1 STATE OF IOWA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE 2 BEFORE THE IOWA UTILITIES BOARD ORIGINAL 3 х IN RE: 4 : Docket No. SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS, : HLP-2021-001 5 LLC - - X 6 7 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 8 9 VOLUME 7 10 PUBLIC TRANSCRIPT 11 12 Cardiff Event Center at Fort Frenzy 13 3232 First Avenue South Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501 14 Tuesday, September 5, 2023 15 16 Met, pursuant to order, at 10:01 a.m. 17 18 BEFORE: THE IOWA UTILITIES BOARD 19 ERIK M. HELLAND, Board Chair (Presiding) JOSHUA J. BYRNES, Board Member 20 SARAH MARTZ, Board Member 21 22 (Pages 1559 to 1865) 23 24 MELISSA A. BURNS - CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 25

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
     For Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC:
 3
           BRET A. DUBLINSKE, ESQ.
           BRANT M. LEONARD, ESQ.
           Fredrikson & Byron, PA
 4
           111 East Grand Avenue
           Suite 301
 5
           Des Moines, IA 50309
 6
     For Office of Consumer Advocate:
 7
           JOHN S. LONG, ESQ.
           Office of Consumer Advocate
 8
           1375 East Court Avenue
 9
           Des Moines, IA 50319
10
     For Iowa Farm Bureau Federation:
11
           CHRISTINA GRUENHAGEN, ESQ.
           DAVID S. MEYERS, ESQ.
           Wickham and Geadelmann, PLLC
12
           5400 University Avenue
13
           West Des Moines, IA 50266
14
     For Shelby, Kossuth, Emmet, Dickinson, Franklin,
     Woodbury, and Wright Counties (the Counties):
15
           TIMOTHY J. WHIPPLE, ESQ.
16
           Ahlers & Cooney, PC
           100 Court Avenue
17
           Suite 600
           Des Moines, IA 50309
18
     For Sierra Club Iowa Chapter:
19
           WALLACE L. TAYLOR, ESQ.
20
           Law Offices of Wallace L. Taylor
           4403 First Avenue SE
           Suite 402
21
           Cedar Rapids, IA 52402
22
23
24
25
```

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

```
Page 1561
```

1 APPEARANCES (Continued): 2 For Jorde Landowners: 3 BRIAN E. JORDE, ESQ. Domina Law Group, PC, LLO 2425 South 144th Street 4 Omaha, NE 68144 5 For Murray Landowners: 6 JOHN M. MURRAY, ESQ. 7 Murray & Murray, PLC 530 Erie Street Storm Lake, IA 50588 8 9 For LSCP, LLC; PLCP, LLLP; Green Plains, Inc.; Golden Grain Energy, LLC; Corn, LP; Homeland Energy 10 Solutions, LLC; Quad County Corn Processors Cooperative; Plymouth Energy, LLC; and Siouxland 11 Energy Cooperative (the Corn Processors): 12 MARK A. SCHULTHEIS, ESQ. Schultheis White, PLC 13 3116 Ingersoll Avenue Des Moines, IA 50312 14 For Iowans for a Growing Agricultural Economy: 15 ALAN R. OSTERGREN, ESQ. 16 Alan R. Ostergren, PC 500 East Court Avenue 17 Suite 420 Des Moines, IA 50309 18 For Republican Legislative Intervenors for Justice: 19 ANNA K. RYON, ESQ. 20 Law Office of Anna K. Ryon 3106 Ingersoll Avenue Des Moines, IA 50312 21 22 23 24 25

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Г

Page 1562

| 1 | APPEARANCES (Continued): |
|----|--|
| 2 | For Iowa Utilities Board: |
| 3 | HUNTER FORS, ESQ. Iowa Utilities Board |
| 4 | 1375 East Court Avenue Des Moines, IA 50319 |
| 5 | For Kohles Family Farms, LLC: |
| 6 | |
| 7 | JEAN KOHLES Pro Se |
| 8 | For Hayek Family Farms: |
| 9 | CHRISTINE HAYEK Pro Se |
| 10 | FIO BE |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| IUB HEA | ARING 09/05/2023 | | Page 1563 |
|---------|---|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | INDEX | | |
| 2 | WITNESS: | | PAGE |
| 3 | James Powell | | |
| 4 | Direct Examination by Mr. Leonard Cross-Examination by Mr. Jorde | | |
| 5 | Cross-Examination by Mr. Long Cross-Examination by Ms. Gruenhagen | | 1702 |
| 6 | Cross-Examination by Mr. Whipple Cross-Examination by Mr. Taylor | | 1716 |
| 7 | Cross-Examination by Mr. Taylor Cross-Examination by Ms. Ryon Cross-Examination by Mr. Murray | | 1765 |
| 8 | Cross-Examination by Ms. Kohles Examination by Board Member Byrnes | | 1822 |
| 9 | Examination by Board Member Martz | | |
| 10 | TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS: OF | FERED | RECEIVED |
| 11 | Summit Carbon Solutions: Powell Direct Testimony | 1568 | 1571 |
| 12 | Powell Rebuttal Testimony | 1568 | 1571 |
| 13 | Jorde Landowners: Hearing Exhibit 506 | 1615 | 1616 |
| 14 | Hearing Exhibit 551 | 1606 1682 | 1606 1682 |
| 15 | Hearing Exhibit 565 Hearing Exhibit 568 | 1684 1678 | 1684 1679 |
| 16 | Hearing Exhibit 569 Hearing Exhibit 570 | 1672 1632 | 1672 1633 |
| 17 | Hearing Exhibit 571 | 1632 1696 | 1632 1697 |
| 18 | Hearing Exhibit 574 Hearing Exhibit 578 | 1698 1692 | 1699 1692 |
| 19 | OCA: | 1092 | 1092 |
| 20 | Hearing Exhibit 1 | 1703 | 1704 |
| 21 | IFBF: Hearing Exhibit 1 | 1713 | 1713 |
| 22 | | 1/15 | 1/15 |
| 23 | (phonetic) indicates a phonetic spell {sic} indicates the text is as stated | - | |
| 24 | Quoted text is as stated by the speak | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | PROCEEDINGS |
|----|--|
| 2 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Good morning. If the |
| 3 | audience and attendees will go ahead and find their |
| 4 | seats, I'd appreciate it. Thank you very much. |
| 5 | It is September 5, 2023, at 10:01 a.m. We |
| 6 | will go back on the record unless there's any |
| 7 | preliminary matters we will Mr. Taylor. Go ahead. |
| 8 | MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. A couple of things |
| 9 | that I think will come up during the hearing today |
| 10 | that I'd like to take up. The first is that most, if |
| 11 | not all, the witnesses today well, most, will talk |
| 12 | about the dispersion modeling and the safety issues. |
| 13 | And we don't, I don't believe, have an |
| 14 | order from the Board yet on the motion to compel to |
| 15 | produce the dispersion modeling, and I think it puts |
| 16 | the parties at an extreme disadvantage if we can't |
| 17 | have that evidence to cross-examine the witnesses |
| 18 | with. Particularly Mr. Powell and Mr. Pirolli. |
| 19 | So I'm not sure what the remedy is at this |
| 20 | point, but I think I would ask that the proceedings be |
| 21 | halted until we get the dispersion modeling so we can |
| 22 | properly cross-examine the witnesses. |
| 23 | The second issue is a little easier to deal |
| 24 | with, I think. Some of the parties, at least, signed |
| 25 | non-disclosure agreements regarding the agreements |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | that Summit has, or would propose to have, with |
|----|---|
| 2 | ethanol plants or perhaps other industries. And we |
| 3 | will, of course, ask some of the witnesses about |
| 4 | those, and I just want to clarify how we're going to |
| 5 | deal with that. |
| 6 | In the Dakota Access case, what the Board |
| 7 | did was when those issues would come up, the Board |
| 8 | would clear the room of everyone except the attorneys |
| 9 | who had signed the non-disclosure agreements and then |
| 10 | we would take that evidence in a closed session. |
| 11 | So that's what I would suggest we do here. |
| 12 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you, |
| 13 | Mr. Taylor. I just wanted to double-check here. |
| 14 | For the first matter, thank you for your |
| 15 | comments. Depending on how the Board rules, you will |
| 16 | have the ability, if it is necessary, to recall the |
| 17 | witness to address any questions you may have |
| 18 | depending on the outcome of our ruling. |
| 19 | On the second matter, that is how we intend |
| 20 | to handle confidential session. We'll go over details |
| 21 | as we get closer to it, but I appreciate you bringing |
| 22 | up previous precedents and that is how we intend to |
| 23 | handle it. So thank you. |
| 24 | Mr. Whipple. |
| 25 | MR. WHIPPLE: Before we get started, I'd |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| (| |
|----|--|
| 1 | also like to clear up one matter on the record. You |
| 2 | had asked at the beginning of the hearing if the |
| 3 | parties stipulated to the testimony that was prefiled. |
| 4 | And, as we're now about to begin calling witnesses who |
| 5 | have prefiled testimony, we would request that we take |
| 6 | that issue up again and ensure that all of that is |
| 7 | accepted in the record, including the deposition |
| 8 | testimony that was taken. |
| 9 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 10 | Mr. Dublinske. |
| 11 | MR. DUBLINSKE: Just a response to |
| 12 | Mr. Whipple. I agree that that is an outstanding |
| 13 | issue. My understanding and certainly, if the |
| 14 | Chair understands differently, that's what matters, |
| 15 | but my understanding is we were going to take that up |
| 16 | on a witness-by-witness basis. And we intend, as we |
| 17 | call our witnesses, to move to admit their testimony |
| 18 | and exhibits before we tender them for cross and |
| 19 | anticipate that those issues will be taken up at that |
| 20 | time. |
| 21 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Unless there's strong |
| 22 | opposition from someone, I believe that is how we |
| 23 | intend to handle it as we go. Witness by witness. |
| 24 | Mr. Taylor. |
| 25 | MR. TAYLOR: So just to clarify, regarding |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | the depositions, when a witness is presented for |
|----|--|
| 2 | cross-examination, would that be our time then to |
| 3 | offer the deposition testimony into evidence? |
| 4 | MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, if it helps, |
| 5 | Summit has no objection to the deposition being made a |
| 6 | hearing exhibit or being in evidence. |
| 7 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Well, look at that. |
| 8 | We're already getting along. Perfect. There we go. |
| 9 | Thank you. Yes. |
| 10 | Anyone else? I see Ms. Gruenhagen |
| 11 | reaching. Maybe, maybe not? Nope? |
| 12 | All right. Unless there's anything else we |
| 13 | will proceed with Summit's first witness. |
| 14 | MR. LEONARD: Thank you, Your Honor. We'll |
| 15 | call James Powell to the stand. |
| 16 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Powell. |
| 17 | MR. POWELL: Good morning. |
| 18 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Good morning. Have |
| 19 | they got you situated? |
| 20 | MR. POWELL: Yes. |
| 21 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Please raise your |
| 22 | right hand. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1568

| 1 | JAMES POWELL, |
|----|--|
| 2 | called as a witness by Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, |
| 3 | being first duly sworn by Board Chair Helland, was |
| 4 | examined and testified as follows: |
| 5 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Dublinske. |
| 6 | Or Mr. Leonard. Sorry. |
| 7 | MR. LEONARD: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 8 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 9 | BY MR. LEONARD: |
| 10 | Q. Good morning, Mr. Powell. |
| 11 | A. Good morning. |
| 12 | Q. Are you the same James Powell who caused to |
| 13 | be filed direct and rebuttal prefiled testimony in |
| 14 | this matter? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. If I asked you those same questions today, |
| 17 | would your answers be substantially the same? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. Do you have any corrections or |
| 20 | modifications to make to your prefiled testimony at |
| 21 | this time? |
| 22 | A. No. |
| 23 | MR. LEONARD: Your Honor, before tendering |
| 24 | him for cross, I'd like to move admission of James |
| 25 | Powell's direct testimony and rebuttal testimony filed |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | on May 25 and August 21, 2023, respectively. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 3 | Is there opposition? Or comment? |
| 4 | MR. JORDE: Opposition, yes. First of all, |
| 5 | completely object to rebuttal. That's not appropriate |
| 6 | until the witness has testified in response to what |
| 7 | Mr. Powell wants to rebut. So you can't offer |
| 8 | rebuttal of something that hasn't yet occurred. So |
| 9 | that doesn't come in. |
| 10 | In terms of his direct testimony, |
| 11 | everything starting on let's see, page 3 the |
| 12 | question on line 11, page 3, to the end, I object to |
| 13 | hearsay, hearsay within hearsay, lack of foundation, |
| 14 | and relevance. |
| 15 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: John, did you want to |
| 16 | comment on that or did you have a separate objection? |
| 17 | MR. LONG: We don't object, but I would |
| 18 | just ask that in light of the timing with the rebuttal |
| 19 | testimony, and discovery related to it, that when |
| 20 | OCA's witnesses come up, that the Board give us |
| 21 | latitude to do some additional questions to respond to |
| 22 | things in the rebuttal. |
| 23 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 24 | Mr. Leonard. |
| 25 | MR. LEONARD: Thank you, Your Honor. First |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | of all, when it comes to the rebuttal testimony, |
|----|--|
| 2 | that's not rebutting live testimony that hasn't |
| 3 | happened yet. That's responding to testimony that was |
| 4 | prefiled. That's how the Board does it. That's how |
| 5 | the Board has always done it. |
| 6 | The procedural order in this matter set a |
| 7 | deadline for rebuttal testimony. We do not have an |
| 8 | objection, as Mr. Long suggests, to them having some |
| 9 | latitude to ask questions about that rebuttal |
| 10 | testimony given its timing. |
| 11 | Second of all, with respect to the |
| 12 | particular issue that Mr. Jorde pointed out and |
| 13 | objected on hearsay and foundation grounds, I think |
| 14 | it's well established that in agency proceedings in |
| 15 | Iowa, including before the Board, that hearsay is not |
| 16 | an adequate objection, that evidentiary standards are |
| 17 | relaxed, and we, therefore, urge the Board to admit |
| 18 | the written testimony, both the direct and the |
| 19 | rebuttal. |
| 20 | MR. JORDE: So I need to respond to that. |
| 21 | On the rebuttal, again, until a witness shows up as is |
| 22 | tendered, their testimony doesn't come in. Just like |
| 23 | Mr. Powell here. |
| 24 | So, again, you can't rebut something that |
| 25 | has not occurred, is not in evidence, is not part of |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | the official record. The rebuttal cannot come in at |
|----|---|
| 2 | this time. |
| 3 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 4 | Mr. Long, did you have additional comment? |
| 5 | Okay. Thank you. |
| 6 | Any other comments? |
| 7 | (No response.) |
| 8 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. Thank you. |
| 9 | Mr. Jorde, your objection is noted but |
| 10 | overruled. Both direct and rebuttal testimony will be |
| 11 | admitted. |
| 12 | Mr. Dublinske. |
| 13 | Or Mr. Leonard. Sorry. |
| 14 | MR. LEONARD: Thank you, Your Honor. We |
| 15 | now tender the witness for cross. |
| 16 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Rather than go down |
| 17 | the list, I think we'll just go ahead and proceed as |
| 18 | we have with the placards flipped on their side. So I |
| 19 | see Mr. Jorde was first. And so we will start with |
| 20 | Mr. Jorde. |
| 21 | MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you. |
| 22 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 23 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 24 | Q. Sir, who is Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC? |
| 25 | Who are its owners? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. Its owners are private investors. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. And who are they? |
| 3 | A. There are several hundred to my |
| 4 | knowledge, several hundred private investors. So I'm |
| 5 | not aware of all of those individuals. |
| 6 | Q. Who would know who the owners are? |
| 7 | A. Again, sir, it's not me. |
| 8 | Q. Well, is anyone going to testify so that we |
| 9 | have evidence on who actually is behind this proposed |
| 10 | project? |
| 11 | A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 12 | Q. And so then you would agree that it's |
| 13 | impossible for the IUB to approve this application if |
| 14 | they don't even know who the applicant is; correct? |
| 15 | A. I would not agree. |
| 16 | Q. In terms of the South Korean entity that |
| 17 | owns 10 percent, you're aware that they are an |
| 18 | investor; is that correct? |
| 19 | A. I am aware. |
| 20 | Q. And you're aware one of their subsidiaries |
| 21 | was fined nearly \$50 million by the U.S. government |
| 22 | for fraud? Are you aware of that? |
| 23 | A. I'm not aware of the details. |
| 24 | Q. Do you personally have any ownership in the |
| 25 | applicant or any entity that has ownership interest in |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

٦

| 1 | the applicant? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. I have equity in the business. |
| 3 | Q. And, in terms of equity, would it be true |
| 4 | that the entire management team of Summit Carbon |
| 5 | Solutions has equity in the business? |
| 6 | A. I'm not aware of the compensation packages |
| 7 | for other members of the management team. |
| 8 | Q. Well, without getting into the specifics, |
| 9 | you're aware, are you not, that other members of the |
| 10 | management team have equity regardless of how little |
| 11 | or how much; correct? |
| 12 | A. I'm not going to make an assumption. I'm |
| 13 | not aware of their individual compensation packages. |
| 14 | Q. So I would need to ask that question |
| 15 | individually to all the Summit witnesses that testify |
| 16 | then? |
| 17 | A. Sounds prudent to me. |
| 18 | Q. In terms of the South Korean entity, what |
| 19 | other direct or indirect foreign ownership; that is, |
| 20 | outside of the United States, entities or persons, |
| 21 | have any ownership in Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC? |
| 22 | A. I'm not aware of any. |
| 23 | Q. And, again, is your testimony the same? |
| 24 | That no one is going to be presented to this Board to |
| 25 | testify as to the ownership structure in any foreign |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | investment? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Not that I'm aware of. |
| 3 | Q. And is there a particular reason why Summit |
| 4 | is concealing the identity of who is behind the |
| 5 | entity? |
| 6 | MR. LEONARD: Object to form. The question |
| 7 | assumes facts not in evidence. |
| 8 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 9 | Mr. Jorde. |
| 10 | MR. JORDE: Well, they're not in evidence |
| 11 | because he's refusing to tell us. And so that's the |
| 12 | basis of the question. |
| 13 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 14 | Q. Why are you not sharing this information? |
| 15 | A. Well, again, Mr. Jorde, I'm not aware of |
| 16 | every investor in Summit Carbon. |
| 17 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Hold on, Mr. Powell. |
| 18 | Mr. Leonard, did you have a response? |
| 19 | MR. LEONARD: Just to the form of the |
| 20 | question. His assumption that somebody is concealing |
| 21 | something just because one witness doesn't know the |
| 22 | identities of all the investors in the company. It's |
| 23 | an improper question. |
| 24 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 25 | The objection is sustained. Please reword |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

٦

| 1 | your question or move on, Mr. Jorde. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. JORDE: Certainly. |
| 3 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 4 | Q. So do you know why Summit has chosen to not |
| 5 | disclose that information? In terms of who are the |
| 6 | owners. |
| 7 | A. That's a private investment. I'm not |
| 8 | I'm not part of that decision-making process. |
| 9 | Q. And this project, of course, the purpose of |
| 10 | the project, is to generate profits for Summit Carbon |
| 11 | Solutions, LLC; correct? |
| 12 | A. Well, like most businesses, the objective |
| 13 | is to generate a profit, correct. |
| 14 | Q. And, as between an analysis of whether or |
| 15 | not this Board should allow Summit Carbon Solutions to |
| 16 | generate a profit or target the properties of Iowans, |
| 17 | you would agree that, on balance, the interests of |
| 18 | Iowans should win out over your profits; right? |
| 19 | A. I don't understand the question. |
| 20 | Q. Well, if we're doing a balancing analysis |
| 21 | as between your proposed project, which is, as you |
| 22 | just admitted, for the profit of applicant, you would |
| 23 | agree that, in effect, the tie should go to the runner |
| 24 | here which would be the Iowans that are opposed to |
| 25 | this project. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. Well, I think the benefits are more than |
|----|--|
| 2 | just Summit Carbon. The benefits are to the ethanol |
| 3 | plants, their workers, and the farmers who supply corn |
| 4 | to those ethanol plants. It's the profitability of |
| 5 | the ethanol plants that's at play here as well as |
| 6 | their contribution to the economies in their counties, |
| 7 | in their communities. |
| 8 | Q. Are you going to call a single witness that |
| 9 | did a cost-benefit analysis of the net alleged |
| 10 | benefits in regards to the costs to the landowners who |
| 11 | do not want the project or is your evidence only |
| 12 | focused on the alleged good of the project? |
| 13 | A. I don't understand the question. |
| 14 | Q. So what is it you're asking this Board to |
| 15 | do? Are you not asking this Board to grant your |
| 16 | application which would then allow applicant to profit |
| 17 | and then, based on your last answer, 13 or so ethanol |
| 18 | companies to profit? That's what you're asking for? |
| 19 | A. Well, again, we're asking the Board to |
| 20 | approve the project so we can help those ethanol |
| 21 | plants, and all those directly and indirectly affected |
| 22 | by those ethanol plants, remain profitable. |
| 23 | Q. And do you think it's under the purview of |
| 24 | this Board to pick winners and losers and put the |
| 25 | interest of a handful of ethanol plants above those of |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | regular citizens? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 3 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 4 | MR. LEONARD: Calls for a legal conclusion |
| 5 | as to the Board's purview. |
| 6 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Jorde. |
| 7 | MR. JORDE: Well, okay, I'll restate it. |
| 8 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 9 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 10 | Q. Are you asking this Board to pick winners |
| 11 | and losers and pick you and a handful of ethanol |
| 12 | companies over the interests of those opposed to the |
| 13 | project? |
| 14 | A. I'm not dictating what the Board does or |
| 15 | does not do. |
| 16 | Q. You would believe it would be responsible |
| 17 | to consider first the existing landowners and people |
| 18 | and farmers opposed to the project prior to |
| 19 | considering your entity, a newly formed entity, and |
| 20 | its interests; correct? |
| 21 | A. Well, Mr. Jorde, we've reached agreement |
| 22 | with 73 percent of the landowners in this state. So |
| 23 | we fully expect that we can reach agreement with the |
| 24 | remaining landowners that will be affected. |
| 25 | Q. And you would agree that there's no such |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | thing as a voluntary easement when the threat of |
|----|--|
| 2 | eminent domain is hanging over a landowner's head; |
| 3 | correct? |
| 4 | A. Mr. Jorde, we've been negotiating with Iowa |
| 5 | landowners for almost two years. And we have not had, |
| 6 | and still do not have, the right of eminent domain. |
| 7 | Q. Well, isn't it true in your initial letter |
| 8 | that you mailed out to landowners you mentioned the |
| 9 | right or the pathway to eminent domain and you did |
| 10 | that in intent to scare them into signing easements. |
| 11 | A. It's my recollection that the IUB process |
| 12 | is prescriptive as to what has to be included in those |
| 13 | notifications to landowners. |
| 14 | Q. Why did you sue Iowa landowners for survey |
| 15 | access just to dismiss those cases? |
| 16 | A. We have to have access to properties to |
| 17 | survey. And the process within Iowa allows us to do |
| 18 | that with ten-day notification. |
| 19 | Q. And so the question was why did you sue |
| 20 | Iowa landowners stating that you needed immediate |
| 21 | access to their property just to later dismiss those |
| 22 | lawsuits? |
| 23 | A. I don't recall the rationale. |
| 24 | Q. Who makes the decisions at Summit Carbon |
| 25 | Solutions, LLC? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1579

| Q. Well, are you the top guy, so to speak? The buck stops with you? Or is that Lee Blank or who makes the strategic decisions? A. Well, Mr. Blank is the CEO, but, when it comes to the design, construction, and operation of the pipeline, I'm the decision-maker. Q. What role does Bruce Rastetter have in Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC? A. He's an investor and board member. Q. And is it true he was the original promoter of the project? A. Well, Summit Ag, they developed the concept for the project. Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's entities? A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
|--|
| makes the strategic decisions? A. Well, Mr. Blank is the CEO, but, when it comes to the design, construction, and operation of the pipeline, I'm the decision-maker. Q. What role does Bruce Rastetter have in Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC? A. He's an investor and board member. Q. And is it true he was the original promoter of the project? A. Well, Summit Ag, they developed the concept for the project. Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's entities? A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| A. Well, Mr. Blank is the CEO, but, when it comes to the design, construction, and operation of the pipeline, I'm the decision-maker. Q. What role does Bruce Rastetter have in Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC? A. He's an investor and board member. Q. And is it true he was the original promoter of the project? A. Well, Summit Ag, they developed the concept for the project. Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's entities? A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| 6 comes to the design, construction, and operation of 7 the pipeline, I'm the decision-maker. 8 Q. What role does Bruce Rastetter have in 9 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC? 10 A. He's an investor and board member. 11 Q. And is it true he was the original promoter 12 of the project? 13 A. Well, Summit Ag, they developed the concept 14 for the project. 15 Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's 16 entities? 17 A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's 18 portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. 19 Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was 20 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| the pipeline, I'm the decision-maker. Q. What role does Bruce Rastetter have in Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC? A. He's an investor and board member. Q. And is it true he was the original promoter of the project? A. Well, Summit Ag, they developed the concept for the project. Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's entities? A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| 8 Q. What role does Bruce Rastetter have in 9 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC? 10 A. He's an investor and board member. 11 Q. And is it true he was the original promoter 12 of the project? 13 A. Well, Summit Ag, they developed the concept 14 for the project. 15 Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's 16 entities? 17 A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's 18 portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. 19 Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was 20 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| 9 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC? 10 A. He's an investor and board member. 11 Q. And is it true he was the original promoter 12 of the project? 13 A. Well, Summit Ag, they developed the concept 14 for the project. 15 Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's 16 entities? 17 A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's 18 portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. 19 Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was 20 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| 10 A. He's an investor and board member. 11 Q. And is it true he was the original promoter 12 of the project? 13 A. Well, Summit Ag, they developed the concept 14 for the project. 15 Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's 16 entities? 17 A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's 18 portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. 19 Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was 20 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| Q. And is it true he was the original promoter of the project? A. Well, Summit Ag, they developed the concept for the project. Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's entities? A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| 12 of the project? 13 A. Well, Summit Ag, they developed the concept 14 for the project. 15 Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's 16 entities? 17 A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's 18 portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. 19 Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was 20 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| A. Well, Summit Ag, they developed the concept for the project. Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's entities? A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| 14 for the project. 15 Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's 16 entities? 17 A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's 18 portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. 19 Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was 20 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| Q. And Summit Ag being one of Mr. Rastetter's entities? A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| <pre>16 entities? 17 A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's 18 portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. 19 Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was 20 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne</pre> |
