TRANSCRIBED FROM AN AUDIO/VIDEO RECORDING

APPEARANCES:

For Summit Carbon BRET A. DUBLINSKE, ESQ. Solutions, LLC: Fredrikson & Byron, PA

111 East Grand Avenue

Suite 301

Des Moines, Iowa 50309

JESS VILSACK, ESQ. General Counsel

Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC

For the OCA: LANNY ZIEMAN, ESQ.

Consumer Advocate JOHN LONG, ESQ.

Office of Consumer Advocate

1375 East Court Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319

For Iowa Farm Bureau CHRISTINA L. GRUENHAGEN, ESQ.

DAVID T. MEYERS, ESQ. Federation:

Wickham & Geadelmann, PLLC

5400 University Avenue

West Des Moines, Iowa 50266

For Shelby, Kossuth, TIMOTHY J. WHIPPLE, ESQ. Floyd, Emmet, Ahlers & Cooney, PC

Dickinson, Wright & 100 Court Avenue

Suite 600

Woodbury Counties: Des Moines, Iowa 50309

WALLACE L. TAYLOR, ESQ. For the Sierra Club,

Iowa Chapter: Law Offices of Wallace L. Taylor

4403 First Avenue SE

Suite 402

Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52402

For the Jorde CHRISTIAN T. WILLIAMS, ESQ.

Landowners: Domina Law Group

> 2425 S. 144th Street Omaha, Nebraska 68144

For LSCP, LLC and PATRICK B. WHITE, ESQ. PLCP, LLLP: Schultheis & White, PLC

3116 Ingersoll Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50312

For Iowans For a Growing Agricultural Economy:

ALAN R. OSTERGREN, ESQ. Alan R. Ostergren, PC 500 locust Street

Suite 199

Des Moines, Iowa 50309

For the Board:

JON TACK, ESQ. IUB General Counsel 1375 East Court Avenue Des Moines, Iowa 50319

<u>P R O C E E D I N G S</u>

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Go ahead and get started on the status conference for HLP-2021-0001, Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC.

I am Board Chair Erik Helland. With me today are Board Members Josh Byrnes and Sarah Martz.

On May 19th, 2023, the Board issued an order setting a partial procedural schedule requiring filing and granting of interventions. The order set the status conference as part of the partial procedural schedule.

Also included in the May 19 order was a proposal about potentially using mediators to assist voluntary landowners with the easement negotiation process. The Board stated it was exploring this idea and would seek input from the parties at this meeting.

Before getting into our discussion, I will take appearances.

For Summit Carbon?

MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor.

Appearing for Summit Carbon, Bret Dublinske of the law firm of Fredrikson & Byron, and Jess Vilsack, the general counsel of Summit Carbon Solutions.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Office of Consumer

PETERSEN COURT REPORTERS
P.O. Box 71484
Clive, IA 50325
(515) 243-6596

MR. WHITE: Attorney Patrick White from

24

25

Schultheis White, LLC.

```
CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you.
1
                                               And just
2
    for my sake, can you enlighten us on the acronyms?
3
             MR. WHITE: Yes, sir, Your Honor. Little
    Sioux Corn Processors and Pine Lake Corn Processors.
4
5
             CHAIRPERSON HELLAND:
                                    Thank you. It's not
6
    written here in front of me so I appreciate that.
7
              Iowans for a Growing Agricultural Economy?
             MR. OSTERGREN: Good morning.
8
                                             Alan
9
    Ostergren.
10
             CHAIRPERSON HELLAND:
                                    Thank you.
11
             And do we have anyone here for Mary Moser,
12
    Jamie Moser, and Carmen Moser?
13
              (No response.)
14
             CHAIRPERSON HELLAND:
                                    No? Okay. And Great
15
    Plains Laborers District?
16
              (No response.)
17
             CHAIRPERSON HELLAND:
                                    Okay.
                                           Do we have
18
    anyone else here that I have missed that we need to
19
    name?
20
              (No response.)
21
             CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: All right. In the May
22
    19, 2023, order the Board proposed an idea of the
23
    Board hiring third-party mediators to assist
24
    landowners with the easement negotiation process if
25
    the landowners desired. We want to clarify this
```

service would be completely voluntary on an individual basis and would be offered free of charge to the landowners who elect to do it.

I might also offer and add--and, Jon, please step in if I misstate this--costs for this process are not attributed back to taxpayers. Costs are ultimately attributed back through the Board on an hourly basis to the utility. This will not cost the taxpayers or come from the General Assembly. We, as a Board, do not receive a general appropriation from the General Assembly.

In addition, the order also proposed the idea of using presiding officers to hear the evidence regarding Exhibit H, but then having the whole Board review the entire record and issue a decision based on the record as a whole.

Having a hearing which lasts six, eight, or ten-plus weeks places a burden on everyone involved. And I might also note, when we say everyone, we mean the thousands that aren't here. I understand some of us have the time to come and some of us are paid to come. There are thousands of Iowans out there that aren't here today but also must be considered and must also be considered when we seek a way to allow them to participate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Creating more alternatives available, the gathering of evidence, the Board making the ultimate decision, can reduce the overall burden and can allow for a more efficient and less time-consuming process while at the same time facilitating greater landowner access through a timely proceeding that is open, orderly, and fair.

I would elaborate just a little bit on the mediation before we open this up. The mediation would be a flat fee for a facilitated mediation. So for those of you who have not participated in mediation before, and in a facilitated mediation there is no evaluation whatsoever of the position. They are simply there to help frame questions from They are 100 percent neutral and they both sides. would not be paid on the outcome. They would solely be paid for a fixed time, no matter how long it took, and the time would be capped probably at two to three hours, whatever it may be. If it doesn't settle, they proceed to hearing. It is simply an opportunity for the hundreds of landowners to have a neutral playing ground, if they would like it. They in no way need to have it.

Okay. With that clarification, we would like some input on the issues and we will discuss

them in turn.

Do we have an order we prefer? Okay

MR. TACK: No. I would suggest probably just the order of appearances would make the most sense.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Mr. Dublinske, that puts you first.

MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor, and there were several issues there and I know that that partial scheduling order and the discussion of this status conference had also stated that parties should alert the Board if there were issues that they wanted for the agenda and we had submitted that we do want to take up the rest of the agenda--the rest of the schedule, since the schedule that was issued was partial, and hopefully there will be an opportunity to come back to that issue in a bit. But I wanted to raise that because it's relevant to, I think, our position on both the mediations and on the use of presiding officers.

So let me start by saying that Summit appreciates the Board's willingness to look at this with fresh eyes, willingness to think outside the box and to consider creative ways to try and effectively and efficiently move the docket forward. In the end

that is ultimately our objective, as I'll talk more about later as--the efficiency of the schedule at large.

With regard to mediations specifically, we have no position for or against. It obviously is a bit of an experiment that hasn't been done in prior proceedings. If the Board believes that that is a worthwhile endeavor and the Board believes that that will be helpful, we are certainly happy to participate and, you know, to make ourselves available as necessary for those.

On the presiding officer and it splitting up and taking whether it's satellite or other means to take testimony from individual landowners, that we do think is a good idea. We think that will be much more effective, much more efficient. My only concern is that there is some nuance to what we call the person that takes that testimony. I don't know that it is necessarily more efficient if it ends up being a presiding officer because normally that implies that there will be some sort of a Report & Recommendation, or what have you.

Obviously there are many times that there is less than the full Board present for parts of testimony, particularly in long hearings where Board

Members have other conflicts. It may be as simple as just saying, you know, we're going to have one or two Board Members taking that information.

And I do think with regard to, you know, facts regarding specific parcels, obviously those parcels aren't likely to change a lot and I think most of those landowners are pretty well-versed in what their positions are. And at that--and I know the Board traditionally has used a bit of a script with individual landowners, you know, what are the features of your property, do you have other utilities on your property, those sorts of things. Again, that script is relatively well-known from prior dockets and there's no reason that that process couldn't start literally almost anytime.

So we would favor that but we take no position on mediation other than, you know, whatever the Board believes is appropriate, we will support and participate in.

 $\label{eq:And_if_I've_missed} \mbox{ any of your questions,} \\ \mbox{let me know.} \\$

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: I don't believe any of the direct questions. Do you want to expand on the other--

MR. DUBLINSKE: On the schedule--the broader

schedule issue? Sure. If we want to get everything out on the table right upfront, I'm happy to do that.

Again, we are pleased that the Board was willing to take a fresh look and we understand that that necessitated putting out a partial schedule for now. Summit does believe that it is important to build the rest of that schedule out as soon as possible, that more notice, frankly, is better for everybody, we think, whether you're for the project, against the project. Knowing what is happening and when is beneficial.

We know that this will be tight but we would ask that the schedule resolve this docket by the end of the year. We think that that would be roughly two years from when it was filed, which is much longer than most Board cases.

We think that, you know, Iowa was the first state in which an application was filed. Even with an end-of-the-year schedule, Iowa will still likely be the last state to issue an order and I think that is not the look that Iowa wants in terms of signals to the investment community about how processes move in Iowa for investments for large projects, that other states can move those and get to resolution quite a bit faster. North Dakota has already

completed its hearing and we expect that South Dakota's order will be out prior to Iowa's.

The other thing about the end of the year, and we talk a lot about farmers, there's been a lot of talk in the docket, a lot of comments in the docket about farmers and growing seasons and what have you. And the first thing I would remind the Board is that we are at 70 percent voluntary acquisition right now in Iowa and that majority of landowners and farmers on the route also have legitimate interests in this case. And I don't mean to downplay the objectors, but I think it's easy to forget that they are not the majority, that the majority, 70 percent, have signed voluntary easements. That is getting very close to where Dakota Access was at the time of its hearing.

And for farmers, if we can get a ruling by the end of the year, that gives us a real--a very good shot of being able to complete the construction in a single growing season. It avoids winter construction the next winter, at least gives the best shot of that, it avoids having this span over two different farm seasons, if you will, two cycles which is, again, beneficial for farmers whether or not you support the project, whether you oppose the project.

And, you know, for farmers the ability to plan and know when we're going to be out there, so to be able to rough out a schedule, work with us on timing, is beneficial as well.

And, again, that's what serves that 70 percent of voluntary. We don't expect them to admit it, but we think that also serves, frankly, the 30 percent that are not currently voluntary and we hope to keep getting that number favoring the voluntary more and more all the time.

But the ability to get this done in a single growing season would be very beneficial if we want to talk about what's good for farmers, and that would require getting a ruling by the end of the year.

Finally, obviously we've started to put evidence in the record of economic benefits and environmental benefits. The nature of those is that the sooner Iowa gets those, the better. That's generally the case with benefits.

And so this case has gone on very long, there was some commentary this morning about, you know, we should do things just like DAPL. That would have been great. DAPL took 14 months from filing to order.

The issues are not that new. There are tens

of thousands of miles of pipeline in Iowa. The law is the same for carbon dioxide as it is for DAPL, and so this is something that has been refreshed on a large project relatively recently.

We think that the two-year timeline, roughly, the end of the year represents should be adequate and we would ask the Board to consider that, to adopt that, and, again, I think that framework also sort of goes along with, look, it may be that one good way to get there is having--is splitting the Board up to take landowner information, it may be the mediations. If the Board thinks that is more efficient, we're happy to try it.

I also think some things that could speed things up and help make that more possible, right now the schedule contemplates what's called cross-rebuttal, which is relatively rarely used. It is not--certainly not a feature of every case. We think that in this case what matters really is Summit's application. People can be for it, we know that there are some people that are against it, but that's what the cross and the rebuttal need to be directed at, that the cross-rebuttal among nonapplicants is not an efficient use of time.

You know, we also think that efficient and

```
sort of brisk spacing between deadlines, you know--
1
2
    already in the deadline the intervenors get an ample
3
    amount of time for their testimony. In most cases in
4
    front of the Board it's about 30 days. Here it's
5
    close to two months. And we're not suggesting
6
    necessarily that change, but we're saying that, you
7
    know, that's an example of a pretty generous
               The Board, in a lot of cases, has been
8
    deadline.
9
    shortening the periods for briefs, for reply rounds
10
    of testimony. We think that that would be
11
    appropriate here. Again, I don't think that the
12
    issues are particularly mysterious at this point.
13
             So, again, we think there's a lot of value
14
    to a lot of people in getting this wrapped up. We
15
    think two years is ample and we would ask the Board
16
    to consider a schedule that roughly fits that
17
    parameter and that, you know, the other things with
18
    regard to mediation and splitting up to take Exhibit
19
    H testimony, to the extent that they facilitate that,
20
    we're supportive.
21
             BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: One quick question.
22
             CHAIRPERSON HELLAND:
                                    Thank you.
23
    Member Byrnes has a question and I believe chief
24
    counsel has a question, too.
25
             BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Just a quick recap.
```

- 17 1 So South Dakota status? 2 MR. DUBLINSKE: South Dakota hearing is set 3 for mid-September, yeah, and their order will be due 4 by operation of law by November 15th. BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And you said North 5 6 Dakota's already had the hearing? 7 MR. DUBLINSKE: North Dakota hearing wrapped 8 up on June 2nd. BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And then I know 9 10 there's a little bit that goes through Minnesota. 11 What's the status in Minnesota? MR. DUBLINSKE: So Minnesota and Nebraska 12 13 have processes that are sort of apples to oranges. 14 With the--so the three that are similar are Iowa and 15 the two Dakotas. Minnesota and Nebraska are 16 difficult to sort of compare because it's just a very 17 different process. CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Mr. Tack, did you have 18 19 a question or comment? 20 MR. TACK: Well, I just had something I 21 wanted to clarify and the Board can certainly correct me if I misstate it. But on the issue of having a 22
- me if I misstate it. But on the issue of having a
 Board Member conduct the evidentiary hearing, I
 believe what the proposal is is not to appoint a
 presiding officer, similar to an administrative law

judge that would issue their own independent decision
for review--potential review by the Board, it would
be to have a Board Member conduct the evidentiary
hearing and then create the record for all three
Board Members to review in its entirety and make one
decision together as a Board.

So in regard to comments from the parties, as I understand it, that's what the proposal would be.

MR. DUBLINSKE: And I appreciate that clarification and I was mainly just noting, sir, that the semantics here can make a difference because presiding officer implies certain things, you know, whether it's a hearing officer or whether it's an individual Board Member, you know, and then you build that record that everyone can either, you know, watch remotely or read the transcript, or what have you. That is, to my mind, a little different than a presiding officer.

So I appreciate the clarification, I just mainly wanted to make sure we were all on the same page. The latter of those, the hearing officer or the individual Board Member, add some efficiencies. I think it's less clear that a traditional presiding officer arrangement would.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Anything else, 1 2 Mr. Dublinske? 3 MR. DUBLINSKE: Not at this time, Your 4 Honor. 5 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you. 6 All right. Consumer Advocate Zieman? 7 MR. ZIEMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. First of all, for the mediation aspect of the order, 8 9 OCA doesn't oppose it nor support it as long as the 10 process is purely voluntary as represented. And we 11 do not intend to participate in that process. 12 The second area was kind of about the fact 13 finder in this case. OCA's preference would be that 14 the fact finder be the full board or an ALJ that 15 hears the whole case and then makes a recommendation 16 to the Board, and that would be all aspects of the 17 case. 18 We support the satellite locations for 19 remote participation. 20 And then as to the broader procedural 21 schedule, we don't have an objection to it as it's 22 currently drafted. We would share--our preference 23 would be that the main part of the hearing not be in the prime part of the harvest, but that would just be 24

25

a preference.

1	That's all from OCA.
2	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: So just to clarify on
3	the hearing officer component, you would prefer
4	either the whole Board or a designated ALJ, not an
5	individual Board Member?
6	MR. ZIEMAN: That's correct.
7	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Okay. Thank
8	you. And mute my mic if I'm getting out over my
9	skis, Jonhe gets nervous when I say that. You
10	know, when we discuss a voluntary mediation program,
11	in our mind, you know, because obviously, you know,
12	we can't discuss, you know, outside the presence of
13	other parties, I don't think there's a logical role
14	for OCA as a party to the case as a whole. I mean,
15	we certainlyinternally we're not opposed, we just
16	didn't seebecause realistically there's just
17	probably not a role in that mediation, correct,
18	between two other parties?
19	MR. ZIEMAN: Correct.
20	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Thank you.
21	Anything else to add?
22	MR. ZIEMAN: Nope.
23	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you. Any
24	questions from the Board?
25	(No response.)

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: All right. And Farm 1 2 Bureau? 3 MS. GRUENHAGEN: Thank you, Your Honor, and 4 I'll just go through the, I guess, the list and where 5 we are at on those. 6 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Sure. 7 MS. GRUENHAGEN: With the mediation, as long 8 as it's voluntary and there is no solicitation of 9 landowners for the mediation, we have no objection 10 to--to utilizing mediation as an option for 11 landowners, if that's something that they would like 12 to do. 13 We don't, you know, with the public comment 14 this morning and things, while there are some people 15 that have hardened their positions, we're not in the 16 position to say that all of the landowners for the 17 thousand parcels here, that they all have hardened 18 their positions and that they're not willing to 19 negotiate. So, therefore, we would not object to 20 that being an option for landowners. 21 Regarding the presiding officer/ALJ process, 22 it is Farm Bureau's position that all three Board 23 Members hear the testimony for the integrity of this 24 process. I think it's very important that the 25 landowners feel like they're being heard by the

- decision makers in it because you've heard--just from 1 2 the public relations standpoint and also from 3 gathering the evidence, hearing evidence in person is a lot different than getting notes from somebody or 4 hearing from somebody in past--past experience in 5 6 going to hearings. 7 And so we would support the full--the full 8 Board as the decision making--decision maker hearing 9 all of the evidence with that. 10 Regarding the satellite locations
 - themselves, we do support livestreaming the proceedings. The collecting remote testimony probably is not optimal for the landowners that wish to testify to the Board, but if it's provided as an option and it's what works the best for a landowner, we would not object to that either.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then I think we got into the schedule a little bit. Would you like me to address that as well?

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Sure.

MS. GRUENHAGEN: Okay. So back in--there's been several filings regarding the schedule and the hearing date in the past. And so I'll just kind of summarize where we have been on that.

We would like to avoid the busiest time of

- the year for farmers, even though as Summit relates,
 70 percent have been--have voluntarily signed an
 easement, that 30 percent is still over a thousand
 parcels. And so you have hundreds of landowners that
 are still involved.
 - 90 percent of this project goes over agricultural land. It is the largest project that this Board has considered, I believe, historically. And so looking at accelerating things, it's not something that needs to be done. It needs to be done properly and I think the Board indicated that this morning in the meeting.

So as we filed in--back in December, the two prime months--October is the busiest time for harvest. Whether it is an early harvest or a late harvest, that is--that is the busiest month and that is the month that was previously set for the hearing date. And so we would prefer not having the hearing in October.

And then in planting time, May is the busiest month. And so if the Board can--understanding, because of the length of the hearing, and things, that you can't avoid the entire season, of course, but if the Board can avoid May and October, because those are the very busiest months for the people that

are going to be impacted by this pipeline in the 1 2 proceeding. 3 Is there anything else that I haven't--4 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Board Members, do you 5 have a question? 6 **BOARD MEMBER BYRNES:** No. 7 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: I guess my only 8 question, and if anyone has the answer readily, what 9 I would be interested to know, you know, we talk a 10 thousand parcels. Do we know the exact or a close 11 percentage how many are being operated by the 12 landowner in an agricultural capacity? So we have a 13 thousand and 800--or 80 percent are tillable, what 14 percent are being operated? How many--what we have 15 to try to balance as a Board is the hundreds, if not thousands of Iowans that aren't here and the stress 16 17 that manifests on them, as well, as this process 18 continues. 19 I come from a family farm myself. We in no 20 way want to displace anyone. But we also need to 21 understand how many we really are displacing to make 22 an appropriate decision. 23 So does anybody have --24 MS. GRUENHAGEN: Well, all of the farms are

being operated. And so whether they're a farm tenant

1 or an owner, they are--that farm is still being 2 operated and they are still affected persons in this 3 proceeding. CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: But we don't know how 4 5 many are being operated by the landowner? 6 MS. GRUENHAGEN: We're still working through 7 the Exhibit Hs. Perhaps Summit would know because 8 they keep being revised and refiled, and so we're 9 still working through that process. 10 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Just curious. 11 Thank you. 12 Do you have anything else? Thank you. 13 MS. GRUENHAGEN: Not unless you have 14 questions. 15 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: I don't. 16 MS. GRUENHAGEN: Thank you. 17 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: All right. Shelby, 18 Kossuth, Emmet, Dickinson, Wright Board of 19 Supervisors. Mr. Whipple? 20 MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, Your Honor. Like the others, I'll try to go down in the order of your 21 22 questions and if I don't get to anything, please ask 23 our opinion. 24 As far as the mediations, our comments in 25 the motion for reconsideration addressed our position

1 on that. We're not necessarily opposed to parties 2 working out disputes. I'm not necessarily saying 3 it's a bad idea, but we do think the statutory 4 framework in 479B doesn't really address it or 5 address your authority over voluntary easements. And 6 so we think it would just be better to stick with a 7 traditional contested case hearing, grant of eminent 8 domain type process.

