>874</sup> Consistent with window measure lives specified in the MidAmerican Energy Company Joint Assessment, February 2013.

<sup>875</sup> Alliance to Save Energy Efficiency Windows Collaborative Report, December 2007.

$$= \frac{(U_{code} - U_{eff}) * A_{window} * CDD * 24 * DUA}{(1000 * \eta_{cool})}$$

$U_{code}$  = U-factor value of code baseline (IECC2012) window assembly (Btu/ft<sup>2</sup>.°F.h)  
= 0.32 (Btu/ft<sup>2</sup>.°F.h) or 0.55 (Btu/ft<sup>2</sup>.°F.h) for skylights.

$U_{eff}$  = U-factor value of the efficient window assembly (Btu/ft<sup>2</sup>.°F.h)  
= Actual.

$A_{window}$  = Area of insulated window (including visible framing and glass) (ft<sup>2</sup>)

CDD = Cooling Degree Days  
= Dependent on location:<sup>876</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	CDD 65
Zone 5 (Burlington)	1,209
Zone 6 (Mason City)	616
Average/ unknown	1,068

24 = Converts days to hours

DUA = Discretionary Use Adjustment (reflects the fact that people do not always operate their AC when conditions may call for it)  
= 0.75<sup>877</sup>

1000 = Converts Btu to kBtu

$\eta_{cool}$  = Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio of cooling system (kBtu/kWh)  
= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) – If unknown, assume the following:<sup>878</sup>

Age of Equipment	$\eta_{Cool}$ Sealed Duct Estimate	$\eta_{Cool}$ Unsealed Duct Estimate (nCool Sealed * 0.85)
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC after 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump after 1/1/2015	14	12

kWh<sub>heating</sub> = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{(U_{code} - U_{eff}) * A_{window} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{window}}{(\eta_{heat} * 3412)}$$

HDD = Heating Degree Days

<sup>876</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 65°F.

<sup>877</sup> This factor's source is: Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008 metering study; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research", p31.

<sup>878</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.

= Dependent on location:<sup>879</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

$\eta_{\text{heat}}$

= Efficiency of heating system

= Actual – If not available, refer to default table below:<sup>880</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	$n_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

$ADJ_{\text{window}}$  = Adjustment for account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings

= 63%<sup>881</sup>

Other factors as defined above.

**For example,** for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings for a 10.5 SEER Central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta kWh &= \Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} \\
 &= (((0.32 - 0.25) * 8 * 616 * 24 * 0.75) / (1000 * 10.5)) + (((0.32 - 0.25) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63) / (1.92 * 3412)) * 15 \\
 &= 9 \text{ kWh} + 124 \text{ kWh} \\
 &= 133 \text{ kWh}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{\text{heating}}$  = If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

=  $\Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3$

<sup>879</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

<sup>880</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>881</sup> Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation. The adjustment for walls was assumed to be an appropriate adjustment for windows.

Where:

$F_e$  = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption  
 = 3.14%<sup>882</sup>  
 29.3 = kWh per therm

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= 11 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 10 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

$FLH_{cooling}$  = Full load hours of air conditioning  
 = Dependent on location:<sup>883</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
 = 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;<sup>884</sup> 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone<sup>885</sup>

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings for a 10.5 SEER Central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 9 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.0131 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

<sup>882</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBtu/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ . See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

<sup>883</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>884</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

<sup>885</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

## NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$\Delta$ Therms (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{(U_{code} - U_{eff}) * A_{window} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{window}}{(\eta_{heat} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

$\eta_{heat}$  = Efficiency of heating system  
 = Equipment efficiency \* distribution efficiency  
 = Actual<sup>886</sup> – If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts<sup>887</sup> or 87% for sealed ducts  
 100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above.

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{Therms} &= [(0.32 - 0.25) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63] / (0.74 * 100,000)) * 15 \\ &= 11 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

## PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * GCF$$

Where:

$\Delta$ Therms = Therm impact calculated above  
 GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>888</sup>  
 = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler  
 = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installs 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' windows with a 0.25 U-Factor. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \text{PeakTherms} &= 11 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.18 \text{ therms} \end{aligned}$$

<sup>886</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>887</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>888</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.