| A. I'm not familiar with Mr. Rastetter's portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| portfolio, but, yes, he is the CEO of Summit Ag. Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| 19Q. And was it Summit Ag's concept was20Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| 20 Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, something that was borne |
| |
| |
| 21 out of Summit Ag? |
| 22 A. Yes. |
| 23 Q. And why is it that Mr. Rastetter isn't here |
| 24 to testify and defend the supposed value of this |
| 25 project? |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. Well, I think he entrusts his management |
|----|--|
| 2 | team to defend the value of the project. |
| 3 | Q. And so, by that answer, would you agree |
| 4 | that you essentially work for him when you reference |
| 5 | "his management team"? |
| 6 | A. No, I work for the board of directors. |
| 7 | Which he is one member of. |
| 8 | Q. Who are the rest of the directors? |
| 9 | A. There's members from Tiger Infrastructure, |
| 10 | from TPG, from SK, and from Continental Resources. As |
| 11 | well as Summit Ag. |
| 12 | Q. And Continental Resources, that's owned by |
| 13 | Harold Hamm; is that correct? |
| 14 | A. I believe that is a correct statement. |
| 15 | They just took that company back private. |
| 16 | Q. And Mr. Hamm has substantial interests in |
| 17 | North Dakota, does he not? |
| 18 | A. Yes, he does. |
| 19 | Q. And then TPG and Tiger. Those are |
| 20 | investment funds or hedge funds that have many foreign |
| 21 | investors; is that correct? |
| 22 | A. I'm not familiar with the makeup of their |
| 23 | investors. |
| 24 | Q. What about the assets of Summit Carbon |
| 25 | Solutions, LLC. Does it have assets or are the owners |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | owners on standby for equity to be called in terms of |
|----|---|
| 2 | when it needs to raise capital to fund its business? |
| 3 | A. Well, we have assets that have been |
| 4 | manufactured over the last two years. And we do have |
| 5 | equity that's available. |
| 6 | Q. Well, is it true the only asset of Summit |
| 7 | Carbon Solutions would be the easements it holds? |
| 8 | A. That's not true. |
| 9 | Q. What are the other assets? |
| 10 | A. We have various pieces of equipment that |
| 11 | has been manufactured or produced. |
| 12 | Q. And, by that statement, do you mean the |
| 13 | carbon capture equipment that you would intend to |
| 14 | place at the site of any CO2 emitter that's in |
| 15 | partnership with you? |
| 16 | A. That is part of it. |
| 17 | Q. All right. What else? What other physical |
| 18 | assets, in terms of the equipment, does Summit own? |
| 19 | A. We have components that will be installed |
| 20 | in the pipeline system as well. |
| 21 | Q. That Summit has already purchased? |
| 22 | A. Correct. |
| 23 | Q. Were any of those manufactured in the state |
| 24 | of Iowa? |
| 25 | A. Not that I'm aware of. |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | <u> </u> |
|----|---|
| 1 | Q. Has Summit filed tax returns? |
| 2 | A. Again, I'm not the tax expert, Mr. Jorde. |
| 3 | We do have a tax professional as part of the |
| 4 | management team. |
| 5 | Q. Who is that person? |
| 6 | A. That is Joel his last name escapes me. |
| 7 | We can provide that. |
| 8 | Q. And Summit is a Delaware entity; correct? |
| 9 | Incorporated in the state of Delaware? |
| 10 | A. That's my understanding. |
| 11 | Q. Now, your particular role, you said you're |
| 12 | responsible for technical development. Does that have |
| 13 | anything to do with initial route consideration and |
| 14 | selection? |
| 15 | A. It does. |
| 16 | Q. And it's true that in designing the initial |
| 17 | route, that that was done based on what's known as a |
| 18 | desktop analysis? |
| 19 | A. I think that's a loose description. |
| 20 | Q. Well, it's true that the initial route that |
| 21 | you submitted in this docket was done before |
| 22 | conclusion of all surveys on the land that you're |
| 23 | targeting in this case; correct? |
| 24 | A. Mr. Jorde, you have to have a preliminary |
| 25 | route before you can determine where you want to |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | survey. That is correct. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. And, as your statement is, in your direct |
| 3 | statement at least, that typically the initial route |
| 4 | is the most direct from point to point; is that |
| 5 | correct? |
| 6 | A. Typically, with pipelines, it is A to B. |
| 7 | However, the program that we use, the GIS-based |
| 8 | program, that literally has hundreds of features. All |
| 9 | publicly available information and some confidential |
| 10 | information. And so we used that to develop the |
| 11 | preliminary route. |
| 12 | Q. And who is the provider of that software or |
| 13 | that program? That GIS program you mentioned. |
| 14 | A. The program is called Pivvot. And it's |
| 15 | owned by a company called Terracon. |
| 16 | Q. And it's true that Summit initially |
| 17 | consulted with Terracon, and Terracon used its |
| 18 | software and came up with the initial route; is that |
| 19 | right? |
| 20 | A. It was a collaborative effort, yes. |
| 21 | Q. And it's true that when that process was |
| 22 | occurring, neither Terracon nor Summit had future land |
| 23 | use maps or master plans of the various counties or |
| 24 | communities which it intends to locate its hazardous |
| 25 | pipeline through. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. That is not true. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Give me the name of the person who had any |
| 3 | future land use map or master plan of any community |
| 4 | that was utilized prior to selecting the initial |
| 5 | route? |
| 6 | A. Again, if a county or municipality had a |
| 7 | development plan that was available, and typically on |
| 8 | line, then that was incorporated. |
| 9 | Q. And who would be the person unless, sir, |
| 10 | did you personally do that work? |
| 11 | A. I did not. |
| 12 | Q. Who would be the person that could back up |
| 13 | that statement? |
| 14 | A. Kent Strasser is the primary contact at |
| 15 | Pivvot, but Erik Schovanec, who is part of the Summit |
| 16 | organization, may be able to answer that question. |
| 17 | Q. And is that because Mr. Schovanec had more |
| 18 | detailed interactions relative to the foundational |
| 19 | basis for the initial route than yourself? |
| 20 | A. That's fair. |
| 21 | Q. Is it true that you did not "you" being |
| 22 | Summit did not utilize dispersion modeling or CO2 |
| 23 | dispersion analysis or plume modeling prior to coming |
| 24 | up with your initial route? |
| 25 | A. That is correct, because dispersion |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | modeling is not used for routing purposes. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Well, it certainly could be, it's just that |
| 3 | Summit chose not to use it for routing purposes. |
| 4 | A. No, that's not what that's not the value |
| 5 | of dispersion modeling. So I disagree. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. Well, do you think a community might |
| 7 | find value in knowing how hazardous and dangerous a |
| 8 | potential leak or rupture would be to them and would |
| 9 | want to know how far they are located from your |
| 10 | proposed route? |
| 11 | A. Well, Mr. Jorde, you and I have talked |
| 12 | about this before. So you know that PHMSA requires |
| 13 | any operator, and obviously Summit, to perform |
| 14 | dispersion modeling to determine what impact your |
| 15 | route, or your pipeline operation, may have on a |
| 16 | high-consequence area. And so that's what we've done. |
| 17 | We take that dispersion modeling, we take |
| 18 | the outputs, and we put them in a risk assessment. |
| 19 | That determines the probability of potential severity |
| 20 | of any impact. |
| 21 | And, in Iowa, of the 686 miles of pipeline |
| 22 | proposed, there are 1.13 miles of direct impact to |
| 23 | high-consequence areas. In a worst case release |
| 24 | scenario. That's .002 percent if my math is correct. |
| 25 | Q. And do you know what county or are those |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | 1.3 miles in multiple counties? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. I'd have to refresh, look at the model |
| 3 | output. |
| 4 | Q. So then let's break down your prior answer. |
| 5 | So, first of all, you talked about PHMSA. |
| 6 | And you're aware that PHMSA sets minimum standards; |
| 7 | correct? |
| 8 | A. They set standards and incorporate, by |
| 9 | reference, other standards such as ABI. |
| 10 | Q. But Summit isn't prevented from doing |
| 11 | better than minimum federal standards, are they? |
| 12 | A. No, and we have done we have exceeded |
| 13 | those standards in many, many areas, including |
| 14 | dispersion modeling. |
| 15 | Q. Well, no one would know that because, to |
| 16 | date, you've refused to produce that modeling in Iowa, |
| 17 | haven't you. |
| 18 | A. Well, as PHMSA recommends, that the output |
| 19 | of that model, and the risk assessment, should be |
| 20 | protected and not provided to the public. |
| 21 | Q. Well, isn't it true on August 31st you |
| 22 | produced that information, dispersion modeling, in the |
| 23 | South Dakota PUC docket? |
| 24 | A. We did in confidence, yes. |
| 25 | Q. So you would, therefore, agree that you |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | 5 |
|----|--|
| 1 | would produce it in confidence to this Board today. |
| 2 | A. If the Board would like to see that output |
| 3 | in confidence, absolutely. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. Well, so it wasn't good enough for |
| 5 | the Iowans that have been asking for it in discovery |
| 6 | and had to take depositions and were rebuffed and had |
| 7 | to go to court, that wasn't enough for you to think it |
| 8 | might be reasonable to release the dispersion |
| 9 | modeling? |
| 10 | A. Again, Mr. Jorde, the federal government |
| 11 | thinks it's a bad idea to release that. And, if |
| 12 | you're going to build a home, you may be able to do it |
| 13 | without a blueprint, but you could probably do a |
| 14 | better job with a blueprint. |
| 15 | So PHMSA and the federal government don't |
| 16 | think it's a good idea to release that information. |
| 17 | And someone with criminal intent could use it to |
| 18 | impact public safety. |
| 19 | Q. All right. So you didn't say it, but |
| 20 | you're relying on this theory that, "My goodness, if |
| 21 | we release the dispersion modeling, some terrorist |
| 22 | could get their hands on it and we'd all be unsafe." |
| 23 | Is that the gist of your response? |
| 24 | A. Well, it's not a theory. |
| 25 | Q. And so we can take from that, that these |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| r | |
|----|--|
| 1 | pipelines are so dangerous they are a threat to |
| 2 | national security and you would agree they have no |
| 3 | place in the state of Iowa. |
| 4 | A. No, I just said they could potentially be a |
| 5 | threat to public safety. |
| 6 | Q. Do you think terrorists are so inept that |
| 7 | they aren't able to determine where this proposed |
| 8 | pipeline would be located based on the detailed aerial |
| 9 | maps you've already produced in this docket? |
| 10 | A. Well, the resolution of those maps, it |
| 11 | would be difficult. General area perhaps. But, |
| 12 | again, Mr. Jorde, why should we make it easy for |
| 13 | somebody with criminal intent. |
| 14 | Q. Well, let me ask you this, sir: Have you |
| 15 | been on the phone with the Pentagon recently about |
| 16 | your route? |
| 17 | A. No, I missed that call apparently. |
| 18 | Q. Well, I think everyone did, because it |
| 19 | didn't happen. Because this terrorist idea is |
| 20 | complete nonsense; correct? |
| 21 | A. Nobody mentioned terrorists, Mr. Jorde. |
| 22 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 23 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 24 | MR. LEONARD: I'm going to object to the |
| 25 | form of the question. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| - | |
|----|---|
| 1 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Jorde, go ahead |
| 2 | and reword and move on. |
| 3 | MR. JORDE: Certainly. |
| 4 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 5 | Q. The fact of the matter is this concept of |
| 6 | these being dangerous to some type of attack is just |
| 7 | subterfuge to get around the fact that these are |
| 8 | incredibly dangerous pipelines and you don't want the |
| 9 | <pre>public to know; correct?</pre> |
| 10 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 11 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 12 | MR. LEONARD: Same objection. It's |
| 13 | argumentative. |
| 14 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Sustained. |
| 15 | Mr. Jorde, please proceed. |
| 16 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 17 | Q. So South Dakota deserves better than Iowa; |
| 18 | right? Because you haven't produced the dispersion |
| 19 | modeling in Iowa. |
| 20 | Right? |
| 21 | A. I didn't say that at all. |
| 22 | Q. Well, the South Dakota PUC didn't ask you |
| 23 | for it. They didn't have to ask you for it. You |
| 24 | produced it in response to what happened in the |
| 25 | Navigator docket up there; correct? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. That's not true. The staff from the South |
|----|--|
| 2 | Dakota PUC requested that information, not only the |
| 3 | model output but the risk assessment, months ago. As |
| 4 | you're aware. |
| 5 | Q. And you just got it to them on the 31st of |
| 6 | August; right? |
| 7 | A. No. Again, they've had you're |
| 8 | conflating issues. There's a difference between maps |
| 9 | with buffer zones and the model and the risk |
| 10 | assessment. |
| 11 | Q. I completely agree. There's three |
| 12 | different things. And you've produced all of those |
| 13 | things in South Dakota and none of those things in |
| 14 | Iowa; correct? |
| 15 | A. To this point, yes. |
| 16 | Q. So won't you just do the right thing and |
| 17 | agree to produce that today so the public here doesn't |
| 18 | have to be in the dark? |
| 19 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 20 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 21 | MR. LEONARD: It hasn't been produced to |
| 22 | the public in South Dakota for the reasons that |
| 23 | Mr. Powell has been testifying about. It has been |
| 24 | produced to the PUC. |
| 25 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Mr. Jorde, do you have a reply. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. JORDE: Well, the point is this body |
| 3 | that's charged with making an incredibly important |
| 4 | decision does not have information they've voluntarily |
| 5 | given another state. So what are we left to take from |
| 6 | that but for Iowa deserves less than South Dakota. |
| 7 | And I just want him to be on the record right now and |
| 8 | just say you will release it. |
| 9 | You have the power. You know you have the |
| 10 | power to do that, Mr. Powell. |
| 11 | MR. LEONARD: If I could respond. |
| 12 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Go ahead. |
| 13 | MR. LEONARD: Obviously, the dispersion |
| 14 | analysis is something that's before the Board on |
| 15 | appeal on a motion to compel. It hasn't been ruled |
| 16 | upon yet. |
| 17 | If we're going to get into details of that, |
| 18 | we would wait for the Board's ruling. I think I |
| 19 | understood the Chair's admonition this morning about |
| 20 | that. |
| 21 | In any event, if Mr. Jorde has a question |
| 22 | he'd like to ask Mr. Powell as opposed to telling us |
| 23 | what his point is, I'd be happy to have him ask a |
| 24 | question. |
| 25 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Jorde and |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Mr. Leonard, the objection is sustained. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Mr. Jorde, the motion to compel is being |
| 3 | ruled on, and we will hopefully have an answer |
| 4 | shortly. Please contain your questions to facts, not |
| 5 | speculation. |
| 6 | MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, again, I'm not |
| 7 | speculating. I'm talking exactly what has been done |
| 8 | in other states. |
| 9 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 10 | Q. So, sir, you're relying on then the Board |
| 11 | to hopefully bail you out and say this information |
| 12 | isn't something that should be produced to all of the |
| 13 | folks here; is that correct? |
| 14 | A. Well, Mr. Jorde, in my experience, which is |
| 15 | extensive in this country building pipelines, I've |
| 16 | never released a release or dispersant model in any |
| 17 | service. And so this would be a first in this |
| 18 | proceeding and North Dakota and South Dakota. |
| 19 | But, again, if the Board requests that |
| 20 | information in confidence, yes, we will provide it. |
| 21 | Q. Well, you would agree that it would be |
| 22 | impossible to make a determination on public |
| 23 | convenience and necessity if the full risk modeling |
| 24 | that's available to you that you have in your |
| 25 | possession, and your lawyers, is not made available to |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1593

| 1 | this Board. |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. I would disagree. |
| 3 | Q. So Summit's position is that the people of |
| 4 | Iowa, the people being unwillingly targeted for this |
| 5 | hazardous pipeline, they don't need to understand the |
| 6 | risk, they don't need to see the risk mapped out as |
| 7 | has been done in South Dakota, and that's not |
| 8 | information you believe they need. |
| 9 | A. Mr. Jorde, I'm saying that there is a |
| 10 | federal agency whose responsibility is to provide |
| 11 | oversight and assurance for pipelines such as this. |
| 12 | And so PHMSA doesn't even require the model. They may |
| 13 | or may not ask for the output, they may or may not ask |
| 14 | for the risk assessment. |
| 15 | Q. And the reason PHMSA doesn't require the |
| 16 | model is because PHMSA doesn't site CO2 pipelines; |
| 17 | correct? |
| 18 | A. Well, they are accountable for safety of |
| 19 | regulated pipelines. |
| 20 | Q. Sure. Safety in terms of construction, |
| 21 | operation standards, size of pipe, uniformity to |
| 22 | interstate pipelines, but not for safety in terms of |
| 23 | what does a state and locality, what they are |
| 24 | potentially interested in in terms of making county |
| 25 | and statewide decisions. |

| _ | 5 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Would you agree with that? |
| 2 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. Calls for a legal |
| 3 | conclusion. |
| 4 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Jorde. |
| 5 | MR. JORDE: I don't see any legal |
| 6 | conclusion there. |
| 7 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Sustained. |
| 8 | Please reword or move on. |
| 9 | MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, I'll reword. |
| 10 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 11 | Q. You would agree that siting of CO2 |
| 12 | pipelines is left exclusively to the state. And, in |
| 13 | this case, the IUB. |
| 14 | Correct? |
| 15 | MR. LEONARD: Same objection. |
| 16 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 17 | Q. Okay. Then what's the point of the |
| 18 | application I mean, what are you asking for in the |
| 19 | application? I'll go the real slow route here. Let's |
| 20 | go back to the application. |
| 21 | What are you asking for? |
| 22 | A. We're asking for the Iowa Utilities Board |
| 23 | to grant us a permit to construct a pipeline. |
| 24 | Q. In a specific location that you have |
| 25 | produced maps before this Board, which is called a |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1595

| 1 | route, which you need easements for; correct? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | Q. So you're asking this Board to give you |
| 4 | siting authority so you can locate your hazardous |
| 5 | pipeline in Iowa; correct? |
| 6 | A. Correct. |
| 7 | Q. And that's because the federal government |
| 8 | doesn't have jurisdiction over siting, routing, or |
| 9 | locating hazardous pipelines; correct? |
| 10 | MR. LEONARD: Same objection. It asks |
| 11 | about the jurisdiction of the federal government with |
| 12 | respect to pipelines. |
| 13 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: The witness may |
| 14 | answer if he knows. |
| 15 | But we need to limit our questions to |
| 16 | facts, not speculation or legal conclusions. But the |
| 17 | witness may answer if he knows. |
| 18 | A. Can you repeat the question, please? |
| 19 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 20 | Q. Yes. And the reason you're here is because |
| 21 | you have to ask the State for guidance, for approval |
| 22 | to site, because neither PHMSA nor the federal |
| 23 | government has siting authority over CO2 pipelines; |
| 24 | correct? |
| 25 | A. PHMSA has guidelines around siting with |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | respect to setbacks, et cetera, but it's my |
|----|---|
| 2 | understanding that the siting authority is with the |
| 3 | Iowa Utilities Board. |
| 4 | Q. All right. See? Thank you. So the IUB is |
| 5 | here to site the pipeline. And now we're going back |
| 6 | to where we started, and you would agree that an |
| 7 | entity in charge of siting a pipeline, that it would |
| 8 | be reasonable, before siting a hazardous pipeline, to |
| 9 | have the risk modeling to consider. That would simply |
| 10 | be reasonable. |
| 11 | Can you agree with that part? |
| 12 | A. Well, I can't speculate as to what the |
| 13 | Board does or does not want or what they think they |
| 14 | need or don't need. What I can tell you is PHMSA is |
| 15 | accountable and provides oversight of dispersant |
| 16 | modeling and risk assessment and overall operational |
| 17 | safety of a pipeline, including this pipeline. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. And I'll agree with operational |
| 19 | safety. But, sir, we're talking about steps way |
| 20 | before operation. We're talking about answering the |
| 21 | question where is, if anywhere, an intelligent |
| 22 | location in the various counties you're targeting in |
| 23 | Iowa to locate a pipeline. |
| 24 | And you would agree that it would be |
| 25 | reasonable, when determining if your proposal is |
| | |

| 1 | rational, to have the full risk analysis prior to |
|----|--|
| 2 | making that decision. |
| 3 | A. Well, Mr. Jorde, you can't de-couple the |
| 4 | safety that's designed into the pipeline from the |
| 5 | operational safety. So it has to be designed with |
| 6 | inherent safety and constructed accordingly, and then |
| 7 | it's likely that you can operate the pipeline safely. |
| 8 | Q. Well, you're familiar with the concept of |
| 9 | avoid, minimize, and mitigate; correct? |
| 10 | A. Not really. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. Well, when you are thinking of |
| 12 | developing a route for a hazardous pipeline, the first |
| 13 | thing that you should do is see what HCAs or other |
| 14 | sensitive areas can be avoided. And, if you |
| 15 | determine, as the pipeline operator, they can't be |
| 16 | avoided, then you try to minimize impact. Which |
| 17 | sounded like where you were going with the integrity |
| 18 | of the pipeline itself. And then, if you can't |
| 19 | minimize, you try to mitigate. |
| 20 | Is that concept generally familiar to you? |
| 21 | A. Well, I guess you've made that one up, but |
| 22 | your logic makes sense. And that's why I mentioned |
| 23 | earlier we have direct impact on 1.13 miles of HCAs in |
| 24 | the state of Iowa. Which is extremely low. Most |
| 25 | pipeline systems, depending on where they're |

| 1 | constructed, have as many as 40 to 60 percent. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. And that might sound good relative to HCAs, |
| 3 | high-consequence areas, until we determine that the |
| 4 | federal government only identifies four categories of |
| 5 | HCAs. And they only update them every ten years when |
| 6 | a new census comes out. |
| 7 | Correct? |
| 8 | A. And those are pretty critical areas. |
| 9 | Highly populated areas, other populated areas, |
| 10 | eco-sensitive areas, and navigable waterways. You're |
| 11 | correct. But those highly populated and other |
| 12 | populated areas, pretty critical. |
| 13 | Q. And the federal HCAs don't take into |
| 14 | account actually on the ground what's happening in |
| 15 | terms of expansion, in terms of new businesses, in |
| 16 | terms of rural residential housing or development. |
| 17 | The HCAs don't take into account any of that, do they. |
| 18 | A. No. But we do. If that information is |
| 19 | available, we try to avoid economic development. |
| 20 | Q. And that's what Mr. Schovanec is going to |
| 21 | tell us about; is that right? |
| 22 | A. Mr. Schovanec. |
| 23 | Q. Schovanec. He can tell us about that? |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. So you admit that you didn't have any |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | dispersion analysis prior to your initial route, you |
|----|--|
| 2 | state that you affect 1.3 miles of HCAs, and what have |
| 3 | you done to reroute around those particular areas? |
| 4 | A. Well, I didn't admit we didn't have a |
| 5 | dispersant analysis. What I said is you can't model |
| 6 | dispersion if you don't have a route. So you can't |
| 7 | put the cart in front of the horse. |
| 8 | Q. Well, that's not at all true |
| 9 | A. That is true. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Well, let's talk about that. |
| 11 | Pretend we don't have a route, but I know I have a |
| 12 | pipeline that's going to transport CO2 at 2,183 psig, |
| 13 | we're going to have 20-mile intervals, it's a 24-inch |
| 14 | pipe, I can calculate the volumes, I can calculate a |
| 15 | guillotine rupture, I can calculate the release rate |
| 16 | pounds per second, I can calculate the release |
| 17 | direction, I can do all these things, and I can |
| 18 | determine, in a worst-case scenario using wind speed |
| 19 | and terrain, how far a plume would travel regardless |
| 20 | of whether it's Iowa or South Dakota or anywhere else. |
| 21 | Correct? |
| 22 | A. Well, if you want to be relatively |
| 23 | inaccurate, I think the preferred option is to route |
| 24 | around where you know those sensitive areas are to the |
| 25 | extent possible. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1600

| 1 | O Ohow and to the entert regatible is |
|----|---|
| | Q. Okay. And to the extent possible is |
| 2 | actually something a hundred percent in your control |
| 3 | as the applicant; correct? |
| 4 | A. No. |
| 5 | Q. Okay. Someone is forcing you to choose the |
| 6 | route that's before this Board. |
| 7 | A. Well, in Iowa well, one, the process |
| 8 | with the IUB is we have to establish a notice |
| 9 | corridor. And it is up to us to establish that. But, |
| 10 | in Iowa, we've rerouted the pipeline, from initial |
| 11 | concept to today, 1,500 times. And many of those were |
| 12 | due to survey results. Avoiding cultural features, |
| 13 | environmental or biological features, or landowner |
| 14 | preference. |
| 15 | And so that's why we update our model on a |
| 16 | regular basis to make sure that we're accounting for |
| 17 | the most current and accurate information. |
| 18 | Q. And you would agree of those claimed 1,500 |
| 19 | reroutes, those would be what are known as micro |
| 20 | reroutes or small, slight modifications typically on |
| 21 | the parcel that you are already targeting; correct? |
| 22 | A. Typically. Correct. |
| 23 | Q. And the notice corridor well, I guess I |
| 24 | should ask this. Are you asking the IUB for an exact |
| 25 | route which is indicated in the most recent aerial |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | maps that you've uploaded for the route or are you |
|----|--|
| 2 | asking for the ability to route within the routing |
| 3 | corridor or something else? |
| 4 | A. We're asking for the route, as presented, |
| 5 | with the ability to relocate the pipeline within the |
| 6 | permanent easement. |
| 7 | Q. Okay. So I want to make sure we're clear. |
| 8 | Are you stating that it's your belief, if this project |
| 9 | were approved, you would be getting approval of an |
| 10 | exact route and only have the ability to move the pipe |
| 11 | within the 50-foot permanent right-of-way that you |
| 12 | seek? |
| 13 | A. That's my understanding. Unless the Board |
| 14 | gives us latitude to work with a landowner. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. And that's kind of what I'm trying |