That being said, we're not a direct party to those negotiations. Those are our thoughts, but we're not going to participate in any way, wouldn't have a role.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as presiding officers, we really support the appointment of a presiding officer. In fact, we prefer it be a traditional ALJ. We think the ALJ process would be beneficial in terms of hearing evidence, making rulings, and even producing a proposed decision. And so we do not oppose in any way the use of an ALJ.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON HELLAND:} \quad \mbox{For the entire} \\ \mbox{proceeding, not--}$

MR. WHIPPLE: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay.

MR. WHIPPLE: We think the ALJ would be a good process. The Board's attention and bandwidth is

drawn to many cases. There will be a lot of evidence and testimony to sift through and someone dedicated to it is a process we would support.

Satellite testimony, we're not opposed to that. We think that could be beneficial and support that.

On the broader schedule--now, the counties asked for reconsideration in March and were mooted out earlier in this process. And after the May 19th order came out, we promptly renewed our motions for reconsideration.

In our view, and most of this case has been made by the Sierra Club, but we support it, the time is tight between the filing of Summit's testimony and when Intervenor testimony would be due and we think more time would be useful to litigate the case and are sensitive to the demands of landowners who don't want it at the busiest time of the year.

So we would support moving it to May 2024, but if that's not acceptable, maybe January 2024 in order to provide some additional time for depositions and interrogatories, which we're now exchanging based on the direct testimony that's been filed. We think it would be helpful to have more time.

Thank you.

```
CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you. Anything
1
2
    else, Mr. Whipple?
3
             MR. WHIPPLE: Unless I missed something.
             CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: I don't think so.
4
             Questions?
5
6
              (No response.)
7
             CHAIRPERSON HELLAND:
                                    No?
                                         Okay.
             Mr. Taylor, Sierra Club.
8
9
             MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. First of all, with
10
    respect to the schedule, we have asked since the very
11
    beginning of the Board's efforts to find a schedule,
12
    that we need sufficient time between the filing of
13
    Summit's direct testimony and the filing of
14
    Intervenors' direct testimony.
15
             You've heard it said, and I think it is
16
    true, this is an unprecedented case for Iowa.
17
    is a massive project, there's a lot of issues that we
    haven't seen before, and it's going to take some time
18
19
    to dig through that, to do discovery.
20
             Summit has 11 witnesses that they have
    submitted testimony from. We--by "we" I mean all of
21
22
    the Intervenors--propose to take depositions of those
23
    witnesses and that will take time to schedule those,
    to schedule the court reporter, get transcripts, and
24
```

it really isn't fair, I don't think, for the

Intervenors to have to file our prepared testimony before we finish discovery.

You know, in a regular court proceeding you don't file a motion for summary judgment, for example, until after the discovery is complete. And, in fact, if one party does file a motion for summary judgment before discovery's complete, the opposing party can ask for a continuance to respond to that motion for summary judgment. So I don't think it's inappropriate or unusual to allow time for discovery before we file our prepared testimony.

We already have one discovery dispute with Summit and there may be more and that's going to take time to resolve.

The Board at the March 15th status conference suggested perhaps having discovery conferences along the way to help the parties resolve discovery disputes and I think that's a good idea. But still that involves more time than the current schedule allows.

And I don't think that this extension of time would be inappropriate, particularly if the Board grants other suggestions to postpone the hearing until after October sometime. So that makes plenty of time for us to do the discovery and to file

our direct testimony.

The idea of the mediation, although I'm sure the Board intended it to be completely voluntary, not forcing anything on the landowners, it appears that way. And you heard landowners this morning express that perception, that somehow the Board is saying that they have to or at least should engage in mediation. And Summit's had over a year-and-a-half now to get voluntary easements and they've been at about 70 percent now for six months, I think.

So the landowners have made it clear. The ones who signed easements, have signed easements. The ones who aren't going to sign easements, have not signed easements. I think the mediation idea just gives the landowners the wrong impression.

I would also comment Mr. Dublinske talked about having to think about Summit's rights and the investment community. But Section 479B.1 clearly says the Board's priority is the rights of the landowners and that's what we need to be concerned about.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Mr. Taylor, can I ask a quick question?

MR. TAYLOR: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: So, you know, one

1 thing that we can't hear in public comment is procedural issues. Obviously we can't take--2 MR. TAYLOR: 3 Sure. CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: --into the record or--4 MR. TAYLOR: Sure. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: --you know, 7 information that's actually factual. But one thing 8 we did hear pretty consistently is that landowners 9 don't need help. They don't--they're strong enough 10 on their own. But yet you just told us that they 11 would feel pressured if a by law neutral body offers 12 to mediate at no cost, that is facilitative. 13 So can you reconcile why some landowners 14 feel like they absolutely don't need our help, but 15 yet you feel like us offering a neutral platform to 16 articulate and maybe level the power discrepancy 17 would be pressure? 18 MR. TAYLOR: "Pressure" I think is the wrong 19 What I said was they would have the perception 20 that the Board is telling them that they must or at 21 least should engage in the mediation. 22 Certainly we Iowans tend to respect 23 authority and when the Board tells the landowners, 24 "We have mediation and we want you to take part in

it, you don't have to, but we would like you to do

- 1 that," I think they're seeing that as the voice of 2 authority telling them that they have to take part in 3 mediation. 4 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: And just to clarify, 5 it has never been phrased as a preference. It's been 6 phrased from the get-go as voluntary and an offer. 7 It has been an ask "Is this something you'd be interested in?" 8 MR. TAYLOR: I understand that's the Board's 9 10 intention. I'm just telling you how the landowners 11 may perceive it. CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: All of them? 12 13 MR. TAYLOR: Yeah. I mean--
- 14 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: So you're opposed-15 you're opposed to the Board asking landowners "Are
 16 you interested in a neutral platform?"
 17 MR. TAYLOR: I can't speak for the
- 19 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay, but Sierra
 20 Club--

landowners, I don't represent them.

18

21

22

23

- MR. TAYLOR: So hearing that, I think it's a real concern and I would love to hear from the attorneys representing the landowners on that, frankly.
- 25 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: But generally

speaking, Sierra Club is opposed to the Board asking landowners if they would like a neutral mediator?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I think that it sends the wrong message.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. I appreciate that. Go ahead. I didn't mean to interrupt.

MR. TAYLOR: That's fine.

Regarding how the proceeding should be conducted, I was not sure exactly what the Board had in mind with the presiding officer idea or splitting the taking of the testimony. It just didn't ring true for me from my prior experience with Board proceedings.

But I think--I agree with Mr. Whipple that perhaps an administrative law judge hearing the entire proceeding might be a good idea. The Board used to do that years ago when you had an administrative law judge on staff. It's been several years, at least, since you've done that, but either that or the entire Board listening to the landowners because I think that it's important to have one body, or at least one person, listen to all the landowners and all the evidence. And that would certainly free the Board up to do the other many things you have to do if you had an administrative law judge hearing the

```
34
1
    whole procedure.
2
             I think that's all I have. The main concern
3
    I had is with the tight schedule for the Intervenors
4
    submitting their direct testimony. So if there's any
5
    other questions, I'll be happy to answer them.
6
             CHAIRPERSON HELLAND:
                                    Thank you. I think
7
    Board Member Byrnes has one.
             BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Mr. Taylor, I don't
8
9
    know--I'm trying to keep a little checklist here. I
10
    don't know that you addressed satellite locations--
11
             MR. TAYLOR:
                           0h.
12
             BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: --what your viewpoint
13
    is on that.
14
             MR. TAYLOR:
                           I have no objection to that.
15
             BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Okay. Thank you.
             CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Did you?
16
17
             BOARD MEMBER MARTZ:
                                   Same question.
             CHAIRPERSON HELLAND:
18
                                    Okay.
19
             Mr. Tack, I believe you had a couple
20
    questions?
21
             MR. TACK: Yeah, I just wanted some
22
    clarification.
23
             You talked about discovery and a time period
```

cases. But if I'm remembering my experiences right

for discovery and compared it to District Court

24

1 from both back as a young man in private practice in 2 Cedar Rapids and having cases with you and up to 3 having a case with you last year, isn't your normal procedure to start discovery at the time the petition 4 5 is filed? I mean, haven't we had basically 17, 18 6 months already of discovery period? 7 MR. TAYLOR: I think that--what I was 8 comparing it to was not necessarily the way that 9 regular lawsuits are scheduled. I was comparing it 10 to a motion for summary judgment, that you can't 11 present your affidavits and evidence in support of 12 the summary judgment until you've done all of your 13 discovery. And I think that's what we have here, is 14 that we can't--15 You know, we had no idea who Summit was 16 going to call as witnesses. In fact, I did do some 17 discovery a year ago. I didn't just sit back and not 18 do any discovery. But in taking depositions, you 19 have to know who the witnesses are going to be before 20 you can take the depositions, and that's my point. 21 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Mr. Tack, any other 22 questions? 23 MR. TACK: No. Thank you. 24 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Thank you.

I believe Mr. Williams with the Jorde

landowners.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Addressing the smaller issues first, the landowners would not be opposed to the use of an ALJ, so just get that out of the way right away.

Remote participation we also do not object to. But from our perspective, remote participation doesn't solve a problem unless the Board reconsiders its proposed scheduling order here because, as others have pointed out, the way that this is going on a trajectory, this is headed toward the middle of harvest, from our perspective, and that was made clear to us at the technical conference last year.

And I understand that the recent order modified some of the deadlines, but the bottom line is the farmers that would even remotely participate either in person or by remote means are going to be faced with the choice of do we farm that day or do we show up in person or attempt to, you know, do a remote session while they're on a combine or at their home, and try to figure that out.

So you're placing an unnecessary burden on the landowners who are farming, the tenants who are farming, as to giving them a hard choice as to whether they get to participate at all.

As to the medi--the schedule suffers from the same defects as it was pointed out in the technical conference of which we--I personally lodged numerous objections to. We have proposed a minimum five months of discovery. That's not unreasonable. That's pretty typical in standard cases that our firm deals with. I understand that this is a different animal and it's different in the way that in this particular case, approximately ten days ago we got the direct testimony.

There was a question about why not start when the application or the petition is filed? Well, it's not the burden on us to expend--expend unnecessary time and expenses to try to anticipate what the direct testimony or what the key evidence is going to be. Now that we have the direct testimony, we can tailor our discovery, but we still need time for that to occur and we've proposed previously five months minimum for that to occur.

And we've also previously proposed, given the number of outstanding parcels, the likely number of participants in the evidentiary process, and the lack, from our perspective, of a valid reason as to why this has to happen before the end of the year, we propose that to be pushed out to May 2024.

We also don't think that this Board should feel pressured by the fact that North Dakota and South Dakota are--have, you know, reached the end of their process because one thing that was omitted from Mr. Dublinske's commentary on that fact is both of those states have statutory deadlines to complete their reviews. So there was a deadline that had to be complied with. And even in North Dakota there's still issues going on there on the issue of plume modelling and there's hearings scheduled for that I believe either later this month or in July.

So this Board is under no such similar constraint. Its hands are not tied by a statutory deadline by which to complete this. It has ample time to give its staff to review the individual parcels, as it's required to do, and hear the--and give an opportunity for everybody to participate because the schedule as it's currently set starts to depress landowner turnout, for them to have a voice in this proceeding, from our perspective.

The mediation issue, we just--as Farm Bureau pointed out, there is a thousand parcels. Even with that nice statistic of 70 percent of voluntary acquisition, there's still a thousand parcels still sitting out there that haven't been resolved. We

don't think, as the Board pointed out, that the way to solve the burden of the evidentiary proceedings is to help Summit out, from landowners' perspective, to help Summit out, try to get those landowners to be--feel incentivized to try to resolve this early.

The thousand land--the thousand parcels that are currently outstanding are outstanding for a reason. It's either because--well, mainly it's because they just don't feel that this pipeline is appropriate to occur or be placed on their property. And even then Summit is still showing up to court hearings with their staff members trying to work it out with individual landowners outside the purview of their legal counsel.

So we don't see that the--we don't see how mediation factors into this as an effective route except to serve the benefit of Summit. I understand what the Board's position is on that, but at this point the landowners who have wanted to do a deal with Summit have done so, and if really what the burden--if the goal is to try to alleviate the burden and the complexity of this proceeding, if--I would simply propose that if that is the issue, then the application should be tabled for a time to give Summit an opportunity to work up its acquisition

1	number or its percentage so that it's a more
2	manageable number. Right now it was pointed out that
3	it was a thousand. It needs to probably go down to
4	100 to 200 if we're going to talk about alleviating
5	burdens on this Board and the evidentiary process.
6	And so the way that we see to solve that is
7	to table the application and essentially put a stay
8	on this to allow Summit to go out and get more
9	landowners on board, if that's really what their
10	objective is.
11	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: So how many parcels
12	exactly does your firm represent?
13	MR. WILLIAMS: I believe it's close to 250.
14	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: 250? All right. And
15	we have ten landowners here today who said no,
16	correct?
17	MR. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry?
18	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: We had ten landowners
19	today who said they have no interest.
20	MR. WILLIAMS: In resolving through
21	mediation?
22	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Yes.
23	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.
24	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Correct?
25	MR. WILLIAMS: I wasn't partake

1	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: So we have 740
2	landowners. We've already reduced the list who need
3	to bethat could be asked. So I just want to
4	clarify, you speak for the 250?
5	MR. WILLIAMS: Correct.
6	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Do you speak for the
7	other 740?
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Currently that is ourthe
9	number of clients
10	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: But you don't speak
11	for the other 740?
12	MR. WILLIAMS: Currently not.
13	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. But you also
14	are opposed to offering the other 740 a voluntary
15	opportunity?
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. I don't think it serves
17	the purpose that it's designed for. I think Summit
18	would stand to benefit from this. And if that's
19	really what the objective is, is to reduceagain,
20	reduce the burden on an evidentiary hearing, then
21	Summit should go out and get those people signed up
22	on their own.
23	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Yeah. And I would
24	just clarify that as lawyers we spend a lot of time
25	in law school talking about procedures, talking about

policies. But when I grew up on a farm, we spent a lot of time talking about people. There's a lot of stress with something weighing on your head and sometimes all that is needed is a conversation. So our proposal was simply an offer. I just want to clarify.

MR. WILLIAMS: Understood. And Summit has attempted to have those discussions with their individual—with the individual landowners that lay across the pipeline because they were required to provide notice, and those people had made decisions as to whether or not they want to engage.

But if Summit's objective, as pointed out earlier, is to try to get that percentage up, then they should be permitted to do that. And if the goal is to reduce the burden on this Board and this evidentiary hearing, why don't we give Summit the ability to go do that while we table this whole application?

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Oh, no, make no mistake, the offer, the idea was aimed solely at the landowners who aren't here as an option and nothing more. It has nothing to do with a party that's in this room. It's everything to do with the hundreds that aren't in this room and simply providing them an

- opportunity.

 So do we have--did we touch on anything
 else?

 MR. WILLIAMS: I don't think so. I think
 - that's it.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. I don't 7 believe--okay. Mr. Ostergren?

8 MR. OSTERGREN: Thank you. I'll be brief.

We share the view that this process should wrap up this year, the sooner the better. Obviously there is a lot of work ahead of everyone, but it is manageable to do it this year. And I certainly want to be sensitive to the concern about having a hearing in October of this year. That is, you know, a fair concern that's raised, but having the process done this year we believe would be quite important.

On the mediation proposal, I think, first of all, it's good that the Board is considering new things to offer, not just--that's not specific to this hearing, it's to any contested case. I presume from what I've heard, that a landowner would have to do some sort of affirmative act to schedule a mediation session. And so, you know, nobody can make a landowner call a phone number or go to a website to schedule a mediation.

And so if the mediation program is offered, then the proof will be--I mean, if it's a handful, if it's dozens, whatever it turns out to be, then that will be the proof as to whether or not those individuals sought that opportunity.

As a lawyer, in general I think those kinds of alternative dispute resolution processes are a good thing. I've seen clients and, frankly, other parties in cases benefit from having the ability to go through that kind of process and resolve whatever their dispute is, family law, criminal law, civil law, property rights, what have you.

Alternative dispute resolution is generally considered to be a very good thing. It's not specific to Utilities Board or this pipeline or anything like that. And certainly there's a lot of people in this room who know more about this specific thing than I do but, you know, there's other contexts for farmers that I think--you know, getting mediation for your farm credit disputes and foreclosures and things like that, that is a really important thing to get an opportunity for farmers to have a way to mediate a dispute rather than just go to court, go to some sort of tribunal to decide something.

So I think the offer is made with good

intention and I'm surprised that there's been such a negative reaction from it. If you don't want to mediate, it's going to be very, very easy to not go to a mediation session if you're a landowner. You just don't have to do anything and you won't go to a mediation session.

I don't have a particularly strong point of view on whether it should be the whole Board or presiding officer or an ALJ. I will flag--I'm confident Mr. Tack and his team knows more about the Administrative Procedures Act than I do, but under 17A-11.1, there's some findings the Board would have to make, you know, with a request for an ALJ. You just need to make sure, I think, that the Board checks off those boxes whatever decision is made. And if the Board decides to not use an ALJ as opposed to a single Board Member, which you can do, that the Board just make sure you cover that base in whatever the order is that comes out of this hearing.

I don't have any particular view on the satellite locations as long as the technical end can be handled to make sure that the record's complete. You know, we wouldn't want to be in a situation where something's inaudible or can't be recorded for the hearing record, but certainly could facilitate

46 1 individuals participating in the hearing. 2 I'd be happy to answer any questions. 3 are all the points I wanted to make. 4 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Any questions? (No response.) 5 6 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Mr. Tack? Jon, any 7 questions? MR. TACK: Well, one thing that I think we 8 9 may need to address or at least seek input on is if 10 we go the mediation route, the contact information we 11 currently have would be those who have filed 12 objections in the docket. We would have the parcel 13 identification addresses, but I'm not sure we would 14 have all the information we need to publicize the 15 opportunity. 16 So if parties have suggestions of how we 17 obtain the--how we best disseminate the offer to 18 mediate to those landowners who haven't shown up and 19 participated, who haven't filed in the docket at this 20 time. 21 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Don't all speak at 22 once. I see Mr. Dublinske reaching for his mic. 23 MR. DUBLINSKE: I was going to wait until--24 I think we have just Mr. White left to go through and

ask--because a lot of the commentary was rebutting

our comments on the schedule. As the applicant we could reply to that, but instead of saving it, I'll just address Mr. Tack's issue.

Because the outstanding parcels all have Exhibit Hs filed, would that not have enough contact information for you to be able to reach out?

MR. TACK: It would have enough information to do a mailing--

MR. DUBLINSKE: Yeah.

MR. TACK: --which isn't typically the best way to reach everyone, but that would be a fall-back position we would have.

MR. DUBLINSKE: It strikes me as a relatively large number to try and make, for example, phone calls to, and I don't know that we necessarily have email information for all of them, but I suspect that we could, you know, look at whether we're willing to provide that, or if you want to, you know, provide the content we can do a blast email to the email addresses we have. I think there are ways--and, obviously, the mailing is ultimately at our expense anyway.