**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-WINS-V03-200101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2021\***

\* Currently, no utilities are offering this measure, and it is therefore overdue for a reliability review. If a utility plans to start using this measure again it should be reviewed accordingly.

## 2.6.9 Window Insulation Kits

### DESCRIPTION

This measure describes savings from installing seasonal window insulation kits during the heating season. Kits generally include tape and shrink film that is applied to window moldings to create a static air layer between the interior of the home and the window surface. There are three principal mechanisms that constitute heat transfer through windows: Air leakage/infiltration, temperature driven heat transfer, and solar gains. Due to the complexities and uncertainties related to estimating how air leakage/infiltration rates may be affected by retrofit activities, and the potential for double-counting savings claimed through separate air sealing measures, only temperature driven heat transfer is considered. Window insulation kits are considered a seasonal measure during the heating season and thus savings are only heating energy savings are claimed.

It is recommended that a member of the implementation staff evaluate the pre- and post-project R-values, measure surface areas, and evaluate the efficiency of the heating equipment in the home. Additionally, installation quality should be verified, as this measure relies on the creation of a static air layer to be effective.

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The efficient solution is one that effectively creates a static air layer in series with the existing window (can be on either side of the window) and the outdoor environment. The requirements for participation in the program will be defined by the utilities.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing condition is the pre-retrofit window assembly.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The expected measure life is one year.

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual installed cost for this measure should be used in screening.

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

---

### Algorithm

---

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

The following calculations apply to a single window assembly.

### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

$kWh_{heating}$  = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating due to insulation

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{Old}} - \frac{1}{R_{Old} + R_{New}} \right) * A_{window} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{window}}{(\eta_{heat} * 3412)}$$

$R_{Old}$  = R-value value of existing window assembly (ft<sup>2</sup>.°F.h/Btu)

= Actual. If unknown, assume R-2<sup>889</sup>

$R_{New}$  = R-value of added air layer (ft<sup>2</sup>.°F.h/Btu)

= R-2.85<sup>890</sup>

$A_{window}$  = Net area of insulated window (ft<sup>2</sup>)

= Actual. If unknown, assume 8 ft<sup>2</sup> (24 inch x 48 inch)

HDD = Heating Degree Days

= Dependent on location:<sup>891</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	HDD 60
Zone 5 (Burlington)	4,496
Zone 6 (Mason City)	6,391
Average/ unknown	5,052

$\eta_{heat}$  = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual – If not available, refer to default table below:<sup>892</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{Heat}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	nHeat (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3412 = Converts Btu to kWh

$ADJ_{window}$  = Adjustment for wall insulation to account for prescriptive engineering algorithms consistently overclaiming savings

<sup>889</sup> A typical R-value for a double-pane window and consistent with the assumptions outlined in the MidAmerican Energy Company Joint Assessment (February 2013) for existing windows.

<sup>890</sup> Based on PNNL report 2444-2. Experimental data showed that an air gap greater than 0.5 inches had virtually no impact on insulation properties, and that an R-value of 2.85 is expected for any air gap greater than 0.5 inches.

<sup>891</sup> National Climatic Data Center, calculated from 1981-2010 climate normals with a base temp of 60°F, consistent with the findings of Belzer and Cort, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in "Statistical Analysis of Historical State-Level Residential Energy Consumption Trends," 2004.

<sup>892</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

$$= 63\%^{893}$$

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Heating savings with a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= \Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} \\ &= [(1/2 - 1/(2+4)) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63] / (1.92 * 3412)) * 15 \\ &= 590 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh}_{\text{heating}} &= \text{If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time} \\ &= \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3\end{aligned}$$

Where:

$$F_e = \text{Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption}$$

$$= 3.14\%^{894}$$

$$29.3 = \text{kWh per therm}$$

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta \text{kWh} &= 52 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 48 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

N/A

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

$\Delta \text{Therms}$  (if Natural Gas heating)

$$= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{R_{\text{Old}}} - \frac{1}{R_{\text{Old}} + R_{\text{New}}} \right) * A_{\text{window}} * HDD * 24 * ADJ_{\text{window}}}{(\eta_{\text{heat}} * 100,000)}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{\text{Heat}} &= \text{Efficiency of heating system} \\ &= \text{Equipment efficiency} * \text{distribution efficiency}\end{aligned}$$

<sup>893</sup> Based upon comparing algorithm derived savings estimate and evaluated bill analysis estimate in the following 2012 Massachusetts report: "Home Energy Services Impact Evaluation", August 2012. See "Insulation ADJ calculations.xls" for details or calculation. The adjustment for walls was assumed to be an appropriate adjustment for windows.