| 16 | to figure out here. What you think is being |
| 17 | potentially approved or disapproved. |
| 18 | So do you believe that Summit has the |
| 19 | ability to move the potential route anywhere within a |
| 20 | given Exhibit H parcel? |
| 21 | A. No. |
| 22 | Q. But, reading through testimony, it's at |
| 23 | least your point of view that you're willing to work |
| 24 | with landowners who would present preferences or |
| 25 | potential reroutes of what you have requested at this |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1602

| 1 | <pre>stage; right?</pre> |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Again, if we were given that latitude by |
| 3 | the Board, and we had no constraints from a survey |
| 4 | perspective, yes, we would be willing to work with |
| 5 | individual landowners. |
| 6 | Q. Is it true that Summit has not presented |
| 7 | the local first responders, EMS, and persons in the |
| 8 | various counties you seek to locate the hazardous |
| 9 | pipeline, you have not presented them with any |
| 10 | dispersion or risk analysis; correct? |
| 11 | A. Although we've met with every emergency |
| 12 | management person in all 29 counties, it is very |
| 13 | premature to discuss or present them any information |
| 14 | at this point. However, we will present that and |
| 15 | tactical response documents and perform drills with |
| 16 | them, desktop drills, before the pipeline is placed in |
| 17 | operation. |
| 18 | Q. And you would agree there's no good reason |
| 19 | to not share the risk analysis and information with |
| 20 | those entities and first responders at this time; |
| 21 | correct? |
| 22 | A. No, there is good reason. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. Tell me. |
| 24 | A. It's two years before we're potentially in |
| 25 | operation, Mr. Jorde. And a lot of these voluntary |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | fire departments have high turnover. And so, as we |
|----|--|
| 2 | get closer to construct, and while we're in |
| 3 | construction, then we'll begin that process in |
| 4 | earnest. Which is best management practice. |
| 5 | Q. So do you see any value or do you see no |
| 6 | value in the concept that you would want to give all |
| 7 | potentially interested and affected parties the very |
| 8 | best information you have at this time? |
| 9 | A. I think with you give them information |
| 10 | that is relevant and that is necessary to provide at |
| 11 | this time. |
| 12 | Q. And "necessary" is something solely |
| 13 | determined by Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC; correct? |
| 14 | A. As long as we're compliant with PHMSA |
| 15 | regulations around public awareness, emergency |
| 16 | response, reparation, and any state requirements, yes. |
| 17 | Q. You're aware, though, that PHMSA only |
| 18 | requires an emergency response plan for the operator |
| 19 | and that there's no PHMSA oversight as to whether |
| 20 | counties or first responders want their own emergency |
| 21 | response plan; correct? |
| 22 | A. And I am also aware that first responders |
| 23 | typically go by the Response Guidebook. Or the Orange |
| 24 | Book. That's their basis. And we'll work with them |
| 25 | to go above and beyond PHMSA, once again, and provide |
| | |

| 1 | tactical documents tactical response plans along |
|----|--|
| 2 | the entirety of the pipeline in Iowa. |
| 3 | And those plans will be specific to the |
| 4 | location that that first responder, fire department, |
| 5 | et cetera, have jurisdiction over, and they will have |
| 6 | a very good understanding of what a response may look |
| 7 | like. |
| 8 | Q. And, in terms of getting them comfortable |
| 9 | and for any planning or budgeting purposes for |
| 10 | equipment, such as self-contained breathing apparatus |
| 11 | or electric vehicles or things that first responders |
| 12 | might deem necessary, you would agree, in the spirit |
| 13 | of going above and beyond PHMSA, that you should |
| 14 | immediately release your dispersion analysis to all |
| 15 | first responders in Iowa. |
| 16 | A. I would not agree. |
| 17 | Q. Do you think the first responders are |
| 18 | incapable of appreciating the risk that they would |
| 19 | understand if you were to provide your risk and |
| 20 | dispersion modeling to them? |
| 21 | A. No. |
| 22 | Q. So, given they can understand it and given |
| 23 | that it's clearly important, again I ask you on behalf |
| 24 | of Summit if you would agree to release that |
| 25 | information to county first responders today? |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. No, what I would say there's an orderly |
|----|--|
| 2 | process and development. And so there has been those |
| 3 | preliminary conversations with all these first |
| 4 | responding groups, first responder groups, and we've |
| 5 | actually solicited equipment needs that they may have. |
| 6 | So, as this project matures, and as we |
| 7 | hopefully get approved for construction and begin |
| 8 | construction, then that process will be pushed more |
| 9 | robustly. |
| 10 | Q. But they can only make decisions upon |
| 11 | equipment and needs that they perceive based upon the |
| 12 | best information they have. And, if you're refusing |
| 13 | to provide them with the best information, then they |
| 14 | obviously can't tell you what their needs are, can |
| 15 | they? |
| 16 | A. Mr. Jorde, I didn't say we're refusing. I |
| 17 | said that, in time, we will provide the information, |
| 18 | and that will be in plenty of time to provide any |
| 19 | additional resources they may need. And we'll support |
| 20 | them with additional resources they may need. |
| 21 | Q. And, if Summit has its way, "in time" means |
| 22 | after we've got approval, now we'll go ahead and show |
| 23 | you how dangerous this is; right? |
| 24 | A. Again, we'll provide information when the |
| 25 | planning begins more earnestly. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. And you would agree that because planning |
|----|---|
| 2 | hasn't begun in earnest, that this application should |
| 3 | be denied because you're simply not ready to move |
| 4 | forward as you should be; correct? |
| 5 | A. Not at all. |
| 6 | MR. JORDE: Are we able to pull up exhibits |
| 7 | from the docket? And, if so, should I direct that at |
| 8 | somebody? Could we please try to pull up 506 that was |
| 9 | uploaded this morning. |
| 10 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: We've got it. |
| 11 | They're pulling it up. It's just a rather large file. |
| 12 | So we'll get it up. |
| 13 | MR. JORDE: In the meantime, I would move |
| 14 | for admission well, I have uploaded as Exhibit 551 |
| 15 | Mr. Powell's deposition. I understand there's no |
| 16 | objection to that being offered. So I would offer |
| 17 | Mr. Powell's deposition as evidence at this time. |
| 18 | MR. LEONARD: That's correct. There's no |
| 19 | objection to the admission of the deposition |
| 20 | transcript. |
| 21 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. There we go. |
| 22 | Mr. Powell's deposition will be admitted. |
| 23 | And I believe we have our exhibit up now. |
| 24 | MR. JORDE: Thank you so much. And maybe |
| 25 | if you could just maybe hit the plus once. There you |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | go. And then scroll down if you wouldn't mind. |
|----|---|
| 2 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 3 | Q. Sir, what I'm showing you here is a |
| 4 | publicly available document of Navigator CO2 Pipeline |
| 5 | Company that they recently produced in South Dakota. |
| 6 | And you would agree with me, sir, that |
| 7 | having the ability to see something like this where |
| 8 | the purple is showing the potential consequence area |
| 9 | of a hazard Level 2 consequence, that that would be |
| 10 | important for the public to have in mind, and even |
| 11 | those landowners when negotiating with you, to |
| 12 | understand the risk that your project presents. |
| 13 | A. I would agree that that's important |
| 14 | information for first responders to have. |
| 15 | Q. And what about the people that not just |
| 16 | the ones that are going to come from 20 miles away, |
| 17 | but the people that live right there. Is it important |
| 18 | for them to have? |
| 19 | A. Again, you're not defining what this |
| 20 | actually depicts. |
| 21 | Q. Well, I'd be happy to go through that, but |
| 22 | my question is you would agree not just is dispersion |
| 23 | and risk analysis and visualization, like an overlay |
| 24 | we're looking at here, it's not only important for |
| 25 | first responders, but it's important for the |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | residents, the business owners, within the hazard |
|----|--|
| 2 | area; correct? |
| 3 | A. I would say what's important to the |
| 4 | individuals in these areas is the risk assessment |
| 5 | that's done and the actions that are taken to mitigate |
| 6 | that risk and the probability of an occurrence and the |
| 7 | severity of the impact if an occurrence should happen. |
| 8 | Which is extremely low. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. So I get it. You want to I mean, |
| 10 | I guess your pitch is that you, Summit, will |
| 11 | construct, or your contractors will construct, a |
| 12 | pipeline, and it's going to be so safe that we don't |
| 13 | really need to worry about this type of thing. |
| 14 | Is that what you're saying? |
| 15 | A. I didn't say that at all. We worry about |
| 16 | it. And that's why we're going above and beyond PHMSA |
| 17 | minimum requirements, as you phrased it, in many, many |
| 18 | areas, including our dispersant model. |
| 19 | So what you don't see on this buffer, which |
| 20 | I believe is 40,000 parts per million concentration, |
| 21 | is overland flow. |
| 22 | So what Summit has done is to the |
| 23 | terrain-aided overland flow and PHMSA requires |
| 24 | dispersant modeling and terrain-aided flow analysis |
| 25 | for high-consequence areas, which I've stated before |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| over the full extent of the pipeline in Iowa. And so the integrity management program, which, again, PHMSA requires is specific to HCAs, will apply for the full extent of the pipeline. So all those things will make, I believe, this pipeline one of the safest ever constructed. Q. And based on that overland flow and analysis you've done, do you believe the analysis you have is more precise than a model that did not use overland flow or 2D analysis? A. Yes. Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the analysis you have done is more accurate. And, therefore, another reason why it should be shared with the public. Correct? A. I agree it is more accurate. As your expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I do not believe that it should be shared. As I said before, it should be protected. Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging from 6- to 24-inch or is it from 8- to 24-inch? | 1 | is a very small percentage of Iowa, we've done that |
|--|----|--|
| which, again, PHMSA requires is specific to HCAs, will apply for the full extent of the pipeline. So all those things will make, I believe, this pipeline one of the safest ever constructed. Q. And based on that overland flow and analysis you've done, do you believe the analysis you have is more precise than a model that did not use overland flow or 2D analysis? A. Yes. Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the analysis you have done is more accurate. And, therefore, another reason why it should be shared with the public. Correct? A. I agree it is more accurate. As your expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I do not believe that it should be shared. As I said before, it should be protected. Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 2 | over the full extent of the pipeline in Iowa. |
| apply for the full extent of the pipeline. So all those things will make, I believe, this pipeline one of the safest ever constructed. Q. And based on that overland flow and analysis you've done, do you believe the analysis you have is more precise than a model that did not use overland flow or 2D analysis? A. Yes. Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the analysis you have done is more accurate. And, therefore, another reason why it should be shared with the public. Correct? A. I agree it is more accurate. As your expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I do not believe that it should be shared. As I said before, it should be protected. Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 3 | And so the integrity management program, |
| So all those things will make, I believe, this pipeline one of the safest ever constructed. Q. And based on that overland flow and analysis you've done, do you believe the analysis you have is more precise than a model that did not use overland flow or 2D analysis? A. Yes. Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the analysis you have done is more accurate. And, therefore, another reason why it should be shared with the public. Correct? A. I agree it is more accurate. As your expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I do not believe that it should be shared. As I said before, it should be protected. Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 4 | which, again, PHMSA requires is specific to HCAs, will |
| 7 this pipeline one of the safest ever constructed. 8 Q. And based on that overland flow and 9 analysis you've done, do you believe the analysis you 10 have is more precise than a model that did not use 11 overland flow or 2D analysis? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the 14 analysis you have done is more accurate. And, 15 therefore, another reason why it should be shared with 16 the public. 17 Correct? 18 A. I agree it is more accurate. As your 19 expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I 20 do not believe that it should be shared. As I said 21 before, it should be protected. 22 Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling 23 an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 5 | apply for the full extent of the pipeline. |
| Q. And based on that overland flow and analysis you've done, do you believe the analysis you have is more precise than a model that did not use overland flow or 2D analysis? A. Yes. Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the analysis you have done is more accurate. And, therefore, another reason why it should be shared with the public. Correct? A. I agree it is more accurate. As your expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I do not believe that it should be shared. As I said before, it should be protected. Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 6 | So all those things will make, I believe, |
| 9 analysis you've done, do you believe the analysis you 10 have is more precise than a model that did not use 11 overland flow or 2D analysis? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the 14 analysis you have done is more accurate. And, 15 therefore, another reason why it should be shared with 16 the public. 17 Correct? 18 A. I agree it is more accurate. As your 19 expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I 20 do not believe that it should be shared. As I said 21 before, it should be protected. 22 Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling 23 an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 7 | this pipeline one of the safest ever constructed. |
| have is more precise than a model that did not use overland flow or 2D analysis? A. Yes. Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the analysis you have done is more accurate. And, therefore, another reason why it should be shared with the public. Correct? A. I agree it is more accurate. As your expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I do not believe that it should be shared. As I said before, it should be protected. Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 8 | Q. And based on that overland flow and |
| overland flow or 2D analysis? A. Yes. Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the analysis you have done is more accurate. And, therefore, another reason why it should be shared with the public. Correct? A. I agree it is more accurate. As your expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I do not believe that it should be shared. As I said before, it should be protected. Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 9 | analysis you've done, do you believe the analysis you |
| 12A. Yes.13Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the14analysis you have done is more accurate. And,15therefore, another reason why it should be shared with16the public.17Correct?18A. I agree it is more accurate. As your19expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I20do not believe that it should be shared. As I said21before, it should be protected.22Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling23an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 10 | have is more precise than a model that did not use |
| Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the analysis you have done is more accurate. And, therefore, another reason why it should be shared with the public. Correct? A. I agree it is more accurate. As your expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I do not believe that it should be shared. As I said before, it should be protected. Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 11 | overland flow or 2D analysis? |
| 14 analysis you have done is more accurate. And, 15 therefore, another reason why it should be shared with 16 the public. 17 Correct? 18 A. I agree it is more accurate. As your 19 expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I 20 do not believe that it should be shared. As I said 21 before, it should be protected. 22 Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling 23 an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 15 therefore, another reason why it should be shared with 16 the public. 17 Correct? 18 A. I agree it is more accurate. As your 19 expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I 20 do not believe that it should be shared. As I said 21 before, it should be protected. 22 Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling 23 an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 13 | Q. And, therefore, you are confident that the |
| 16 the public. 17 Correct? 18 A. I agree it is more accurate. As your 19 expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I 20 do not believe that it should be shared. As I said 21 before, it should be protected. 22 Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling 23 an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 14 | analysis you have done is more accurate. And, |
| 17 Correct? 18 A. I agree it is more accurate. As your 19 expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I 20 do not believe that it should be shared. As I said 21 before, it should be protected. 22 Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling 23 an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 15 | therefore, another reason why it should be shared with |
| A. I agree it is more accurate. As your expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I do not believe that it should be shared. As I said before, it should be protected. Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 16 | the public. |
| 19 expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I 20 do not believe that it should be shared. As I said 21 before, it should be protected. 22 Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling 23 an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 17 | Correct? |
| do not believe that it should be shared. As I said before, it should be protected. Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 18 | A. I agree it is more accurate. As your |
| 21 before, it should be protected. 22 Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling 23 an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 19 | expert witness in South Dakota has attested to. But I |
| Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 20 | do not believe that it should be shared. As I said |
| 23 an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging | 21 | before, it should be protected. |
| | 22 | Q. So what we're looking at here is modeling |
| 24 from 6- to 24-inch or is it from 8- to 24-inch? | 23 | an 8-inch pipeline. And, in Iowa, are you ranging |
| | 24 | from 6- to 24-inch or is it from 8- to 24-inch? |
| 25 A. 6. | 25 | A. 6. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Q. So you go from 6- to 24-inch. Do you |
|----|--|
| 2 | happen to know the proposed miles within Iowa of each |
| 3 | diameter of pipe? |
| 4 | A. I do not. Mr. Schovanec can provide that |
| 5 | detail. |
| 6 | Q. All right. So you're going up or |
| 7 | proposing to go up to 24-inch in diameter. And I'm |
| 8 | sure you're aware, sir, that this is showing an 8-inch |
| 9 | lateral on the proposed Navigator system in South |
| 10 | Dakota, and they calculated a distance of 1,855 foot |
| 11 | as a buffer from the center line going in both |
| 12 | directions. |
| 13 | Do you understand that to be what this |
| 14 | exhibit shows? |
| 15 | A. I can't read that from here, but if that's |
| 16 | what you say. |
| 17 | Q. Let's just assume that's true. Because, if |
| 18 | you want and care to zoom in, that number is in there. |
| 19 | But let's just assume for purposes of my question |
| 20 | that I don't know if you call them a competitor, |
| 21 | but another proposed CO2 pipeline company has said |
| 22 | that risk goes out, on an 8-inch pipeline under |
| 23 | certain conditions, at least 1,855 foot. |
| 24 | And so my question is, when looking at the |
| 25 | volume and the amount of CO2 that could be released in |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | 5 |
|----|--|
| 1 | an unintended event, that to get from 8 to 24 you |
| 2 | don't just multiply by three. |
| 3 | Is that fair? |
| 4 | A. I'd say that's fair. |
| 5 | Q. And, in fact, by virtue of the physics and |
| 6 | the chemistry and how the molecules are compressed, |
| 7 | that the volume in a 20-mile 24-inch pipe from the |
| 8 | volume in a 20-mile segment of an 8-inch pipe is |
| 9 | greater than three times. |
| 10 | Is that true? |
| 11 | A. I'll take your word for it, Mr. Jorde. |
| 12 | Q. Well, I'm not the engineer. I'm just |
| 13 | repeating things I've learned. But if, from your |
| 14 | engineering background, you disagree, please tell me. |
| 15 | A. I don't disagree. |
| 16 | Q. And so, therefore, would you agree that if |
| 17 | the depiction we're looking at on the screen has a |
| 18 | 1,855 foot buffer for an 8-inch pipeline, we know that |
| 19 | the buffer for a 24-inch pipeline would be should |
| 20 | be greater than three times or 5,565 feet; correct? |
| 21 | A. That is not correct. |
| 22 | Q. Would you agree that a reasonable buffer |
| 23 | for a 24-inch pipeline would be 3,000 feet from the |
| 24 | center line of the pipe? |
| 25 | A. Mr. Jorde, I'm not going to speculate. And |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | you're using a Navigator model as your standard. I |
|----|--|
| 2 | can't speak to the accuracy of this model. And you're |
| 3 | talking about 20-mile valve spacing. I'm assuming |
| 4 | that's a could-affect area. So the valves would |
| 5 | probably if I'm looking at milepost numbers |
| 6 | correctly, it would be spaced closer than that. |
| 7 | But, in any event, I can't speculate as to |
| 8 | what the situation may or may not be in any area when |
| 9 | we're talking about speculating about flow rate and |
| 10 | pressure and location. |
| 11 | Q. And it would be much better to not |
| 12 | speculate than to just tell us what your numbers show; |
| 13 | correct? |
| 14 | A. I know we've been there several times this |
| 15 | morning, Mr. Jorde, but I have all day. |
| 16 | Q. I have all week. |
| 17 | A. If the Board requests that information in |
| 18 | confidence, we're happy to provide it. |
| 19 | Q. All right. Well, I guess we'll see. |
| 20 | So maybe I can potentially leave this |
| 21 | topic, just to be clear, you, sir, on behalf as the |
| 22 | what's your title? You're the chief operating |
| 23 | officer? |
| 24 | A. Correct. |
| 25 | Q. As the COO of Summit, applicant, you are |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | not willing to release your data that would inform us |
|----|--|
| 2 | if, in fact, the risks of a 24-inch pipeline are |
| 3 | 3,000 feet, 4,000 feet, 5,000 feet. You're not going |
| 4 | to voluntarily do that today, are you. |
| 5 | A. Again, I agree with PHMSA and federal |
| 6 | courts that this information should be protected. And |
| 7 | we do have our dispersant modeling expert and our risk |
| 8 | assessment expert that are slated for witnesses in |
| 9 | this proceeding. So there will be an opportunity to |
| 10 | talk to subject matter experts in both areas. |
| 11 | Q. But I'm not asking for a subject matter |
| 12 | expert. I'm asking for the buck stops here, the chief |
| 13 | operating officer, to just say, "Yes, we are going to |
| 14 | release this." Otherwise I'm going to have the same |
| 15 | dance with Mr. Godfrey and others. |
| 16 | A. It's not Mr. Godfrey I'm speaking of. |
| 17 | However, I've said it multiple times this morning. I |
| 18 | do not think we should release it publicly. |
| 19 | Q. Are you talking about Mr. Louque? |
| 20 | A. Mr. Louque. |
| 21 | Q. Louque. And he's with Audubon Field |
| 22 | Solutions? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. And so he would be let's just say, |
| 25 | hypothetically, before he testifies the IUB says, |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1614

| 1 | "Yes, you have to release it," then Mr. Louque would |
|----|--|
| 2 | be the person that would be able to walk us through |
| 3 | how they went about the calculations, the inputs, the |
| 4 | outputs, and all those things. |
| 5 | A. Correct. |
| 6 | Q. All right. Now, I've looked through your |
| 7 | testimony here, and it says that you're going to be |
| 8 | able to give an overview of the purpose of the |
| 9 | project. And I'm just curious in a sentence or as |
| 10 | succinct as you can on behalf of Summit, what do you |
| 11 | believe the purpose of this proposed hazardous |
| 12 | pipeline in Iowa is? |
| 13 | A. The purpose is to help the ethanol plant |
| 14 | partners that we have contracted with to capture their |
| 15 | CO2 before it is emitted, transport it to North |
| 16 | Dakota, and sequester it subsurface. Which will allow |
| 17 | them to significantly reduce their carbon intensity |
| 18 | which will then give them access to low-carbon fuel |
| 19 | markets and hopefully sustain the livelihood of their |
| 20 | businesses and the demand for corn in the communities |
| 21 | in which they operate. |
| 22 | Q. Okay. So is a more direct way of saying |
| 23 | that is you believe the purpose is to save the ethanol |
| 24 | industry in Iowa? |
| 25 | A. I didn't use the word "save." I said it's |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | to allow them the flexibility to sell in other |
|----|---|
| 2 | markets, especially low-carbon markets, that are more |
| 3 | profitable. |
| 4 | MR. JORDE: And I'm going to pick up on |
| 5 | that, but, before I do, I don't want to forget to |
| 6 | offer LO 506 that we were just looking at. |
| 7 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Are there any |
| 8 | objections? |
| 9 | MR. LEONARD: No objection from Summit. |
| 10 | MR. JORDE: Oh. And I probably need to add |
| 11 | to that. |
| 12 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 13 | Q. Mr. Powell, were you aware that |
| 14 | Exhibit 506, which, again, was part of the Public |
| 15 | Utility Commission Navigator South Dakota docket, |
| 16 | that, despite what it says, it does not show a |
| 17 | worst-case analysis? Are you aware of any of that |
| 18 | discussion that was held in South Dakota? |
| 19 | A. No. |
| 20 | Q. So then let's go back thank you for |
| 21 | that. Let's go back to your last answer, which was |
| 22 | the purpose of the project, and kind of break that |
| 23 | down. |
| 24 | So |
| 25 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Jorde? |
| | |

| 1 | MR. JORDE: Yes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Are you done with |
| 3 | your question so we can admit that? |
| 4 | MR. JORDE: Good idea. |
| 5 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. The Board |
| 6 | will admit the exhibit as Jorde Landowners Hearing |
| 7 | Exhibit 506. |
| 8 | MR. JORDE: Thank you. |
| 9 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. Go ahead. |
| 10 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 11 | Q. So back to Summit's stated purpose of the |
| 12 | project. You mentioned North Dakota. And it's true |
| 13 | that, in North Dakota, the North Dakota PSC in a vote |
| 14 | of 3-0 unanimously decided to deny Summit's North |
| 15 | Dakota pipeline application. |
| 16 | Correct? |
| 17 | A. Correct. |
| 18 | Q. And, on August 31st, Oliver County denied |
| 19 | Summit's request for two injection wells. |
| 20 | Correct? |
| 21 | A. That's my understanding. |
| 22 | Q. And you would agree that it's not |
| 23 | reasonable for this state and the folks here and all |
| 24 | of us to be put through your application when you have |
| 25 | no "you" being Summit, have no place to go and have |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | not a sufficient amount of storage capacity in North |
|----|--|
| 2 | Dakota; correct? |
| 3 | A. Disagree. |
| 4 | Q. In terms of accessing low-carbon markets, |
| 5 | or related to the low-carbon fuel standard, you're |