So I think between the contact information on the Hs, there may be some other information we have that we may be able to work with the Board to

- facilitate that, if that's the direction the Board wants to go.
- MR. TACK: And I apologize to Mr. White,
 that I thought we had completed everyone, otherwise I
 wouldn't have raised a new issue.
- CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Yeah and I apologize for that as well, Mr. White. That was my fault.
 - So, Bret, we can obviously circle back to let you rebut and we'll obviously have some time to go back and forth but, I'm sorry, Mr. White, go ahead.
- 12 MR. WHITE: Thank you very much.
 - On behalf of LSCP and PLCP Intervenors, in terms of scheduling in general, we also ask that the matter be scheduled so as to be concluded by the end of this calendar year. We do not believe that's too tight. There is not a significant amount of mystery, as has been stated earlier.
 - In terms of the other issues, mediation,

 ADR, as counsel was saying, can certainly be a useful
 tool. In this matter, you know, we don't advocate
 one way or another, for or against it, if it can be
 done, you know, efficiently and workably.
 - In terms of the usage of satellites, if it could be done productively and efficiently, we don't

advocate going one way or another on that.

In terms of what was initially referred to as a presiding officer method, in terms of the particular procedure involved, I don't know that we advocate one way or another. We would just be against any addition of unnecessary procedural layers that would unduly delay the matter being concluded. And, again, we think it's paramount that it be concluded by the end of this year.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you and, again,
I apologize for stepping over you.

So we can, I think, be a little less formal as we go back through and rebut. Mr. Dublinske and-raised it first, so we can start there and I'm sure we'll have a lively and insightful conversation.

MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. I do want to respond to some of the comments that came after we kicked things off here.

I think it's interesting that Mr. Williams characterizes the fact that landowners, including some of his clients, are still actually reaching agreements with us. We do continue to sign new voluntary easements.

I think, you know, saying, well, you know,

- ignore the 70 percent, just look at the raw number, and I understand that from a workload perspective that is relevant, but I also think that that is essentially saying there are projects that are just too big for Iowa to swallow.
- You know, Dakota Access had several hundred Exhibit Hs, several hundred individual landowners that were still outstanding at the time of the hearing, you know. What should matter in terms of measuring how a project seems to be measuring up to another project is the percentage. And, in fact, I would argue that given some of the changes in the landscape and the size of the project, the fact that we are roughly at the same percentage that Dakota Access was is actually an even more significant achievement.
- The idea of a May 2024 actually results in a process that takes longer than 30 months. We've heard people talk about how this is, you know, unprecedented, hasn't been done before. What hasn't been done before is for an infrastructure project at the Board to take more than 30 months.
- And it doesn't really matter that the

 Dakotas have a statutory deadline to do their job.

 When you are an investor or a company trying to make

an investment decision, the why it went faster in the Dakotas is just not as important as the fact that it did, in terms of your investment.

A lot of cherry picking about, you know, "well, in a normal case," but then this isn't a normal case. You know, the opponents seem to want to have it both ways on that but I will say this: You know, the--it is not our fault and shouldn't be our problem if the Jorde clients and the Jorde attorneys are unfamiliar with Board practices. It is absolutely the case that in the overwhelming majority of Board cases that discovery starts long before the direct testimony comes out.

Part of that is that the Board has extensive requirements for the petition. There is a lot of information in there, a lot of technical information, a lot of exhibits that is fertile ground to get discovery started on and tells people, you know, certainly a significant amount of information about the nature of the project.

And similarly, when Mr. Taylor is saying "Well, normally, you know, we get to do a lot of discovery before a summary judgment." Well, you know, first of all, obviously, this isn't a summary judgment case, but Sierra Club certainly knows that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that is not the norm here because Mr. Taylor was serving me new discovery up until just a few weeks before the Dakota Access hearing.

And so we just do things a little differently in a board proceeding, that discovery is There is this discussion about, "Well, we onaoina. need even more than the eight weeks we currently have because we have to have time for depositions." You know, depositions and data requests are a right in every board hearing--I shouldn't say a right. You have an opportunity to use that tool in every board hearing. It is very rarely used, taking depositions. It certainly could be, but the schedule never contemplates that, right? It never actually ensures that someone will have X amount of time to do that. They can, if they want. It's unusual. It traditionally has been somewhat disfavored. This schedule actually provides time for that, eight weeks instead of the more common four weeks for the intervenors' round of testimony.

So this seems, you know, already an expanded schedule. I keep hearing people talk about accelerated schedule. It's difficult for us to swallow how two years is accelerated, how eight weeks for discovery, twice the normal time for testimony,

twice the normal time is somehow an accelerated schedule.

And, you know, Your Honor, I appreciate your comment about there are thousands of people that aren't here, and when Mr. Taylor says, "Look, your priority is to be the interest of the landowner," as you noted, there's 70 percent of voluntary landowners. Their interest is getting this out of limbo, right? Getting this to a conclusion. Even though they're voluntary doesn't mean that they don't still want to be able to figure out when they can farm, how they can farm.

It's been mentioned that there's, you know,
I believe the quote was, "massive issues not seen
before." But that doesn't mean those are massive
relevant issues not seen before.

This is a 479B project. There have been plenty of 479B projects before. The legislature did not consider carbon dioxide any different than refined products, crude oil, ammonia, ethylene, any number of things that fall under that chapter. There are no special statutory provisions, no special showings, no special decision criteria.

All of this is just another 479B like Dakota Access, like New Star, like many in the past, and

this idea that this is so novel that we just can't process it in a reasonable amount of time does not ring true with how the legislature actually wrote the legislation.

So, again, we don't think this is an accelerated schedule. We think this is already a schedule that has taken into account and got off to, I think, a bit of a longer start than usual to account for the fact that it was large. But it's time now to get it wrapped up. That's in, I think, everyone's interest. You'll note that the people that don't feel that way are also the ones saying, "But we will never say yes. We're a hard no," and that's the tell, that at some point the delay is not about reasonable procedure, it's about burdening the project and trying to kill the project.

And while the Board certainly--you know, it's been said it's not your problem to try and make sure that we meet some deadline or that we start making money. Fair enough, but it's also not your place to join in with the opposition tactics and try and kill the project through delay.

And so what we're asking for is a schedule that's already longer than 99 percent of the cases the Board hears but gets this done by the end of the

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

year. And I've heard nothing other than, you know, 2 people that are misstating what the usual Board 3 process is to say that we need some more and special process here. We've got ample process, it will 4 5 survive a due process challenge, and we should get 6 this wrapped up.

I appreciate it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you.

MR. DUBLINSKE: If I could just add one more thing because it did come up about the timing for discovery. I do want to point out we have complied with all the staff review letters, got our last response in this morning, two weeks ahead of the usual 30 days.

Mr. Taylor had mentioned depositions. requested those right after the testimony came out, which, you know, I appreciate. We responded that day to start working on dates. We have sent him dates, we're having very productive discussions on getting those set up yet in the next two weeks.

So we are doing what we can. We're not just saying, you know, give us a schedule. We're doing what we can to make sure that we move quickly on our end and make it go as quickly and efficiently as we can.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Thank you. 1 Mr. Tack? 2 3 MR. TACK: Yeah, I had a question for--I 4 would welcome anyone to respond to, when they have an 5 opportunity, but let's start with Mr. Dublinske. 6 It seems unusual to me that a party would 7 have prefiled written testimony available prior to 8 the deposition. That seems unique to the Board's 9 proceedings. How does that change the nature of what 10 a deposition does and the time needed to conduct a 11 deposition when you already have the witness's 12 prefiled testimony? 13 MR. DUBLINSKE: Well, I think it certainly 14 ought to make it more efficient to prepare for it, 15 right? So, again, we talk about how much time you 16 need to prepare for a deposition and as you know 17 traditionally in Board practice, the discovery often 18 isn't completed prior to the requesting party's 19 testimony. Some of that ends up going to prep for 20 the hearing. 21 I think having that prefiled testimony 22 should facilitate better and easier preparation for 23 depositions, and I don't want to sound like I'm down 24 on depositions, right? It's true we don't normally

do them. In this case it may be the most efficient

way to get that discovery taken care of as opposed to multiple rounds of written discovery from multiple parties.

So I don't know that it makes a lot of difference other than, you know, it probably makes it easier to take the deposition and perhaps a little harder to defend the deposition.

MR. TACK: Wouldn't it be true you're not really trying to find out the witness's position at that point, you are just practicing your cross-examination or preparing your cross-examination?

MR. DUBLINSKE: I think that is--

MR. TACK: An oversimplification?

MR. DUBLINSKE: Well, no, I think that is possible and I suspect there may be some discovery disputes about, you know, the timing of when we are required to put our full case on the table. There's a lot of case law about, you know, when contention--how far a contention interrogatory can go when it steps over into, you know, making someone put on their case before the scheduling order requires them to put on their case.

At the same time, you know, I think a deposition can, in part, be a substitute for things that would normally be asked in written discovery to

probe the testimony. Again, I think it's unusual in 1 2 part because we don't have some of the same limits on 3 written discovery that a court does, and so 4 depositions are often seen as somewhat unnecessary. 5 We do have the prefiled testimony. 6 But, you know, I am willing to be open-7 minded about its potential to expedite things. CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Jon, did you have a 8 follow up? 9 10 MR. TACK: No, I do not. Thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Thank you. 12 Mr. Williams, I assume you have something to 13 add? 14 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. Thank you. 15 Starting with the parcels versus percentage. 16 The reason we focus on the one thousand parcels is 17 because the percentage is not the metric. The staff 18 of the IUB is going to have to go through each and 19 every parcel to determine the appropriateness for the 20 route. So that's why we're focusing on that number 21 as opposed to what I would describe a rally cry for 22 70 percent voluntary acquisition. 23 I'm going to talk about the discovery 24 exchange that was --that was just indicated here. You

know, I was looking at a case that we have with

Summit up in Dickinson County the other day, I was looking at their discovery responses, and we asked them a series of interrogatories and requests for admissions, I believe, on that case. But more particularly on the interrogatory responses, they're layered with objections and light on substance. And as Mr. Taylor has had to experience, he's had to file various motions to compel, and before you know it, after all the fight's over, the eight weeks are up.

And we need to take depositions in this case and the focus of depositions is not necessarily a practice in cross-examination. When you get prefiled testimony in a case, that is akin, in my mind, to an affidavit that gets presented, or a pre-prepared interrogatory response that identifies what that fact witness actually intends to say sometime down the road, or their personal factual knowledge.

When you take a deposition, it's not just cross-examination, it's determining whether or not that statement within a response that's pre-prepared by a lawyer holds up under questioning, and it cannot--it doesn't necessarily have to be cross-examination. It can be are they giving all the factual information that they provided within that prefiled testimony? Is there something they left

out? Are they correct when, you know, being shown a document that they presented as to whether their statement factually holds up when comparing to that document.

It's not necessarily cross-examination. There's areas to explore to get the full and complete knowledge of someone who's prepared--you know, served as a witness for a pre-prepared statement. So I don't think it's--I don't think it's fair to characterize it as it's necessarily more efficient that we have pre-prepared testimony, but it does tell us what they're planning to come with for trial. So that saves the landowners time from having to take what I would call anticipatory discovery requests, and serve those out, and having to extend the fight of having to deal with unnecessary or boilerplate objections, having to bring that up before the Board, writing briefs, et cetera, extends to the landowners.

So from our perspective, really where this case kicked off is when we found out about ten days ago what they're planning to present to the ALJ, or the Board, in terms of what they plan to advocate for their position. So we still need the time that we've advocated for, or approximately five months. Has nothing to do with the application itself.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

In terms of--there was a comment by Mr. Dublinske about parsing away the landowners from the ones who might be considering doing an easement versus the ones that aren't. It's the landowners option to say no to any offers that have been put before it. And early on in this process in cases that they filed in State Court across multiple counties, they had--they reached out to these landowners indicating if there was some interest in working with Summit, and they continued to do that. So at this point, you know, as I've indicated before, if the burden is the Board feels that there is some extremely heavy workload to be 14 borne by the Board and its staff members by having to review a thousand on Exhibit H, then the solution is if Mr. Dublinske is thrilled with this 70 percent voluntary acquisition and thinks he can get more, why don't we just stay this whole proceeding and allow Summit to go get more and reduce the burden on the Board? That's all I have. 22 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: All right. Mr. Taylor, any follow up? I guess I assumed. guess you didn't raise your hand. I didn't mean to be presumptive, so--but I assumed.

MR. TAYLOR: Yes. Thank you. I think you have to understand that, first of all, the petition that Summit files is not as expansive and as full of facts as Mr. Dublinske indicates. It's a fairly--actually a fairly brief document and filled with generalizations and, frankly, corporate PR to a great extent. And so that really doesn't tell us a lot. But even then, I use that to submit data requests to Mr. Dublinske and, in fact, that's the basis of our motion to compel that's pending before the Board, at least one of those data requests.

And then the prepared testimony that's filed, again, it's a lot of generalization, it's a lot of what may be unsupported opinions, and the purpose of a deposition is to question a witness to see where they're really coming from, if they really have some basis for their prepared testimony.

And you can say, "Well, you can do that by cross-examining at the hearing," but, again, the purpose of a deposition is so there are no surprises, so that the cross- examination can go much more efficiently, so you know exactly what you need to ask in a better--in a better way and--I don't think the depositions or any further discovery is out of line in this particular case.

As Board Member Byrnes said in his dissent 1 in the first scheduling order, this is a complex case 2 3 that's going to get into the weeds, it's going to 4 have a lot of technical testimony, a lot of issues 5 that in spite of what--in spite of Mr. Dublinske's 6 statement, the Board has not seen before. 7 And the PHMSA meeting last week I think 8 really brought that out, that there are a lot of 9 issues with carbon dioxide pipelines that haven't 10 been resolved, that really present issues that other 11 pipeline cases, even the Dakota Access case, did not 12 present. 13 And so that's why we need to do the 14 discovery, that's why we need more time in order to 15 present our direct testimony. 16 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Thank you. 17 Any other comments or additional input from 18 any of the parties? Farm Bureau? 19 MS. GRUENHAGEN: Just a couple of 20 suggestions as we've been talking about some of these 21 issues. 22 First, with regard to mediation and how to 23 notify the landowners. Some options--additional

options may be the Utility Board's press releases and

identifying that in those because then other

24

organizations and media outlets can pick that up and so the landowners would see that through various media outlets.

Also just filing something in the docket. Everybody who's listed to receive information through the docket on email, they're going to be getting--they would get that notice through the docket, and that would be another option to try to get to landowners. And then also, perhaps, having information on the home page of the website as well.

So there are some additional ways other than direct mail to the landowners for mediation that may be an option for the Board.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you.

MS. GRUENHAGEN: Also talking about the presiding officer issue, if the Board finds it appropriate and there becomes quite a few discovery disputes, it's also an option under your rules to have a presiding officer to hear the discovery disputes portion of the case because that is not direct testimony for the hearing, it's just resolving discovery disputes, and that may allow for more frequent--more frequent convening of that, and so that it's not just at the Board's monthly meetings if there are--if it does come to have more frequent

discovery disputes between the parties, just as an option for the Board to be thinking about.

And then the third thing, I just had a question. I believe there's also a pending motion to compel that is on the docket. Is that something that the Board intends to be addressed today as well, or is that something they're just handling through written order?

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: I see Jon reaching for his microphone.

MR. TACK: That will be handled through a written order.

MS. GRUENHAGEN: Okay. I'll just make one comment. We don't have a position on the motion to compel. The proposed protective order that was proposed, we do have some concerns with that and so we can just do a written filing on that, then.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you. We appreciate that.

Mr. Taylor, Mr. Williams, I just wanted to give you another opportunity in case your exchange--I just--I didn't want to move on, make sure--if you had anything else to add. I just want to make sure you get a chance.

MR. TAYLOR: No. Thank you.

	66
1	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay.
2	MR. WILLIAMS: All I asked from Mr. Taylor
3	was how long has that motion to compel been pending.
4	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Oh, yeah. No, I
5	didn'tI wasn't asking, I was justjust wanted to
6	make sure if you guys had a pithy idea that you
7	wanted to share, you had an opportunity to do so.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: I guess my
9	MR. TAYLOR: I wish I did.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: I guess the question I
11	askedthe reason I asked Mr. Taylor that question is
12	I was kind of curious to see how long a motion to
13	compel takes to get resolved before the Board, and he
14	was saying that it's been on file for a few weeks.
15	And I think thattherein lies the issue. It's not
16	necessarily the fault of the Board, and I'm not
17	blaming the Board for taking time to consider that
18	motion, but I think that highlights an issue in
19	having an expedited period for discovery.
20	CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Thank you.
21	Anything else? Mr. Dublinske.
22	MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, just a couple of
23	quick cleanups.
24	Again, the issue of the time that it takes
25	for the parties to go back and forth on briefing for

the motion to compel and rule on it is certainly not unique to this case. That's true of plenty of cases that move much, much faster than this one has.

I want to make clear, and I don't think that Mr. Taylor was intending to suggest this, that he was responding to Mr. Tack, but I want to make very clear that there's no impression that we are resisting depositions. We certainly are not. We have engaged with Mr. Taylor in what I hope he would agree have been productive discussions so far on getting those scheduled.

Again, the reference to novel issues unique to CO_2 , that is certainly not the way the statute is framed. And to the extent that that was brought up in the context of last week's PHMSA meetings suggests that a lot of those novel CO_2 issues are PHMSA issues, not issues for this Board. The issues that are unique to a particular product, there's very little in this Board's decision criteria and the scope of this Board's permit that go to that issue.

The last thing I just want to note is I find it a little bit surprising the resistance to, you know, finding ways to start taking landowner testimony by something other than the full Board or in satellite locations, or on a different schedule

than the broader hearing. I don't know necessarily what the Board's intent was on that, but I looked at it and thought, "Well, you know, that at least provides a tool that lets us get away from this October harvest period that everyone is all concerned about," right? Because, as I was saying earlier, a lot of those land issues on particular parcels, there's not a lot that's going to change between now and the end of the proceeding, right?

The ability to take that testimony in some other way, some other format, a location that may be more convenient, in a more flexible way, as opposed to a formal Board hearing with all the Board members, that certainly is a way to help move some of those opportunities, to hear those landowners out of this--the harvest concern that we keep hearing about.

So it certainly strikes us as an idea that has some merit, as a way of addressing concerns that people have raised, and I appreciate the Board's responsiveness to that. And, again, I think that is a way to address some of the concerns that have been raised about the type of schedule that we're seeking. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you. I appreciate the input on the schedule.

I think--Farm Bureau can chime in--it has been some time since our farming operation--well, I shouldn't say "our"--the family operation has allowed me to be a part of anything. Once you go to law school, they tend to say, "Stay out of a tractor."

But correct me if I'm wrong, harvest, and then you immediately start buying your inputs, some of which are not refundable. Then you roll into planting season. The prep for planting season begins in March/April. Depending on where you're at, you plant from mid-April to hopefully early June, and then you start spraying, cultivating, and then you start getting ready.

The point is, there is no good time. The Board has to pick the least bad time. And that puts us in a position where we have to take a lot of things into consideration. So it is not lost on me that harvest is a brutal time, but it's also not lost on me that there is no good time.

Before we move on and wrap up, I would like to get input from the parties on the site, not necessarily the community where it's going to be. I think we've all settled on Fort Dodge. I'm not sure Fort Dodge is ready for all of us, but they will be. But within Fort Dodge, I'd like to get from the

parties some thoughts on locations in terms of what
you will need and what's going to be required.

Mr. Dublinske is the first one to reach for
his mic again. Does anyone else want to go first?

MR. DUBLINSKE: Please.

MR. ZIEMAN: I can go ahead, Board Chair.
CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you.

MR. ZIEMAN: Frankly, I don't know what's all that available up there because that's not something that OCA typically thinks about, is how to convene one of these things. But I've tried cases all over this country. I've tried them in back rooms, literally, because that's the only space available, not because they were back-room deals. All the parties could be there.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you for that clarification.