<sup>894</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBtu/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ . See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

= Actual<sup>895</sup> – If unknown, assume 74% for unsealed ducts<sup>896</sup> or 87% for sealed ducts  
 100,000 = Converts Btu to Therms

Other factors as defined above.

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\Delta \text{Therms} = [(1/2 - 1/(2+4)) * 8 * 6391 * 24 * 0.63] / (0.74 * 100,000)) * 15$$

$$= 52 \text{ therms}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = \Delta \text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

$\Delta \text{Therms}$  = Therm impact calculated above  
 GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>897</sup>  
 = 0.014378 for Residential Boiler  
 = 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City with 15 identically sized 2' x 4' windows installs window insulation film with a 4-inch air layer. Savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\Delta \text{PeakTherms} = 52 * 0.016525$$

$$= 0.86 \text{ therms}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-WINK-V02-200101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

<sup>895</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>896</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey)). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>897</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

## 2.6.10 Storm Windows

### DESCRIPTION

Storm windows installed on either the interior or exterior of existing window assemblies can reduce both heating and cooling loads by reducing infiltration and solar heat gain and improving insulation properties. Glass options for storm windows can include traditional clear glazing as well as low-emissivity (Low-E) glazing. Low-E glass is formed by adding an ultra-thin layer of metal to clear glass. The metallic-oxide (pyrolytic) coating is applied when the glass is in its molten state, and the coating becomes a permanent and extremely durable part of the glass. This coating is also known as "hard-coat" Low-E. Low-E glass is designed to redirect heat back towards the source, effectively providing higher insulating properties and lower solar heat gain as compared to traditional clear glass. This characterization captures the savings associated with installing storm windows to an existing window assembly (retrofit).

If sealing of ducts is unknown, the sealed efficiency should be used.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

An interior or exterior storm window installed according to manufacturer specifications.

### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

The existing window assembly.

### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

20 years<sup>898</sup>

### DEEMED MEASURE COST

The actual capital cost for this measure should be used when available and include both material and labor costs. If unavailable, the cost for a low-e storm window can be assumed as \$7.85/ft<sup>2</sup> of window area (material cost) plus \$30 per window for installation expenses.<sup>899</sup> For clear glazing, cost can be assumed as \$6.72/ft<sup>2</sup> of window area (material cost) plus \$30 per window for installation expenses.<sup>900</sup>

### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE07 – Residential Single Family Cooling

Loadshape RE06 – Residential Single Family Central Heat

Loadshape RE08 – Residential Single Family Heat Pump

Loadshape RG01 – Residential Boiler

Loadshape RG04 – Residential Other Heating

---

<sup>898</sup> Task ET-WIN-PNNL-FY13-01\_5.3: Database of Low-e Storm Window Energy Performance across U.S. Climate Zones. KA Cort and TD Culp, September 2013. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. PNNL-22864.

<sup>899</sup> Task ET-WIN-PNNL-FY13-01\_5.3: Database of Low-e Storm Window Energy Performance across U.S. Climate Zones. KA Cort and TD Culp, September 2013. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. PNNL-22864.

<sup>900</sup> A comparison of low-e to clear glazed storm windows available at large national retail outlets showed the average incremental cost for low-e glazing to be \$1.13/ft<sup>2</sup>. Installation costs are identical.

## Algorithm

### CALCULATION OF SAVINGS

The following reference tables show savings factors (kBtu/ft<sup>2</sup>) for both heating and cooling loads for each of the weather zones defined by the TRM.<sup>901</sup> They are used with savings equations listed in the electric energy and gas savings sections to produce savings estimates. If storm windows are left installed year-round, both heating and cooling savings may be claimed. If they are installed seasonally, only heating savings should be claimed. Savings are dependent on location, storm window location (interior or exterior), glazing type (clear or Low-E) and existing window assembly type.