| 6 | referencing there California and Canada predominantly; |
| 7 | is that right? |
| 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. And so your pitch here why the purpose |
| 10 | of this project is so that a handful of Iowa ethanol |
| 11 | companies can end up potentially trucking or |
| 12 | transporting via rail Iowa ethanol to California. |
| 13 | A. If that's their preference. |
| 14 | Q. So you, of course, would say that pipelines |
| 15 | are the safest and most efficient transportation, but |
| 16 | do you understand in order to access the markets, |
| 17 | which is the basis of the purpose of your project, |
| 18 | that the product, this alleged low-carbon ethanol, |
| 19 | would have to be trucked or otherwise transported not |
| 20 | on pipeline to California and Canada? |
| 21 | A. It's being trucked somewhere now, |
| 22 | Mr. Jorde. |
| 23 | Q. Correct. So we don't need your pipeline, |
| 24 | do we. Because what you propose to happen with the |
| 25 | ethanol, it's already being delivered places; correct? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. Well, I think that the amount of trucks it |
|----|--|
| 2 | would take to transport 18 million tons of CO2 would |
| 3 | be unmanageable. |
| 4 | Q. Well, as of right now, according to your |
| 5 | testimony, you're looking to transport 9.5 million |
| 6 | metric tons per annum; correct? |
| 7 | A. Correct. |
| 8 | Q. So do you think that it's in the public |
| 9 | convenience and necessity of the citizens of Iowa to |
| 10 | essentially take one for the team so that Californians |
| 11 | can have a few years of low-carbon ethanol? |
| 12 | A. I don't know what you mean by the term |
| 13 | "take one for the team," but I will say that we think |
| 14 | this project will we're confident this project will |
| 15 | help the ethanol plants in Iowa that we have |
| 16 | contracted with to compete in the low-carbon fuel |
| 17 | markets. |
| 18 | Q. And you would agree that the economic gain |
| 19 | or economic benefit of your contracted ethanol plants |
| 20 | is not sufficient to justify an approval of this |
| 21 | project by this Board; correct? |
| 22 | A. I would not. I mean, look at Dakota Access |
| 23 | or other pipelines that transport a commodity from, |
| 24 | let's say, North Dakota to the Gulf Coast. We have |
| 25 | contracts with 12 businesses in this state that |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | contribute to their local economies and employ people |
|----|--|
| 2 | locally and contribute to the state GDP in a much |
| | - |
| 3 | bigger way than a pipeline that just transports |
| 4 | product across the state. |
| 5 | Q. How many people are employed by the |
| 6 | 27 percent of Exhibit H landowners that you have not |
| 7 | obtained easements for? |
| 8 | A. I have no idea. |
| 9 | Q. What is the gross domestic product in terms |
| 10 | of output that the 27 percent of the families and |
| 11 | their farming operations contribute to the Iowa |
| 12 | economy? |
| 13 | A. I'm not sure. |
| 14 | Q. And, in fact, no analysis has been done of |
| 15 | that. And, therefore, you, as applicant, can't make |
| 16 | the case that this project has any net benefits |
| 17 | whatsoever, can you. |
| 18 | A. I don't agree. |
| 19 | Q. You don't agree just because you don't like |
| 20 | it or you don't agree because you have some scientific |
| 21 | facts or numbers to support your disagreement? |
| 22 | A. Well, you're making the you're |
| 23 | speculating that we can't reach agreement with the |
| 24 | remaining landowners. |
| 25 | Q. Well, if you're saying reach an agreement |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | means if you don't sign it, we'll take it from you in |
|----|--|
| 2 | court and make you run up a bunch of legal fees, then |
| 3 | maybe you can. But I'm talking about an actual |
| 4 | voluntary exchange. And you would agree that you've |
| - | had very few actual voluntarily signed easements; |
| 6 | correct? |
| 7 | A. No, I would disagree. |
| | |
| 8 | Q. And part of your theory on the ethanol |
| 9 | plants that you're partnering with and the benefit is |
| 10 | that you believe, if your project goes through and CO2 |
| 11 | is somehow captured and shipped up somewhere to North |
| 12 | Dakota, that then the ethanol plants might be able to |
| 13 | make more money selling and shipping their ethanol |
| 14 | into California. |
| 15 | Is that the gist of it? |
| 16 | A. Well, I think if they are more profitable, |
| 17 | "they" being the ethanol plants, and then they have |
| 18 | the ability to expand and then their demand for corn |
| 19 | increases if I remember correctly, the demand for |
| 20 | corn in Iowa currently is about 1.6 billion bushels. |
| 21 | Which produces about four and a half billion gallons |
| 22 | of ethanol. So, as that increases, the demand |
| 23 | increases. |
| 24 | And it's my understanding also that in |
| 25 | reducing these plants' CI score, that that's about |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| _ | |
|----|--|
| 1 | 2 cents a point below 50. And so that extrapolates |
| 2 | into higher prices for corn. |
| 3 | Q. Are you relying on the gentleman from |
| 4 | Ernst & Young to back that up or do you have an |
| 5 | economist that's going to try to substantiate what you |
| 6 | just laid out? |
| 7 | A. Well, Ernst & Young will substantiate the |
| 8 | work we've done around the economic impact. Or the |
| 9 | purported economic impact. But I think our ethanol |
| 10 | partners can substantiate the value to them and to |
| 11 | potential farmers that sell their corn to the ethanol |
| 12 | plants. |
| 13 | Q. And one of the links you try to make, sir, |
| 14 | is that if there's more demand for corn, then the corn |
| 15 | prices will go up. And, if corn prices go up, then |
| 16 | that will underlie or support or help land prices in |
| 17 | Iowa increase. |
| 18 | Do you stand by those statements? |
| 19 | A. Well, again, I think that commodity prices |
| 20 | hopefully will stay reasonably stable. And, at least |
| 21 | what I've learned in the last couple years, that land |
| 22 | prices in Iowa have went up substantially in the last |
| 23 | few years. |
| 24 | And so as long as the ethanol market stays |
| 25 | robust and the corn demand stays high, I would think |
| | |

| 1 | that would contribute to sustaining high land prices |
|----|--|
| 2 | in Iowa. |
| 3 | Q. And can you think of any other market |
| 4 | factors that play into the price, and the fluctuating |
| 5 | price, of a commodity such as corn other than ethanol |
| 6 | demand? |
| 7 | A. There are others, I'm sure. I'm not an |
| 8 | expert in the ag economy, but the demand for corn, I |
| 9 | would think, would be a contributing factor, a |
| 10 | significant factor. |
| 11 | Q. And do you think if an ethanol plant is |
| 12 | having to pay more for corn, its principal input, that |
| 13 | that's going to have a drag or a benefit on its bottom |
| 14 | line? |
| 15 | A. Well, it depends on the back end of that |
| 16 | equation, Mr. Jorde. So, if they're securing higher |
| 17 | pricing in low-carbon fuel markets, I would think that |
| 18 | would benefit their bottom line. |
| 19 | Q. Of the 13 ethanol partners that Summit |
| 20 | claims to have in Iowa, how many of those are |
| 21 | corporate owned or how many of those are locally owned |
| 22 | co-ops? |
| 23 | A. Well, I think the project we have in front |
| 24 | of the commission is for 12 plants. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1623

| 1 | A. And Mr. Pirolli, our chief commercial |
|----|--|
| 2 | officer, would be much better versed in how those |
| 3 | plants are organized, what their ownership structure |
| 4 | is, et cetera. |
| 5 | Q. Would you agree that the price of corn, and |
| 6 | an increasing price of corn, is materially detrimental |
| 7 | to Summit's business? |
| 8 | A. No. |
| 9 | Q. So you would disagree with the risks |
| 10 | outlined in Summit's private placement memorandum |
| 11 | where it says increasing corn prices could adversely |
| 12 | affect the results of operations and profitability of |
| 13 | the operating company? |
| 14 | A. It could. |
| 15 | Q. And "could" is the key word because all of |
| 16 | this is pure speculation in terms of land prices |
| 17 | staying up, if corn price is "this," if California and |
| 18 | Canada "that." Your entire premise of your |
| 19 | application is based on speculation; correct? |
| 20 | A. Well, I would say it's not speculation that |
| 21 | currently CO2 is being emitted into the atmosphere. |
| 22 | So pulling that CO2 off of the ethanol plant's |
| 23 | fermentation process and capturing it and transporting |
| 24 | it to North Dakota before it reaches the atmosphere, |
| 25 | that's currently happening. So, no, I don't think |
| | |

| 1 | it's speculation. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. That begs the question then let's leave the |
| 3 | alleged benefit to maybe a handful of ethanol |
| 4 | companies in Iowa. Is one of your also I guess the |
| 5 | pitch here, one of the purposes of the project, is to |
| 6 | help with global warming and climate change? |
| 7 | A. Summit doesn't take a position on climate |
| 8 | change. Our primary drivers are to help the ethanol |
| 9 | plants reduce their carbon intensity and help them be |
| 10 | competitive in low-carbon fuel markets. Which, in |
| 11 | turn, as you just said, drives demand for corn and |
| 12 | keeps land values high. And the fact that those |
| 13 | emissions are being removed from the process before |
| 14 | they're being emitted into the atmosphere. |
| 15 | And so, peak capacity, if you have |
| 16 | 18 million tons of greenhouse gas emissions that |
| 17 | aren't emitted, that's probably a benefit. |
| 18 | Q. And so I need to pin you down, sir. Are |
| 19 | you or are you not proposing to this Board that an |
| 20 | environmental benefit is one of the reasons you think |
| 21 | they should approve this project? That you're somehow |
| 22 | affecting for the better climate change or global |
| 23 | warming on this planet. |
| 24 | A. As I just stated, there is an environmental |
| 25 | benefit. |

| 1 | Q. Okay. And are you wanting this Board in |
|----|--|
| 2 | their decision-making process to include that in one |
| 3 | of the factors that they consider? That you believe |
| 4 | your project will produce an environmental benefit. |
| 5 | A. I'm not going to recommend what the Board |
| 6 | does or does not consider. As I said, there is an |
| 7 | environmental benefit, in my opinion, of removing |
| 8 | those greenhouse gases from the process before they're |
| 9 | emitted into the atmosphere. |
| 10 | Q. So let's talk about that. You would agree |
| 11 | that the IRS for being able to receive the 45Q tax |
| 12 | credits only requires proof that CO2 is sequestered |
| 13 | for a period of three years. |
| 14 | A. I am not familiar with that. |
| 15 | Q. Are you aware if the 45Q tax credits have |
| 16 | any tether or any requirement or prerequisite that CO2 |
| 17 | must be permanently captured and permanently |
| 18 | sequestered? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. And it's true that the IRS does not have a |
| 21 | requirement that the CO2 be forever captured in order |
| 22 | for Summit to apply for and receive 45Q tax credits. |
| 23 | A. I am not aware of that. Again, Mr. Pirolli |
| 24 | would have a much deeper understanding of that than |
| 25 | myself. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Q. So you would agree that if in North |
|----|--|
| 2 | Dakota and Harold Hamm, who has various oil and gas |
| 3 | interests and one of your major investors, that in |
| 4 | North Dakota if someday in the future this CO2 is, in |
| 5 | fact, used for EOR, enhanced oil recovery, which North |
| 6 | Dakota has said they are desperate for CO2 to do that, |
| 7 | you would agree that then any alleged environmental |
| 8 | benefit you're asking this Board to consider would be |
| 9 | destroyed and, therefore, they should not consider |
| 10 | that. |
| 11 | A. I would disagree. Because I know there are |
| 12 | stringent requirements from the North Dakota |
| 13 | Industrial Commission and the federal government |
| 14 | around how the CO2 that is injected subsurface is |
| 15 | measured and the volume and size of the subsurface |
| 16 | plume. And that's why we'll have monitoring devices |
| 17 | at the surface and subsurface. |
| 18 | And so we'll have to maintain that |
| 19 | information, it's my understanding, for the life of |
| 20 | the project. |
| 21 | Q. That you'll have to maintain information or |
| 22 | it's your understanding that you actually have to |
| 23 | prove that every metric ton of Iowa-captured CO2 is |
| 24 | still somewhere underground in North Dakota forever? |
| 25 | A. Prove. And not a well-known fact, |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Mr. Jorde, to use CO2 for enhanced oil recovery, the |
|----|--|
| 2 | oxygen content has to be very low. |
| 3 | The oxygen content generally in this CO2 |
| 4 | stream will be significantly larger. And so to |
| 5 | actually use it for enhanced oil recovery would |
| 6 | require a liquefaction facility that would be the |
| 7 | largest in the world. |
| 8 | So it's not feasible or practical at this |
| 9 | time. |
| 10 | Q. Can we take a look |
| 11 | MR. JORDE: And, by the way, I'm just going |
| 12 | to keep going unless you cut me off for a break. So |
| 13 | I'll just leave that up to you. Keep going? Okay. |
| 14 | If we could please pull up Exhibit 571. |
| 15 | Thank you. If you wouldn't mind maybe |
| 16 | minimizing that somewhat so we might be able to see |
| 17 | the very top there for a second. |
| 18 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 19 | Q. Sir, are you aware of this article coming |
| 20 | out or these statements made by the North Dakota State |
| 21 | Department of Mineral Resources in very close, within |
| 22 | days of time of when the PSC, North Dakota PSC, |
| 23 | rejected the Summit application? |
| 24 | A. I am not. |
| 25 | Q. Do you think it's a coincidence that within |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | days of the PUC, which we can take by the date of the |
|----|--|
| 2 | article pardon me, the PSC rejecting the Summit |
| 3 | application that the North Dakota Department of |
| 4 | Mineral Resources warns "more CO2 is needed to sustain |
| 5 | oil production long term"? Do you think there's any |
| 6 | connection with those things? |
| 7 | A. I do not. |
| 8 | Q. And is it true in your North Dakota |
| 9 | application that you don't specifically say you will |
| 10 | never use the CO2 and you don't specifically commit to |
| 11 | absolutely only sequestering the CO2 you intend to |
| 12 | transport into North Dakota? |
| 13 | A. Incorrect. I mean, Mr. Jorde, we're a |
| 14 | common carrier. So the ethanol plants that we've |
| 15 | currently contracted with intend for us to transport |
| 16 | that CO2 to North Dakota and sequester it. Which will |
| 17 | benefit them as we've previously discussed this |
| 18 | morning. |
| 19 | If another carrier decided to use or ask |
| 20 | us to transport CO2 for another purpose, like enhanced |
| 21 | oil recovery, then that's a possibility. But it would |
| 22 | be a segregated stream of CO2. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. Let's just go with that. If it's a |
| 24 | possibility that on the pipeline system that you are |
| 25 | asking for approval here in an application that says |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | you'll never do anything other than permanently |
|----|--|
| 2 | sequester CO2, if it's a possibility that, in fact, |
| 3 | you will use it for EOR, then this Board cannot rely |
| 4 | on any of your alleged environmental benefits; |
| 5 | correct? |
| 6 | A. Mr. Jorde, as we've said many times this |
| 7 | morning, the ethanol plants, the 12 that we're |
| 8 | currently contracted with, intend for us to transport |
| 9 | the CO2 that's captured and sequester it. That |
| 10 | benefits their ability to compete in the low-carbon |
| 11 | fuel markets. |
| 12 | Q. Well, the fact of the matter sorry. |
| 13 | A. And that's the scope of the project we have |
| 14 | before the Board. |
| 15 | Q. The fact of the matter is that Summit is |
| 16 | going to own the CO2 that it intends to transport on |
| 17 | its pipeline. So you have no customers and you have |
| 18 | no shipper because you are your own shipper; correct? |
| 19 | A. We are committing to transporting the CO2 |
| 20 | that we do take possession of at the capture facility |
| 21 | to North Dakota and sequester it. |
| 22 | Q. All right. So you would agree that Summit, |
| 23 | under the model in Iowa, is going to construct and own |
| 24 | the carbon capture equipment that attaches to the |
| 25 | ethanol plant and then attaches up to the proposed |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1630

| 1 | <pre>pipeline; correct?</pre> |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | Q. And then Summit will take title of the CO2 |
| 4 | at the title transfer point, which is the point where |
| 5 | the pipeline, the transportation pipeline, meets the |
| 6 | carbon capture equipment. |
| 7 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 8 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 9 | MR. LEONARD: I'll object to the extent it |
| 10 | calls for a legal conclusion as to where Summit takes |
| 11 | title. |
| 12 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Jorde. |
| 13 | MR. JORDE: It's a factual question of |
| 14 | where they take title. And the COO would certainly |
| 15 | know what the business model is. |
| 16 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: The witness can |
| 17 | answer the question if you'd like. |
| 18 | A. Well, we actually take custody of the CO2 |
| 19 | at the scrubber that comes off of the fermentation |
| 20 | process at the ethanol plant. Mr. Pirolli can talk |
| 21 | about the commercial aspects of the CO2. |
| 22 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 23 | Q. Okay. So your answer is that Summit does |
| 24 | take title are you saying take title or are you |
| 25 | saying take custody? Are you differentiating between |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | the concept of the title transfer point and the |
|----|--|
| 2 | concept of taking custody? |
| 3 | A. I'm differentiating. Because I have not |
| 4 | seen the agreements, the offtake agreements, between |
| 5 | the plants and our commercial team. But I do know we |
| 6 | take custody at that point and that it's in our care |
| 7 | to compress it, dehydrate it, inject it into the |
| 8 | pipeline, and transport it to North Dakota for |
| 9 | injection subsurface. |
| 10 | Q. And you would agree that if Summit, in |
| 11 | fact, takes title, not just custody but takes title to |
| 12 | the CO2 molecules at the moment it enters its |
| 13 | pipeline, that it is not a common carrier. |
| 14 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 15 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 16 | MR. LEONARD: Calls for a legal conclusion. |
| 17 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Jorde. |
| 18 | MR. JORDE: He said he was a common carrier |
| 19 | and I'm trying to figure out what he meant by that. |
| 20 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: You can answer if you |
| 21 | know. |
| 22 | A. We're a common carrier because we have |
| 23 | if someone can meet our quality specs and has a means |
| 24 | to get the product onto the pipeline and has a |
| 25 | location to get it off of the pipeline and we have |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1632

| r | |
|----|--|
| 1 | capacity and we're maintaining 10 percent of the |
| 2 | capacity of the pipeline for other shippers, then I |
| 3 | believe we're a common carrier. |
| 4 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 5 | Q. Well, okay, you have that belief, but, if |
| 6 | we really started to dig into that, would you agree |
| 7 | Mr. Pirolli is the more appropriate witness or are you |
| 8 | willing to field questions on everything you just said |
| 9 | there? |
| 10 | A. Mr. Pirolli is our chief commercial |
| 11 | officer. I think he's better suited to ask those |
| 12 | questions. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. |
| 14 | MR. JORDE: So I guess I should offer |
| 15 | Exhibit 571. |
| 16 | MR. LEONARD: No objections. |
| 17 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: No objections? |
| 18 | (No response.) |
| 19 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Hearing no |
| 20 | objections, the exhibit will be admitted as Jorde |
| 21 | Landowners Hearing Exhibit 571. |
| 22 | MR. JORDE: Thank you. And I would also |
| 23 | offer 570. Which is the North Dakota PSC decision |
| 24 | denying Summit's application. |
| 25 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Are there objections? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | MR. LEONARD: Just a moment. I'm trying to |
|----|--|
| 2 | take a look at it. |
| 3 | No objection. |
| 4 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Seeing no |
| 5 | objections I believe we already took judicial |
| 6 | notice, but, in an abundance of caution, we will admit |
| 7 | Jorde Landowners Hearing Exhibit 570. |
| 8 | MR. JORDE: I appreciate that. And now at |
| 9 | least we have a copy as opposed to judicial notice. I |
| 10 | didn't know if it would be in the record. But I |
| 11 | appreciate that clarification. |
| 12 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 13 | Q. Sir, on page 4 of your direct testimony, |
| 14 | you state that your intention is "to plan, construct, |
| 15 | and operate a world-class project which meets the |
| 16 | needs of the shippers." |
| 17 | And, again, you are the shipper. Because |
| 18 | you take ownership of the CO2 molecules. |
| 19 | Correct? |
| 20 | A. When I say "meets the needs of the |
| 21 | shippers," the intent in that comment is our |
| 22 | customers. And, in this case, currently that's the |
| 23 | ethanol providers, but could be others in the future. |
| 24 | Q. Well, but they're not customers because |
| 25 | they're not paying you for transport. In fact, |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | they're just giving you the CO2, you become the owner, |
|----|--|
| 2 | and then you ship it for yourself; correct? |
| 3 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 4 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 5 | MR. LEONARD: Same objection as previously. |
| 6 | That it calls for a legal conclusion. Also, to the |
| 7 | extent this gets to the terms of the offtake |
| 8 | agreements, it's more appropriate for closed session. |
| 9 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 10 | Mr. Jorde. |
| 11 | MR. JORDE: Well, I guess I'll ask |
| 12 | Mr. Powell this. |
| 13 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 14 | Q. In terms of the offtake agreement, sir, and |
| 15 | getting into those, would Mr. Pirolli be better suited |
| 16 | than yourself for that discussion? |
| 17 | A. He would. |
| 18 | Q. So I'll hold that and we'll wait for |
| 19 | Mr. Pirolli on that. |
| 20 | Again, we talked about the 9.5 million |
| 21 | metric tons per annum. And your statement is that |
| 22 | when you look proposed project-wide, that is the |
| 23 | committed volume that you believe you have presently? |
| 24 | A. That is the committed volume we have |
| 25 | presently. And about 3.3 of that is associated with |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | the 12 Iowa ethanol plants. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. 3.3 million metric tons? |
| 3 | A. Correct. |
| 4 | Q. Does the 9.5 million, and based on how |
| 5 | you'll calculate the tax credits, 45Q tax credits, |
| 6 | does that put you at a break-even annually? Does that |
| 7 | make the project viable? That volume? |
| 8 | A. Again, I think that's a question better |
| 9 | suited for Mr. Pirolli. |
| 10 | Q. You claim that CO2 is in a supercritical |
| 11 | state. And you understand that supercritical is a |
| 12 | different physical state that's not liquid? Do you |
| 13 | understand that? |
| 14 | A. Well, I understand that supercritical has |
| 15 | both liquid and gas properties. |
| 16 | Q. So you would agree supercritical, by the |
| 17 | very fact that we have a term "supercritical," is |
| 18 | different than substance or molecules in the liquid |
| 19 | phase. |
| 20 | A. Again, I think it includes well, I know |
| 21 | it does. It includes both gas and it's a fluid |
| 22 | that includes both gas and liquid properties. |
| 23 | And there will be times on this project in |
| 24 | the operation of this pipeline when the liquid or the |
| 25 | CO2 in this pipeline may drop below the supercritical |

| 1 | temperature threshold and it will become a liquid. |
|----|--|
| 2 | - |
| | And, as PHMSA dictates in a pipeline |
| 3 | system, if that occurs anywhere along the pipeline, |
| 4 | then it applies to the entire pipeline. |
| 5 | Q. Where specifically on your proposed route |
| 6 | would that occur? |
| 7 | A. Again, you're asking me to speculate about |
| 8 | the operation. Time of year, discharge temperature, |
| 9 | specific plants, et cetera. |
| 10 | Q. Well, I'm not asking you to speculate on |
| 11 | the operation. You're the operational officer. I'm |
| 12 | asking you to comment and defend the statement you |
| 13 | just made that, according to you, the CO2 will be in a |
| 14 | liquid phase at certain places. I just want to know |
| 15 | where and when is that going to happen? |
| 16 | A. I said it may be in liquid state at certain |
| 17 | times of the operation. |
| 18 | Q. All right. So it may not be in liquid |
| 19 | state at certain times; correct? |
| 20 | A. And that's why it says supercritical or |
| 21 | dense phase. |
| 22 | Q. But dense phase is just industry jargon. |
| 23 | That's not a scientific term; correct? |
| 24 | A. I disagree. |
| 25 | Q. But, in any event, supercritical defines a |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | type of matter, or a state of matter, that is |
|----|--|
| 2 | different from a liquid or a gas; correct? |
| 3 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 4 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 5 | MR. LEONARD: I'm going to object to the |
| 6 | extent it calls for a legal conclusion under Iowa law. |
| 7 | MR. JORDE: This witness is talking all |
| 8 | about the different phases of matter. I think he can |
| 9 | answer the question. |
| 10 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: You may answer if you |
| 11 | know. |
| 12 | A. Could you restate, please, Mr. Jorde? |
| 13 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 14 | Q. Yes, just simply, sir, and we can move on, |
| 15 | so "supercritical" defines a particular phase of |
| 16 | matter that is different than a gaseous phase or a |
| 17 | liquid phase; correct? |
| 18 | A. I will just say it is a fluid that has both |
| 19 | liquid and gas properties. |
| 20 | Q. And a fluid isn't a state of matter. Fluid |
| 21 | is a description of a substance; correct? |
| 22 | A. Again, it is a fluid that has gas and |
| 23 | liquid properties. |
| 24 | Q. So it's neither one or the other, but, |
| 25 | according to you, supercritical has gas and liquid |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | properties, is that it? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Well, I disagree with your |
| 3 | characterization. As I said, it has liquid and gas |
| 4 | properties. |
| 5 | Q. But it's different; correct? I mean, we |
| 6 | have a separate word to describe it because it's not |
| 7 | the same; right? "Supercritical" and "liquid" are not |
| 8 | the same. Can we agree on that? |
| 9 | A. They are not identical. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. All right. And neither is |
| 11 | "supercritical" and "gas." They're not the same. |
| 12 | They're not identical. |
| 13 | Correct? |
| 14 | A. Correct. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Now, you're aware of California and |
| 16 | your low-carbon fuel standard, that they're phasing |
| 17 | out internal combustion engines in about ten years? |
| 18 | You're aware of that? |
| 19 | A. Well, I believe that's the stated |
| 20 | objective. Considering the volume of electric |
| 21 | vehicles, or the quantity of electrical vehicles in |
| 22 | this country, and even on the West Coast, that's |
| 23 | probably a challenge. |
| 24 | Q. Well, wouldn't you agree that it would be |
| 25 | better for us all just to get electric vehicles and |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

skip this whole pipeline thing. Because that would 1 2 really be the way to help the environment, wouldn't 3 it? 4 Α. I disagree. 5 0. And in terms of -- you said -- I think you said if the Board approves, and all the other states 6 approve, it would take you at least two years to 7 8 become operational; is that correct? 9 Potentially. It depends on when we receive Α. 10 those approvals and the construction window and 11 whether we have to construct through one winter or two 12 winter seasons. 13 And so let's just say -- just for 0. 14 argument's sake, we'll pick two years. California is 15 moving off internal -- the ICE standard in ten years. That gives you about an eight-year runway. And you 16 17 would agree that it's certainly not in the public 18 convenience and necessity of Iowa to potentially have 19 a handful of Iowa ethanol plants maybe make a bit of 20 premium for eight years in California. 21 Well, I wouldn't agree with that statement. Α. 22 What I would agree with is that globally, and in this 23 country, there's a push to decarbonize. There are 24 many states, including Iowa it's my understanding, 25 that are looking at low-carbon fuel standards.