MR. ZIEMAN: But we're rather indifferent as long as there is audiovisual equipment that's there, it's somewhat located near where there will be facilities, and that there's security provided at those places so all of our staff, all the IUB staff, all the parties--and I'm pointing to everyone now, not anyone in particular--are safe and secure for these hearings. We understand some folks are fired

up about this, but we also want all the folks that are involved to be safe and secure.

So that would be my primary concern is just that they have the audiovisual so that everybody can participate and that they're safe, secure, open, transparent.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Thank you.

Mr. Dublinske?

MR. DUBLINSKE: I will preface this by saying I certainly have not inventoried everything available in the Fort Dodge community, but generally I would concur in what the Consumer Advocate said being the types of facilities.

I thought that the fairgrounds up in Boone worked pretty well for DAPL, right? You have a large room, you've got facilities that are available for breakout, if parties want to sort of caucus there are spaces for that, it's--you know, ample parking, right? Those are the sort of things we're looking for.

When we first--perhaps the only time in this proceeding that the parties will all concur, when we all sort of agreed that Fort Dodge was a good location, the types of things that occurred to me, fairgrounds, I know they have a community college up

- there, so you've got sort of potentially an 1 2 auditorium and you've got classrooms for caucuses, 3 you've got areas for overflow that you may be able to video into for, you know, people to watch. And, you 4 know, again, lots of parking, it can be somewhat 5 6 access controlled for security reasons. Those are 7 the kinds of facilities. 8 We had expressed some concern--we were out 9 voted not only by the other parties, but also the 10 Board, and I don't want to overstate this, about the 11 fairgrounds as technically being outside of the city 12 limits, which is a requirement of the statute, that 13 it be in the city--you know, the county seat of the 14 county at the center of the line. 15 So, you know, if there's an equally good 16 place that is, you know, fully compliant with the 17 letter, that would be welcomed just to avoid, you 18 know, sort of silly scuffles about that somewhere 19 down the road. 20 But, again, fairgrounds make a good place, 21 community colleges make a good place. 22 CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Anything else?
- 23 (No response.)
 - CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Josh, did you have something? Yeah, I'm sorry. Go ahead.

BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Just to address the community college, we did look at Iowa Lakes

Community College. I did not--or Iowa Central. My bad. I have an attorney that's going to be all over me on that because he went there, but Iowa Central Community College we did, with the prior Board makeup, some of the Board Members went up and did take a look.

I believe there was some remodeling or construction going on that they were concerned about with that, and I believe just some other--but I do know that it was--it was vetted and looked at, so--I think it got crossed off the list, but I'm not sure. We have a new makeup of Board Members and maybe some new ideas but just so you know that we did take a look at that.

CHAIRPERSON HELLAND: Okay. Thank you.

I want to reiterate there have been no decisions made from a procedural perspective going forward. I appreciate the input from all the parties. Some of it was surprising, some of it was not, but I do appreciate everyone coming forward with their ideas, their thoughts, their concerns, and we will take that to heart as we balance the most effective way to do our due diligence and deliver a

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing pages represent a true and complete transcript, to the best of my ability to understand the recording, of the captioned proceedings which was electronically recorded and later reduced to typewriting by me.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to this action; and, further, that I am not a relative or an employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, or financially interested in the action.

Dated at Des Moines, Iowa, this 14th day of June, 2023.

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER

1				
	50312 [1] - 2:23	50:16	43:11, 48:11, 55:13,	appreciate [14] - 6:6,
	50319 [2] - 2:9, 3:5	acquisition [5] - 13:9,	70:6, 72:25	18:10, 18:20, 33:5,
	52402 [1] - 2:18	38:24, 39:25, 58:22,	Ahlers [1] - 2:14	53:3, 55:7, 55:17,
10 [1] - 1:10	5400 [1] - 2:12	61:17	aimed [1] - 42:21	65:19, 68:19, 68:25,
100 [3] - 2:14, 8:15,		acronyms [1] - 6:2	akin [1] - 59:13	73:20, 73:22, 74:1,
40:4	6	act [3] - 43:22, 45:11,	ALAN [1] - 3:1	74:7
11 [1] - 28:20		74:6	Alan [2] - 3:1, 6:8	appreciates [1] - 9:22
111 [1] - 2:3		action [2] - 75:9,	alert [1] - 9:12	appropriate [5] -
1375 [3] - 1:8, 2:9, 3:5	6 [1] - 1:9	75:12	ALJ [11] - 19:14, 20:4,	11:18, 16:11, 24:22,
14 [1] - 14:23	600 [1] - 2:15	add [6] - 7:4, 18:23,	26:15, 26:16, 26:19,	39:10, 64:17
144th [1] - 2:20	68144 [1] - 2:21	20:21, 55:9, 58:13,	26:24, 36:4, 45:9,	appropriateness [1] -
14th [1] - 75:13		65:23	45:13, 45:16, 60:21	58:19
15th [2] - 17:4, 29:15	7	addition [2] - 7:12,	alleviate [1] - 39:21	appropriation [1] -
17 [1] - 35:5	-	49:6	alleviating [1] - 40:4	7:10
17A-11.1 [1] - 45:12		additional [4] - 27:21,		April [1] - 69:11
18 [1] - 35:5	70 [10] - 13:8, 13:14,	63:17, 63:23, 64:11	allow [6] - 7:24, 8:3,	area [1] - 19:12
	14:5, 23:2, 30:10,		29:10, 40:8, 61:18,	
19 [2] - 4:12, 6:22	38:23, 50:1, 53:7,	address [7] - 22:18,	64:22	areas [2] - 60:6, 72:3
199 [1] - 3:2	58:22, 61:16	26:4, 26:5, 46:9,	allowed [1] - 69:3	argue [1] - 50:12
19th [2] - 4:7, 27:9	740 [4] - 41:1, 41:7,	47:3, 68:21, 73:1	allows [1] - 29:20	arrangement [1] -
	41:11, 41:14	addressed [3] - 25:25,	almost [1] - 11:15	18:25
2		34:10, 65:6	alternative [2] - 44:7,	articulate [1] - 31:16
	8	addresses [2] - 46:13,	44:13	aspect [1] - 19:8
200 [1] - 40:4		47:20	alternatives [1] - 8:1	aspects [1] - 19:16
2023 [4] - 1:9, 4:7,		addressing [2] - 36:2,	ammonia [1] - 53:20	Assembly [2] - 7:9,
6:22, 75:14	80 [1] - 24:13	68:18	amount [5] - 16:3,	7:11
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	800 [1] - 24:13	adequate [1] - 15:7	48:17, 51:19, 52:15,	assist [2] - 4:13, 6:23
2024 [4] - 27:19,		adjourned [1] - 74:8	54:2	assume [1] - 58:12
27:20, 37:25, 50:17	9	administrative [5] -	ample [5] - 16:2,	assumed [2] - 61:23,
2425 [1] - 2:20		17:25, 33:15, 33:18,	16:15, 38:14, 55:4,	61:25
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14,		17:25, 33:15, 33:18, 33:25, 45:11	16:15, 38:14, 55:4, 71:18	
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4	90 [1] - 23:6			61:25 attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14,	90 [1] - 23:6 99 [1] - 54:24	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8	99 [1] - 54:24	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4		33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24,
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8	99 [1] - 54:24	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8	99 _[1] - 54:24	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7,	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2 anticipate [2] - 37:14,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23,
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4,	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6,	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2 anticipate [2] - 37:14, 74:3	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22,	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1,	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2 anticipate [2] - 37:14, 74:3 anticipatory [1] -	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6,
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9,	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21,	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2 anticipate [2] - 37:14, 74:3 anticipatory [1] - 60:14	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2 anticipate [2] - 37:14, 74:3 anticipatory [1] - 60:14 anytime [1] - 11:15	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] -
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2,	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2 anticipate [2] - 37:14, 74:3 anticipatory [1] - 60:14 anytime [1] - 11:15 anyway [1] - 47:22	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11,	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2 anticipate [2] - 37:14, 74:3 anticipatory [1] - 60:14 anytime [1] - 11:15 anyway [1] - 47:22 apologize [3] - 48:3,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] -
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2 anticipate [2] - 37:14, 74:3 anticipatory [1] - 60:14 anytime [1] - 11:15 anyway [1] - 47:22 apologize [3] - 48:3, 48:6, 49:12	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14,	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2 anticipate [2] - 37:14, 74:3 anticipatory [1] - 60:14 anytime [1] - 11:15 anyway [1] - 47:22 apologize [3] - 48:3, 48:6, 49:12 APPEARANCES [1] -	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13,	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2 anticipate [2] - 37:14, 74:3 anticipatory [1] - 60:14 anytime [1] - 11:15 anyway [1] - 47:22 apologize [3] - 48:3, 48:6, 49:12 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5,
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11 accelerated [4] -	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4 402 [1] - 2:18 4403 [1] - 2:17	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11 accelerated [4] - 52:23, 52:24, 53:1,	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14 ago [4] - 33:17, 35:17,	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2 available [7] - 8:1,
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4 402 [1] - 2:18 4403 [1] - 2:17 479B [4] - 26:4, 53:17,	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11 accelerated [4] - 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 54:6	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14 ago [4] - 33:17, 35:17, 37:9, 60:21	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8, 34:5, 46:2 anticipate [2] - 37:14, 74:3 anticipatory [1] - 60:14 anytime [1] - 11:15 anyway [1] - 47:22 apologize [3] - 48:3, 48:6, 49:12 APPEARANCES [1] - 2:1 appearances [2] - 4:19, 9:4 appearing [1] - 4:22	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2 available [7] - 8:1, 10:11, 56:7, 70:9,
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4 402 [1] - 2:18 4403 [1] - 2:17 479B [4] - 26:4, 53:17, 53:18, 53:24	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11 accelerated [4] - 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 54:6 accelerating [1] - 23:9	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14 ago [4] - 33:17, 35:17, 37:9, 60:21 agree [2] - 33:14, 67:9	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2 available [7] - 8:1, 10:11, 56:7, 70:9, 70:14, 71:11, 71:16
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4 402 [1] - 2:18 4403 [1] - 2:17 479B [4] - 26:4, 53:17,	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11 accelerated [4] - 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 54:6 accelerating [1] - 23:9 acceptable [1] - 27:20	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocate [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14 ago [4] - 33:17, 35:17, 37:9, 60:21 agree [2] - 33:14, 67:9 agreed [1] - 71:23	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2 available [7] - 8:1, 10:11, 56:7, 70:9, 70:14, 71:11, 71:16 Avenue [8] - 1:8, 2:3,
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4 402 [1] - 2:18 4403 [1] - 2:17 479B [4] - 26:4, 53:17, 53:18, 53:24 479B.1 [1] - 30:18	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11 accelerated [4] - 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 54:6 accelerating [1] - 23:9 acceptable [1] - 27:20 access [2] - 8:6, 72:6	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocate [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14 ago [4] - 33:17, 35:17, 37:9, 60:21 agree [2] - 33:14, 67:9 agreed [1] - 71:23 agreements [1] -	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2 available [7] - 8:1, 10:11, 56:7, 70:9, 70:14, 71:11, 71:16 Avenue [8] - 1:8, 2:3, 2:9, 2:12, 2:14, 2:17,
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4 402 [1] - 2:18 4403 [1] - 2:17 479B [4] - 26:4, 53:17, 53:18, 53:24	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11 accelerated [4] - 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 54:6 accelerating [1] - 23:9 acceptable [1] - 27:20 access [2] - 8:6, 72:6 Access [6] - 13:16,	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14 ago [4] - 33:17, 35:17, 37:9, 60:21 agree [2] - 33:14, 67:9 agreed [1] - 71:23 agreements [1] - 49:23	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2 available [7] - 8:1, 10:11, 56:7, 70:9, 70:14, 71:11, 71:16 Avenue [8] - 1:8, 2:3, 2:9, 2:12, 2:14, 2:17, 2:23, 3:5
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4 402 [1] - 2:18 4403 [1] - 2:17 479B [4] - 26:4, 53:17, 53:18, 53:24 479B.1 [1] - 30:18	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11 accelerated [4] - 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 54:6 accelerating [1] - 23:9 acceptable [1] - 27:20 access [2] - 8:6, 72:6	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14 ago [4] - 33:17, 35:17, 37:9, 60:21 agree [2] - 33:14, 67:9 agreed [1] - 71:23 agreements [1] - 49:23 agricultural [2] - 23:7,	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2 available [7] - 8:1, 10:11, 56:7, 70:9, 70:14, 71:11, 71:16 Avenue [8] - 1:8, 2:3, 2:9, 2:12, 2:14, 2:17, 2:23, 3:5 avoid [4] - 22:25,
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4 402 [1] - 2:18 4403 [1] - 2:17 479B [4] - 26:4, 53:17, 53:18, 53:24 479B.1 [1] - 30:18	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11 accelerated [4] - 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 54:6 accelerating [1] - 23:9 acceptable [1] - 27:20 access [2] - 8:6, 72:6 Access [6] - 13:16, 50:6, 50:15, 52:3, 53:25, 63:11	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14 ago [4] - 33:17, 35:17, 37:9, 60:21 agreed [2] - 33:14, 67:9 agreed [1] - 71:23 agreements [1] - 49:23 agricultural [2] - 23:7, 24:12	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2 available [7] - 8:1, 10:11, 56:7, 70:9, 70:14, 71:11, 71:16 Avenue [8] - 1:8, 2:3, 2:9, 2:12, 2:14, 2:17, 2:23, 3:5 avoid [4] - 22:25, 23:23, 23:24, 72:17
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4 402 [1] - 2:18 4403 [1] - 2:17 479B [4] - 26:4, 53:17, 53:18, 53:24 479B.1 [1] - 30:18 5	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11 accelerated [4] - 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 54:6 accelerating [1] - 23:9 acceptable [1] - 27:20 access [2] - 8:6, 72:6 Access [6] - 13:16, 50:6, 50:15, 52:3,	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14 ago [4] - 33:17, 35:17, 37:9, 60:21 agreed [2] - 33:14, 67:9 agreed [1] - 71:23 agreements [1] - 49:23 agricultural [2] - 23:7, 24:12 Agricultural [2] - 3:1,	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2 available [7] - 8:1, 10:11, 56:7, 70:9, 70:14, 71:11, 71:16 Avenue [8] - 1:8, 2:3, 2:9, 2:12, 2:14, 2:17, 2:23, 3:5 avoid [4] - 22:25, 23:23, 23:24, 72:17 avoids [2] - 13:20,
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4 402 [1] - 2:18 4403 [1] - 2:17 479B [4] - 26:4, 53:17, 53:18, 53:24 479B.1 [1] - 30:18 5 500 [1] - 3:2 50266 [1] - 2:12	99 [1] - 54:24 A a.m [1] - 1:10 ability [6] - 14:1, 14:11, 42:18, 44:9, 68:10, 75:4 able [6] - 13:19, 14:2, 47:6, 47:25, 53:11, 72:3 absolutely [2] - 31:14, 51:11 accelerated [4] - 52:23, 52:24, 53:1, 54:6 accelerating [1] - 23:9 acceptable [1] - 27:20 access [2] - 8:6, 72:6 Access [6] - 13:16, 50:6, 50:15, 52:3, 53:25, 63:11	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14 ago [4] - 33:17, 35:17, 37:9, 60:21 agreed [1] - 71:23 agreements [1] - 49:23 agricultural [2] - 23:7, 24:12 Agricultural [2] - 3:1, 6:7	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2 available [7] - 8:1, 10:11, 56:7, 70:9, 70:14, 71:11, 71:16 Avenue [8] - 1:8, 2:3, 2:9, 2:12, 2:14, 2:17, 2:23, 3:5 avoid [4] - 22:25, 23:23, 23:24, 72:17
250 [3] - 40:13, 40:14, 41:4 2nd [1] - 17:8 3 30 [6] - 14:7, 16:4, 23:3, 50:18, 50:22, 55:14 301 [1] - 2:3 3116 [1] - 2:23 4 402 [1] - 2:18 4403 [1] - 2:17 479B [4] - 26:4, 53:17, 53:18, 53:24 479B.1 [1] - 30:18 5	## A ##	33:25, 45:11 admissions [1] - 59:4 admit [1] - 14:6 adopt [1] - 15:8 ADR [1] - 48:20 Advocate [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:1, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 advocate [4] - 48:21, 49:1, 49:5, 60:22 advocated [1] - 60:24 affected [1] - 25:2 affidavit [1] - 59:14 affidavits [1] - 35:11 agenda [2] - 9:13, 9:14 ago [4] - 33:17, 35:17, 37:9, 60:21 agreed [2] - 33:14, 67:9 agreed [1] - 71:23 agreements [1] - 49:23 agricultural [2] - 23:7, 24:12 Agricultural [2] - 3:1,	71:18 AN [1] - 1:25 animal [1] - 37:8 answer [3] - 24:8,	attempt [1] - 36:19 attempted [1] - 42:8 attention [1] - 26:25 attorney [4] - 5:24, 73:4, 75:7, 75:10 attorneys [2] - 32:23, 51:9 attributed [2] - 7:6, 7:7 AUDIO/VIDEO [1] - 1:25 audiovisual [2] - 70:19, 71:4 auditorium [1] - 72:2 authority [3] - 26:5, 31:23, 32:2 available [7] - 8:1, 10:11, 56:7, 70:9, 70:14, 71:11, 71:16 Avenue [8] - 1:8, 2:3, 2:9, 2:12, 2:14, 2:17, 2:23, 3:5 avoid [4] - 22:25, 23:23, 23:24, 72:17 avoids [2] - 13:20,

В

back-room [1] - 70:14 **bad** [3] - 26:3, 69:15, 73:4 balance [2] - 24:15, 73:24 bandwidth [1] - 26:25 base [1] - 45:18 based [2] - 7:15, 27:22 basis [4] - 7:2, 7:8, 62:10, 62:17 becomes [1] - 64:17 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:13 beginning [1] - 28:11 begins [1] - 69:9 behalf [1] - 48:13 believes [3] - 10:7, 10:8, 11:18 beneficial [6] - 12:11, 13:24, 14:4, 14:12, 26:16, 27:5 benefit [3] - 39:17, 41:18, 44:9 benefits [3] - 14:16, 14:17, 14:19 best [5] - 13:21, 22:15, 46:17, 47:10, 75:3 better [7] - 12:8, 14:18, 26:6, 43:10, 56:22, 62:23 between [7] - 16:1, 20:18, 27:14, 28:12, 47:23, 65:1, 68:8 big [1] - 50:5 bit [9] - 8:8, 9:17, 10:6, 11:9, 12:25, 17:10, 22:18, 54:8, 67:22 blaming [1] - 66:17 blast [1] - 47:19 blow [1] - 5:22 board [32] - 4:5, 4:6, 5:10, 7:10, 7:14, 10:24, 10:25, 11:3, 12:16, 17:23, 18:3, 18:5, 18:6, 19:14, 20:4, 21:22, 22:8, 23:8, 24:15, 25:18, 33:12, 33:20, 38:1, 38:12, 40:5, 40:9, 42:16, 45:8, 52:5, 52:10, 52:11, 73:14 BOARD [12] - 1:2, 1:13, 16:21, 16:25, 17:5, 17:9, 24:6, 34:8, 34:12, 34:15,

34:17, 73:1 Board [90] - 1:14, 1:15, 3:4, 4:7, 4:15, 6:22, 6:23, 7:7, 8:2, 9:12, 10:7, 10:8, 11:9, 11:18, 12:3, 13:8, 15:7, 15:11. 15:12. 16:4. 16:8. 16:15, 16:22, 17:21, 18:2, 18:15, 18:23, 19:16, 20:5, 20:24, 22:14, 23:11, 23:21, 23:24, 24:4, 29:15, 29:23, 30:3, 30:6, 31:20, 31:23, 32:15, 33:1, 33:9, 33:16, 33:24, 34:7, 36:8, 39:1, 43:18, 44:15, 45:12, 45:14, 45:16, 45:17, 45:18, 47:25, 48:1, 50:22, 51:10, 51:12, 51:14, 54:17, 54:25, 55:2, 56:17, 60:17, 60:22, 61:12, 61:14, 61:20, 62:11, 63:1, 63:6, 64:13, 64:16, 65:2, 65:6, 66:13, 66:16, 66:17, 67:17, 67:24, 68:13, 69:15, 70:6, 72:10, 73:6, 73:7