#### Zone 5 (Burlington)

Heating:

Savings in kBtu/ft <sup>2</sup>		Base Window Assembly			
		SINGLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	DOUBLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	SINGLE PANE, FIXED	DOUBLE PANE, FIXED
Storm Window Type	CLEAR EXTERIOR	58.4	17.3	59.2	15.9
	CLEAR INTERIOR	60.9	22.5	60.1	18.0
	LOW-E EXTERIOR	64.2	18.4	66.1	23.7
	LOW-E INTERIOR	71.0	25.9	69.1	22.6

Cooling:

Savings in kBtu/ft <sup>2</sup>		Base Window Assembly			
		SINGLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	DOUBLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	SINGLE PANE, FIXED	DOUBLE PANE, FIXED
Storm Window Type	CLEAR EXTERIOR	22.9	10.4	22.4	9.5
	CLEAR INTERIOR	23.8	10.7	24.3	9.7
	LOW-E EXTERIOR	29.3	15.3	29.1	9.1
	LOW-E INTERIOR	28.5	14.0	28.8	13.3

#### Zone 6 (Mason City)

Heating:

Savings in kBtu/ft <sup>2</sup>		Base Window Assembly			
		SINGLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	DOUBLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	SINGLE PANE, FIXED	DOUBLE PANE, FIXED
Storm Window Type	CLEAR EXTERIOR	91.3	28.6	92.1	26.3
	CLEAR INTERIOR	95.3	35.8	94.5	29.6
	LOW-E EXTERIOR	102.0	32.4	104.3	36.4
	LOW-E INTERIOR	110.7	41.9	108.4	37.3

Cooling:

Savings in kBtu/ft <sup>2</sup>		Base Window Assembly			
		SINGLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	DOUBLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	SINGLE PANE, FIXED	DOUBLE PANE, FIXED
Storm Window	CLEAR EXTERIOR	14.9	7.6	14.4	6.9
	CLEAR INTERIOR	15.5	7.4	16.0	6.9

<sup>901</sup> Savings factors are based on simulation results, documented in "Storm Windows Savings.xlsx"

Savings in kBtu/ft <sup>2</sup>		Base Window Assembly			
		SINGLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	DOUBLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	SINGLE PANE, FIXED	DOUBLE PANE, FIXED
Type	LOW-E EXTERIOR	20.1	11.8	19.7	6.0
	LOW-E INTERIOR	18.8	10.0	19.2	9.7

Average/Unknown

Heating:

Savings in kBtu/ft <sup>2</sup>		Base Window Assembly			
		SINGLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	DOUBLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	SINGLE PANE, FIXED	DOUBLE PANE, FIXED
Storm Window Type	CLEAR EXTERIOR	70.3	21.4	71.1	19.7
	CLEAR INTERIOR	73.3	27.3	72.5	22.2
	LOW-E EXTERIOR	77.9	23.5	80.0	28.4
	LOW-E INTERIOR	85.4	31.8	83.3	28.0

Cooling:

Savings in kBtu/ft <sup>2</sup>		Base Window Assembly			
		SINGLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	DOUBLE PANE, DOUBLE HUNG	SINGLE PANE, FIXED	DOUBLE PANE, FIXED
Storm Window Type	CLEAR EXTERIOR	20.0	9.4	19.5	8.5
	CLEAR INTERIOR	20.8	9.4	21.3	8.7
	LOW-E EXTERIOR	25.9	13.9	25.5	7.9
	LOW-E INTERIOR	24.9	12.4	25.2	11.9

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS

$$\Delta kWh = \Delta kWh_{cooling} + \Delta kWh_{heating}$$

Where:

$\Delta kWh_{cooling}$  = If storm windows are left installed during the cooling season and the home has central cooling, the reduction in annual cooling requirement

$$= \frac{\varphi_{cool} * A}{\eta_{Cool}}$$

$\varphi_{cool}$  = Savings factor for cooling, as tabulated above.

A = Area (square footage) of storm windows installed.

$\eta_{Cool}$  = Efficiency (SEER) of Air Conditioning equipment (kBtu/kWh)

= Actual (where it is possible to measure or reasonably estimate) – If unknown, assume the following:<sup>902</sup>

Age of Equipment	SEER Sealed Estimate	SEER Unsealed Estimate
Before 2006	10	8.5
2006 – 2014	13	11
Central AC After 1/1/2015	13	11
Heat Pump After 1/1/2015	14	12

<sup>902</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Central AC was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time mean that using the minimum standard is appropriate.