| 1 | And, again, Mr. Pirolli can speak to this |
|----|---|
| 2 | in more detail, but it's my understanding that it's |
| 3 | our thought process that many other states in this |
| 4 | country will adopt low-carbon fuel standards and |
| 5 | expand the market for these ethanol facilities. |
| 6 | And then, secondly, there are European |
| 7 | markets for low-carbon fuels as well. |
| 8 | So I do not agree that the demand will |
| 9 | diminish because California may or may not adopt a |
| 10 | suite of electric vehicles. |
| 11 | Q. So everything you just said is basically |
| 12 | it's speculation, it's hope and maybe and one day and |
| 13 | Europe and maybe other states, but you don't have any |
| 14 | hard evidence and you're not expecting this Board to |
| 15 | rely upon the potential of Iowa somehow selling |
| 16 | low-carbon fuel to Europe as a reason to approve your |
| 17 | project, are you? |
| 18 | A. Well, we do know what the demand is today |
| 19 | for low-carbon fuel markets. And, if you're talking |
| 20 | about a hope, I would think it's a hope of California |
| 21 | to have all electric vehicles by 2035. |
| 22 | Q. Is that a hope just as the low-carbon fuel |
| 23 | standard being around is a hope? I mean, they're both |
| 24 | laws, aren't they? |
| 25 | A. Well, I do know that there's a demand on |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1 | the West Coast and Canada now that's adequate to |
| 2 | service the ethanol plants that we're contracted with. |
| 3 | Q. So it would be a lot better, wouldn't it, |
| 4 | just to try to build an ethanol pipeline rather than a |
| 5 | CO2 pipeline just to help these plants get to market |
| 6 | quicker safer, would you agree? |
| 7 | A. Well, you've got to lower their carbon |
| 8 | intensity to make their product viable for low-carbon |
| 9 | fuel markets. |
| 10 | Q. And you would agree that if your pipeline |
| 11 | is not approved and not constructed, that these same |
| 12 | farmers are going to be able to take their grain to |
| 13 | the same ethanol plants and all the rest of us not in |
| 14 | California are going to keep using ethanol and |
| 15 | everyone will be fine. |
| 16 | Right? |
| 17 | A. Well, not according to the Iowa Renewable |
| 18 | Fuels Association who indicated that if CCS isn't |
| 19 | implemented, that the demand could reduce by as much |
| 20 | as 75 percent and have an economic impact on this |
| 21 | state of potentially \$10 billion and on farmers of a |
| 22 | billion dollars. |
| 23 | Q. Are you referring to the study that |
| 24 | Mr. Rastetter asked be done to promote this project? |
| 25 | A. I'm not familiar with what Mr. Rastetter |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1642

| | 1 |
|----|--|
| 1 | did or did not request. I just know the study that |
| 2 | was produced. I'm referencing the study that was |
| 3 | produced by the Iowa Renewable Fuels Association. |
| 4 | Q. Do you think they're a particularly neutral |
| 5 | party in this debate we're having? |
| 6 | A. I can't speak to that, but I would hope |
| 7 | they would be. |
| 8 | Q. You don't think their members have any |
| 9 | potential desire for approval of this project? You |
| 10 | wouldn't count them as on your team, so to speak? |
| 11 | A. Again, I don't know what their stated |
| 12 | charter is, but, just from their name, "Renewable |
| 13 | Fuels," I'm assuming they would support a project like |
| 14 | this. |
| 15 | Q. All right. So, in terms of, again, the |
| 16 | purpose, we've said global warming, although Summit |
| 17 | doesn't take a position on that, we've said access to |
| 18 | California/Canada low carbon markets, and then you |
| 19 | believe flowing from that access is higher corn prices |
| 20 | here locally and then maybe that helps land prices. |
| 21 | Is there any other alleged purpose of the |
| 22 | project? |
| 23 | A. I think those are the primary objectives. |
| 24 | Q. And you would agree that it's not the |
| 25 | purview of this Board to consider derivative or |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | derivative on derivative objectives or potential |
|----|--|
| 2 | benefits such as if California low carbon, if |
| 3 | increased corn basis, if increased land values. They |
| 4 | don't go that far out when looking at this project for |
| 5 | approval, do they? |
| 6 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 7 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 8 | MR. LEONARD: Calls for a legal conclusion |
| 9 | as to the jurisdiction and purview of the Board. |
| 10 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Sustained. |
| 11 | Mr. Jorde, can you reword, please. |
| 12 | MR. JORDE: Yes. |
| 13 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 14 | Q. Do you think you know, you talk a lot |
| 15 | about ethanol. A lot about ethanol. Is this the |
| 16 | ethanol board? I mean, who cares. What do you think |
| 17 | ethanol has to do with whether or not a hazardous |
| 18 | pipeline should or should not be approved in the state |
| 19 | of Iowa? |
| 20 | A. I don't understand your question, |
| 21 | Mr. Jorde. |
| 22 | Q. Well, I don't understand why we're talking |
| 23 | about ethanol. I mean, can't this project I mean, |
| 24 | if this was a good project, couldn't it stand on its |
| 25 | own and we wouldn't have to go if then, if then, if |
| | |

| 1 | then and all this speculation? It would just have a |
|----|--|
| 2 | core purpose that we could agree is good. Wouldn't |
| 3 | that make more sense? |
| 4 | A. Well, Mr. Jorde, you apparently are the |
| 5 | only one that doesn't understand that. And, as I've |
| 6 | said, there's a robust market not only on the West |
| 7 | Coast and California but Washington and Canada, and |
| 8 | the current demand is there for the ethanol plants |
| 9 | that we're contracted with. And that demand, as you |
| 10 | said, will be there for at least another ten-plus |
| 11 | years. That assumes that no other demand appears. |
| 12 | And then, secondly, this project will |
| 13 | definitely, scientifically, confirm that it will |
| 14 | reduce the carbon intensity of these ethanol plants |
| 15 | significantly so then they can compete in these |
| 16 | low-carbon fuel markets and gain higher value for |
| 17 | their product. |
| 18 | Q. No, I understand that's the pitch here, but |
| 19 | in terms of the balancing and the decision-making |
| 20 | process, wouldn't you agree that it's not fair, it's |
| 21 | not equitable, it's not publicly convenient, and it's |
| 22 | certainly not necessary for you to get to that end to |
| 23 | demand forever easements across Iowans' land; correct? |
| 24 | A. No. |
| 25 | Q. So Summit believes it can justify forever |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | 5 |
|----|---|
| 1 | easements, the risk that you won't disclose, because |
| 2 | it's obviously so bad and so scary, you can justify |
| 3 | all those things in the name of 12 ethanol companies' |
| 4 | <pre>profits; correct?</pre> |
| 5 | A. As I've said many times already this |
| 6 | morning, Mr. Jorde, it's not just those ethanol |
| 7 | plants' profits. It's the people they employ directly |
| 8 | and the indirect effects in those communities as well |
| 9 | as the folks that sell their feed product. |
| 10 | Q. And, if Summit was actually concerned about |
| 11 | the effects on communities, you'd release your risk |
| 12 | and dispersion data; right? |
| 13 | A. Disagree. And we are absolutely concerned |
| 14 | about a risk. And that's why we're going above and |
| 15 | beyond PHMSA requirements in many, many areas to |
| 16 | minimize the risk. And we've got an expert that will |
| 17 | testify in this proceeding that can speak in more |
| 18 | detail to that quantitative risk assessment. |
| 19 | Q. And to, again, test that stated concern, is |
| 20 | there a single person in the state of Iowa not |
| 21 | associated with Summit that has seen your risk |
| 22 | analysis? Yes or no. |
| 23 | A. Well, as in the state of Iowa? |
| 24 | Q. Yeah. |
| 25 | A. No. |
| | |

| 1 | Q. Now, you talk on page 5 that the project |
|----|---|
| 2 | is, quote, intended to provide, quote, expanded |
| 3 | opportunities for ethanol in low-carbon fuel markets, |
| 4 | end quote. |
| 5 | And, again, these are all ideas, maybe it |
| 6 | happens, maybe it doesn't, but you can't point to |
| 7 | anything concrete that you can solidly say will |
| 8 | happen, will occur; right? This is all kind of |
| 9 | betting on the come in this project, isn't it? |
| 10 | A. Mr. Jorde, no matter how many times you |
| 11 | state that, it's incorrect. There is a market for |
| 12 | low-carbon fuels that exists today. |
| 13 | Q. Well, that wasn't my question. We can |
| 14 | stipulate a market exists. But whether a single |
| 15 | farmer or a single ethanol plant in Iowa actually |
| 16 | benefits from the fact California has a market, you |
| 17 | can't state that with certainty, can you. |
| 18 | A. Well, I can state with certainty that if |
| 19 | they don't reduce their carbon intensity in some |
| 20 | fashion, and this is by far the most significant |
| 21 | opportunity to do that, that they cannot compete. |
| 22 | Q. Well, that's not true. If we all just |
| 23 | stayed as we are right now, the status quo would |
| 24 | maintain and there would be no competition; right? |
| 25 | We'd just say no pipelines, we're all the same, and |

| 1 | we'd just carry on as we have for a hundred years; |
|----|--|
| 2 | right? |
| 3 | A. Well, as I stated earlier, Mr. Jorde, |
| 4 | there's a push for decarbonization. And so it's my |
| 5 | understanding in meeting with these ethanol partners |
| 6 | that they have a desire to be on the forefront of that |
| 7 | push so they can ensure their competitiveness for |
| 8 | their shareholders and their customers. |
| 9 | Q. And, again, I don't think I pinned you down |
| 10 | on the makeup of the ethanol plants. Forgive me. But |
| 11 | do you know which ones of your partners are corporate |
| 12 | owned? Like a POET, like a Valero. Versus actual |
| 13 | farmer owned? Do you know the breakdown of that? |
| 14 | A. You asked that earlier. Mr. Pirolli would |
| 15 | be the better person to answer that question. |
| 16 | Q. Thank you. Now, in terms of the alleged |
| 17 | economic benefits you discuss on page 6, do you |
| 18 | believe Ernst & Young verified your economic analysis |
| 19 | or your tax numbers in their report? |
| 20 | A. I believe they verified it initially. And |
| 21 | now that we have a tax professional onboard, and as |
| 22 | the project changes, or evolves I should say, they |
| 23 | continue to validate. |
| 24 | Q. And that tax professional is the gentleman |
| 25 | you couldn't remember his last name and he's not |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | testifying; correct? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. He is not, but Ernst & Young will testify, |
| 3 | it's my understanding. |
| 4 | Q. And your calculation of 30 million a year |
| 5 | in ongoing property taxes. Do you think the fact, |
| 6 | regardless of what the number is, that you are going |
| 7 | to follow the law and pay tax is a benefit? Or isn't |
| 8 | that something you just are supposed to do? |
| 9 | A. You're supposed to do. |
| 10 | Q. Now, you do talk about how the route for |
| 11 | the pipeline was selected. And you and I talked a |
| 12 | little bit about that. And it says here "including |
| 13 | desktop analysis." |
| 14 | Now, you wanted to talk that down, but it's |
| 15 | right out of your own report; right? That desktop |
| 16 | analysis was what you utilized? |
| 17 | A. Correct. |
| 18 | Q. Now, the aboveground facilities, pump |
| 19 | stations, have you determined how many there are going |
| 20 | to be, or would be, if this were approved? |
| 21 | A. We have. |
| 22 | Q. All right. And do you care to share that |
| 23 | number? |
| 24 | A. Overall project and Mr. Schovanec can |
| 25 | confirm. Overall project, I believe it's seven in the |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | initial scope, which would include this 9.5 million |
|----|--|
| 2 | tons, and 16 to accommodate the 18 million tons. That |
| 3 | changes somewhat periodically depending on the |
| 4 | hydraulics analysis and the route changes, but I think |
| 5 | that's current. But Mr. Schovanec can confirm. |
| 6 | Q. And do you know or would Mr. Schovanec be |
| 7 | best to answer how far apart in the specific locations |
| 8 | of the pump stations? |
| 9 | A. Mr. Schovanec can confirm. |
| 10 | Q. Would that be the same for main line valve |
| 11 | placement and intervals? |
| 12 | A. Well, I can tell you that in Iowa there are |
| 13 | approximately a hundred main line valves. And, as I'm |
| 14 | sure you know, we're the first major or large-scale |
| 15 | project that will comply with PHMSA's rule on valve |
| 16 | spacing. So the max spacing for main line valves will |
| 17 | be 20 miles. |
| 18 | Q. Before I forget, are you familiar with the |
| 19 | Bakken Energy Great Plains Synfuels plant in North |
| 20 | Dakota? |
| 21 | A. Generally. |
| 22 | Q. And it's true that that plant would be able |
| 23 | to liquify the CO2 such that it could be used for |
| 24 | enhanced oil recovery; correct? |
| 25 | A. I'm not sure. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| A. Again, I'm not sure. Continental Resources is a very large company. I'm not sure what their priorities are. MR. JORDE: If we could take a look and I see it's up there. If we could go to the top of page 8 of Mr. Powell's testimony, please. BY MR. JORDE: Q. Okay. So this is carrying over from the main line valve discussion. And then it says there at the top, the middle of the first sentence there, "take into consideration the location of pump stations; CO2 dispersion modeling; and high-consequence areas." So, again, you're testifying here that you want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
|---|
| 4 priorities are. 5 MR. JORDE: If we could take a look and 6 I see it's up there. If we could go to the top of 7 page 8 of Mr. Powell's testimony, please. 8 BY MR. JORDE: 9 Q. Okay. So this is carrying over from the 10 main line valve discussion. And then it says there at 11 the top, the middle of the first sentence there, "take 12 into consideration the location of pump stations; CO2 13 dispersion modeling; and high-consequence areas." 14 So, again, you're testifying here that you 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| 5 MR. JORDE: If we could take a look and 6 I see it's up there. If we could go to the top of 7 page 8 of Mr. Powell's testimony, please. 8 BY MR. JORDE: 9 Q. Okay. So this is carrying over from the 10 main line valve discussion. And then it says there at 11 the top, the middle of the first sentence there, "take 12 into consideration the location of pump stations; CO2 13 dispersion modeling; and high-consequence areas." 14 So, again, you're testifying here that you 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| 6 I see it's up there. If we could go to the top of 7 page 8 of Mr. Powell's testimony, please. 8 BY MR. JORDE: 9 Q. Okay. So this is carrying over from the 10 main line valve discussion. And then it says there at 11 the top, the middle of the first sentence there, "take 12 into consideration the location of pump stations; CO2 13 dispersion modeling; and high-consequence areas." 14 So, again, you're testifying here that you 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| 7 page 8 of Mr. Powell's testimony, please. 8 BY MR. JORDE: 9 Q. Okay. So this is carrying over from the 10 main line valve discussion. And then it says there at 11 the top, the middle of the first sentence there, "take 12 into consideration the location of pump stations; CO2 13 dispersion modeling; and high-consequence areas." 14 So, again, you're testifying here that you 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| BY MR. JORDE: 9 Q. Okay. So this is carrying over from the 10 main line valve discussion. And then it says there at 11 the top, the middle of the first sentence there, "take 12 into consideration the location of pump stations; CO2 13 dispersion modeling; and high-consequence areas." 14 So, again, you're testifying here that you 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| 9 Q. Okay. So this is carrying over from the 10 main line valve discussion. And then it says there at 11 the top, the middle of the first sentence there, "take 12 into consideration the location of pump stations; CO2 13 dispersion modeling; and high-consequence areas." 14 So, again, you're testifying here that you 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| 10 main line valve discussion. And then it says there at 11 the top, the middle of the first sentence there, "take 12 into consideration the location of pump stations; CO2 13 dispersion modeling; and high-consequence areas." 14 So, again, you're testifying here that you 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| 11 the top, the middle of the first sentence there, "take 12 into consideration the location of pump stations; CO2 13 dispersion modeling; and high-consequence areas." 14 So, again, you're testifying here that you 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| 12 into consideration the location of pump stations; CO2 13 dispersion modeling; and high-consequence areas." 14 So, again, you're testifying here that you 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| 13 dispersion modeling; and high-consequence areas." 14 So, again, you're testifying here that you 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| 14 So, again, you're testifying here that you 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| 15 want this Board, do you not, to accept the fact that 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| 16 you, Summit, considered dispersion modeling for the |
| |
| 17 placement of your valves, but you're not sharing that |
| |
| 18 information; right? |
| 19 A. We did. And, as I stated earlier, if the |
| 20 Board requests that that information is provided, |
| 21 we'll comply. |
| 22 Q. Let's talk about corrosion a little bit. |
| 23 You talk about the pipeline grade material will vary, |
| 24 and this is on the top of page 9 of your testimony, |
| 25 from API 5L X-52 up to X-70. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Now, sir, are you familiar with the type of |
|----|--|
| 2 | pipe that was used by Denbury in their pipeline that |
| 3 | ruptured in Satartia? |
| 4 | A. Only as captured in the PHMSA investigation |
| 5 | report. |
| 6 | Q. And are you aware that you're stating |
| 7 | you're going to use a similar type grade and |
| 8 | specification of pipe as Denbury? |
| 9 | A. If I remember correctly, I thought their |
| 10 | theirs was an X-80 pipe versus an X-70. |
| 11 | Q. Is it your position X-70 is superior? |
| 12 | A. Well, there is a difference in grade |
| 13 | between X-70 and X-80 obviously. But I will add that |
| 14 | we have completed a Fracture Control Plan. And PHMSA |
| 15 | has reviewed and accepted that plan. |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And do you have any of the analysis |
| 17 | of the Fracture Control Plan, or the basis that led |
| 18 | you to the plan, or do you have a copy of the plan |
| 19 | that you're going to submit in evidence in these |
| 20 | proceedings? |
| 21 | A. I have no problem submitting that plan in |
| 22 | these proceedings. |
| 23 | Q. Okay. So then can I get your agreement |
| 24 | that you will, in fact, direct your counsel to produce |
| 25 | that to the rest of counsel here? |
| | |

| 1 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 3 | MR. LEONARD: This plan goes to the PHMSA |
| 4 | preemption argument that's before the Board with |
| 5 | respect to the dispersion analysis. We maintain that |
| 6 | it's preempted by PHMSA regulation and not appropriate |
| 7 | for consideration and not appropriate for |
| 8 | dissemination to the general public. |
| 9 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 10 | Sustained. |
| 11 | Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. |
| 12 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 13 | Q. So, even though you have no problem, |
| 14 | apparently your lawyer has a problem with that. |
| 15 | Did you get that? |
| 16 | A. Well, I'm not a lawyer, Mr. Jorde, so I'll |
| 17 | defer to the best judgment of my attorneys. |
| 18 | Q. All right. So we're not going to then be |
| 19 | able to evaluate the worthiness of the projects on the |
| 20 | scientific and engineering basis. We're going to be |
| 21 | left with the lack of evidence based on the legal |
| 22 | objections. |
| 23 | Is that fair? |
| 24 | A. Well, I guess, again, you don't trust PHMSA |
| 25 | as the body with oversight for safety in operation of |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | the pipeline to validate or confirm whether the work |
|----|--|
| 2 | that we've done, the technical work product we've |
| 3 | generated, is adequate or not. |
| 4 | Q. Well okay. Does Summit, I guess, then, |
| 5 | therefore, trust PHMSA and believe they're an |
| 6 | organization that should be relied on? Is that what |
| 7 | you're trying to say? |
| 8 | A. I think from a technical oversight |
| 9 | perspective and from an organization that has |
| 10 | generated minimum standards, yes. |
| 11 | Q. And because PHMSA is an entity we should |
| 12 | rely upon, this Board should clearly hold off any |
| 13 | decision in this case until they're done with their |
| 14 | rulemaking related specifically to CO2 pipelines |
| 15 | motivated primarily by the Satartia rupture; correct? |
| 16 | A. Not at all. As you know, the Satartia |
| 17 | incident, as unfortunate as it was, was really a |
| 18 | violation by Denbury of requirements that are already |
| 19 | mandated by PHMSA in their current regulations. |
| 20 | So PHMSA has ongoing rulemaking changes |
| 21 | or considers rulemaking changes on an ongoing basis. |
| 22 | So, yes, it's my understanding that they are looking |
| 23 | at potential changes on public awareness and emergency |
| 24 | response. |
| 25 | But, if PHMSA were concerned about our |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | project, or any of the other projects currently under |
|----|--|
| 2 | development or the 5,300 miles of CO2 pipeline that's |
| 3 | currently in operation in this country, they could |
| 4 | take other steps to address that. |
| 5 | Q. Well, are you in an active role of advising |
| 6 | PHMSA on the steps they should take? |
| 7 | A. I am not. |
| 8 | Q. You're aware that PHMSA held two days of |
| 9 | meetings in this very state on this very topic and are |
| 10 | actively engaged in looking at changes to its |
| 11 | standards and rules regulating and governing CO2 |
| 12 | pipelines? |
| 13 | A. I know they are evaluating their standards. |
| 14 | As I said before, they are doing it on a continual |
| 15 | basis. And those, it's my understanding, are more |
| 16 | specific as does CO2 is it segregated in its own |
| 17 | standard or does it remain in the liquid standard or |
| 18 | the gas standard. |
| 19 | But, in any event, if PHMSA were to change |
| 20 | their standards or requirements and make those |
| 21 | retroactive, we would comply. |
| 22 | Q. Well, are you or is there someone better |
| 23 | that you're going to call to testify on your behalf to |
| 24 | get into what actually is grandfathered and what isn't |
| 25 | under Part 195 in the PHMSA regulations? Are you |
| | |

| 1 | familiar with that or should we save that for someone |
|----|--|
| 2 | else? |
| 3 | A. I'm generally familiar with it. |
| 4 | Q. So, if you're generally familiar with it, |
| 5 | you are aware that certain elements are grandfathered. |
| 6 | And that unless PHMSA were to change its many decades |
| 7 | of regulations, that there would be nothing to do in |
| 8 | terms of retrofitting the pipeline. Because the |
| 9 | pipeline would already be constructed prior to the new |
| 10 | guidance coming out. |
| 11 | Correct? |
| 12 | A. Correct, but PHMSA requires us to maintain |
| 13 | our risk assessment. And so any change in standard |
| 14 | would potentially impact our quantitative risk |
| 15 | assessment. And so then it's on the owner and it's |
| 16 | under the oversight of PHMSA to make sure that that |
| 17 | risk profile has not changed. |
| 18 | Q. Are you aware, sir, that the grandfather |
| 19 | clause, so to speak, at 49 USC 60104(b) exempts out |
| 20 | design, installation, construction, initial |
| 21 | inspection, initial testing standards? That we don't |
| 22 | get to go back and re-look at that if you don't wait |
| 23 | for PHMSA to update their guidelines? Are you aware |
| 24 | of that? |
| 25 | A. I don't have it memorized, but I'll take |

| 1 | your word for it. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. And then, before I forget, on that fraction |
| 3 | propagation, are you aware that it's a single line and |
| 4 | it's Part 195.111, Fracture Propagation, and it |
| 5 | states, quote, a carbon dioxide pipeline system must |
| 6 | be designed to mitigate the effects of fracture |
| 7 | propagation, end quote? Do you understand that's the |
| 8 | total sum and substance of PHMSA's regulations on |
| 9 | fracture propagation? |
| 10 | A. I do, but, in their oversight role, that's |
| 11 | why we've had technical meetings with their technical |
| 12 | experts and our metallurgist, our consultants, and |
| 13 | reviewed our fracture control plan in detail. And |
| 14 | they had to be satisfied with the efforts we're making |
| 15 | around material selection and construction. |
| 16 | Q. But, again, all of that is what your |
| 17 | counsel won't let be released; correct? |
| 18 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 19 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 20 | MR. LEONARD: Argumentative. |
| 21 | MR. JORDE: It's a fact. |
| 22 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Jorde, can you go |
| 23 | ahead and restate that, and then I have a quick |
| 24 | question for you. |
| 25 | MR. JORDE: Absolutely. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

٦

| 1 | BY MR. JORDE: |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Sir, what's so difficult, and I'll just say |
| 3 | frustrating, is you get up here you say all these |
| 4 | things, but yet we don't have the documents to |
| 5 | question you on it. And when I asked you, to your |
| 6 | credit, you said you'd release it, but then your |
| 7 | counsel says, "Whoa, whoa, whoa. No, we're not." |
| 8 | So how can this Board, how can the public, |
| 9 | how can we have any idea if what you're saying is true |
| 10 | when you're not giving us the foundational documents |
| 11 | to back up what you're saying? |
| 12 | MR. LEONARD: Same objection. It also goes |
| 13 | to the PHMSA preemption issue. |
| 14 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. Thank you. |
| 15 | MR. JORDE: How does it go to the PHMSA |
| 16 | preemption issue? I mean, he's been talking about |
| 17 | PHMSA all morning. What are we talking about? |
| 18 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: If you know, you can |
| 19 | answer. Otherwise it is time to move on. |
| 20 | A. I prefer to move on. |
| 21 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Jorde, I |
| 22 | apologize. We need to make a decision on lunch. And |
| 23 | I don't want to disrupt your line of questioning. So |
| 24 | we can take a break now? Break in a little bit? |
| 25 | MR. JORDE: This is a perfectly good time |

| 1 | to break. |
|----|---|
| 2 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. Thank you. |
| 3 | We will recess and go off the record until |
| 4 | 1:15. So we will return back here at 1:15. |
| 5 | Mr. Powell, you will still be under oath |
| 6 | when we return. So we'll remind you. But you are |
| 7 | excused for now and then you will return. |
| 8 | We will see everybody at 1:15. |