Board's [10] - 9:22, 26:25, 28:11, 30:19, 32:9, 39:18, 56:8, 64:24, 68:2, 68:19 board's [3] - 63:24, 67:19, 67:20 body [2] - 31:11, 33:21 **boilerplate** [1] - 60:16 Boone [1] - 71:14 borne [1] - 61:14 **bottom** [1] - 36:15 **box** [1] - 9:23 boxes [1] - 45:15 breakout [1] - 71:17 BRET [1] - 2:2 Bret [2] - 4:22, 48:8 brief [2] - 43:8, 62:5 briefing [1] - 66:25 briefs [2] - 16:9, 60:18 bring [1] - 60:17 brisk [1] - 16:1 broader [4] - 11:25,

19:20, 27:7, 68:1

brought [2] - 63:8,

brutal [1] - 69:18

67:14

build [2] - 12:7, 18:15 burden [11] - 7:18, 8:3, 36:22, 37:13, 39:2, 39:21, 41:20, 42:16, 61:12, 61:19 **burdening** [1] - 54:15 **burdens** [1] - 40:5 Bureau [6] - 2:10, 5:4, 21:2, 38:21, 63:18, 69:1 Bureau's [1] - 21:22 busiest [6] - 22:25, 23:14, 23:16, 23:21, 23:25, 27:18 buying [1] - 69:7 BYRNES [10] - 1:14, 16:21, 16:25, 17:5, 17:9, 24:6, 34:8, 34:12, 34:15, 73:1 Byrnes [4] - 4:6, 16:23, 34:7, 63:1 Byron [2] - 2:2, 4:23

C

calendar [1] - 48:16 cannot [1] - 59:22 capacity [1] - 24:12 capped [1] - 8:18 captioned [1] - 75:5 **CARBON**[1] - 1:5 carbon [3] - 15:2, 53:19, 63:9 Carbon [6] - 2:2, 2:6, 4:4, 4:20, 4:22, 4:24 care [1] - 57:1 Carmen [1] - 6:12 case [36] - 13:11, 14:19, 14:20, 15:18, 15:19, 19:13, 19:15, 19:17, 20:14, 26:7, 27:12, 27:16, 28:16, 35:3, 37:9, 43:20, 51:5, 51:6, 51:11, 51:25, 56:25, 57:17, 57:18, 57:21, 57:22, 58:25, 59:4, 59:10, 59:13, 60:20, 62:25, 63:2, 63:11, 64:20, 65:21, 67:2 cases [14] - 12:16, 16:3, 16:8, 27:1, 34:25, 35:2, 37:6, 44:9, 51:12, 54:24, 61:6, 63:11, 67:2, 70:11

caucus [1] - 71:17 caucuses [1] - 72:2 Cedar [2] - 2:18, 35:2 center [1] - 72:14 central [1] - 73:3 Central [1] - 73:5 certain [1] - 18:13 certainly [21] - 10:9, 15:18, 17:21, 20:15, 31:22, 33:23, 43:12, 44:16, 45:25, 48:20, 51:19, 51:25, 52:13, 54:17, 56:13, 67:1, 67:8, 67:13, 68:14, 68:17, 71:10 **CERTIFIED** [1] - 75:19 certify [2] - 75:2, 75:7 cetera [1] - 60:18 Chair [1] - 70:6 **chair** [1] - 4:5 Chairperson [1] - 1:14 CHAIRPERSON [88] -4:2, 4:25, 5:4, 5:8, 5:14, 5:17, 5:21, 6:1, 6:5, 6:10, 6:14, 6:17, 6:21, 9:6, 11:22, 16:22, 17:18, 19:1, 19:5, 20:2, 20:7, 20:20, 20:23, 21:1, 21:6, 22:20, 24:4, 24:7, 25:4, 25:10, 25:15, 25:17, 26:20, 26:23. 28:1. 28:4. 28:7, 30:22, 30:25, 31:4, 31:6, 32:4, 32:12, 32:14, 32:19, 32:25, 33:5, 34:6, 34:16, 34:18, 35:21, 35:24, 40:11, 40:14, 40:18, 40:22, 40:24, 41:1, 41:6, 41:10, 41:13, 41:23, 42:20, 43:6, 46:4, 46:6, 46:21, 48:6, 49:11, 55:8, 56:1, 58:8, 58:11, 61:22, 63:16, 64:14, 65:9, 65:18, 66:1, 66:4, 66:20, 68:24, 70:7, 70:16, 71:7, 72:22, 72:24, 73:17 **challenge** [1] - 55:5 **chance** [1] - 65:24 change [4] - 11:6,

16:6, 56:9, 68:8

changes [1] - 50:12

Chapter [1] - 2:17

chapter [1] - 53:21 characterize [1] -60:10 characterizes [1] -49:21 charge [1] - 7:2 charged [1] - 74:1 checklist [1] - 34:9 checks [1] - 45:15 cherry [1] - 51:4 chief [1] - 16:23 chime [1] - 69:1 choice [2] - 36:18, 36:24 **CHRISTIAN** [1] - 2:19 **Christian** [1] - 5:19 **CHRISTINA** [1] - 2:10 Christina [1] - 5:5 circle [1] - 48:8 city [2] - 72:11, 72:13 civil [1] - 44:11 clarification [5] - 8:24, 18:11, 18:20, 34:22, 70:17 clarify [7] - 6:25, 17:21, 20:2, 32:4, 41:4, 41:24, 42:6 classrooms [1] - 72:2 cleanups [1] - 66:23 clear [5] - 18:24, 30:11, 36:13, 67:4, 67:6 clearly [1] - 30:18 clients [4] - 41:9, 44:8, 49:22, 51:9 close [4] - 13:15, 16:5, 24:10, 40:13 **Club** [7] - 2:16, 5:15, 27:13, 28:8, 32:20, 33:1, 51:25 **CO2** [2] - 67:13, 67:16 collecting [1] - 22:12 college [3] - 71:25, 73:2, 73:3 College [1] - 73:6 colleges [1] - 72:21 combine [1] - 36:20 coming [4] - 62:16, 73:22, 74:2, 74:5 comment [7] - 17:19, 21:13, 30:16, 31:1, 53:4, 61:1, 65:14 commentary [3] -14:21, 38:5, 46:25 comments [6] - 13:5,

18:7, 25:24, 47:1,

49:18, 63:17 **COMMERCE** [1] - 1:1 common [1] - 52:19 community [8] -12:22, 30:18, 69:22, 71:11, 71:25, 72:21, 73:2, 73:3 **Community** [1] - 73:6 company [1] - 50:25 compare [1] - 17:16 compared [1] - 34:24 comparing [3] - 35:8, 35:9, 60:3 compel [7] - 59:8, 62:10, 65:5, 65:15, 66:3, 66:13, 67:1 complete [8] - 13:19, 29:5, 29:7, 38:6, 38:14, 45:22, 60:6, 75:3 completed [3] - 13:1, 48:4, 56:18 completely [2] - 7:1, 30:3 complex [1] - 63:2 complexity [1] - 39:22 compliant [1] - 72:16 complied [2] - 38:8, 55:11 component [1] - 20:3 concern [8] - 10:16, 32:22, 34:2, 43:13, 43:15, 68:16, 71:3, 72:8 concerned [3] - 30:20, 68:5, 73:10 concerns [4] - 65:16, 68:18, 68:21, 73:23 concluded [4] - 48:15, 49:7, 49:9, 74:9 conclusion [1] - 53:9 concur [2] - 71:12, 71:22 conduct [3] - 17:23, 18:3, 56:10 conducted [1] - 33:9 **conference** [6] - 4:3, 4:10, 9:11, 29:16, 36:13, 37:3 conferences [1] -29:17 confident [1] - 45:10 conflicts [1] - 11:1 consider [5] - 9:24, 15:7, 16:16, 53:19, 66:17

consideration [1] -69:17 considered [4] - 7:23, 7:24, 23:8, 44:14 considering [2] -43:18, 61:3 consistently [1] - 31:8 constraint [1] - 38:13 construction [3] -13:19, 13:21, 73:10 Consumer [6] - 2:7, 2:8, 4:25, 5:2, 19:6, 71:12 consuming [1] - 8:4 contact (3) - 46:10. 47:5, 47:23 contemplates [2] -15:16, 52:14 content [1] - 47:19 contention [2] - 57:18, 57:19 contested [2] - 26:7, 43:20 context [1] - 67:15 contexts [1] - 44:18 continuance [1] - 29:8 continue [1] - 49:23 continued [1] - 61:10 continues [1] - 24:18 controlled [1] - 72:6 convene [1] - 70:11 convenient [1] - 68:12 convening [1] - 64:23 conversation [2] -42:4, 49:16 Cooney [1] - 2:14 Corn [2] - 6:4 corporate [1] - 62:6 correct [10] - 17:21, 20:6, 20:17, 20:19, 26:22, 40:16, 40:24, 41:5, 60:1, 69:6 cost [2] - 7:8, 31:12 costs [2] - 7:5, 7:6 counsel [6] - 4:24, 16:24, 39:14, 48:20, 75:8, 75:11 Counsel [2] - 2:5, 3:4 counties [4] - 5:12, 5:13, 27:7, 61:8 Counties [2] - 2:15, 5:10 country [1] - 70:12

County [1] - 59:1

72:14

county [2] - 72:13,

couple [3] - 34:19, 63:19, 66:22 course [1] - 23:23 Court [5] - 1:8, 2:9, 2:14, 3:5, 61:7 court [6] - 28:24, 29:3, 34:24, 39:11, 44:23, 58:3 cover [1] - 45:18 create [1] - 18:4 creating [1] - 8:1 creative [1] - 9:24 credit [1] - 44:20 criminal [1] - 44:11 criteria [2] - 53:23, 67:19 cross [11] - 15:17, 15:22, 15:23, 57:10, 57:11, 59:12, 59:19, 59:23, 60:5, 62:19, 62:21 cross-examination [5] - 57:11, 59:12, 59:19, 59:23, 60:5 cross-examining [1] -62:19 cross-rebuttal [2] -15:17, 15:23 crossed [1] - 73:13 crowded [1] - 5:11 crude [1] - 53:20 cry [1] - 58:21 **cultivating** [1] - 69:12 curious [2] - 25:10, 66:12 current [1] - 29:19 cycles [1] - 13:23

D

Dakota [13] - 12:25, 13:16, 17:1, 17:2, 17:7, 38:2, 38:3, 38:8, 50:6, 50:14, 52:3, 53:24, 63:11 Dakota's [2] - 13:2, 17:6 Dakotas [3] - 17:15, 50:24, 51:2 DAPL [4] - 14:22, 14:23, 15:2, 71:15 data [3] - 52:9, 62:8, 62:11 date [2] - 22:23, 23:18 Dated [1] - 75:13 dates [2] - 55:18

DAVID[1] - 2:11 David [1] - 5:6 days [4] - 16:4, 37:9, 55:14, 60:20 deadline [6] - 16:2, 16:8, 38:7, 38:14, 50:24, 54:19 deadlines [3] - 16:1, 36:15, 38:6 deal [2] - 39:19, 60:16 deals [2] - 37:7, 70:14 December [1] - 23:13 decide [1] - 44:24 decides [1] - 45:16 decision [14] - 7:15, 8:3, 18:1, 18:6, 22:1, 22:8, 24:22, 26:18, 45:15, 51:1, 53:23, 67:19, 74:1 decisions [2] - 42:11, 73:19 dedicated [1] - 27:2 defects [1] - 37:2 defend [1] - 57:7 delay [3] - 49:7, 54:14, 54:22 deliver [1] - 73:25 demands [1] - 27:17 DEPARTMENT[1] -1:1 deposition [10] - 56:8, 56:10, 56:11, 56:16, 57:6, 57:7, 57:24, 59:18, 62:15, 62:20 depositions [15] -27:21, 28:22, 35:18, 35:20, 52:8, 52:9, 52:12, 55:15, 56:23, 56:24, 58:4, 59:10, 59:11, 62:24, 67:8 depress [1] - 38:19 Des [9] - 1:8, 2:4, 2:9, 2:12, 2:15, 2:23, 3:3, 3:5, 75:13 describe [1] - 58:21 designated [1] - 20:4 designed [1] - 41:17 desired [1] - 6:25 determine [1] - 58:19 determining [1] -59:19 Dickinson [4] - 2:14, 5:9, 25:18, 59:1 difference [2] - 18:12,

17:17, 18:18, 22:4, 37:7, 37:8, 53:19, 67:25 differently [1] - 52:5 difficult [2] - 17:16, 52:23 dig [1] - 28:19 diligence [1] - 73:25 dioxide [3] - 15:2, 53:19, 63:9 direct [14] - 11:23, 26:9, 27:23, 28:13, 28:14, 30:1, 34:4, 37:10, 37:15, 37:16, 51:13, 63:15, 64:12, 64:21 directed [1] - 15:23 direction [1] - 48:1 discovery [40] - 28:19, 29:2, 29:5, 29:10, 29:12, 29:16, 29:18, 29:25, 34:23, 34:24, 35:4, 35:6, 35:13, 35:17, 35:18, 37:5, 37:17, 51:12, 51:18, 51:23, 52:2, 52:5, 52:25, 55:11, 56:17, 57:1, 57:2, 57:15, 57:25, 58:3, 58:23, 59:2, 60:14, 62:24, 63:14, 64:17, 64:19, 64:22, 65:1, 66:19 discovery's [1] - 29:7 discrepancy [1] -31:16 discuss [3] - 8:25, 20:10, 20:12 discussion [3] - 4:18, 9:10, 52:6 discussions [3] -42:8, 55:19, 67:10 disfavored [1] - 52:17 displace [1] - 24:20 displacing [1] - 24:21 dispute [5] - 29:12, 44:7, 44:11, 44:13, 44.23 disputes [8] - 26:2, 29:18, 44:20, 57:16, 64:18, 64:20, 64:22, 65:1 disseminate [1] -46:17 dissent [1] - 63:1 district [1] - 34:24

District [1] - 6:15

Docket [1] - 1:4

57:5

different [8] - 13:23,

equipment [1] - 70:19

docket [10] - 9:25, 12:13, 13:5, 13:6, 46:12, 46:19, 64:4, 64:6, 64:8, 65:5 dockets [1] - 11:14 document [3] - 60:2, 60:4, 62:5 **Dodge** [5] - 69:23, 69:24, 69:25, 71:11, 71:23 domain [1] - 26:8 Domina [2] - 2:20, 5:20 done [13] - 10:6, 14:11, 23:10, 33:19, 35:12, 39:20, 43:15, 48:23, 48:25, 50:20, 50:21, 54:25 down [5] - 25:21, 40:3, 56:23, 59:16, 72:19 downplay [1] - 13:12 dozens [1] - 44:3 drafted [1] - 19:22 drawn [1] - 27:1 **DUBLINSKE** [20] - 2:2, 4:21, 9:8, 11:25, 17:2, 17:7, 17:12, 18:10, 19:3, 46:23, 47:9, 47:13, 49:17, 55:9, 56:13, 57:12, 57:14, 66:22, 70:5, 71:9 **Dublinske** [14] - 4:22, 9:6, 19:2, 30:16, 46:22, 49:14, 56:5, 61:2, 61:16, 62:4, 62:9, 66:21, 70:3, 71:8 Dublinske's [2] - 38:5, 63:5 due [4] - 17:3, 27:15, 55:5, 73:25

Ε

early [4] - 23:15, 39:5, 61:6, 69:11
easement [4] - 4:14, 6:24, 23:3, 61:3
easements [8] - 13:15, 26:5, 30:9, 30:12, 30:13, 30:14, 49:24
easier [2] - 56:22, 57:6
East [4] - 1:8, 2:3, 2:9, 3:5
easy [2] - 13:12, 45:3

economic [1] - 14:16 Economy [2] - 3:2, 6:7 effective [3] - 10:16, 39:16, 73:25 effectively [1] - 9:24 efficiencies [1] -18:23 efficiency [1] - 10:2 efficient [9] - 8:4, 10:16, 10:19, 15:13, 15:24, 15:25, 56:14, 56:25, 60:10 efficiently [5] - 9:25, 48:23, 48:25, 55:24, 62:22 efforts [1] - 28:11 EFS [1] - 74:5 eight [5] - 7:17, 52:7, 52:18, 52:24, 59:9 either [7] - 18:16, 20:4, 22:16, 33:19, 36:17, 38:11, 39:8 **elaborate** [1] - 8:8 elect [1] - 7:3 electronically [1] -75:5 email [4] - 47:16, 47:19, 47:20, 64:6 eminent [1] - 26:7 Emmet [3] - 2:14, 5:9, 25.18 employed [2] - 75:8, 75:11 employee [1] - 75:10 end [15] - 9:25, 12:13, 12:19, 13:3, 13:18, 14:14, 15:6, 37:24, 38:3, 45:21, 48:15, 49:9, 54:25, 55:24, 68:9 end-of-the-year [1] -12:19 endeavor [1] - 10:8 ends [2] - 10:19, 56:19 engage [3] - 30:7, 31:21, 42:12 engaged [1] - 67:8 enlighten [1] - 6:2 ensures [1] - 52:14 entire [5] - 7:15, 23:23, 26:20, 33:16, 33:20 entirety [1] - 18:5

environmental [1] -

equally [1] - 72:15

14:17

Erik [1] - 4:5 ERIK [1] - 1:14 **ESQ** [12] - 2:2, 2:5, 2:7, 2:8, 2:10, 2:11, 2:13, 2:16, 2:19, 2:22, 3:1, 3:4 essentially [2] - 40:7, 50:4 et [1] - 60:18 ethylene [1] - 53:20 **evaluation** [1] - 8:13 evidence [11] - 7:13, 8:2. 14:16. 22:3. 22:9, 26:17, 27:1, 33:23, 35:11, 37:15 evidentiary [7] -17:23, 18:3, 37:22, 39:2, 40:5, 41:20, 42:17 exact [1] - 24:10 exactly [3] - 33:9, 40:12, 62:22 examination [7] -57:11, 59:12, 59:19, 59:23, 60:5, 62:21 examining [1] - 62:19 example [3] - 16:7, 29:5, 47:14 except [1] - 39:17 exchange [2] - 58:24, 65:21 exchanging [1] -27:22 Exhibit [5] - 7:14, 16:18, 47:5, 50:7, 61:15 exhibit [1] - 25:7 exhibits [1] - 51:17 expand [1] - 11:23 expanded [1] - 52:21 expansive [1] - 62:3 expect [2] - 13:1, 14:6 expedite [1] - 58:7 **expedited** [1] - 66:19 expend [2] - 37:13 expense [1] - 47:22 expenses [1] - 37:14 experience [3] - 22:5, 33:12, 59:7 experiences [1] -34:25 experiment [1] - 10:6 **explore** [1] - 60:6

expressed [1] - 72:8 extend [1] - 60:15 extends [1] - 60:18 extension [1] - 29:21 extensive [1] - 51:14 extent [3] - 16:19, 62:7, 67:14 extremely [1] - 61:13 eyes [1] - 9:23