$\Delta kWh_{\text{heating}}$  = If electric heat (resistance or heat pump), reduction in annual electric heating

$$= \frac{\varphi_{\text{heat}} * A}{\eta_{\text{Heat}} * 3.412}$$

$\varphi_{\text{heat}}$  = Savings factor for heating, as tabulated above.

$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$  = Efficiency of heating system

= Actual – If not available refer to default table below:<sup>903</sup>

System Type	Age of Equipment	HSPF Estimate	$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with unsealed ducts (HSPF/3.412)*0.85	$\eta_{\text{Heat}}$ (Effective COP Estimate) with Sealed Ducts (HSPF/3.412)
Heat Pump	Before 2006	6.8	1.69	1.99
	2006 – 2014	7.7	1.92	2.26
	2015 and after	8.2	2.04	2.40
Resistance	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.00

3.412 = Converts kBtu to kWh

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installing 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' exterior low-e storm windows over existing double pane, double hung windows, savings for a 10.5 SEER Central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta kWh &= \Delta kWh_{\text{cooling}} + \Delta kWh_{\text{heating}} \\ &= (((11.8 * 8) / 10.5) + ((32.4 * 8) / (1.92 * 3.412))) * 15 \\ &= 135 \text{ kWh} + 593 \text{ kWh} \\ &= 728 \text{ kWh} \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta kWh_{\text{heating}}$  = If gas furnace heat, kWh savings for reduction in fan run time

$$= \Delta \text{Therms} * F_e * 29.3$$

Where:

$F_e$  = Furnace Fan energy consumption as a percentage of annual fuel consumption

$$= 3.14\%^{904}$$

29.3 = kWh per therm

<sup>903</sup> These default system efficiencies are based on the applicable minimum Federal Standards. In 2006 the Federal Standard for Heat Pumps was adjusted. While one would expect the average system efficiency to be higher than this minimum, the likely degradation of efficiencies over time means that using the minimum standard is appropriate. An 85% distribution efficiency is then applied to account for duct losses for heat pumps.

<sup>904</sup>  $F_e$  is not one of the AHRI certified ratings provided for residential furnaces, but can be reasonably estimated from a calculation based on the certified values for fuel energy ( $E_f$  in MMBtu/yr) and  $E_{ae}$  (kWh/yr). An average of a 300 record sample (non-random) out of 1495 was 3.14%. This is, appropriately, ~50% greater than the Energy Star version 3 criteria for 2%  $F_e$ . See "Furnace Fan Analysis.xlsx" for reference.

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installing 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' exterior low-e storm windows over existing double pane, double hung windows, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kWh &= 52 * 0.0314 * 29.3 \\ &= 48 \text{ kWh}\end{aligned}$$

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

$$\Delta kW = \frac{\Delta kWh_{cooling}}{FLH_{cooling}} * CF$$

Where:

FLH<sub>cooling</sub> = Full load hours of air conditioning  
= Dependent on location:<sup>905</sup>

Climate Zone (City based upon)	Single Family	Multifamily	Manufactured
Zone 5 (Burlington)	918	736	865
Zone 6 (Mason City)	468	375	441
Average/ unknown	811	650	764

CF = Summer System Peak Coincidence Factor for Cooling  
= 68% if central AC; 72% if ducted ASHP or ductless HP used for whole home conditioning;<sup>906</sup> 43.1% for ductless HP used as supplemental or limited zone<sup>907</sup>

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installing 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' exterior low-e storm windows over existing double pane, double hung windows, savings for a 10.5 SEER Central AC system and a 2.26 (1.92 including distribution losses) COP Heat Pump:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta kW &= 135 / 468 * 0.68 \\ &= 0.1962 \text{ kW}\end{aligned}$$

#### NATURAL GAS SAVINGS

If Natural Gas heating:

$$\Delta Therms = \frac{\varphi_{heat} * A}{\eta_{Heat} * 100}$$

Where:

η<sub>Heat</sub> = Efficiency of heating system  
= Equipment efficiency \* distribution efficiency

<sup>905</sup> Full load hours for Des Moines are provided based on Cadmus modeling for the 2011 Joint Assessment. The other locations were calculated based on relative Cooling Degree Day ratios (from NCDC).