| 9 | (Recess taken at 12:05 p.m.) |
| 10 | (Hearing resumed at 1:16 p.m.) |
| 11 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. Good |
| 12 | afternoon. It is 1:16 p.m. We will go back on the |
| 13 | record. |
| 14 | Mr. Powell, I would remind you you're still |
| 15 | under oath. |
| 16 | And, Mr. Jorde, I believe you still had |
| 17 | questions. |
| 18 | MR. JORDE: I do. Thank you very much. |
| 19 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 20 | Q. Mr. Powell, we left off on page 9 of your |
| 21 | direct testimony. And I've got some questions about |
| 22 | corrosion. You talk about protecting against |
| 23 | corrosion. And tell us why it's important |
| 24 | specifically on CO2 pipelines to protect against |
| 25 | corrosion, please. |

| 1 | A. Well, in my opinion, there's no difference |
|----|--|
| 2 | between a CO2 pipeline and another liquid pipeline as |
| 3 | long as you dehydrate the CO2 stream before it's |
| 4 | injected into the pipeline. Which we will do at the |
| 5 | capture facility. |
| 6 | Q. And, in terms of dehydration, you're |
| 7 | referring to specific equipment that will perform that |
| 8 | function; is that right? |
| 9 | A. Correct. |
| 10 | Q. Now, in terms of water, it's true that |
| 11 | Summit has been applying, at least in South Dakota, |
| 12 | maybe in Iowa, for water permits. Water that it needs |
| 13 | to cool the CO2 down to 120 degrees prior to it |
| 14 | entering into the pipeline. |
| 15 | Is that correct? |
| 16 | A. Yes. So, as you increase the pressure on |
| 17 | the CO2 to reach the dense phase, that product heats |
| 18 | up. And so we'll have a cooling system that |
| 19 | circulates through that compression cycle that keeps |
| 20 | the product cooler. And then we'll dehydrate that in |
| 21 | the later stages of compression. We'll pull the water |
| 22 | out of the stream in the later stages of compression. |
| 23 | Q. And have you applied in Iowa for any such |
| 24 | water permits? Water usage permits? |
| 25 | A. Yes. So we're working with we'll need |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| with individual plants. In the case of Homeland Energy in Iowa, we had to apply for a permit to dri our own water well, if I remember correctly. And s | D |
|--|-----|
| | D |
| 4 our own water well, if I remember correctly. And s | |
| | əd |
| 5 all that's in Iowa anyway, that process is manag | |
| 6 by the state's office of natural resources. The | |
| 7 state's Department of Natural Resources. | |
| 8 Q. And, in terms of the usage amount in Iow | a, |
| 9 do you have to get approval for a certain number of | |
| 10 millions of gallons per year? Or how does it work | in |
| 11 your understanding? | |
| 12 A. Again, generally my understanding is we | |
| 13 have to stipulate to the agency what our water | |
| 14 consumption need will be. And then they approve or | |
| 15 they don't approve. | |
| 16 Q. And is it true that you've been requesting | ng |
| 17 consumption north of 20 million gallons per year at | |
| 18 each facility? | |
| 19 A. It depends on the production volume at e | ach |
| 20 facility. They'll range from 20 gallons a minute t | C |
| 21 120 gallons a minute. | |
| 22 Q. And would you agree, with this new | |
| 23 potential draw on water resources, that that could | |
| 24 negatively impact surrounding water users who depen | £ |
| 25 on that water for either industry or personally? | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. Well, again, the process is managed by the |
|----|--|
| 2 | state Department of Natural Resources. So they should |
| 3 | have a general understanding actually, a good |
| 4 | understanding of what the water availability is, what |
| 5 | the water consumption or water needs are, and what |
| 6 | that long-term viability of water sources are. |
| 7 | So we depend on the Department of Natural |
| 8 | Resources in Iowa and other states to confirm whether |
| 9 | that water is available to us. |
| 10 | Q. Are you aware if there is a draw on the |
| 11 | available water resources if anything about your |
| 12 | permits that you receive in Iowa develops or lays out |
| 13 | a hierarchy? For instance, residential users would |
| 14 | have first priority and you would be lower on the |
| 15 | totem pole. Or what's your understanding about that? |
| 16 | A. I don't have an understanding. It is my |
| 17 | understanding that our permit request for a Homeland |
| 18 | Energy site has been approved, but then we'll abide by |
| 19 | whatever the conditions are that's imposed on us by |
| 20 | the Department of Natural Resources. But I'm not |
| 21 | familiar with what those may or may not be. |
| 22 | Q. If a condition was that in dry years you |
| 23 | would not be able to have the amount of water you have |
| 24 | stated you need, would you agree you would have to |
| 25 | shut down or significantly reduce your flow of CO2 |
| | |

| 1 | into the pipeline? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Well, you were concerned about speculation |
| 3 | earlier and projection, but there are other sources of |
| 4 | water. So, in the case of Homeland, our permit |
| 5 | request was to drill a well on-site. But there are |
| 6 | other sources of water. And we'd have to make a |
| 7 | business decision with the plant. |
| 8 | Q. You were asked a question here on page 9 of |
| 9 | 13 has Summit applied, or does Summit intend to apply, |
| 10 | for any waivers from PHMSA, and the answer is no. |
| 11 | But, of course, you could change your intention or you |
| 12 | could apply for waivers at any time if you saw fit; |
| 13 | correct? |
| 14 | A. I assume so. The intent is still no. |
| 15 | Q. But you understand I mean, there's |
| 16 | little value in what, as you sit here today, you |
| 17 | intend. I mean, I didn't intend to get in an accident |
| 18 | here, but it could have happened. And your intention |
| 19 | doesn't prove or lock you in to any long-term supply |
| 20 | answer. |
| 21 | Do you understand that? |
| 22 | A. Well, that's true, but the Board, I think, |
| 23 | has the ability to impose whatever conditions on our |
| 24 | permit, if we were to receive one, that they choose is |
| 25 | of value. So I'll leave it at their discretion what |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | conditions they want to place on a permit. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Relative to your real time transient model |
| 3 | leak detection system, what's the sensitivity of that |
| 4 | leak detection? At what level can it no longer sense |
| 5 | or detect leaks? |
| 6 | A. Well, the set points have not been |
| 7 | finalized, but they are going to be very small. Just |
| 8 | for everyone's understanding, so the real time |
| 9 | transient model is where we have the actual operation |
| 10 | of the pipeline, and in parallel we have a simulated |
| 11 | operation, hydraulic operation, of the pipeline, so we |
| 12 | can sense-check the real time operation with the |
| 13 | simulated operation. |
| 14 | And, if we see a variance and that may |
| 15 | vary on pipeline segment based on flow rate. If we |
| 16 | see a pressure variance, then the control center can |
| 17 | take action up to shutting in that line segment. |
| 18 | But we will also have upstream and |
| 19 | downstream pressure indicators around all block |
| 20 | valves, and that pressure threshold, or pressure |
| 21 | variance threshold, will be set that tolerance will |
| 22 | be very tight. So if those pressure indicators detect |
| 23 | a variation in pressure above that set point, they |
| 24 | will automatically close a valve. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. But, in terms of the sensitivity or |

| 1 | the threshold of what could be escaping before it |
|----|--|
| 2 | triggers valve closure, that level hasn't been set |
| 3 | yet? |
| 4 | A. That has been set, but not finalized. |
| 5 | Happy to provide that when that's actually final. I |
| 6 | will say we did complete the surge analysis, which is |
| 7 | part of that, and under no circumstance will a surge |
| 8 | pressure exceed our maximum operating pressure outside |
| 9 | of normal operating boundaries. So that plays into |
| 10 | what those set points would be. How quickly we close |
| 11 | those valves. |
| 12 | Q. Is your surge analysis, is that something |
| 13 | that you've made an exhibit or made public in these |
| 14 | proceedings? |
| 15 | A. No. |
| 16 | Q. Is it something that you agree to release |
| 17 | during these proceedings? |
| 18 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 19 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 20 | MR. LEONARD: This is documented. It goes |
| 21 | to PHMSA compliance. So just restating the objection |
| 22 | as to preemption on our PHMSA argument. |
| 23 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: The Board will rule |
| 24 | on this issue. So sustained. |
| 25 | Please proceed. |
| 1 | |

Page 1665

| 1 | BY MR. JORDE: |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. So, regardless of what you choose for |
| 3 | sensitivity, there will still be a level of leak |
| 4 | detection that is undetectable where a slow leak could |
| 5 | be occurring in the system; correct? |
| 6 | A. To use your word, theoretically. However, |
| 7 | remember our leak detection system is not the only |
| 8 | means to determine if there's a leak. If you've got a |
| 9 | pinhole leak, if you've got a flange leak, a very |
| 10 | small leak you know, we'll do aerial surveillance |
| 11 | every two weeks. And so that could detect it. |
| 12 | We'll also periodically walk the line. Not |
| 13 | every foot of the line, but walk high-consequence |
| 14 | areas and inspect. And so, if you see any type of |
| 15 | frost buildup, then that's another indication where |
| 16 | you may have a leak. |
| 17 | Q. Well, you're not testifying, are you, that |
| 18 | aerial surveillance is sufficient to determine whether |
| 19 | there's pinhole leaks, are you? |
| 20 | A. I did not. I said it's not the only the |
| 21 | pressure deviation is not the only means of sensing a |
| 22 | leak. |
| 23 | Q. Well, but your testimony says, quote, in |
| 24 | the case of sudden changes in operating pressure, |
| 25 | alarms will sound. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

And so they don't detect gradual changes in 1 2 pressure, do they. 3 Α. Correct. Depending on how you define 4 gradual. 5 0. Well, you didn't define sudden, but I'm assuming sudden and gradual are two different things; 6 7 is that right? 8 If you have -- in my experience, if you Α. 9 have any type of significant release or a breach, such 10 as a third party striking the pipeline, that will be a 11 sudden release that will also trip your pressure threshold indicators. 12 13 Well, wouldn't that depend on the severity 0. 14 of the strike? 15 Correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And are you aware or have you talked with 0. 18 anyone at the state of Iowa relative to how many 19 third-party pipeline strikes on the linear pipeline 20 structure in Iowa happen on a monthly or annual basis? 21 Well, I do know that -- I have not. Α. Ι 22 mean, that's information that would be contained in 23 the 811 system by and large. There are 47,000-plus 24 miles of pipeline in this state, and third-party line 25 strikes are the most common damage to a pipeline.

| 1 | Q. About the emergency response plan, I think |
|----|---|
| 2 | we established you have not shared that with local |
| 3 | responders, but you state here that you will |
| 4 | coordinate with local emergency responders and |
| 5 | authorities. And when do you intend to coordinate |
| 6 | with them? |
| 7 | A. Well, I spoke about that this morning. So, |
| 8 | once we have permits in hand, and once we have |
| 9 | right-of-way secured, then our emergency management |
| 10 | team will sit down with local first responders and |
| 11 | emergency management professionals and begin those |
| 12 | conversations. |
| 13 | And we're required to do a desktop review |
| 14 | or drill prior to placing the pipeline in operation. |
| 15 | And, thereafter, we're required to repeat that |
| 16 | annually. And we've agreed in certain instances, when |
| 17 | requested, that we would actually do that more |
| 18 | frequently. And the requests we feel to this point |
| 19 | have been every six months. And that's due to |
| 20 | primarily volunteer fire departments where, I guess, |
| 21 | there's a higher turnover in a certain area. |
| 22 | MR. JORDE: Can we pull up, please, 569. |
| 23 | LO 569. |
| 24 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 25 | Q. In your testimony, sir, on page 13 of 13 |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | direct testimony, you were asked the question "Will |
|----|--|
| 2 | signage be installed to alert the public to the |
| 3 | location of the pipeline." You say yes. |
| 4 | Now |
| 5 | MR. JORDE: Oh. Where did it go. Sorry. |
| 6 | Thank you. |
| 7 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 8 | Q. Is it something like we've got here on the |
| 9 | screen, Exhibit 569, or what's your intention? |
| 10 | A. There are certain requirements. So we'll |
| 11 | have to put the commodity, we'll have to put a contact |
| 12 | number and other information, so that if somebody |
| 13 | actually has a concern, that they know how to contact |
| 14 | us, the operating company. |
| 15 | Q. So the phone number that will be on there |
| 16 | will be a direct dial to Summit; is that correct? |
| 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | Q. And then does Summit have first responders |
| 19 | of its own stationed throughout Iowa to respond or do |
| 20 | you rely exclusively on local and volunteer first |
| 21 | responders for that reaction to a reported event? |
| 22 | A. No, our field personnel, those that are |
| 23 | actually located in the field, will be trained on |
| 24 | emergency response. |
| 25 | Q. And will they be equipped with |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1669

| 1 | self-contained breathing apparatuses? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. They will be equipped with the equipment |
| 3 | necessary to respond to a release in that specific |
| 4 | area. |
| 5 | Q. Well, that's kind of vague. Is another way |
| 6 | of saying that you haven't determined what type of |
| 7 | equipment you will supply those people with? |
| 8 | A. No, that's not. That's inaccurate. But it |
| 9 | depends on where you are along the pipeline system and |
| 10 | what the extent of a release could be whether or not |
| 11 | you would need self-contained breathing apparatus. |
| 12 | Q. Well, you, in your rebuttal, talk quite a |
| 13 | bit about the PHMSA incident report in Satartia. And |
| 14 | you would agree there that the modeling Denbury had |
| 15 | contracted to be done was insufficient to identify the |
| 16 | risks. |
| 17 | So are you relying on the modeling you've |
| 18 | done to determine which areas you believe are of |
| 19 | significant risks and those that aren't? |
| 20 | A. We are, but, as I pointed out this morning, |
| 21 | there are many differences. One being that we're |
| 22 | actually incorporating 2D terrain-aided modeling. So |
| 23 | not only will we identify how much product could be |
| 24 | released, but also the physical pathways for that |
| 25 | product to travel to what would be a high-consequence |

Page 1670

| And then also we're using CANARY as our modeling software. Which we feel is the best software available for a jet-type release. So we feel that it's the most accurate software available. And CANARY is actually sense-checked or calibrated against real atmospheric releases, test releases, versus PHAST and some of the other models | |
|---|----|
| 4 available for a jet-type release. So we feel that 5 it's the most accurate software available. 6 And CANARY is actually sense-checked or 7 calibrated against real atmospheric releases, test | |
| 5 it's the most accurate software available. 6 And CANARY is actually sense-checked or 7 calibrated against real atmospheric releases, test | re |
| 6 And CANARY is actually sense-checked or 7 calibrated against real atmospheric releases, test | |
| 7 calibrated against real atmospheric releases, test | |
| | |
| 8 releases, versus PHAST and some of the other models | |
| | |
| 9 that are calibrated using releases that are contained | 1 |
| 10 in a testing environment indoors. | |
| 11 These tests were conducted outdoors | |
| 12 simulating what we think is more likely to be a real | |
| 13 time release event. | |
| 14 Q. And, back to the signage here, you'll have | Э |
| 15 a phone number, and that will reach someone in Iowa | or |
| 16 somewhere else if someone calls the number? | |
| 17 A. It will reach someone at our control | |
| 18 center. Which will be manned continuously. | |
| 19 Q. And then what would the response time be | |
| 20 from anyone at the control center to get to the | |
| 21 location where the release or rupture is? | |
| 22 A. Well, someone at the control center will | |
| 23 not be responding unless that release is near Ames, | |
| 24 Iowa, but they will actually be the ones taking action | on |
| 25 to shut in the system if they need to. And then the | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | operations personnel, their response times depend on |
|----|--|
| 2 | where they are and where the release is. |
| 3 | Q. You had well, let me ask you this: |
| 4 | You're obviously not going to be placing any type of |
| 5 | warning signs in the middle of fields. So these would |
| 6 | be on the extreme boundaries of a property who has the |
| 7 | proposed pipeline passing through; is that right? |
| 8 | A. The requirement is line of sight, but, to |
| 9 | your point, yeah, they will be on all fence |
| 10 | boundaries, roadsides. It is difficult in this part |
| 11 | of the country when you have crops as tall as corn. |
| 12 | And we don't want to put an obstruction in the middle |
| 13 | of a field. So they'll typically be on fence lines |
| 14 | and roadside. |
| 15 | Q. And then if a farmer, or someone in the |
| 16 | field, believes there is an incident, they would have |
| 17 | to get out of their combine, for instance, and then |
| 18 | pick a direction to run, to run towards the sign, and |
| 19 | then call the number. That's kind of how the process |
| 20 | would work? |
| 21 | A. No, as I mentioned this morning, we are |
| 22 | preparing tactical response plans, and those will be |
| 23 | shared if they're interested, those will be shared |
| 24 | with the landowners. And then annually we will |
| 25 | provide public awareness information. |

| 1 | So every landowner, whether the pipeline |
|----|--|
| 2 | crosses that person or they're just in the adjacent |
| 3 | area, they will get information provided in the mail, |
| 4 | or other means if they prefer, that will make sure |
| 5 | that they have all the updated information. Contact |
| 6 | numbers, et cetera. |
| 7 | MR. JORDE: I'll offer Exhibit 569. |
| 8 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Are there objections? |
| 9 | MR. LEONARD: No objection. |
| 10 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: All right. As there |
| 11 | are no objections, the Board will admit the exhibit as |
| 12 | Jorde Landowners Hearing Exhibit 569. |
| 13 | MR. JORDE: Thank you. Can we pull up 568, |
| 14 | please. |
| 15 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 16 | Q. Now, sir, you talked previously about risk |
| 17 | and probability and those kind of things. And, based |
| 18 | on your review in preparation to testify here today, |
| 19 | would you agree that exposure to CO2 at and between |
| 20 | 30,000 parts per million to 50,000 parts per million |
| 21 | could lead to serious health risks? |
| 22 | A. Well, that's what your scale says. I do |
| 23 | agree that NIOSH indicates that 40,000 parts per |
| 24 | million is the immediate danger to life and health. |
| 25 | Q. And the 40,000 parts per million, is that |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | the level that you utilized in your dispersion |
|----|--|
| 2 | modeling and risk analysis that you've done? |
| 3 | A. That's one. We use 15,000, 40,000, and |
| 4 | 80,000. And, as you probably know, at 40,000 parts |
| 5 | per million, generally the guidance is that someone |
| 6 | would have 15 minutes before they may start feeling |
| 7 | any effects from a CO2 exposure. But we use 15,000 |
| 8 | parts per million, which and that's indoors, not in |
| 9 | an atmospheric outdoor environment. At 15,000 parts |
| 10 | per million, someone would have eight hours. But |
| 11 | we're using that broader boundary to identify our |
| 12 | could-affect areas and HCAs. So that's a more |
| 13 | conservative approach. |
| 14 | Q. And, when we're talking about that kind of |
| 15 | fictitious someone, it doesn't take into account |
| 16 | sensitivities in terms of respiratory disease or |
| 17 | preexisting conditions. This assumes a healthy |
| 18 | person. |
| 19 | Is that correct? |
| 20 | A. Well, I think, from the definition of |
| 21 | NIOSH, it's, I think, general generally or general |
| 22 | health. I don't remember verbatim. |
| 23 | Q. What about the effects on livestock. Do |
| 24 | you have any analysis on the effects of livestock |
| 25 | given we're in Iowa and agriculture and livestock is a |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1674

| 1 | big part of the economy? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yeah, I don't. |
| 3 | Q. And you said you tested out at or at |
| 4 | least modeled out at 80,000, eight zero thousand, and |
| 5 | would you agree that between 70,000 to 100,000 parts |
| 6 | per million exposure that that can go between nausea, |
| 7 | unconsciousness, and acute toxicity and death within |
| 8 | five minutes? |
| 9 | A. I think that well, I would suggest that |
| 10 | we have another witness that's an expert in this area, |
| 11 | but I will say that these this scale is, again at |
| 12 | least the one I'm familiar with, is specific to indoor |
| 13 | environments and worker exposure. |
| 14 | So we're using the more conservative |
| 15 | measurements or thresholds. But, if we have a |
| 16 | release, it will be in an outdoor, open air |
| 17 | environment where typically the event lasts minutes. |
| 18 | Q. And any type of overlay risk modeling using |
| 19 | the potential dispersion distance that you have placed |
| 20 | on any maps in South Dakota, did you use the 40,000 |
| 21 | parts per million as your threshold? |
| 22 | A. We did. And I think it's important, |
| 23 | Mr. Jorde, to point out that these thresholds CO2 |
| 24 | is mildly toxic and a simple asphyxiant like natural |
| 25 | gas. The big difference is it's not ignitable. So |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

٦

| 1 | the exposure limits are similar, but it's not |
|----|--|
| 2 | ignitable. |
| 3 | Q. It's not ignitable, but it's colorless, |
| 4 | odorless, heavier than air, and would tend to sink to |
| 5 | the level of where people are standing or sleeping; is |
| 6 | that correct? |
| 7 | A. Well, I would challenge where they're |
| 8 | sleeping, but it can be heavier than air depending on |
| 9 | the environmental conditions or atmospheric |
| 10 | conditions. Just like natural gas is heavier than air |
| 11 | if it's got propane or heavier constituents in the |
| 12 | stream. |
| 13 | Q. And, by bringing up natural gas now twice, |
| 14 | are you trying to suggest here today that basically we |
| 15 | shouldn't be any more concerned about CO2 risk than we |
| 16 | are about natural gas? |
| 17 | A. I would suggest you'd be less concerned |
| 18 | because it's not ignitable. |
| 19 | Q. Does natural gas, in terms of a release |
| 20 | event, tend to be able to travel a half mile or a mile |
| 21 | from the release source? |
| 22 | A. You're asking me to speculate, but it |
| 23 | depends on how many heavies are in the stream of |
| 24 | natural gas, it depends on environmental conditions. |
| 25 | I've witnessed propane releases where it stays close |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | to the ground and travels a good distance. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. And you would agree, based on that |
| 3 | statement, that there are many factors that can |
| 4 | contribute to, for instance, a CO2 plume and where it |
| 5 | moves and at what concentration; correct? |
| 6 | A. I would. And we've modeled all those |
| 7 | sensitivities. |
| 8 | Q. Well, would you agree that the lower the |
| 9 | wind speed, generally the higher the concentration of |
| 10 | the plume because it's not being dispersed? |
| 11 | A. The lower the wind speed and the higher the |
| 12 | humidity and the cooler the temperature. |
| 13 | Q. Did you do any modeling at wind speeds less |
| 14 | than four miles an hour? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. All right. Did you do any modeling of wind |
| 17 | speeds at 40,000 parts per million at zero miles an |
| 18 | hour? |
| 19 | A. Again, I'm not going to get into specifics |
| 20 | of the modeling. Again, we've talked about whether we |
| 21 | would provide that to the Board. |
| 22 | I will say that we have modeled all |
| 23 | sensitivities around all atmospheric conditions. And |
| 24 | we've modeled the 2D terrain-aided flow, and we've |
| 25 | done it for the entirety of the pipeline, just not |
| | |

| 1 | high-consequence areas which is required by the |
|----|--|
| 2 | regulation. |
| 3 | Q. And when you say "for the entirety of the |
| 4 | pipeline," you would agree that a high-consequence |
| 5 | area, which is a term of art, a federally defined |
| 6 | term, is one thing, but the individual family that may |
| 7 | not be in a high-consequence area per the federal |
| 8 | government, it's still pretty important to them, would |
| 9 | you agree, to be able to know the risks they're |
| 10 | confronted? |
| 11 | A. Well, that's what I just said, Mr. Jorde. |
| 12 | That's why we modeled it for the entirety of the |
| 13 | pipeline. |
| 14 | And I'm glad you brought up the risk. |
| 15 | Because, as Mr. Muhlbauer can testify later in this |
| 16 | proceeding, we've got a very robust quantitative risk |
| 17 | analysis that takes into consideration all the |
| 18 | potential release conditions, not only for a |
| 19 | guillotine failure, which is the regulatory |
| 20 | requirement, but also what we think is a more common |
| 21 | event, which would be a third-party strike or |
| 22 | third-party damage. Or we're calling it a mechanical |
| 23 | failure. And so we've looked at all that. |
| 24 | Then you looked at design considerations, |
| 25 | our integrity management program, and all those are |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

inputs into the risk assessment. And then you can 1 2 determine what the probability of a release, either in 3 a high-consequence area or outside of one, might be. 4 0. And, unless all of that is produced and we 5 call you back up to talk through it, the Board really has nothing to rely upon in terms of evidence, do 6 7 they. 8 Α. Other than PHMSA will -- typically does 9 review that. They never -- in my experience, have 10 never asked for a model, but they review the inputs 11 and the outputs and they review the risk assessment and make sure that those seem like they fit together. 12 13 And then they determine whether they think that you've 14 adequately not only identified the risk but mitigated 15 the risk. And I think they've done a pretty good job 16 17 because the reliability of pipelines in this country 18 is very, very good. 19 But nothing PHMSA does, based on your 0. 20 answer you just gave, has anything to do with siting or locating the proposed hazardous pipeline; correct? 21 22 Α. As I said this morning, Mr. Jorde, the 23 dispersant model is not used for siting. It's used 24 for determining risk in high-consequence areas. 25 MR. JORDE: I'll offer Exhibit 568.