F faced [1] - 36:18 facilitate [4] - 16:19, 45:25, 48:1, 56:22 facilitated [2] - 8:10, 8:12 facilitating [1] - 8:5 facilitative [1] - 31:12 facilities [4] - 70:21, 71:13, 71:16, 72:7 fact [14] - 19:12, 19:14, 26:15, 29:6, 35:16, 38:2, 38:5, 49:21, 50:11, 50:13, 51:2, 54:9, 59:15, 62:9 factors [1] - 39:16 facts [2] - 11:5, 62:4 factual [3] - 31:7, 59:17, 59:24 factually [1] - 60:3 fair [5] - 8:7, 28:25, 43:14, 54:20, 60:9 fairgrounds [4] -71:14, 71:25, 72:11, 72:20 fairly [2] - 62:5 fall [2] - 47:11, 53:21 fall-back [1] - 47:11 family [3] - 24:19, 44:11, 69:3 far [4] - 25:24, 26:13, 57:19, 67:10 Farm [7] - 2:10, 5:4, 21:1, 21:22, 38:21, 63:18, 69:1 farm [9] - 13:23, 24:19, 24:25, 25:1, 36:18, 42:1, 44:20, 53:12 farmers [11] - 13:4, 13:6, 13:10, 13:17, 13:24, 14:1, 14:13, 23:1, 36:16, 44:19,

farming [3] - 36:23, 36:24, 69:2 farms [1] - 24:24 faster [3] - 12:25, 51:1, 67:3 fault [3] - 48:7, 51:8, 66:16 favor [1] - 11:16 favoring [1] - 14:9 feature [1] - 15:18 features [1] - 11:11 Federation [1] - 2:11 fee [1] - 8:10 fertile [1] - 51:17 few [3] - 52:2, 64:17, 66:14 fight [1] - 60:15 fight's [1] - 59:9 figure [2] - 36:21, 53:11 file [7] - 29:1, 29:4, 29:6, 29:11, 29:25, 59:7, 66:14 filed [11] - 12:15, 12:18, 23:13, 27:23, 35:5, 37:12, 46:11, 46:19, 47:5, 61:7, 62:13 files [1] - 62:3 filing [7] - 4:9, 14:23, 27:14, 28:12, 28:13, 64:4, 65:17 filings [1] - 22:22 filled [1] - 62:5 finally [1] - 14:15 **financially** [1] - 75:12 finder [2] - 19:13, 19:14 findings [1] - 45:12 fine [1] - 33:7 finish [1] - 29:2 fired [1] - 70:25 firm [3] - 4:23, 37:6, 40:12 first [15] - 9:7, 12:17, 13:7, 19:8, 28:9, 36:3, 43:17, 49:15, 51:24, 62:2, 63:2, 63:22, 70:3, 70:4, 71:21 First [1] - 2:17 fits [1] - 16:16 five [3] - 37:5, 37:18, 60:24

fixed [1] - 8:17

flag [1] - 45:9

exploring [1] - 4:15

44:22

express [1] - 30:5

heard [7] - 21:25,

Hearing [1] - 1:7

hearing [44] - 7:17,

8:20, 13:1, 13:16,

17:23, 18:4, 18:14,

18:22, 19:23, 20:3,

22:23, 23:17, 23:18,

23:22, 26:7, 26:17,

29:24, 32:21, 33:15,

33:25, 41:20, 42:17,

43:13, 43:20, 45:19,

45:25, 46:1, 50:9,

17:2, 17:6, 17:7,

22:3, 22:5, 22:8,

22:1, 28:15, 30:5,

43:21, 50:19, 55:1

flat [1] - 8:10 flexible [1] - 68:12 Floyd [1] - 2:14 focus [2] - 58:16, 59:11 focusing [1] - 58:20 folks [2] - 70:25, 71:1 follow [2] - 58:9, 61:23 forcing [1] - 30:4 foreclosures [1] -44:20 foregoing [1] - 75:2 forget [1] - 13:13 formal [2] - 49:13, 68:13 format [1] - 68:11 Fort [5] - 69:23, 69:24, 69:25, 71:11, 71:23 forth [2] - 48:10, 66:25 forward [3] - 9:25, 73:20, 73:22 four [1] - 52:19 frame [1] - 8:14 framed [1] - 67:14 framework [2] - 15:8, 26:4 frankly [6] - 12:8, 14:7, 32:24, 44:8, 62:6, 70:8 Fredrikson [2] - 2:2, 4:23 free [2] - 7:2, 33:23 frequent [3] - 64:23, 64:25 fresh [2] - 9:23, 12:4 FROM [1] - 1:25 front [2] - 6:6, 16:4 full [8] - 10:24, 19:14, 22:7, 57:17, 60:6, 62:3, 67:24 fully [1] - 72:16

G

gathering [2] - 8:2, 22:3 Geadelmann [2] -2:11, 5:6 general [4] - 4:24, 7:10, 44:6, 48:14 General [4] - 2:5, 3:4, 7:9, 7:11 generalization [1] -62:13 generalizations [1] -

62:6

generally [4] - 14:19, 32:25, 44:13, 71:11 generous [1] - 16:7 get-go [1] - 32:6 given [2] - 37:20, 50:12 goal [2] - 39:21, 42:15 Grand [1] - 2:3 grant [1] - 26:7 granting [1] - 4:9 grants [1] - 29:23 great [2] - 14:23, 62:7 Great [1] - 6:14 greater [1] - 8:5 grew [1] - 42:1 ground [2] - 8:22, 51:17 Group [2] - 2:20, 5:20 growing [3] - 13:6, 13:20, 14:12 Growing [2] - 3:1, 6:7 Gruenhagen [1] - 5:5 GRUENHAGEN [12] -2:10, 5:5, 21:3, 21:7, 22:21, 24:24, 25:6, 25:13, 25:16, 63:19, 64:15, 65:13 guess [6] - 21:4, 24:7, 61:23, 61:24, 66:8, 66:10 guys [1] - 66:6

Н

half [1] - 30:8 hand [1] - 61:24 handful [1] - 44:2 handled [2] - 45:22, 65:11 handling [1] - 65:7 hands [1] - 38:13 happy [5] - 10:9, 12:2, 15:13, 34:5, 46:2 hard [2] - 36:24, 54:13 hardened [2] - 21:15, 21:17 harder [1] - 57:7 harvest [9] - 19:24, 23:15, 23:16, 36:12, 68:5, 68:16, 69:6, 69:18 head [1] - 42:3 headed [1] - 36:11 hear [8] - 7:13, 21:23, 31:1, 31:8, 32:22, 38:16, 64:19, 68:15

52:3, 52:10, 52:12, 52:22, 56:20, 62:19, 64:21, 68:1, 68:13, 68:16 hearings [5] - 10:25, 22:6, 38:10, 39:12, 70:25 hears [2] - 19:15, 54:25 heart [1] - 73:24 heavy [1] - 61:13 Helland [1] - 4:5 **HELLAND** [89] - 1:14, 4:2, 4:25, 5:4, 5:8, 5:14, 5:17, 5:21, 6:1, 6:5, 6:10, 6:14, 6:17, 6:21, 9:6, 11:22, 16:22, 17:18, 19:1, 19:5, 20:2, 20:7, 20:20, 20:23, 21:1, 21:6, 22:20, 24:4, 24:7, 25:4, 25:10, 25:15, 25:17, 26:20, 26:23, 28:1, 28:4, 28:7, 30:22, 30:25, 31:4, 31:6, 32:4, 32:12, 32:14, 32:19, 32:25, 33:5, 34:6, 34:16, 34:18, 35:21, 35:24, 40:11, 40:14, 40:18, 40:22, 40:24, 41:1, 41:6, 41:10, 41:13, 41:23, 42:20, 43:6, 46:4, 46:6, 46:21, 48:6, 49:11, 55:8, 56:1, 58:8, 58:11, 61:22, 63:16, 64:14, 65:9, 65:18, 66:1, 66:4, 66:20, 68:24, 70:7, 70:16, 71:7, 72:22, 72:24,

29:17, 31:9, 31:14, 39:3, 39:4, 68:14 helpful [2] - 10:9, 27:24 hereby [1] - 75:2 hereto [1] - 75:11 highlights [1] - 66:18 **hiring** [1] - 6:23 historically [1] - 23:8 HLP-2021-0001 [2] -1:4, 4:3 holds [2] - 59:21, 60:3 home [2] - 36:21, 64:10 Honor [12] - 4:21, 5:12, 5:16, 6:3, 9:8, 19:4, 19:7, 21:3, 25:20, 49:17, 53:3, 66:22 hope [2] - 14:8, 67:9 hopefully [2] - 9:16, 69:11 hourly [1] - 7:8 hours [1] - 8:19 **Hs** [4] - 25:7, 47:5, 47:24, 50:7 hundred [2] - 50:6, 50.7 hundreds [4] - 8:21, 23:4, 24:15, 42:24

73:17

help [8] - 8:14, 15:15,

idea [16] - 4:15, 6:22, 7:13, 10:15, 26:3, 29:18, 30:2, 30:14, 33:10, 33:16, 35:15, 42:21, 50:17, 54:1, 66:6, 68:17 ideas [2] - 73:15, 73:23 identification [1] -46:13 **identifies** [1] - 59:15 identifying [1] - 63:25 ignore [1] - 50:1 immediately [1] - 69:7 impacted [1] - 24:1 implies [2] - 10:20, 18:13 important [6] - 12:6, 21:24, 33:21, 43:16, 44:21, 51:2 impression [2] -

30:15, 67:7 IN [1] - 1:4 inappropriate [2] -29:10, 29:22 inaudible [1] - 45:24 incentivized [1] - 39:5 included [1] - 4:12 including [1] - 49:21 independent [1] - 18:1 indicated [3] - 23:11, 58:24, 61:12 indicates [1] - 62:4 indicating [1] - 61:9 indifferent [1] - 70:18 individual [11] - 7:2, 10:14, 11:10, 18:15, 18:23, 20:5, 38:15, 39:13, 42:9, 50:7 individuals [2] - 44:5, 46:1 information [16] -11:3, 15:11, 31:7, 46:10, 46:14, 47:6, 47:7, 47:16, 47:23, 47:24, 51:16, 51:19, 59:24, 64:5, 64:10 infrastructure [1] -50:21 Ingersoll [1] - 2:23 input [7] - 4:16, 8:25, 46:9, 63:17, 68:25, 69:21, 73:20 inputs [1] - 69:7 insightful [1] - 49:16 instead [2] - 47:2, 52:18 integrity [1] - 21:23 intend [1] - 19:11 intended [1] - 30:3 intending [1] - 67:5 intends [2] - 59:16, 65:6 intent [1] - 68:2 intention [2] - 32:10, 45:1 interest [5] - 40:19, 53:6, 53:8, 54:11, 61:9 interested [4] - 24:9, 32:8, 32:16, 75:12 interesting [1] - 49:20 interests [1] - 13:11 internally [1] - 20:15 interrogatories [2] -

27:22, 59:3

interrogatory [3] -

		1		
57:19, 59:5, 59:15	job [1] - 50:24	6:25, 7:3, 8:21,	legitimate [1] - 13:11	47:21
interrupt [1] - 33:6	JOHN [1] - 2:8	10:14, 11:7, 11:10,	length [1] - 23:22	main [2] - 19:23, 34:2
intervenor [1] - 27:15	John [1] - 5:3	13:10, 21:9, 21:11,	less [4] - 8:4, 10:24,	majority [4] - 13:9,
intervenors [5] - 16:2,	join [1] - 54:21	21:16, 21:20, 21:25,	18:24, 49:13	13:13, 13:14, 51:11
28:22, 29:1, 34:3,	Jon [5] - 7:4, 20:9,	22:13, 23:4, 27:17,	letter [1] - 72:17	maker [1] - 22:8
48:13	46:6, 58:8, 65:9	30:4, 30:5, 30:11,	letters [1] - 55:12	makers [1] - 22:1
intervenors' [2] -	JON [1] - 3:4	30:15, 30:20, 31:8,	level [1] - 31:16	makeup [2] - 73:7,
28:14, 52:19	Jorde [5] - 2:19, 5:18,	31:13, 31:23, 32:10,	lies [1] - 66:15	73:14
interventions [1] - 4:9	35:25, 51:9	32:15, 32:18, 32:23,	light [1] - 59:6	man [1] - 35:1
inventoried [1] - 71:10	Josh [2] - 4:6, 72:24	33:2, 33:20, 33:22,	likely [3] - 11:6, 12:19,	manageable [2] -
investment [4] -	JOSHUA [1] - 1:14	36:1, 36:3, 36:23,	37:21	40:2, 43:12
12:22, 30:18, 51:1,	judge [4] - 18:1,	39:4, 39:13, 39:19,	limbo [1] - 53:9	manifests [1] - 24:17
51:3	33:15, 33:18, 33:25	40:9, 40:15, 40:18,	limits [2] - 58:2, 72:12	March [2] - 27:8, 29:15
investments [1] -	judgment [7] - 29:4,	41:2, 42:9, 42:22,	line [3] - 36:15, 62:24,	March/April [1] -
12:23	29:7, 29:9, 35:10,	46:18, 49:21, 50:7,	72:14	69:10
investor [1] - 50:25	35:12, 51:23, 51:25	53:8, 60:13, 60:18,	list [3] - 21:4, 41:2,	Martz [1] - 4:6
involved [4] - 7:18,	July [1] - 38:11	61:2, 61:4, 61:9,	73:13	MARTZ[2] - 1:15,
23:5, 49:4, 71:2	June [4] - 1:9, 17:8,	63:23, 64:2, 64:9,	listed [1] - 64:5	34:17
involves [1] - 29:19	69:11, 75:14	64:12, 68:15	listen [1] - 33:22	Mary [1] - 6:11
IOWA [2] - 1:1, 1:13	20111, 10111	Landowners [1] - 2:20	listening [1] - 33:20	massive [3] - 28:17,
lowa [25] - 1:8, 2:4,	K	landowners' [1] - 39:3	literally [2] - 11:15,	53:14, 53:15
2:9, 2:10, 2:12, 2:15,	- 1\	landscape [1] - 50:13	70:13	matter [6] - 8:17,
2:17, 2:18, 2:23, 3:3,		LANNY [1] - 2:7	litigate [1] - 27:16	48:15, 48:21, 49:7,
3:5, 12:17, 12:19,	keep [5] - 14:9, 25:8,	Lanny [1] - 5:2	lively [1] - 49:16	50:9, 50:23
12:21, 12:23, 13:9,	34:9, 52:22, 68:16	large [6] - 10:3, 12:23,	livestreaming [1] -	matters [1] - 15:19
14:18, 15:1, 17:14,	key [1] - 37:15	15:4, 47:14, 54:9,	22:11	mean [11] - 7:19,
28:16, 50:5, 73:2,	kicked [2] - 49:19,	71:15	LLC [6] - 2:2, 2:6,	13:11, 20:14, 28:21,
73:3, 73:5, 75:13	60:20	largest [1] - 23:7	2:22, 4:4, 5:23, 5:25	32:13, 33:6, 35:5,
lowa's [1] - 13:2	kill [2] - 54:16, 54:22	last [7] - 12:20, 35:3,	LLLP [2] - 2:22, 5:23	44:2, 53:10, 53:15,
lowans [5] - 3:1, 6:7,	kind [4] - 19:12, 22:23,	36:13, 55:12, 63:7,	located [1] - 70:20	61:24
7:22, 24:16, 31:22	44:10, 66:12	67:15, 67:21	location [2] - 68:11,	means [2] - 10:13,
issue [16] - 7:15, 9:17,	kinds [2] - 44:6, 72:7	lasts [1] - 7:17	71:24	36:17
12:1, 12:20, 17:22,	knowing [1] - 12:10	late [1] - 23:15	locations [6] - 19:18,	measuring [2] - 50:10
18:1, 38:9, 38:21,	knowledge [2] -	latter [1] - 18:22	22:10, 34:10, 45:21,	medi [1] - 37:1
39:23, 47:3, 48:5,	59:17, 60:7	law [14] - 4:23, 15:1,	67:25, 70:1	media [2] - 64:1, 64:3
64:16, 66:15, 66:18,	known [1] - 11:13	17:4, 17:25, 31:11,	locust [1] - 3:2	mediate [4] - 31:12,
66:24, 67:20	knows [2] - 45:10,	33:15, 33:18, 33:25,	lodged [1] - 37:3	44:23, 45:3, 46:18
issued [2] - 4:7, 9:15	51:25	41:25, 44:11, 44:12,	logical [1] - 20:13	mediation [33] - 8:9,
issues [22] - 8:25, 9:9,	Kossuth [3] - 2:13,	57:18, 69:4	LONG [1] - 2:8	8:10, 8:12, 11:17,
9:12, 14:25, 16:12,	5:9, 25:18	Law [3] - 2:17, 2:20,	look [10] - 9:22, 12:4,	16:18, 19:8, 20:10,
28:17, 31:2, 36:3,		5:20	12:21, 15:9, 47:17,	20:17, 21:7, 21:9,
38:9, 48:19, 53:14,	L	lawsuits [1] - 35:9	50:1, 53:5, 73:2,	21:10, 30:2, 30:8,
53:16, 63:4, 63:9,		lawyer [2] - 44:6,	73:8, 73:16	30:14, 31:21, 31:24,
63:10, 63:21, 67:12,	Laborers [1] - 6:15	59:21	looked [2] - 68:2,	32:3, 38:21, 39:16,
67:16, 67:17, 68:7	lack [1] - 37:23	lawyers [1] - 41:24	73:12	40:21, 43:17, 43:23,
issuing [1] - 74:3	lake [1] - 6:4	lay [2] - 42:9, 74:3	looking [4] - 23:9,	43:25, 44:1, 44:19,
itself [1] - 60:25	lakes [1] - 73:2	layered [1] - 59:6	58:25, 59:2, 71:19	45:4, 45:6, 46:10,
IUB [3] - 3:4, 58:18,	land [3] - 23:7, 39:6,	layers [1] - 49:6	lost [2] - 69:17, 69:18	48:19, 63:22, 64:12
70:22	68:7	least [9] - 13:21, 30:7,	love [1] - 32:22	mediations [4] - 9:19,
	landowner [11] - 8:5,	31:21, 33:19, 33:22,	LSCP [3] - 2:22, 5:23,	10:4, 15:12, 25:24
J	15:11, 22:15, 24:12,	46:9, 62:11, 68:3,	48:13	mediator [1] - 33:2
	25:5, 38:19, 43:21,	69:15		mediators [2] - 4:13,
Jamie [1] - 6:12	43:24, 45:4, 53:6,	left [2] - 46:24, 59:25	M	6:23
		legal [1] - 39:14		meet [1] - 54:19
January [1] - 27:20	67:23	landalation 54.4		
January [1] - 27:20 JESS [1] - 2:5	landowners [59] -	legislation [1] - 54:4		meeting [4] - 4:17,
January [1] - 27:20 JESS [1] - 2:5 Jess [1] - 4:23		legislation [1] - 54:4 legislature [2] - 53:18, 54:3	mail [1] - 64:12 mailing [2] - 47:8,	meeting [4] - 4:17, 23:12, 63:7, 74:8

meetings [2] - 64:24, 67:15 MEMBER [10] - 16:21, 16:25, 17:5, 17:9, 24:6, 34:8, 34:12, 34:15, 34:17, 73:1 Member [9] - 1:14, 1:15, 16:23, 18:15, 18:23, 20:5, 34:7, 45:17, 63:1 member [2] - 17:23, 18:3 Members [1] - 24:4 members [10] - 4:6, 11:1, 11:3, 18:5, 21:23, 39:12, 61:14, 68:13, 73:7, 73:14 mentioned [2] - 53:13, 55:15 merit [1] - 68:18 message [1] - 33:4 Met [1] - 1:10 method [1] - 49:3 metric [1] - 58:17 MEYERS [1] - 2:11 Meyers [1] - 5:7 mic [3] - 20:8, 46:22, 70:4 microphone [1] -65:10 mid [2] - 17:3, 69:11 mid-April [1] - 69:11 mid-September [1] -17:3 middle [1] - 36:11 might [4] - 7:4, 7:19, 33:16, 61:3 miles [1] - 15:1 mind [4] - 18:18, 20:11, 33:10, 59:13 minded [1] - 58:7 minimum [2] - 37:4, 37:19 Minnesota [4] - 17:10, 17:11, 17:12, 17:15 missed [3] - 6:18, 11:20, 28:3 misstate [2] - 7:5, 17:22 misstating [1] - 55:2 mistake [1] - 42:21 modelling [1] - 38:10 modified [1] - 36:15 Moines [9] - 1:8, 2:4, 2:9, 2:12, 2:15, 2:23, 3:3, 3:5, 75:13

money [1] - 54:20 month [4] - 23:16, 23:17, 23:21, 38:11 monthly [1] - 64:24 months [11] - 14:23, 16:5, 23:14, 23:25, 30:10, 35:6, 37:5, 37:19, 50:18, 50:22, 60:24 mooted [1] - 27:8 morning [8] - 5:19, 6:8, 14:21, 19:7, 21:14, 23:12, 30:5, 55:13 Moser [3] - 6:11, 6:12 most [7] - 9:4, 11:7, 12:16, 16:3, 27:12, 56:25, 73:24 motion [12] - 25:25, 29:4, 29:6, 29:9, 35:10, 62:10, 65:4, 65:14, 66:3, 66:12, 66:18, 67:1 motions [2] - 27:10, 59:8 move [8] - 9:25, 12:22, 12:24, 55:23, 65:22, 67:3, 68:14, 69:20 moving [1] - 27:19 MR [85] - 4:21, 5:2, 5:11, 5:16, 5:19, 5:24, 6:3, 6:8, 9:3, 9:8, 11:25, 17:2, 17:7, 17:12, 17:20, 18:10, 19:3, 19:7, 20:6, 20:19, 20:22, 25:20, 26:22, 26:24, 28:3, 28:9, 30:24, 31:3, 31:5, 31:18, 32:9, 32:13, 32:17, 32:21, 33:3, 33:7, 34:11, 34:14, 34:21, 35:7, 35:23, 36:2, 40:13, 40:17, 40:20, 40:23, 40:25, 41:5, 41:8, 41:12, 41:16, 42:7, 43:4, 43:8, 46:8, 46:23, 47:7, 47:9, 47:10, 47:13, 48:3, 48:12, 49:17, 55:9, 56:3, 56:13, 57:8, 57:12, 57:13, 57:14, 58:10, 58:14, 62:1, 65:11, 65:25, 66:2, 66:8, 66:9, 66:10, 66:22, 70:5,