<sup>906</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from homes in Ameren Illinois service territory in PY5; 'Impact and Process Evaluation of Ameren Illinois Company's Residential HVAC Program (PY5)'.

<sup>907</sup> Based on analysis of metering results from Ameren Illinois; Cadmus, "All-Electric Homes: PY6 Metering Results: Multifamily HVAC Systems", October 6, 2015.

= Actual<sup>908</sup> – If not available, use 74% for unsealed ducts<sup>909</sup> or 87% for sealed ducts

100 = Converts kBtu to Therms

*Other factors as defined above*

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installing 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' exterior low-e storm windows over existing double pane, double hung windows, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{Therms} &= ((32.4 * 8) / (0.74 * 100)) * 15 \\ &= 52.5 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### PEAK GAS SAVINGS

$$\Delta\text{PeakTherms} = \Delta\text{Therms} * \text{GCF}$$

Where:

$\Delta\text{Therms}$  = Therm impact calculated above

GCF = Gas Coincidence Factor for Heating<sup>910</sup>

= 0.014378 for Residential Boiler

= 0.016525 for Residential Space Heating (other)

**For example**, for a single family home in Mason City installing 15 new identically sized 2' x 4' exterior low-e storm windows over existing double pane, double hung windows, savings with a gas furnace with system efficiency of 74%:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\text{PeakTherms} &= 52.5 * 0.016525 \\ &= 0.8676 \text{ therms}\end{aligned}$$

#### WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION

N/A

#### DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-SHL-STRM-V02-200101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2023**

<sup>908</sup> Ideally, the System Efficiency should be obtained either by recording the AFUE of the unit, or performing a steady state efficiency test. The Distribution Efficiency can be estimated via a visual inspection and by referring to a look up table such as that provided by the Building Performance Institute: (<http://www.bpi.org/files/pdf/DistributionEfficiencyTable-BlueSheet.pdf>) or by performing duct blaster testing.

<sup>909</sup> This has been estimated assuming that natural gas central furnace heating is typical for Iowa residences (the predominant heating is gas furnace with 49% of Iowa homes (based on Energy Information Administration, 2009 Residential Energy Consumption Survey). In 2000, 60% of furnaces purchased in Iowa were condensing (based on data from GAMA, provided to Department of Energy during the federal standard setting process for residential heating equipment - see Furnace Penetration.xls). Furnaces tend to last up to 20 years and so units purchased 15 years ago provide a reasonable proxy for the current mix of furnaces in the State. Assuming typical efficiencies for condensing and non-condensing furnaces and duct losses, the average heating system efficiency is estimated as follows:  $((0.60 * 0.92) + (0.40 * 0.8)) * (1 - 0.15) = 0.74$ .

<sup>910</sup> Calculated using Cadmus provided Gas Loadshapes as the maximum daily load for the end use.

## 2.7 Miscellaneous

### 2.7.1 Residential Pool Pumps

#### DESCRIPTION

Residential pool pumps can be single speed, two/multi speed or variable speed. A federal standard (82 FR 5650) effective July 19, 2021 effectively requires new pumps to be at least two speed.

Single speed pumps are often oversized, and run frequently at constant flow regardless of load. Single speed pool pumps require that the motor be sized for the task that requires the highest speed. As such, energy is wasted performing low speed tasks at high speed. Two speed and variable speed pool pumps reduce speed when less flow is required, such as when filtering is needed but not cleaning, and have timers that encourage programming for fewer on-hours. Variable speed pool pumps use advanced motor technologies to achieve efficiency ratings of 90% while the average single speed pump will have efficiency ratings between 30% and 70%.<sup>911</sup>

This measure applies to the purchase and installation of a new ENERGY STAR variable speed residential pool pump motor in place of a new baseline pump meeting the federal standard for Time of Sale and New Construction, or the early replacement of a standard single speed motor of equivalent horsepower.

The new federal and ENERGY STAR standards now provide specifications for above ground in addition to in ground pool pumps.

This measure was developed to be applicable to the following program types: TOS, NC, RF.

If applied to other program types, the measure savings should be verified.