| 1 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Are there objections? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. LEONARD: We'll object. Lack of |
| 3 | foundation. There hasn't been any testimony presented |
| 4 | as to what this document is or where it came from. |
| 5 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 6 | Do you want to reply? |
| 7 | MR. JORDE: Sure. He just verified the |
| 8 | consequences shown based on the parts per million on |
| 9 | this exhibit. So he verified that it's true and |
| 10 | accurate insofar as the consequences associated with |
| 11 | the exposure levels. |
| 12 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. Thank you. |
| 13 | The Board will admit Jorde Landowner |
| 14 | Hearing Exhibit 568 and give it the weight due. |
| 15 | MR. JORDE: Thank you. |
| 16 | All right. Can we pull up, please, |
| 17 | Exhibit 557. LO 557. |
| 18 | Thank you. Appreciate that. |
| 19 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 20 | Q. Sir, right now we're looking at a map right |
| 21 | off of your website. And I believe it's current as of |
| 22 | a couple weeks ago. |
| 23 | What I'd like to ask you about is on the |
| 24 | kind of western Iowa there near Sac County, do you see |
| 25 | where the proposed pipeline drops due south and goes |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | Г |
|----|--|
| 1 | through several counties, including Shelby and |
| 2 | Pottawattamie all the way down to Fremont? Do you see |
| 3 | that? |
| 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | Q. Do you have any idea of the length in miles |
| 6 | of that segment from the yellow star at the top to |
| 7 | that yellow star near Fremont and Page County? |
| 8 | A. I don't remember off the top of my head, |
| 9 | but no. |
| 10 | Q. Would you agree it's well over a hundred |
| 11 | miles? |
| 12 | A. Again, I don't know, but that sounds |
| 13 | reasonably accurate. |
| 14 | Q. And you would agree that just kind of right |
| 15 | out of the gate we should kind of lop off that entire |
| 16 | proposed route south of that area near Sac County |
| 17 | given that you would only potentially be servicing a |
| 18 | single ethanol plant in the southwestern corner of |
| 19 | Iowa; correct? |
| 20 | A. Well, Mr. Jorde, Mr. Pirolli would be |
| 21 | better suited to correct me here, but I believe there |
| 22 | are 42 ethanol plants in the state. And so just |
| 23 | because there's not an ethanol plant shown on this map |
| 24 | doesn't mean there's not one in the vicinity. I can |
| 25 | think of at least two that I'm aware of that we still |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1681

| 1 | hope will sign on with our project. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. And, again, I think "hope" is the keyword. |
| 3 | This application and your request is really founded |
| 4 | more on hope and what the potential may possibly be as |
| 5 | opposed to what actually is right now; correct? |
| 6 | A. "Hope" is not applicable to Green Plains, |
| 7 | which is in Fremont. But I will say it doesn't matter |
| 8 | if there are any additional plants on this line |
| 9 | segment or not. It doesn't change what we've done |
| 10 | with respect to engineering and design, what we'll do |
| 11 | in construction, what we've done in modeling and risk |
| 12 | identification and risk assessment. |
| 13 | So whether there's three plants connected |
| 14 | to this pipeline segment or one doesn't change the |
| 15 | calculus. |
| 16 | Q. But, in terms of the Board's calculus in |
| 17 | evaluating public convenience and necessity, you would |
| 18 | agree that it's certainly not publicly convenient and |
| 19 | necessary for the over 100 miles of landowners who are |
| 20 | unfortunately located between those two points we've |
| 21 | discussed to be subjected to forever perpetual |
| 22 | easements simply for the benefit of that Fremont |
| 23 | plant; right? |
| 24 | A. Well, I think that's a stronger argument |
| 25 | than an oil pipeline that traverses the state and is |
| | |

| 1 | not connected to any businesses in this state and the |
|----|--|
| 2 | landowners that that impacted. |
| 3 | Q. Well, would you agree that the landowner, |
| 4 | it should ultimately be their choice whether they want |
| 5 | to accept certain risks or certain pipelines as |
| 6 | opposed to others or do you want to remove that choice |
| 7 | from the landowner? |
| 8 | A. Mr. Jorde, we don't establish the process |
| 9 | in the state of Iowa. We're following the process |
| 10 | that the Iowa Utility Board has in place. |
| 11 | MR. JORDE: I would offer 557, please. |
| 12 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Are there objections? |
| 13 | (No response.) |
| 14 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Seeing none, the |
| 15 | Board will admit Jorde Landowner Hearing Exhibit 557. |
| 16 | MR. JORDE: Thank you. |
| 17 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 18 | Q. You talk about some insurance. You would |
| 19 | agree that \$35 million in general liability insurance |
| 20 | is insufficient to cover the entire duration of |
| 21 | operations should your application be approved; right? |
| 22 | A. No. |
| 23 | Q. Is that an aggregate policy or is that a |
| 24 | per occurrence? How does that policy work? |
| 25 | A. So, as we finalize as we hopefully |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | receive our permits and begin construction and |
|----|--|
| 2 | operation, and prior to going into operation, then |
| 3 | we'll work to finalize the structure of our liability |
| 4 | insurance and have more clarity. |
| 5 | But, as you know, 479B requires \$250,000. |
| 6 | We've proved that we have a surety bond in that |
| 7 | amount, and we've always agreed that we'll have a |
| 8 | minimum liability policy of 35 million. |
| 9 | Q. And you don't offer any insurance coverage |
| 10 | for any damages that would occur to landowners' |
| 11 | property outside the 50-foot permit right-of-way, do |
| 12 | you. |
| 13 | A. Any damages that are attributable to the |
| 14 | operation or maintenance of our pipeline we will |
| 15 | cover. |
| 16 | Q. And "attributable" being the keyword after |
| 17 | hiring lawyers and fighting back and forth and trying |
| 18 | to defend themselves and then maybe a judge or a jury |
| 19 | determines "attributable." Is that how that works? |
| 20 | A. Well, I think generally they would be very |
| 21 | clear-cut. If we had if somebody struck the |
| 22 | pipeline and we had a release or we had to get in, |
| 23 | even without a release, and repair the pipeline, then |
| 24 | I think that's very clear-cut that we would compensate |
| 25 | the landowner for any damages and inconvenience. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1684

| 1 | Q. And Mr. Rorie will be the individual to |
|----|--|
| 2 | speak more specifically to the easement and its terms; |
| 3 | is that correct? |
| 4 | A. That is correct. |
| 5 | Q. And he is going to testify; correct? |
| 6 | A. That's correct. |
| 7 | Q. You go on to talk in your rebuttal |
| 8 | testimony, page 3, you talk about Satartia and draw, I |
| 9 | guess, some points here. |
| 10 | MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 565 which |
| 11 | is the failure investigation report, PHMSA's, related |
| 12 | to Satartia. |
| 13 | MR. LEONARD: No objections. |
| 14 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Hearing no |
| 15 | objections was that 565? |
| 16 | MR. JORDE: Yes. |
| 17 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: The Board will admit |
| 18 | Jorde Landowners Hearing Exhibit 565. |
| 19 | MR. JORDE: Thank you. |
| 20 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 21 | Q. And, again, sir, as you cite on page 3 of |
| 22 | your rebuttal testimony towards the bottom, that PHMSA |
| 23 | at least found that Denbury on the Satartia |
| 24 | incident, their dispersion model underestimated the |
| 25 | potential affected area; correct? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1685

| 1 | A. Correct. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Now, you mentioned that you've utilized |
| 3 | CANARY. Is that, again, Mr. Louque that would be best |
| 4 | suited to talk about CANARY? |
| 5 | A. Who? |
| 6 | Q. Mr. Louque. |
| 7 | A. Oh. Mr. Louque? |
| 8 | Q. Mr. Louque. |
| 9 | Have you completed phase two or all phases |
| 10 | of your geohazard surveys and analysis in Iowa? |
| 11 | A. Only phase one in Iowa. |
| 12 | Q. And okay. So, because you haven't |
| 13 | completed phase two geohazards, this Board is without |
| 14 | the requisite information to fully understand and |
| 15 | appreciate the potential risks your current selected |
| 16 | route may have in Iowa; correct? |
| 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | Q. Do you think this Board does not need to |
| 19 | know the details of your phase two geohazard surveys |
| 20 | to make a decision? |
| 21 | A. Well, I would assume that it would depend |
| 22 | on what the phase one analysis produced. And |
| 23 | Mr. Schovanec can talk in detail to that. |
| 24 | But, in phase one, if you identify areas |
| 25 | such as landslides or other potential geohazard areas, |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | then you would go evaluate in the field in phase two. |
|----|--|
| 2 | And then you would coordinate, if required, with the |
| 3 | state geological office. Or geology office. |
| 4 | Q. And when you, if you were to construct your |
| 5 | proposed hazardous pipeline, would confront rocky |
| 6 | areas, is it true you maintain the right to bury it at |
| 7 | a lower depth than the five or six foot proposed? |
| 8 | A. No. |
| 9 | Q. Do you think even in rocky terrain, or |
| 10 | difficult terrain, that you still, based on the |
| 11 | language in your easement, are required to go to a |
| 12 | depth of five feet or more? |
| 13 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 14 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 15 | MR. LEONARD: Misstates the terms of the |
| 16 | easement. I think it's been testified to that it's a |
| 17 | four-foot minimum depth. |
| 18 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 19 | Mr. Jorde. |
| 20 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 21 | Q. Okay. I'll just change that out to four |
| 22 | foot. Are you saying that Summit has no wiggle room |
| 23 | in the proposed easement to bury the pipeline at a |
| 24 | level to the surface of less than four feet if it, in |
| 25 | its sole capacity, determines the area to be rocky or |
| | |

| 1 | otherwise difficult to locate a pipeline? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes, and we've already done the analysis on |
| 3 | where we'll find or encounter rock and where we won't, |
| 4 | and we have no concerns that there'll be areas that we |
| 5 | can't trench or excavate through. |
| 6 | Q. Why is it that in Iowa you are proposing a |
| 7 | four-foot minimum depth but in South Dakota you're |
| 8 | proposing a six-foot minimum depth? |
| 9 | A. We're not blanketly proposing a six-foot |
| 10 | minimum depth in South Dakota. As we've stated all |
| 11 | along, and as we've met with many landowners in Iowa |
| 12 | and had these conversations, is that PHMSA requires a |
| 13 | minimum depth of three feet. We selected four feet as |
| 14 | our minimum depth because 90 percent of the land we |
| 15 | cross is in agricultural use. |
| 16 | And so if a landowner, because of tile or |
| 17 | other concerns, would like the pipeline deeper, then |
| 18 | we've agreed to go as deep as six foot top of pipe. |
| 19 | Q. Is it true that you want to maintain a |
| 20 | minimum of 12 inches or one foot separation from |
| 21 | existing linear infrastructure that you might come |
| 22 | into contact with should your pipeline be approved |
| 23 | here? |
| 24 | A. Well, 12 inches is the standard, but we're |
| 25 | trying to maintain 24 inches where possible. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Q. And would that mean going below a tile |
|----|--|
| 2 | line? Say if a tile line was at five foot, that would |
| 3 | require you to go to seven foot? Or could you do |
| 4 | three foot and be above the existing tile line? |
| 5 | A. No. We will not bury it shallower than |
| 6 | three foot top of pipe. |
| 7 | Q. So I'm sorry. Go ahead. |
| 8 | A. I said three foot. Four foot top of pipe. |
| 9 | Q. Okay. So, if you come in contact with, for |
| 10 | instance, a tile line that's at four and a half feet, |
| 11 | you wouldn't be able to maintain 12-inch, certainly |
| 12 | not 24-inch, by being above and be within the four |
| 13 | foot, so you would automatically go below in that |
| 14 | instance; correct? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. Now, you state on page 6 of your rebuttal, |
| 17 | 6 out of 6 here, that "Summit has every reason to |
| 18 | believe the North Dakota PSC will grant a permit based |
| 19 | on the petition for reconsideration." |
| 20 | What do you base that on? |
| 21 | A. Well, you read the order, Mr. Jorde, so you |
| 22 | know they were very specific in what they felt were |
| 23 | the technical deficiencies. And you were in those |
| 24 | proceedings. So you know, for instance, geohazard |
| 25 | analysis was done, even phase two level analysis was |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1689

| 1 | done, but that wasn't provided in time for |
|----|--|
| 2 | cross-examination apparently. And so we've already |
| 3 | corrected those deficiencies and made sure all that is |
| 4 | in the record. |
| 5 | And what wasn't said in that order, but we |
| 6 | believe to be true, was they generally didn't like the |
| 7 | route on the north side of Bismarck. So, even though |
| 8 | the route, where it was, there was no the city of |
| 9 | Bismarck was not even a could-affect HCA, we moved the |
| 10 | route. |
| 11 | Q. Well, okay, but that last statement that |
| 12 | Bismarck wasn't even a could-affect HCA, no one knows |
| 13 | that because, again, in North Dakota you refused to |
| 14 | produce your dispersion modeling; is that correct? |
| 15 | A. That's not true. That dispersant output |
| 16 | was produced in confidentiality which was determined |
| 17 | and agreed by the PSC. |
| 18 | Q. And so you would agree it would be |
| 19 | reasonable for this Board, like North Dakota PSC, to |
| 20 | not just have blinders on for HCAs but deny this |
| 21 | permit based upon location of your proposed route near |
| 22 | communities, or growing communities, that may not be |
| 23 | technically HCAs; correct? |
| 24 | A. No. And, as we discussed this morning, |
| 25 | we've taken into account any readily available |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | information whether that's on their website, whether |
|----|--|
| 2 | that's we've had over 2,000 communications with |
| 3 | county officials involved in permitting in some |
| 4 | capacity. County engineers, boards of supervisors, |
| 5 | planning and zoning folks, et cetera. And over 400 of |
| 6 | those have been presentations in person. |
| 7 | So we've had a lot of opportunity, as have |
| 8 | they, to provide information to us to make sure that |
| 9 | we're taking into account, where we can, their planned |
| 10 | economic development. |
| 11 | Q. The last question on your rebuttal talks |
| 12 | about the EOR. Which we touched on earlier. Enhanced |
| 13 | oil recovery. And your answer here says "Summit does |
| 14 | not intend to ship CO2 for use in EOR." Again, the |
| 15 | "intend" word. And you realize that doesn't prevent |
| 16 | you from changing your mind tomorrow; correct? |
| 17 | A. Mr. Jorde, in North Dakota our |
| 18 | sequestration site is at least 70 miles from the |
| 19 | Williston area. Which is an oil and gas production |
| 20 | area. There are no minerals in the area where we plan |
| 21 | to sequester, which is why we've been able to acquire |
| 22 | over 90 percent of that pore space, and while we're |
| 23 | confident the NDIC will give us a permit to inject, a |
| 24 | Class VI permit. |
| 25 | So to utilize EOR in North Dakota or CO2 |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | for EOR in North Dakota, we'd have to construct a new |
|----|--|
| 2 | pipeline north and west. |
| 3 | Q. Approximately 70 miles you said? |
| 4 | A. If I remember correctly. |
| 5 | Q. So that doesn't seem real tricky given |
| 6 | you're proposing a 2,000-mile pipeline right now, does |
| 7 | it? |
| 8 | A. Well, again, it's not up to us, it's what |
| 9 | our shippers and the sources of the CO2 want to do |
| 10 | with their product. |
| 11 | And, in this case, the 12 partners that we |
| 12 | have in Iowa want us to sequester that CO2 permanently |
| 13 | so they can reduce their carbon intensity and become |
| 14 | and gain access to low-carbon fuel markets. |
| 15 | Q. Well, right, and you go on to say "At |
| 16 | present, all parties intending to on Summit's pipeline |
| 17 | system intend to permanently sequester the CO2 being |
| 18 | shipped." |
| 19 | But, just to be clear, that could change at |
| 20 | any moment; right? |
| 21 | A. As I said before, Mr. Jorde, if we're a |
| 22 | common carrier system, if somebody has the ability to |
| 23 | get CO2 onto our pipeline system and has the ability |
| 24 | to get it off of the system and they meet our quality |
| 25 | specs and we have capacity, then that would be |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | considered. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. And, in terms of this allegation of being a |
| 3 | common carrier, is it Mr. Pirolli is better able to |
| 4 | deal with those factors; is that right? |
| 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | MR. JORDE: I'll offer Exhibit 578, which |
| 7 | is Summit's discovery responses to landowners Data |
| 8 | Requests No. 52 through 59. |
| 9 | MR. LEONARD: No objection. |
| 10 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Seeing no objection, |
| 11 | the Board will admit Jorde Landowner Hearing |
| 12 | Exhibit 578. |
| 13 | MR. JORDE: All right. And I'm almost |
| 14 | done. |
| 15 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 16 | Q. Sir, throughout this process we obtained |
| 17 | records produced from a public records request between |
| 18 | the governor's office here in Iowa, Ms. Reynolds, |
| 19 | Governor Reynolds, and Mr. Rastetter and persons |
| 20 | interested with and supportive of Summit. |
| 21 | Did you have a chance to review any of |
| 22 | those records? |
| 23 | A. I did not. |
| 24 | Q. And you would agree that there is a certain |
| 25 | cloud over these proceedings related to the |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | relationship of Mr. Rastetter with the Reynolds |
|----|--|
| 2 | administration, Mr. Branstad, and others, such that |
| 3 | many folks believe they're not getting a fair shake or |
| 4 | a fair proceeding. |
| 5 | Are you aware of that sentiment? |
| 6 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 7 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 8 | MR. LEONARD: Calls for speculation. |
| 9 | Assumes facts not in evidence. |
| 10 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 11 | Mr. Jorde, go ahead and reword or move on. |
| 12 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 13 | Q. I'm not asking you to think about any other |
| 14 | evidence. I'm just asking you, as the COO of this |
| 15 | project and here as the first witness for applicant, |
| 16 | if you're aware of that thought process out there, |
| 17 | from people you're trying to obtain easements from and |
| 18 | others, that the relationship between Summit and the |
| 19 | state government is something to be concerned about |
| 20 | and worrisome for those wanting to have a fair say in |
| 21 | these proceedings. |
| 22 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 23 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 24 | MR. LEONARD: Same objection. Calls for |
| 25 | speculation as to whether he's aware of others' |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1694

1 thought processes.

2 BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: You can answer if 3 you'd like.

4 I would just say, Mr. Jorde, we're almost Α. 5 two years into this process, and so I think that the Iowa Utility Board has done a very good job of 6 gathering information. I think the proceeding up to 7 8 this point -- I've seen no impartiality. I mean, for 9 the last two weeks, I think, landowners, and they 10 deserved it, had the right to spend as much time as 11 they thought they needed to to talk about the nuances 12 of their property and the value of their property and 13 why they didn't want a pipeline or why they were not 14 in agreement with Summit at this point.

15 So, at this point, regardless of what's 16 said in the media or what others may say, I've seen no 17 evidence that we're receiving special treatment from 18 the Iowa Utility Board.

19 BY MR. JORDE:

20 Q. Well, what about on day one when you and 21 your counsel were able to enter in secretly through 22 the back door and everyone else had to wait in 23 100-degree long lines to be wanded down by your 24 security forces?