70:6, 70:8, 70:18,

71:9 **MS**[11] - 5:5, 21:3, 21:7, 22:21, 24:24, 25:6, 25:13, 25:16, 63:19, 64:15, 65:13 multiple [3] - 57:2, 61:7 must [3] - 7:23, 7:24, 31:20 mute [1] - 20:8 mysterious [1] - 16:12 mystery[1] - 48:17 Ν

name [1] - 6:19

51:20, 56:9

nature [3] - 14:17,

near [1] - 70:20 Nebraska [3] - 2:21, 17:12, 17:15 necessarily [13] -10:19, 16:6, 26:1, 26:2, 35:8, 47:15, 59:11, 59:22, 60:5, 60:10, 66:16, 68:1, 69:22 necessary [1] - 10:11 necessitated [1] -12.5 need [22] - 6:18, 8:23, 15:22, 24:20, 28:12, 30:20, 31:9, 31:14, 37:17, 41:2, 45:14, 46:9, 46:14, 52:7, 55:3, 56:16, 59:10, 60:23, 62:22, 63:13, 63:14, 70:2 needed [2] - 42:4, 56:10 needs [3] - 23:10, 40:3 negative [1] - 45:2 negotiate [1] - 21:19 negotiation [2] - 4:14, 6:24 negotiations [1] -26:10 nervous [1] - 20:9 neutral [6] - 8:15, 8:21, 31:11, 31:15, 32:16, 33:2 never [4] - 32:5, 52:13, 52:14, 54:13 new [8] - 14:25, 43:18, 48:5, 49:23, 52:2, 53:25, 73:14, 73:15

nice [1] - 38:23 nobody [1] - 43:23 nonapplicants [1] -15:24 norm [1] - 52:1 normal [5] - 35:3, 51:5, 51:6, 52:25, 53.1 normally [4] - 10:20, 51:22, 56:24, 57:25 North [5] - 12:25, 17:5, 17:7, 38:2, 38:8 note [3] - 7:19, 54:11, 67:21 noted [1] - 53:7 notes [1] - 22:4 nothing [4] - 42:22, 42:23, 55:1, 60:25 notice [3] - 12:8, 42:11, 64:7 notify [1] - 63:23 noting [1] - 18:11 novel [3] - 54:1, 67:12, 67:16 November [1] - 17:4 nuance [1] - 10:17 number [11] - 14:9, 37:21, 40:1, 40:2, 41:9, 43:24, 47:14, 50:1, 53:21, 58:20 numerous [1] - 37:4

next [2] - 13:21, 55:20

0

object [3] - 21:19, 22:16, 36:6 objection [3] - 19:21, 21:9, 34:14 objections [4] - 37:4, 46:12, 59:6, 60:17 **objective** [4] - 10:1, 40:10, 41:19, 42:13 **objectors** [1] - 13:12 obtain [1] - 46:17 obviously [11] - 10:5, 10:23, 11:5, 14:15, 20:11, 31:2, 43:10, 47:21, 48:8, 48:9, 51:24 OCA [5] - 2:7, 19:9, 20:1, 20:14, 70:10 **OCA's** [1] - 19:13 occur [3] - 37:18,

37:19, 39:10

occurred [1] - 71:24 October [6] - 23:14, 23:19, 23:24, 29:24, 43:14. 68:5 OF [2] - 1:1, 1:1 offer [7] - 7:4, 32:6, 42:5, 42:21, 43:19, 44:25, 46:17 offered [2] - 7:2, 44:1 offering [2] - 31:15, 41:14 offers [2] - 31:11, 61:5 Office [2] - 2:8, 4:25 officer [15] - 10:12, 10:20, 17:25, 18:13, 18:14, 18:19, 18:22, 18:25, 20:3, 26:14, 33:10, 45:9, 49:3, 64:16, 64:19 officer/ALJ [1] - 21:21 officers [3] - 7:13, 9:20, 26:13 Offices [1] - 2:17 often [2] - 56:17, 58:4 oil [1] - 53:20 Omaha [1] - 2:21 omitted [1] - 38:4 once [2] - 46:22, 69:4 one [22] - 11:2, 15:10, 18:5, 29:6, 29:12, 30:25, 31:7, 33:21, 33:22, 34:7, 38:4, 46:8, 48:22, 49:1, 49:5, 55:9, 58:16, 62:11, 65:13, 67:3, 70:3, 70:11 One [1] - 16:21 ones [5] - 30:12, 30:13, 54:12, 61:3, 61:4 ongoing [1] - 52:6 open [4] - 8:6, 8:9, 58:6, 71:5 operated [5] - 24:11, 24:14, 24:25, 25:2, 25:5 operation [3] - 17:4, 69:2, 69:3 opinion [1] - 25:23 opinions [1] - 62:14 opponents [1] - 51:6 opportunities [1] -68:15 opportunity [13] -

8:20, 9:16, 38:17,

39:25, 41:15, 43:1,

44:5, 44:22, 46:15, 52:11, 56:5, 65:21, oppose [3] - 13:25, 19:9, 26:18 opposed [12] - 20:15, 26:1, 27:4, 32:14, 32:15, 33:1, 36:4, 41:14, 45:16, 57:1, 58:21, 68:12 opposing [1] - 29:7 opposition [1] - 54:21 optimal [1] - 22:13 option [9] - 21:10, 21:20, 22:15, 42:22, 61:5, 64:8, 64:13, 64:18, 65:2 options [2] - 63:23, 63:24 oranges [1] - 17:13 order [28] - 1:10, 4:7, 4:9, 4:12, 6:22, 7:12, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 12:20, 13:2, 14:24, 17:3, 19:8, 25:21, 27:10, 27:21, 36:9, 36:14, 45:19, 57:21, 63:2, 63:14, 65:8, 65:12, 65:15, 74:3, 74:4 orderly [1] - 8:7 organizations [1] -64:1 OSTERGREN [3] -3:1, 6:8, 43:8 Ostergren [3] - 3:1, 6:9, 43:7 otherwise [1] - 48:4 ought [1] - 56:14 ourselves [1] - 10:10 outcome [1] - 8:16 outlets [2] - 64:1, 64:3 outside [4] - 9:23, 20:12, 39:13, 72:11 outstanding [5] -37:21, 39:7, 47:4, overall [1] - 8:3 overflow [1] - 72:3 oversimplification [1] - 57:13 overstate [1] - 72:10 overwhelming [1] -51:11 own [3] - 18:1, 31:10, 41:22 owner [1] - 25:1

Ρ

PA[1] - 2:2 page [2] - 18:22, 64:10 pages [1] - 75:2 paid [3] - 7:21, 8:16, 8:17 parameter [1] - 16:17 paramount [1] - 49:8 parcel [2] - 46:12, 58:19 parcels [15] - 11:5, 11:6, 21:17, 23:4, 24:10, 37:21, 38:16, 38:22, 38:24, 39:6, 40:11, 47:4, 58:15, 58:16, 68:7 parking [2] - 71:18, 72:5 parsing [1] - 61:2 part [9] - 4:10, 19:23, 19:24, 31:24, 32:2, 51:14, 57:24, 58:2, 69:4 partake [1] - 40:25 partial [5] - 4:8, 4:10, 9:10, 9:16, 12:5 participants [1] -37:22 participate [9] - 7:25, 10:10, 11:19, 19:11, 26:11, 36:16, 36:25, 38:17, 71:5 participated [2] - 8:11, 46:19 participating [1] -46:1 participation [3] -19:19, 36:6, 36:7 particular [7] - 37:9, 45:20, 49:4, 62:25, 67:18, 68:7, 70:24 particularly 151 -10:25, 16:12, 29:22, 45:7, 59:5 parties [23] - 4:16, 9:11, 18:7, 20:13, 20:18, 26:1, 29:17, 44:9, 46:16, 57:3, 63:18, 65:1, 66:25, 69:21, 70:1, 70:15, 70:23, 71:17, 71:22, 72:9, 73:21, 75:9,

75:11

parts [1] - 10:24

party [7] - 6:23, 20:14,

26:9, 29:6, 29:8, 42:23, 56:6 party's [1] - 56:18 past [4] - 22:5, 22:23, 53:25 PATRICK [1] - 2:22 Patrick [1] - 5:24 PC [2] - 2:14, 3:1 pending [3] - 62:10, 65:4, 66:3 people [17] - 15:20, 15:21, 16:14, 21:14, 23:25, 41:21, 42:2, 42:11, 44:17, 50:19, 51:18, 52:22, 53:4, 54:11, 55:2, 68:19, 72:4 perceive [1] - 32:11 percent [17] - 8:15, 13:8, 13:14, 14:6, 14:8, 23:2, 23:3, 23:6, 24:13, 24:14, 30:10, 38:23, 50:1, 53:7, 54:24, 58:22, 61:16 percentage [7] -24:11, 40:1, 42:14, 50:11, 50:14, 58:15, 58:17 perception [2] - 30:6, 31:19 perhaps [6] - 25:7, 29:16, 33:15, 57:6, 64:9, 71:21 period [4] - 34:23, 35:6, 66:19, 68:5 periods [1] - 16:9 permit [1] - 67:20 permitted [1] - 42:15 person [5] - 10:18, 22:3, 33:22, 36:17, 36:19 personal [1] - 59:17 personally [1] - 37:3 persons [1] - 25:2 perspective [8] - 36:7, 36:12, 37:23, 38:20, 39:3, 50:2, 60:19, 73:19 petition [4] - 35:4, 37:12, 51:15, 62:2 PHMSA [3] - 63:7, 67:15, 67:16 phone [2] - 43:24, 47:15 phrased [2] - 32:5, 32:6

pick [2] - 64:1, 69:15 picking [1] - 51:4 Pine [1] - 6:4 pipeline [6] - 15:1, 24:1, 39:9, 42:10, 44:15, 63:11 pipelines [1] - 63:9 pithy [1] - 66:6 place [4] - 54:21, 72:16, 72:20, 72:21 placed [1] - 39:10 places [2] - 7:18, 70:22 placing [1] - 36:22 **Plains** [1] - 6:15 **plan** [2] - 14:1, 60:22 **planning** [2] - 60:12, 60:21 plant [1] - 69:11 planting [3] - 23:20, 69:9 platform [2] - 31:15, 32:16 **playing** [1] - 8:22 PLC [1] - 2:22 **PLCP** [3] - 2:22, 5:23, 48:13 pleased [1] - 12:3 plenty [3] - 29:25, 53:18, 67:2 PLLC [1] - 2:11 plume [1] - 38:9 plus [1] - 7:18 point [9] - 16:12, 35:20, 39:19, 45:7, 54:14, 55:11, 57:10, 61:11, 69:14 pointed [6] - 36:10, 37:2, 38:22, 39:1, 40:2, 42:13 pointing [1] - 70:23 **points** [1] - 46:3 policies [1] - 42:1 portion [1] - 64:20 position [13] - 8:13, 9:19, 10:5, 11:17, 21:16, 21:22, 25:25, 39:18, 47:12, 57:9, 60:23, 65:14, 69:16 positions [3] - 11:8, 21:15, 21:18 possible [3] - 12:8, 15:15, 57:15 postpone [1] - 29:23 potential [2] - 18:2,

potentially [2] - 4:13, 72.1 power [1] - 31:16 PR [1] - 62:6 practice [3] - 35:1, 56:17, 59:12 practices [1] - 51:10 practicing [1] - 57:10 pre [4] - 59:14, 59:20, 60:8, 60:11 pre-prepared [4] -59:14, 59:20, 60:8, 60:11 preface [1] - 71:9 prefer [4] - 9:2, 20:3, 23:18, 26:15 preference [4] - 19:13, 19:22, 19:25, 32:5 prefiled [6] - 56:7, 56:12, 56:21, 58:5, 59:12, 59:25 prep [2] - 56:19, 69:9 preparation[1] -56:22 prepare [2] - 56:14, 56:16 prepared [9] - 29:1, 29:11, 59:14, 59:20, 60:7, 60:8, 60:11, 62:12, 62:17 preparing [1] - 57:11 presence [1] - 20:12 present [6] - 10:24, 35:11, 60:21, 63:10, 63:12, 63:15 presented [2] - 59:14, 60:2 Presiding [1] - 1:14 presiding [16] - 7:13, 9:20, 10:12, 10:20, 17:25, 18:13, 18:19, 18:24, 21:21, 26:13, 26:14, 33:10, 45:9, 49:3, 64:16, 64:19 press [1] - 63:24 pressure [2] - 31:17, 31:18 pressured [2] - 31:11, 38:2 presume [1] - 43:20 presumptive [1] -61:25 pretty [5] - 11:7, 16:7, 31:8, 37:6, 71:15 previously [3] - 23:17, 37:18, 37:20

58:7

primary [1] - 71:3 prime [2] - 19:24, 23:14 priority [3] - 30:19, 53:6, 74:6 private [1] - 35:1 probe [1] - 58:1 problem [3] - 36:8, 51:9, 54:18 procedural [6] - 4:8, 4:11, 19:20, 31:2, 49:6, 73:19 procedure [4] - 34:1, 35:4, 49:4, 54:15 procedures [2] -41:25, 45:11 proceed [1] - 8:20 proceeding [13] - 8:6, 24:2, 25:3, 26:21, 29:3, 33:8, 33:16, 38:20, 39:22, 52:5, 61:18, 68:9, 71:22 Proceedings [1] -74:9 proceedings [6] -10:7, 22:12, 33:13, 39:2, 56:9, 75:5 process [30] - 4:15, 6:24, 7:5, 8:4, 11:14, 17:17, 19:10, 19:11, 21:21, 21:24, 24:17, 25:9, 26:8, 26:16, 26:25, 27:3, 27:9, 37:22, 38:4, 40:5, 43:9, 43:15, 44:10, 50:18, 54:2, 55:3, 55:4, 55:5, 61:6 processes [3] - 12:22, 17:13, 44:7 **Processors** [2] - 6:4 producing [1] - 26:17 product [1] - 67:18 productive [2] - 55:19, 67:10 productively [1] -48:25 products [1] - 53:20 program [2] - 20:10, 44.1 project [17] - 12:9, 12:10, 13:25, 15:4, 23:6, 23:7, 28:17, 50:10, 50:11, 50:13, 50:21, 51:20, 53:17, 54:16, 54:22 projects [3] - 12:23, 50:4, 53:18

promptly [1] - 27:10 proof [2] - 44:2, 44:4 properly [1] - 23:11 property [4] - 11:11, 11:12, 39:10, 44:12 proposal [5] - 4:13, 17:24, 18:8, 42:5, 43:17 propose [3] - 28:22, 37:25, 39:23 proposed [9] - 6:22, 7:12, 26:18, 36:9, 37:4, 37:18, 37:20, 65:15, 65:16 protective [1] - 65:15 provide [4] - 27:21, 42:11, 47:18, 47:19 provided [3] - 22:14, 59:24, 70:21 provides [2] - 52:18, 68:4 providing [1] - 42:25 provisions [1] - 53:22 public [3] - 21:13, 22:2, 31:1 publicize [1] - 46:14 purely [1] - 19:10 purpose [3] - 41:17, 62:15, 62:20 pursuant [1] - 1:10 purview [1] - 39:13 pushed [1] - 37:25 put [6] - 14:15, 40:7, 57:17, 57:20, 57:22, 61:5 puts [2] - 9:7, 69:15 putting [1] - 12:5

Q

questioning [1] 59:21
questions [13] - 8:14,
11:20, 11:23, 20:24,
25:14, 25:22, 28:5,
34:5, 34:20, 35:22,
46:2, 46:4, 46:7
quick [4] - 16:21,
16:25, 30:23, 66:23
quickly [3] - 55:23,
55:24, 74:7
quite [3] - 12:25,
43:16, 64:17
quote [1] - 53:14

R

raise [2] - 9:18, 61:24 raised [5] - 43:15, 48:5, 49:15, 68:19, 68:22 rally [1] - 58:21 Rapids [2] - 2:18, 35:2 rarely [2] - 15:17, 52:12 rather [2] - 44:23, 70:18 raw [1] - 50:1 **RE**[1] - 1:4 reach [3] - 47:6, 47:11, 70:3 reached [2] - 38:3, 61:8 reaching [3] - 46:22, 49:22, 65:9 reaction [1] - 45:2 read [1] - 18:17 readily [1] - 24:8 ready [2] - 69:13, 69:24 real [2] - 13:18, 32:22 realistically [1] - 20:16 really [17] - 15:19, 24:21, 26:4, 26:13, 28:25, 39:20, 40:9, 41:19, 44:21, 50:23, 57:9, 60:19, 62:7, 62:16, 63:8, 63:10 reason [5] - 11:14, 37:23, 39:8, 58:16, 66:11 reasonable [2] - 54:2, 54:15 reasons [1] - 72:6

rebut [2] - 48:9, 49:14

rebuttal [3] - 15:17,

rebutting [1] - 46:25

receive [2] - 7:10, 64:5

Recommendation [1]

recommendation [1] -

reconcile [1] - 31:13

reconsideration [3] -

25:25, 27:8, 27:11

reconsiders [1] - 36:8

15:22, 15:23

recap [1] - 16:25

recent [1] - 36:14

recently [1] - 15:4

- 10:22

19:15

record [7] - 7:15, 7:16, 14:16, 18:4, 18:16, 31:4, 45:25 record's [1] - 45:22 recorded [2] - 45:24, 75:6 RECORDING [1] -1:25 recording [1] - 75:4 reduce [5] - 8:3, 41:19, 41:20, 42:16, 61:19 reduced [2] - 41:2, 75:6 **reference** [1] - 67:12 referred [1] - 49:2 refiled [1] - 25:8 refined [1] - 53:20 refreshed [1] - 15:3 **refundable** [1] - 69:8 regard [5] - 10:4, 11:4, 16:18, 18:7, 63:22 regarding [6] - 7:14, 11:5, 21:21, 22:10, 22:22, 33:8 regular [2] - 29:3, 35:9 reiterate [1] - 73:18 related [1] - 75:8 relates [1] - 23:1 relations [1] - 22:2 relative [1] - 75:10 relatively [4] - 11:13, 15:4, 15:17, 47:14 releases [1] - 63:24 relevant [3] - 9:18, 50:3, 53:16 remembering [1] -34:25 remind [1] - 13:7 remodeling [1] - 73:9 remote [6] - 19:19, 22:12, 36:6, 36:7, 36:17, 36:20 remotely [2] - 18:17, 36:16 renewed [1] - 27:10 reply [2] - 16:9, 47:2 Report [1] - 10:21 REPORTER [1] -75:19 reporter [1] - 28:24 represent [3] - 32:18, 40:12, 75:3

represented [1] -

representing [1] -

19:10

32:23 represents [1] - 15:6 request [1] - 45:13 requested [1] - 55:16 requesting [1] - 56:18 requests [5] - 52:9, 59:3, 60:14, 62:9, 62:11 require [1] - 14:14 required [4] - 38:16, 42:10, 57:17, 70:2 requirement [1] -72:12 requirements [2] -51:15, 74:4 requires [1] - 57:21 **requiring** [1] - 4:8 resistance [1] - 67:22 resisting [1] - 67:7 resolution [3] - 12:24, 44:7, 44:13 resolve [5] - 12:13, 29:14, 29:17, 39:5, 44:10 resolved [3] - 38:25, 63:10, 66:13 resolving [2] - 40:20, 64.21 respect [2] - 28:10, 31:22 respond [3] - 29:8, 49:18, 56:4 responded [1] - 55:17 responding [1] - 67:6 response [10] - 6:13, 6:16, 6:20, 20:25, 28:6, 46:5, 55:13, 59:15, 59:20, 72:23 responses [2] - 59:2, 59:5 responsiveness [1] -68:20 rest [3] - 9:14, 12:7 results [1] - 50:17 review [7] - 7:15, 18:2, 18:5, 38:15, 55:12, 61:15 reviews [1] - 38:7 revised [1] - 25:8 rights [3] - 30:17, 30:19, 44:12 ring [2] - 33:11, 54:3 road [2] - 59:17, 72:19 role [3] - 20:13, 20:17, 26.12

roll [1] - 69:8

room [5] - 42:24, 42:25, 44:17, 70:14, 71:16 Room [1] - 1:7 rooms [1] - 70:13 rough [1] - 14:3 roughly [4] - 12:14, 15:6, 16:16, 50:14 round [1] - 52:19 rounds [2] - 16:9, 57:2 route [4] - 13:10, 39:16, 46:10, 58:20 rule [1] - 67:1 rules [1] - 64:18 ruling [2] - 13:17, 14:14 rulings [1] - 26:17