#### DEFINITION OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The high efficiency equipment is an ENERGY STAR residential pool pump. ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Specification Version 3.0 became effective on July 19, 2021. This characterization assumes incentivized products meet Version 3.0 requirements provided below:

Pump Sub-Type	Size Class	Version 3.0 Energy Efficiency Level (Effective 7/19/2021)
Self-Priming (Inground) Pool Pumps	Extra Small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF ≥ 13.40
	Small (hhp > 0.13 and < 0.711)	WEF ≥ -2.45 x ln (hhp) + 8.40
	Standard Size (hhp ≥ 0.711)	WEF ≥ -2.45 x ln (hhp) + 8.40
Non-Self Priming (Aboveground) Pool Pumps	Extra Small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF ≥ 4.92
	Standard Size (hhp > 0.13)	WEF ≥ -1.00 x ln (hhp) + 3.85

#### DEFINITION OF BASELINE EQUIPMENT

For TOS and NC, the baseline equipment is a two speed residential pool pump meeting the Federal Standard, effective July 19, 2021 provided below:

Pump Sub-Type	Size Class	Baseline (Effective 7/19/2021)
Self-Priming (Inground) Pool Pumps	Extra Small (hhp ≤ 0.13)	WEF ≥ 5.55
	Small (hhp > 0.13 and < 0.711)	WEF ≥ -1.30 x ln (hhp) + 2.90
	Standard Size (hhp ≥ 0.711)	WEF ≥ -2.30 x ln (hhp) + 6.59

<sup>911</sup> U.S. DOE, 2012. Measure Guideline: Replacing Single-Speed Pool Pumps with Variable Speed Pumps for Energy Savings. Report No. DOE/GO-102012-3534.

Pump Sub-Type	Size Class	Baseline (Effective 7/19/2021)
Non-Self Priming (Aboveground) Pool Pumps	Extra Small (hhp $\leq$ 0.13)	WEF $\geq$ 4.60
	Standard Size (hhp $>$ 0.13)	WEF $\geq -0.85 \times \ln(\text{hhp}) + 2.87$

For retrofit, the baseline is the existing single speed pool pump.

#### DEEMED LIFETIME OF EFFICIENT EQUIPMENT

The estimated useful life for an ENERGY STAR pool pump is 10 years.<sup>912</sup>

For retrofit, the estimated remaining useful life of the existing single speed pump is 4 years.

#### DEEMED MEASURE COST

For TOS and NC, the incremental cost is estimated as \$314<sup>913</sup> for in-ground pumps and are estimated as \$840<sup>914</sup> for above ground pool pumps.

For retrofit, the full replacement costs shall be used. A deferred new baseline cost (after 4 years) of replacing the existing equipment should also be included.

#### LOADSHAPE

Loadshape RE17 – Residential Pool Pumps

---

<sup>912</sup> The CEE Efficient Residential Swimming Pool Initiative, p18, indicates that the average motor life for pools in use year round is 5-7 years. For pools in use for under a third of a year, the expected lifetime is higher, so 10 years is selected as an assumption. This is consistent with DEER 2014 and the ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Calculator assumptions.

<sup>913</sup> Incremental costs are from 2013 ENERGY STAR Pool Pump Calculator and represent the difference between the two/multi speed incremental cost and the variable speed incremental cost (\$549 - \$235 = \$314).

<sup>914</sup> CEE Efficient Residential Swimming Pool Initiative, December 2012, page 18 and represent the difference between the two/multi speed incremental cost and the variable speed incremental cost (\$1120 - \$280 = \$840).

## Algorithm

### CALCULATION OF ENERGY SAVINGS

#### ELECTRIC ENERGY SAVINGS<sup>915</sup>

For TOS and NC:

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{\text{Gallons} * \text{Turnovers} * \left( \frac{1}{WEF_{Base}} - \frac{1}{WEF_{ESTAR}} \right)}{1000} * \text{Days}$$

For retrofit:

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{\text{Gallons} * \text{Turnovers} * \left( \frac{1}{EF_{Exist}} - \frac{1}{WEF_{ESTAR}} \right)}{1000} * \text{Days}$$

Where:

Gallons = Capacity of the pool  
= Actual. If unknown assume:

Pool Type	Gallons
In ground	22,000 <sup>916</sup>
Above ground	7,540 <sup>917</sup>