S

safe [3] - 70:24, 71:2, 71:5 sake [1] - 6:2 **SARAH**[1] - 1:15 Sarah [1] - 4:6 satellite [7] - 10:13, 19:18, 22:10, 27:4, 34:10, 45:21, 67:25 satellites [1] - 48:24 saves [1] - 60:13 saving [1] - 47:2 schedule [41] - 4:8, 4:11, 9:15, 10:2, 11:25, 12:1, 12:5, 12:7, 12:13, 12:19, 14:3, 15:16, 16:16, 19:21, 22:17, 22:22, 27:7, 28:10, 28:11, 28:23, 28:24, 29:20, 34:3, 37:1, 38:18, 43:22, 43:25, 47:1, 52:13, 52:17, 52:22, 52:23, 53:2, 54:6, 54:7, 54:23, 55:22, 67:25, 68:22, 68:25 scheduled [4] - 35:9, 38:10, 48:15, 67:11 scheduling [5] - 9:10, 36:9, 48:14, 57:21, 63:2 school [2] - 41:25, 69:5 Schultheis [2] - 2:22, 5:25 scope [1] - 67:19

script [2] - 11:9, 11:13

scuffles [1] - 72:18 SE [1] - 2:17 season [5] - 13:20, 14:12, 23:23, 69:9 seasons [2] - 13:6, 13:23 seat [1] - 72:13 second [1] - 19:12 section [1] - 30:18 secure [3] - 70:24, 71:2, 71:5 security [2] - 70:21, 72:6 see [9] - 20:16, 39:15, 40:6, 46:22, 62:16, 64:2, 65:9, 66:12 seeing [1] - 32:1 seek [3] - 4:16, 7:24, 46:9 seeking [1] - 68:22 seem [1] - 51:6 semantics [1] - 18:12 sends [1] - 33:3 sense [1] - 9:5 sensitive [2] - 27:17, 43:13 sent [1] - 55:18 **September** [1] - 17:3 series [1] - 59:3 serve [2] - 39:17, 60:15 served [1] - 60:7 serves [3] - 14:5, 14:7, 41:16 service [1] - 7:1 serving [1] - 52:2 session [4] - 36:20, 43:23, 45:4, 45:6 set [5] - 4:9, 17:2, 23:17, 38:18, 55:20 setting [1] - 4:8 settle [1] - 8:19 settled [1] - 69:23 seven [1] - 5:13 several [5] - 9:9, 22:22, 33:18, 50:6, 50:7 share [3] - 19:22, 43:9, 66:7 **Shelby** [3] - 2:13, 5:9, 25:17 **shortening** [1] - 16:9 SHORTHAND[1] -

shot [2] - 13:19, 13:22

show [1] - 36:19

showing [1] - 39:11 showings [1] - 53:23 shown [2] - 46:18, 60:1 sides [1] - 8:15 Sierra [7] - 2:16, 5:15, 27:13, 28:8, 32:19, 33:1, 51:25 sift [1] - 27:2 sign [2] - 30:13, 49:23 signals [1] - 12:21 signed [6] - 13:14, 23:2, 30:12, 30:14, 41:21 significant [3] - 48:17, 50:15, 51:19 silly [1] - 72:18 similar [3] - 17:14, 17:25, 38:12 **similarly** [1] - 51:21 simple [1] - 11:1 simply [5] - 8:14, 8:20, 39:23, 42:5, 42:25 single [3] - 13:20, 14:11, 45:17 Sioux [1] - 6:4 sit [1] - 35:17 site [1] - 69:21 sitting [1] - 38:25 **situation** [1] - 45:23 six [2] - 7:17, 30:10 size [1] - 50:13 **skis** [1] - 20:9 **smaller** [1] - 36:3 solely [2] - 8:16, 42:21 solicitation [1] - 21:8 **solution** [1] - 61:15 Solutions [4] - 2:2, 2:6, 4:4, 4:24 SOLUTIONS,LLC [1] -1.5 **solve** [3] - 36:8, 39:2, 40:6 someone [4] - 27:2, 52:15, 57:20, 60:7 something's [1] -45:24 sometime [2] - 29:24, 59:16 **sometimes** [1] - 42:4 somewhat [4] - 52:17, 58:4, 70:20, 72:5 somewhere [1] -72:18 soon [1] - 12:7 sooner [2] - 14:18,

43:10 sorry [3] - 40:17, 48:10, 72:25 sort [12] - 10:21, 15:9, 16:1, 17:13, 17:16, 43:22, 44:24, 71:17, 71:19, 71:23, 72:1, 72:18 sorts [1] - 11:12 sought [1] - 44:5 sound [1] - 56:23 South [4] - 13:1, 17:1, 17:2, 38:3 **space** [1] - 70:13 spaces [1] - 71:18 spacing [1] - 16:1 span [1] - 13:22 speaking [1] - 33:1 special [4] - 53:22, 53:23, 55:3 specific [4] - 11:5, 43:19, 44:15, 44:17 specifically [1] - 10:4 speed [1] - 15:14 spend [1] - 41:24 spent [1] - 42:1 spite [2] - 63:5 splitting [4] - 10:12, 15:10, 16:18, 33:10 spraying [1] - 69:12 staff [8] - 33:18, 38:15, 39:12, 55:12, 58:17, 61:14, 70:22 stand [1] - 41:18 standard [1] - 37:6 standpoint [1] - 22:2 star [1] - 53:25 start [14] - 9:21, 11:15, 35:4, 37:11, 49:15, 54:8, 54:19, 55:18, 56:5, 67:23, 69:7, 69:12, 69:13, 74:5 started [3] - 4:3, 14:15, 51:18 starting [1] - 58:15 starts [2] - 38:18, 51:12 state [2] - 12:18, 12:20 **STATE**[1] - 1:1 State [1] - 61:7 statement [4] - 59:20, 60:3, 60:8, 63:6 states [2] - 12:24, 38:6 statistic [1] - 38:23 status [6] - 4:3, 4:10, 9:11, 17:1, 17:11,

29:15 statute [2] - 67:13, 72:12 statutory [5] - 26:3, 38:6, 38:13, 50:24, 53:22 stay [3] - 40:7, 61:18, 69:5 step [1] - 7:5 stepping [1] - 49:12 steps [1] - 57:20 stick [1] - 26:6 still [17] - 12:19, 23:3, 23:5, 25:1, 25:2, 25:6, 25:9, 29:19, 37:17, 38:9, 38:24, 39:11, 49:22, 50:8, 53:11, 60:23 Street [2] - 2:20, 3:2 stress [2] - 24:16, 42:3 strikes [2] - 47:13, 68:17 strong [2] - 31:9, 45:7 submit [1] - 62:8 submitted [2] - 9:13, 28:21 **submitting** [1] - 34:4 substance [1] - 59:6 substitute [1] - 57:24 suffers [1] - 37:1 **sufficient** [1] - 28:12 suggest [2] - 9:3, 67:5 suggested [1] - 29:16 suggesting [1] - 16:5 suggestions [3] -29:23, 46:16, 63:20 suggests [1] - 67:15 Suite [4] - 2:3, 2:15, 2:18, 3:2 **summarize** [1] - 22:24 **summary** [7] - 29:4, 29:6, 29:9, 35:10, 35:12, 51:23, 51:24 **SUMMIT** [1] - 1:5 Summit [28] - 2:2, 2:6, 4:4, 4:20, 4:22, 4:24, 9:21, 12:6, 23:1, 25:7, 28:20, 29:13, 35:15, 39:3, 39:4, 39:11, 39:17, 39:20, 39:25, 40:8, 41:17, 41:21, 42:7, 42:17, 59:1, 61:10, 61:19, 62:3 Summit's [6] - 15:20, 27:14, 28:13, 30:8,

30:17, 42:13 supervisors [2] - 5:10, 25:19 support [12] - 11:18, 13:25, 19:9, 19:18, 22:7, 22:11, 26:14, 27:3, 27:5, 27:13, 27:19, 35:11 **supportive** [1] - 16:20 surprised [1] - 45:1 surprises [1] - 62:20 surprising [2] - 67:22, 73:21 survive [1] - 55:5 suspect [2] - 47:16, 57:15 swallow [2] - 50:5, 52:24

T

table [5] - 5:11, 12:2, 40:7, 42:18, 57:17 tabled [1] - 39:24 tack [7] - 17:18, 34:19, 35:21, 45:10, 46:6, 56:2, 67:6 TACK [14] - 3:4, 9:3, 17:20, 34:21, 35:23, 46:8, 47:7, 47:10, 48:3, 56:3, 57:8, 57:13, 58:10, 65:11 tack's [1] - 47:3 tactics [1] - 54:21 tailor [1] - 37:17 taxpayers [2] - 7:6, 7:9 TAYLOR [19] - 2:16, 5:16, 28:9, 30:24, 31:3, 31:5, 31:18, 32:9, 32:13, 32:17, 32:21, 33:3, 33:7, 34:11, 34:14, 35:7, 62:1, 65:25, 66:9 **Taylor** [16] - 2:17, 5:16, 28:8, 30:22, 34:8, 51:21, 52:1, 53:5, 55:15, 59:7, 61:23, 65:20, 66:2, 66:11, 67:5, 67:9 team [1] - 45:10 technical [5] - 36:13, 37:3, 45:21, 51:16, 63:4 technically [1] - 72:11 ten [5] - 7:18, 37:9,

40:15, 40:18, 60:20 ten-plus [1] - 7:18 tenant [1] - 24:25 tenants [1] - 36:23 tend [2] - 31:22, 69:5 tens [1] - 14:25 terms [12] - 12:21, 26:16, 48:14, 48:19, 48:24, 49:2, 49:3, 50:9, 51:3, 60:22, 61:1, 70:1 testify [1] - 22:14 testimony [44] - 10:14, 10:18, 10:25, 16:3, 16:10, 16:19, 21:23, 22:12, 27:2, 27:4, 27:14, 27:15, 27:23, 28:13, 28:14, 28:21, 29:1, 29:11, 30:1, 33:11, 34:4, 37:10, 37:15, 37:16, 51:13, 52:20, 52:25, 55:16, 56:7, 56:12, 56:19, 56:21, 58:1, 58:5, 59:13, 59:25, 60:11, 62:12, 62:17, 63:4, 63:15, 64:21, 67:24, 68:10 THE [1] - 1:13 themselves [1] - 22:11 **therefore** [1] - 21:19 therein [1] - 66:15 they've [1] - 30:9 thinking [1] - 65:2 thinks [3] - 15:12, 61:17, 70:10 third [2] - 6:23, 65:3 third-party [1] - 6:23 thoughts [3] - 26:10, 70:1, 73:23 thousand [11] - 21:17, 23:3, 24:10, 24:13, 38:22, 38:24, 39:6, 40:3, 58:16, 61:15 thousands [5] - 7:20, 7:22, 15:1, 24:16,

53:4 three [4] - 8:18, 17:14, 18:4, 21:22 thrilled [1] - 61:16 tied [1] - 38:13 tight [4] - 12:12, 27:14, 34:3, 48:17 tillable [1] - 24:13 **Tim** [1] - 5:12 time-consuming [1] -

8:4 timeline [1] - 15:5 timely [1] - 8:6 timing [3] - 14:3, 55:10, 57:16 **TIMOTHY** [1] - 2:13 today [9] - 4:6, 7:23, 40:15, 40:19, 65:6, 74:2, 74:4, 74:5 together [1] - 18:6 took [2] - 8:17, 14:23 tool [3] - 48:21, 52:11, 68:4 top [1] - 74:6 touch [1] - 43:2 toward [1] - 36:11 tractor [1] - 69:5 traditional [3] - 18:24,

26:7, 26:15 traditionally [3] - 11:9, 52:16, 56:17 trajectory [1] - 36:11 TRANSCRIBED[1] -1:25 transcript [2] - 18:17, 75:3

transparent [1] - 71:6 trial [1] - 60:12 tribunal [1] - 44:24 tried [2] - 70:11, 70:12 true [7] - 28:16, 33:12, 54:3, 56:24, 57:8, 67:2, 75:3 try [14] - 9:24, 15:13, 24:15. 25:21. 36:21. 37:14, 39:4, 39:5, 39:21, 42:14, 47:14, 54:18, 54:21, 64:8 trying [5] - 34:9, 39:12, 50:25, 54:16,

transcripts [1] - 28:24

57:9 Tuesday [1] - 1:9 turn [1] - 9:1 turnout [1] - 38:19

turns [1] - 44:3 twice [2] - 52:25, 53:1 two [14] - 8:18, 11:2, 12:14, 13:22, 13:23, 15:5, 16:5, 16:15, 17:15, 20:18, 23:13,

52:24, 55:13, 55:20 two-year [1] - 15:5 type [2] - 26:8, 68:22 types [2] - 71:13, 71:24

typewriting [1] - 75:6 typical [1] - 37:6 typically [2] - 47:10, 70:10

U

ultimate [1] - 8:2

ultimately [3] - 7:7, 10:1, 47:21 under [5] - 38:12, 45:11, 53:21, 59:21, 64:18 understood [1] - 42:7 unduly [1] - 49:7 unfamiliar [1] - 51:10 unique [4] - 56:8, 67:2, 67:12, 67:18 University [1] - 2:12 unless [3] - 25:13, 28:3, 36:8 unnecessary [5] -36:22, 37:14, 49:6, 58:4, 60:16 unprecedented [2] -28:16, 50:20 unreasonable [1] -37:5 unsupported [1] -62:14 unusual [4] - 29:10, 52:16, 56:6, 58:1 up [42] - 5:23, 8:9, 9:14, 10:12, 10:19, 15:11, 15:15, 16:14, 16:18, 17:8, 33:24, 35:2, 36:19, 39:11, 39:25, 41:21, 42:1, 42:14, 43:10, 46:18, 50:10, 52:2, 54:10, 55:6, 55:10, 55:20, 56:19, 58:9, 59:1, 59:9, 59:21, 60:3, 60:17, 61:23, 64:1, 67:14, 69:20, 70:9, 71:1, 71:14, 71:25, 73:7 upfront [1] - 12:2 usage [1] - 48:24 useful [2] - 27:16, 48:20

usual [3] - 54:8, 55:2,

Utilities [1] - 44:15

UTILITIES [2] - 1:2,

55.14

1:13

utilities [1] - 11:12 utility [2] - 7:8, 63:24 utilizing [1] - 21:10

٧

valid [1] - 37:23 value[1] - 16:13 various [2] - 59:8, 64:2 versed [1] - 11:7 versus [2] - 58:15, 61:4 vetted [1] - 73:12 video [1] - 72:4 view [4] - 27:12, 43:9, 45:8, 45:20 viewpoint [1] - 34:12 Vilsack [1] - 4:23 VILSACK [1] - 2:5 voice [2] - 32:1, 38:19 voluntarily [1] - 23:2 voluntary [21] - 4:14, 7:1, 13:8, 13:14, 14:6, 14:8, 14:9, 19:10, 20:10, 21:8, 26:5, 30:3, 30:9, 32:6, 38:23, 41:14, 49:24, 53:7, 53:10, 58:22, 61:17 voted [1] - 72:9

W

wait [1] - 46:23 WALLACE [1] - 2:16 Wallace [2] - 2:17, 5:16 wants [2] - 12:21, 48:2 watch [2] - 18:16, 72:4 watching [1] - 74:5 ways [5] - 9:24, 47:20, 51:7, 64:11, 67:23 website [2] - 43:24, 64:10 weeds [1] - 63:3 week [1] - 63:7 week's [1] - 67:15 weeks [10] - 7:18, 52:2, 52:7, 52:18, 52:19, 52:24, 55:13, 55:20, 59:9, 66:14 weighing [1] - 42:3 welcome [1] - 56:4 welcomed [1] - 72:17

well-known [1] - 11:13 well-versed [1] - 11:7 West [1] - 2:12 whatsoever [1] - 8:13 Whipple [4] - 5:12, 25:19, 28:2, 33:14 WHIPPLE [6] - 2:13, 5:11, 25:20, 26:22, 26:24, 28:3 WHITE [4] - 2:22, 5:24, 6:3, 48:12 white [4] - 46:24, 48:3, 48:7, 48:10 White [3] - 2:22, 5:24, 5:25 whole [9] - 7:14, 7:16, 19:15, 20:4, 20:14, 34:1, 42:18, 45:8, 61:18 Wickham [2] - 2:11, 5:6 Williams [5] - 5:20,

Williams [5] - 5:20, 35:25, 49:20, 58:12, 65:20

WILLIAMS [18] - 2:19, 5:19, 36:2, 40:13, 40:17, 40:20, 40:23, 40:25, 41:5, 41:8, 41:12, 41:16, 42:7, 43:4, 58:14, 66:2, 66:8, 66:10

willing [4] - 12:4, 21:18, 47:18, 58:6

willingness [2] - 9:22,

13:21 wish [2] - 22:13, 66:9 witness [3] - 59:16, 60:8, 62:15 witness's [2] - 56:11, 57:9 witnesses [4] - 28:20,

winter [2] - 13:20,

9:23

28:23, 35:16, 35:19 **Woodbury** [1] - 2:15 **word** [1] - 31:19

workably [1] - 48:23 workload [2] - 50:2,

61:13 works [1] - 22:15 worthwhile [1] - 10:8 wrap [2] - 43:10, 69:20 wrapped [4] - 16:14, 17:7, 54:10, 55:6

Wright [3] - 2:14, 5:10, 25:18

writing [1] - 60:18 written [8] - 6:6, 56:7, 57:2, 57:25, 58:3, 65:8, 65:12, 65:17 wrote [1] - 54:3

Υ

year [21] - 12:14,
12:19, 13:3, 13:18,
14:14, 15:5, 15:6,
23:1, 27:18, 30:8,
35:3, 35:17, 36:13,
37:24, 43:10, 43:12,
43:14, 43:16, 48:16,
49:9, 55:1
year-and-a-half [1] 30:8
years [5] - 12:15,
16:15, 33:17, 33:19,
52:24
young [1] - 35:1

Ζ

ZIEMAN [9] - 2:7, 5:2, 19:7, 20:6, 20:19, 20:22, 70:6, 70:8, 70:18 **Zieman** [2] - 5:2, 19:6

PETERSEN COURT REPORTERS
P.O. Box 71484
Clive, IA 50325
(515) 243-6596