Turnovers = Desired number of pool water turnovers per day  
= 2<sup>918</sup>

WEF<sub>Base</sub> = Weighted Energy factor of baseline pump (gal/Wh)<sup>919</sup>

Pool Type	WEF <sub>Base</sub>
In ground	4.6
Above ground	2.6

WEF<sub>ESTAR</sub> = Weighted Energy Factor of ENERGY STAR pump (gal/Wh)<sup>920</sup>

Pool Type	WEF <sub>ESTAR</sub>
In ground	6.3
Above ground	3.5

EF<sub>Exist</sub> = Energy Factor of existing single speed pump (gal/Wh)

<sup>915</sup> The methodology followed is consistent with the most recent version of the 2020 ENERGY STAR calculator (Pool\_Pump\_Calculator\_2020.05.05\_FINAL.xls), however this has not been updated to account for the new federal standard.

<sup>916</sup> Consistent with assumption in the 2020 ENERGY STAR calculator.

<sup>917</sup> Based on typical pool sizes from "Evaluation of Potential Best Management Practices - Pools, Spas, and Fountains, The California Urban Water Conservation Council", 2010.

<sup>918</sup> Consistent with assumption in the 2020 ENERGY STAR calculator.

<sup>919</sup> Based on applying the federal standard specifications to the average Curve-C rated hydraulic horsepower (hhp) from the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List, accessed 3/31/2021, see "2021 IA TRM\_Pool Pump Calculator.xls".

<sup>920</sup> Based on applying the ENERGY STAR specifications to the average Curve-C rated hydraulic horsepower (hhp) from the ENERGY STAR Qualified Products List, accessed 3/31/2021, see "2021 IA TRM\_Pool Pump Calculator.xls".

$= 2.3^{921}$   
 1,000 = Conversion factor from Wh to kWh  
 Days = Pool operating days per year  
 $= 122^{922}$

Based on defaults provided above:

Pool Type	$\Delta kWh$	
	TOS/NC	Retrofit
In ground	307.7	1512.1
Above ground	189.5	283.7

#### SUMMER COINCIDENT PEAK DEMAND SAVINGS

For TOS and NC:

$$\Delta kW = ((kWh/Day_{Base})/(Hrs/Day_{Base}) - (kWh/Day_{ESTAR})/(Hrs/Day_{ESTAR})) * CF$$

For retrofit:

$$\Delta kW = ((kWh/Day_{Exist})/(Hrs/Day_{Exist}) - (kWh/Day_{ESTAR})/(Hrs/Day_{ESTAR})) * CF$$

Where:

kWh/Day = Daily energy consumption of pool pump

Pool Type	$\Delta kWh/day$		
	Base	ESTAR	Exist
In ground	9.5	7.0	19.4
Above ground	5.9	4.3	6.6

Hrs/Day = Daily run hours of pump

= (Gallons \* Turnover) / GPM

		Weighted Average GPM <sup>923</sup>	Hours/Day
In ground	Base	43.6	16.8
	ESTAR	32.2	22.8
	Exist	78	9.4
Above ground	Base	44.7	5.6
	ESTAR	27.3	9.2
	Exist	78.1	3.2

<sup>921</sup> Consistent with assumption in the 2020 ENERGY STAR calculator assuming 1.5 HP pump.

<sup>922</sup> Consistent with assumption in the 2020 ENERGY STAR calculator.

<sup>923</sup> The 2013 ENERGY STAR calculator (included in file "2021 IA TRM\_Pool Pump Calculator" provided high and low flow and hour assumptions for multi and variable speed pumps. This is used to estimate a weighted average GPM assumption.

CF = Summer peak coincidence Factor for measure  
= 0.831<sup>924</sup>

Based on defaults provided above:

Pool Type	ΔkW Saved	
	TOS/NC	Retrofit
In ground	0.2152	1.4641
Above ground	0.4793	1.3285

**NATURAL GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**PEAK GAS SAVINGS**

N/A

**WATER IMPACT DESCRIPTIONS AND CALCULATION**

N/A

**DEEMED O&M COST ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION**

N/A

**MEASURE CODE: RS-MSC-RPLP-V03-220101**

**SUNSET DATE: 1/1/2025**

---

<sup>924</sup> Based on assumptions of daily load pattern through pool season. Assumption was developed for Efficiency Vermont but is considered a reasonable estimate for Iowa.