25 MR. LEONARD: Objection.

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. LEONARD: Argumentative. Assumes facts |
| 3 | not evidence. |
| 4 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Sustained. Let's |
| 5 | move on. |
| 6 | MR. JORDE: Well, I will get the facts in |
| 7 | evidence. |
| 8 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 9 | Q. True or false. On day one of these |
| 10 | proceedings, you, Mr. Jimmy Powell, and your counsel |
| 11 | were allowed to enter in through the back door of this |
| 12 | very building, which is just to your left, while |
| 13 | everyone else had to wait in 100-degree weather |
| 14 | outside in lines to get security-checked and wanded by |
| 15 | your security force. True or false? |
| 16 | A. That's false. So we came in that door |
| 17 | (indicating). So it's not to my left. So, if that's |
| 18 | the back door, that's the door. |
| 19 | And they're not my security force. They're |
| 20 | OverWatch who are employed by us in another capacity, |
| 21 | but the Iowa Utility Board dictated what type of |
| 22 | security they wanted at this venue, and they are |
| 23 | coordinating with the security folks themselves. |
| 24 | Q. Okay. So it just so happens that your |
| 25 | private security forces that accompany you to North |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Dakota, for instance, that are standing with surveying |
|----|--|
| 2 | crews in South Dakota, and are here today, it's just a |
| 3 | coincidence that your security force is the force that |
| 4 | is providing security at these proceedings? |
| 5 | A. That was the IUB's choice. And I wouldn't |
| 6 | discredit the gentlemen in the back that are with the |
| 7 | sheriff's department and maybe the local police. |
| 8 | Because they've been here every day as well. |
| 9 | Q. I think they're doing a fine job. So you |
| 10 | did not, in fact, go through the security line the |
| 11 | first day of these proceedings, did you. |
| 12 | A. I did not. |
| 13 | MR. JORDE: I'll just go ahead and offer |
| 14 | Exhibit 572 to show bias and admissions against |
| 15 | interest. |
| 16 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Are there objections? |
| 17 | MR. DUBLINSKE: We need a moment. Because |
| 18 | it's not been pulled up or not been asked about. |
| 19 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: We'll pull that up. |
| 20 | Our staff does have the file located. They |
| 21 | are pulling it up. It is just a large file. |
| 22 | MR. LEONARD: I do have an objection, Your |
| 23 | Honor. |
| 24 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: I'm sorry. Did you |
| 25 | say you do or you don't? |
| | |

| 1 | MR. LEONARD: I do. Lack of foundation for |
|----|--|
| 2 | this document. This witness specifically was asked if |
| 3 | he reviewed any of these and said no. There's no |
| 4 | basis for foundation here. |
| 5 | MR. JORDE: Well, I don't need to establish |
| 6 | foundation through this witness. |
| 7 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 8 | Q. I mean, sir, do you dispute that |
| 9 | Mr. Rastetter sent emails |
| 10 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Jorde, we're just |
| 11 | going to go ahead and refine it to his rebuttal to |
| 12 | his objection. |
| 13 | MR. JORDE: Okay. I thought that's what I |
| 14 | was doing. |
| 15 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Sorry. I thought you |
| 16 | were launching into questioning the witness. So I |
| 17 | apologize. Go ahead and proceed. |
| 18 | MR. JORDE: It may have been both. Yeah. |
| 19 | So he doesn't have to have foundation for this exhibit |
| 20 | to get in. Like the warning sign. He didn't create |
| 21 | that. That's not a relevant objection to this |
| 22 | exhibit. |
| 23 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: The evidence will be |
| 24 | admitted as Jorde Landowner Hearing Exhibit 572 and be |
| 25 | given the weight due. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | MR. JORDE: Thank you. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Just give me one moment, please. |
| 3 | BY MR. JORDE: |
| 4 | Q. Are you, sir, familiar with Project Tundra. |
| 5 | Does that ring a bell to you at all? |
| 6 | A. It does. |
| 7 | Q. And does Summit have any role or interest |
| 8 | in that project at all? |
| 9 | A. We have a partnership with Minnkota Energy |
| 10 | to develop their sequestration sites. Which are |
| 11 | Tundra East and Tundra West. |
| 12 | Q. And would you agree that that project is a |
| 13 | step in the wrong direction and carries large risks |
| 14 | for investors and its co-op members? |
| 15 | A. No, I wouldn't. |
| 16 | MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 574. |
| 17 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Any objection? |
| 18 | MR. LEONARD: Just a moment. I'm pulling |
| 19 | it up. |
| 20 | We'll object to lack of foundation as well |
| 21 | as asking this witness to verify the accuracy of this |
| 22 | document that he hasn't read that also doesn't appear |
| 23 | to have anything to do with the project before this |
| 24 | Board. |
| 25 | MR. JORDE: Well, he said his company is a |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | part of this project. So he's very familiar with the |
|----|--|
| 2 | project. There's no mystery there. |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: We're not familiar |
| 4 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Hold on. Real quick. |
| 5 | The Board will admit Jorde Landowners |
| 6 | Hearing Exhibit 574 and give it the weight due. |
| 7 | MR. JORDE: Thank you. |
| 8 | Then, lastly, I would ask to be received |
| 9 | into evidence the Audubon Field Solutions Summit |
| 10 | Carbon Solutions Dispersion Analysis Midwest Carbon |
| 11 | Express dated August 31, 2023. |
| 12 | MR. LEONARD: Is there an exhibit number? |
| 13 | MR. JORDE: No, because you won't give it |
| 14 | to us, but I have to offer the exhibit to make the |
| 15 | record. |
| 16 | MR. LEONARD: Without seeing the document, |
| 17 | our objection is based on PHMSA preemption, the |
| 18 | currently pending appeal before the Board on a motion |
| 19 | to compel, which I understand the Board will be ruling |
| 20 | on at some point. |
| 21 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Right now we'll have |
| 22 | to deny it. We don't have anything to admit. |
| 23 | MR. JORDE: That's fine. I can perhaps |
| 24 | upload this. Here's my problem and this is posed |
| 25 | as a question. They've designated it as confidential. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | 5 |
|----|--|
| 1 | There was in the Board order to make offers of proof. |
| 2 | I'd like to offer it, but you're right, I haven't |
| 3 | uploaded it because they say it's confidential. And I |
| 4 | don't want to be in breach of confidentiality by the |
| 5 | mere uploading it even if I do confidential. |
| 6 | So I guess I'm looking for some guidance. |
| 7 | Is a party authorized to upload the exhibit with a |
| 8 | confidential designation and then make an offer? If |
| 9 | so, then I will do that at some point. |
| 10 | (Brief pause.) |
| 11 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. If you have |
| 12 | them, you may distribute them to Summit's attorneys to |
| 13 | determine whether or not we need to go into |
| 14 | confidential session to admit them. |
| 15 | I'll also remind you that we have |
| 16 | instructions on how to upload confidential documents |
| 17 | and encourage you to check those out again. |
| 18 | MR. JORDE: Yep. And I don't intend to |
| 19 | have to kick everyone out. And I am familiar that |
| 20 | there is a method to do it. I just wanted to be sure |
| 21 | that the very act of me attempting to upload them in a |
| 22 | confidential fashion isn't going to get objections |
| 23 | from counsel, I guess. That's more what I'm looking |
| 24 | for. And then I will follow that procedure. |
| 25 | So I want some type of an assurance on that |
| 1 | |

| 1 | before I do it. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. LEONARD: We do not have an objection |
| 3 | to having them filed confidential. I still don't know |
| 4 | what they are. Is this something that was produced in |
| 5 | another state pursuant to a confidentiality order in |
| 6 | that other state? |
| 7 | MR. JORDE: Exactly. Which is why I |
| 8 | haven't done anything with it. |
| 9 | MR. LEONARD: Until I have a look at that |
| 10 | confidential agreement or order, I don't know what its |
| 11 | restrictions are. |
| 12 | MR. JORDE: Well, again, it's your client's |
| 13 | document. So none of that matters if you just allow |
| 14 | it to be uploaded. That's my point here. |
| 15 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Hold on. |
| 16 | Mr. Long. |
| 17 | MR. LONG: If the document is related to |
| 18 | the subject matter of the order that we're presumably |
| 19 | going to get soon from the Board, perhaps we could |
| 20 | just the Board mentioned that if those materials |
| 21 | are required to be provided and discovery witnesses |
| 22 | could be recalled, I would suggest that we could deal |
| 23 | with the materials in any necessary confidential |
| 24 | session then. |
| 25 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: That would be my |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | preference. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. JORDE: Okay. So, just to make sure |
| 3 | I'm clear, I will then not be uploading them. We're |
| 4 | basically waiting for the Board's decision with the |
| 5 | understanding we could recall Mr. Powell or whomever |
| 6 | has the foundational knowledge of that exhibit. I |
| 7 | just want to make sure we're all on the same page. |
| 8 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: That will be an |
| 9 | opportunity, yes. |
| 10 | MR. JORDE: All right. Very good. Then |
| 11 | that concludes my questioning. |
| 12 | Thank you, sir. |
| 13 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 14 | Mr. Long, I believe you are next. |
| 15 | MR. LONG: Thank you. |
| 16 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 17 | BY MR. LONG: |
| 18 | Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Powell. |
| 19 | A. Good afternoon, Mr. Long. |
| 20 | Q. So I want to follow up and clarify a couple |
| 21 | of things that you were discussing with Mr. Jorde. |
| 22 | Earlier you were discussing well, I'll |
| 23 | do this more systematically. |
| 24 | Is it a fair restatement of your testimony |
| 25 | earlier today that you consider the most probable |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1703

| 1 | cause of a release of gas from the line to be a |
|----|--|
| 2 | third-party line strike like an excavator? |
| 3 | A. Correct. |
| 4 | Q. Thank you. You were discussing this |
| 5 | earlier with Mr. Jorde, but I want to follow up to get |
| 6 | some precision. |
| 7 | You stated in a discussion with Mr. Jorde |
| 8 | that Summit would pay for damages resulting from I |
| 9 | can't remember the attributable to operation of the |
| 10 | pipeline. And I want to follow up on what damages you |
| 11 | meant would be included in that statement. |
| 12 | If there were a third-party line strike, |
| 13 | and let's assume that the excavator is a hundred |
| 14 | percent at fault, and let's use the word "bystanders" |
| 15 | by which I mean not necessarily landowners but |
| 16 | somebody close enough or with property, like |
| 17 | livestock, close enough to have been harmed, would |
| 18 | Summit pay those damages resulting from the release of |
| 19 | gas even if it was caused by a third-party excavator? |
| 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. Okay. Thank you. |
| 22 | MR. LONG: I'd now like to introduce my |
| 23 | hearing exhibit. I provided it electronically |
| 24 | earlier oh, there it is. |
| 25 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Are there objections? |
| 1 | |

| 1 | MR. LONG: For Mr. Dublinske and |
|----|--|
| 2 | Mr. Leonard's reference, this is the response I just |
| 3 | received to OCA Data Request 77. |
| 4 | MR. LEONARD: No objection. |
| 5 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: It will be admitted |
| 6 | as OCA Hearing Exhibit 1. |
| 7 | MR. LONG: Thank you. |
| 8 | BY MR. LONG: |
| 9 | Q. Mr. Powell, I believe you just received a |
| 10 | copy. This is a response, that you listed yourself as |
| 11 | the author of, to a data request sent by OCA. |
| 12 | Do you recognize this document as that? |
| 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | Q. Thank you. Following up on what we just |
| 15 | discussed, you said that Summit would pay for damages |
| 16 | to bystanders even if the release was caused by a |
| 17 | third party. |
| 18 | If you look at part B of your answer, am I |
| 19 | correct in interpreting this that Summit is saying |
| 20 | even though it would pay those damages to bystanders |
| 21 | its liability insurance wouldn't be available? Is |
| 22 | that correct? |
| 23 | A. That was not the intent. |
| 24 | Q. Well, in your response to part A, is it |
| 25 | fair to say that you're telling us that Summit doesn't |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | really know the terms of what insurance it will have |
|----|---|
| 2 | because it's going to have to negotiate it after |
| 3 | construction? |
| 4 | A. Exactly. And that's why I say we cannot |
| 5 | confirm exclusions of limits of liability. I mean, |
| 6 | just generally, Mr. Long, my philosophy, and the |
| 7 | philosophy of our management team, is that if there's |
| 8 | an incident associated with the pipeline and, in your |
| 9 | scenario, it's due to a third party, then we assume |
| 10 | the liability with that and we keep the landowner |
| 11 | whole. And then, if we have to deal with a third |
| 12 | party and our own insurance carrier, we will do that. |
| 13 | So, if that's not the way you interpreted |
| 14 | this, then I apologize, but that's our intent. |
| 15 | Q. All right. And I want to clarify again. |
| 16 | Because it's an important point to our office. You |
| 17 | said you would keep the landowner whole, but what if |
| 18 | the injured party were not a landowner but someone |
| 19 | adjacent to the line or even just a passerby? |
| 20 | A. Again, we're obligated it's our pipeline |
| 21 | we're operating. So we're obligated to remove the |
| 22 | liability from at least the landowner in this case, |
| 23 | and then if someone that's not associated with the |
| 24 | landowner you're saying? That's on the property? |
| 25 | Q. Well, I mean, will you accept that if there |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | were a release of gas, you wouldn't have to be on a |
|----|---|
| 2 | property that's on the route to be affected and |
| 3 | potentially injured? I mean, you could be at an |
| 4 | adjacent property. |
| 5 | Is that reasonable? |
| 6 | A. Correct. Not highly likely with a |
| 7 | third-party line strike, as we've modeled it, but |
| 8 | let's say it could happen. So, again, we're and I |
| 9 | assume I don't assume. I confirm that we're liable |
| 10 | for any damage that is the result of operating our |
| 11 | pipeline. Whether that damage is caused by a third |
| 12 | party or by our own operation. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. Thank you. And, as you've told us, |
| 14 | Summit will not know the terms of its insurance until |
| 15 | later in the process. |
| 16 | Would Summit be willing to accept a |
| 17 | requirement that it submit whatever insurance it |
| 18 | obtains for approval by the Board? |
| 19 | A. I think I can speak for our management team |
| 20 | and say yes. |
| 21 | Q. Thank you. The last thing I'm going to ask |
| 22 | about, I don't have an exhibit, but do you recall in |
| 23 | your direct testimony that you stated the purpose of |
| 24 | your testimony was to explain why the company's |
| 25 | proposal satisfies the requirements of Iowa Code 479B |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | and to describe the benefits of the proposed line? |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. Now, these questions are going to relate a |
| 4 | little bit to a discussion you had earlier with |
| 5 | Mr. Jorde. |
| 6 | Would you agree that the benefits Summit |
| 7 | claims for the line cannot be delivered if Summit is |
| 8 | unable to deliver the CO2 to a sequestration site and |
| 9 | to sequester it? |
| 10 | A. I would agree. |
| 11 | MR. LONG: That's all my questions. Thank |
| 12 | you. |
| 13 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 14 | Ms. Gruenhagen. I believe you're next. |
| 15 | MS. GRUENHAGEN: Thank you Your Honor. |
| 16 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 17 | BY MS. GRUENHAGEN: |
| 18 | Q. Good afternoon. |
| 19 | A. Good afternoon. |
| 20 | Q. I'm Chris Gruenhagen. I think we've met |
| 21 | previously. I just want to quickly do a follow-up on |
| 22 | something you were talking to Mr. Jorde about before |
| 23 | lunch. |
| 24 | You were discussing Mr. Pirolli's rebuttal |
| 25 | Exhibit 1, the Iowa Renewable Fuels Association paper |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1708

| | - |
|----|---|
| 1 | that was submitted. |
| 2 | Do you remember that conversation? |
| 3 | A. I do. |
| 4 | Q. In that conversation, you mentioned that |
| 5 | that paper showed there was a reduction in demand of |
| 6 | 75 percent. Didn't that paper lay out four different |
| 7 | scenarios that could be taking place and that the |
| 8 | 75 percent was the worst-case scenario presented in |
| 9 | the paper? |
| 10 | A. That's true. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. Thank you. I want to visit with you |
| 12 | a little bit about some statements that you've made |
| 13 | during informational meetings. And we'll just kind of |
| 14 | stay at a high level here. But, at the informational |
| 15 | meetings recently held in Floyd and Mitchell County, |
| 16 | you stated that Summit would pay landowners for any |
| 17 | crop damages sustained because of the pipeline. |
| 18 | Is that correct? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. Does it matter when that crop yield loss |
| 21 | damage occurs? |
| 22 | A. No. |
| 23 | Q. So, after three years, if a farmer informs |
| 24 | Summit that they had crop yield reductions in the |
| 25 | easement area, Summit would still pay for those crop |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | damages accordingly? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | MS. GRUENHAGEN: I have a hearing exhibit. |
| 4 | I believe I did email this electronically as well. |
| 5 | BY MS. GRUENHAGEN: |
| 6 | Q. And, since you have a copy, could you go |
| 7 | ahead and read paragraph (f) in Summit's response? |
| 8 | A. "Generally" |
| 9 | Q. You can read it to yourself. Sorry. While |
| 10 | it's being handed out. |
| 11 | Does that paragraph (f) in Summit's data |
| 12 | request response reflect how Summit is going to allow |
| 13 | proof for that crop yield loss? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. Is there anything else that would need to |
| 16 | be provided by a farmer who was experiencing crop |
| 17 | yield loss in the easement area? |
| 18 | A. Again, I'm not a farmer, Ms. Gruenhagen, |
| 19 | but any kind of verification that there's a yield |
| 20 | deficiency would be sufficient. |
| 21 | Q. And I know this was last fall, but did you |
| 22 | see this data request response before it was provided? |
| 23 | A. I apologize. We see so many data requests |
| 24 | that I can't keep track of them. |
| 25 | Q. Okay. But paragraph (f) does reflect |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Summit's commitment on paying for crop damages? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Yes. I mean, we spent a lot of time on |
| 3 | this. I mean, obviously, we work for an agricultural |
| 4 | company who is very cognizant of this. And so, just |
| 5 | for context, the way we arrived at that is, you know, |
| 6 | we based it on the spot study that ISU did and the |
| 7 | yield deficiencies that certain landowners experienced |
| 8 | from Dakota Access. |
| 9 | And so we extrapolated that over what we |
| 10 | thought would be six or seven years, but have also |
| 11 | said that after three years, or in perpetuity if |
| 12 | there's a deficiency, we'll take care of it. |
| 13 | Q. Thank you. Looking at paragraph (e), has |
| 14 | Summit determined the process and who the contact |
| 15 | person might be for claiming crop yield loss damages? |
| 16 | A. We have not. Mr. Rorie will be involved in |
| 17 | that. He will testify later in the proceeding. |
| 18 | Q. Thank you. At the information meetings in |
| 19 | Floyd and Mitchell County, you also stated that Summit |
| 20 | would pay landowners for damages to tile drainage |
| 21 | systems that were sustained because of the pipeline. |
| 22 | Do you recall that discussion? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. And is that an accurate summary of what you |
| 25 | said? |
| | |

| 1 | A. It is. So, obviously, during construction |
|----|--|
| 2 | we'll repair cover temporary and permanent repairs. |
| 3 | And anytime after the pipeline is placed in operation, |
| 4 | during the life of the operation, if there's damage |
| 5 | attributable to the construction, we'll cover it. |
| 6 | Q. Thank you. And, even if that drainage tile |
| 7 | damage wasn't discovered until more than five years |
| 8 | after the pipeline was installed, will Summit still |
| 9 | pay for the damages then? |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. Alternatively, would Summit also offer to |
| 12 | fix the tile drainage system? |
| 13 | A. Yes. Or to pay their contractor of choice |
| 14 | to repair. |
| 15 | Q. Appreciate that. Thank you. Will Summit |
| 16 | also fix or pay for any damages the pipeline causes to |
| 17 | terraces? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. And is that going to be a lifetime |
| 20 | obligation for Summit? |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. A similar question regarding conservation |
| 23 | structures. Will Summit fix or pay for any damages |
| 24 | the pipeline causes to conservation structures? |
| 25 | A. Yes, in the terms of their agreement around |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | those. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Pardon me? |
| 3 | A. Depending on their agreement. You're |
| 4 | talking about like resource conservation areas? |
| 5 | Q. No, conservation structures. So it would |
| 6 | be like grass waterways, terraces |
| 7 | A. Oh. Yes. Sorry. |
| 8 | Q. That's okay. |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. I appreciate you asking for clarification |
| 11 | so that we're on the same page. |
| 12 | Is this a commitment that Summit is making |
| 13 | for the life of the pipeline? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. You've been sitting in front of me here |
| 16 | most of the days the past couple weeks listening to |
| 17 | the non-intervening landowner testimony; is that |
| 18 | correct? |
| 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | Q. You heard some landowners state that they |
| 21 | do have some contracts with federal agencies as a |
| 22 | result of being enrolled in the Conservation Reserve |
| 23 | Program; is that correct? |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. Do you recall that? |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

1 MS. GRUENHAGEN: I have another hearing 2 exhibit here. 3 BY MS. GRUENHAGEN: 4 Q. I just have three, so... 5 Α. That's okay. Mr. Long got some time back. And so, while we're waiting for the 6 Q. 7 handout, if you want to review paragraphs (c) and (e). 8 MS. GRUENHAGEN: Your Honor, while that's 9 being handed out, can I offer into evidence IFBF 10 Hearing Exhibit 1? 11 BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Are there any objections? 12 13 No objection. MR. LEONARD: 14 BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Hearing none, the 15 Board will admit Iowa Farm Bureau Federation Hearing Exhibit 1. 16 17 MS. GRUENHAGEN: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 BY MS. GRUENHAGEN: 19 So have you had a chance to read through 0. 20 paragraph (c)? I did. And I apologize, but I'm going to 21 Α. 22 have to defer the responses to these to Mr. Rorie. 23 He's much better versed in how we're going to 24 accomplish this. 25 As well as the -- (e) as well? Q. On both?

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. Yes. I can tell you we'll keep the |
|----|--|
| 2 | landowner whole, but he can tell you the specifics and |
| 3 | mechanics around how we're going to do that. |
| 4 | Q. So I'll just ask you, I guess, more of a |
| 5 | general statement. |
| 6 | So when you say "make the landowner whole," |
| 7 | what do you mean by that? |
| 8 | A. Well, if they have an obligation that we |
| 9 | interrupt with construction or installation of the |
| 10 | pipeline, then we have to fulfill that obligation. |
| 11 | Generally. So if that's contractually, if it's |
| 12 | expense whatever that might be. Restoration. |
| 13 | Whatever that might be. |
| 14 | Mr. Rorie will he will be able to go |
| 15 | into much more detail on how we'll do that. |
| 16 | Q. But, at a high level, if someone was out of |
| 17 | compliance with the federal farm programs or their |
| 18 | federal contracts, Summit would make them whole? |
| 19 | A. As long as that non-compliance was directly |
| 20 | attributable to the pipeline, yes. |
| 21 | Q. Fair enough. Thank you. And I'd also |
| 22 | ask my next hearing exhibit as well deals kind of |
| 23 | in this area. So would you prefer that be directed |
| 24 | toward Mr. Rorie as well? |
| 25 | A. I would. He'll give a much better answer |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | and a more complete answer than I would. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. I just have one additional line of |
| 3 | questions as well dealing with negotiating easements. |
| 4 | And I'm looking at a high level, not looking at the |
| 5 | terms of the easement here. |
| 6 | If the permit is granted, will Summit |
| 7 | continue to negotiate easement terms and compensation |
| 8 | with the landowners prior to filing for eminent |
| 9 | domain? |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. If the pipeline permit is granted, is |
| 12 | Summit willing to offer, at a minimum, the same terms |
| 13 | and conditions that has already been offered to those |
| 14 | landowners? |
| 15 | A. Generally, yes. I hesitate, because |
| 16 | routing may have changed with the Exhibit H filing. |
| 17 | And so we couldn't deviate from that unless the Board |
| 18 | gave us some discretion or flexibility there. But, as |
| 19 | far as compensation, within reason, yes. |
| 20 | Q. So is Summit willing to purchase those |
| 21 | voluntary easements for the same compensation as what |
| 22 | Summit offered to the landowner prior to the start of |
| 23 | this hearing? |
| 24 | A. Yes, qualifying what you just said. "The |
| 25 | start of the hearing." Because some negotiations have |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | been ongoing for a year. And it goes up and goes down |
|----|---|
| 2 | and goes so, yeah, specific to the last offer, yes. |
| 3 | Q. And, if Summit was not given an opportunity |
| 4 | to extend an offer to a landowner, will Summit agree |
| 5 | to offer the formula price that was explained at the |
| 6 | informational meetings as the lowest price that they |
| 7 | would pay to the landowner? |
| 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. Can you explain the formula price? |
| 10 | A. Mr. Rorie would be better suited to. He |
| 11 | gets offended when I try to walk through terms and |
| 12 | conditions and easement numbers. |
| 13 | MS. GRUENHAGEN: Very good. I can do that. |
| 14 | That's all the questions I have. Thank |
| 15 | you. |
| 16 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. Thank you. |
| 17 | I believe Mr. Whipple is next. |
| 18 | MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 19 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 20 | BY MR. WHIPPLE: |
| 21 | Q. Mr. Powell, I don't know if you can see my |
| 22 | counties (indicating). I've got seven of them. |
| 23 | A. I know your counties very well, |
| 24 | Mr. Whipple. |
| 25 | Q. Thank you, Mr. Powell. I want to return to |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1717

| 1 | the subject of the North Dakota permit. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Will construction proceed in Iowa if the |
| 3 | North Dakota Utilities Commission doesn't approve a |
| 4 | permit? |
| 5 | A. No. |
| 6 | Q. So will Summit accept the condition |
| 7 | requiring approval in North Dakota and South Dakota |
| 8 | for phase one of the project? |
| 9 | A. Yes. With the understanding of how we |
| 10 | define "phase one." But what we call phase one, yes. |
| 11 | Phase one is |
| 12 | Q. I'll accept your definition of phase one. |
| 13 | A. Phase one is the main line through South |
| 14 | Dakota to North Dakota to the sequestration site so we |
| 15 | have a vehicle to transport CO2 from the 12 plants in |
| 16 | Iowa to the sequestration site in North Dakota. |
| 17 | Q. Thank you. |
| 18 | A. And of course we wouldn't we wouldn't |
| 19 | construct that main line if we didn't think we could |
| 20 | construct the laterals as well. |
| 21 | Q. Which is why you're willing to accept the |
| 22 | condition in Iowa. |
| 23 | A. Correct. |
| 24 | Q. Thank you. Will construction proceed in |
| 25 | Iowa if Oliver County doesn't approve a permit for an |
| | |

| 1 | injection well? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Although I think that's unlikely, we also |
| 3 | have injection opportunities or a sequestering site in |
| 4 | Mercer County. So I would say if we don't have the |
| 5 | ability to sequester in Mercer or Oliver Counties or |
| 6 | Tundra East or West, if we don't have access to any |
| 7 | injection sites in North Dakota, I would accept that |
| 8 | condition. |
| 9 | Q. So it would be it could be generally |
| 10 | stated such a condition requiring approval of all |
| 11 | necessary local permits as well? |
| 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. In your direct testimony, you stated that |
| 14 | more than 50 percent of Iowa's corn crop is used for |
| 15 | ethanol production. |
| 16 | What is the source of that statistic? |
| 17 | A. I mean, I can't quote it off the top of my |
| 18 | head. It's just various statistics. The most recent |
| 19 | I read was 57 percent. So I'm again, I'm not a |
| 20 | farmer, so I'm typically looking for agricultural |
| 21 | stats and updates. But I don't have a specific |
| 22 | source. |
| 23 | Q. What I'm interested in probing for a minute |
| 24 | with you is not just ethanol generally but ethanol at |
| 25 | the 12 or 13 Summit partners specifically. |

| 1 | What percentage of all the ethanol produced |
|----|--|
| 2 | in Iowa is produced at those 12 or 13 plants? |
| 3 | A. Mr. Whipple, Mr. Pirolli will be much |
| 4 | better versed in it than I am, but, I mean, if there |
| 5 | are 42 plants, and I'm not sure that's the correct |
| 6 | number, and we're contracted with 12 in this petition |
| 7 | that we're discussing today, it would obviously be a |
| 8 | fraction of the total volume. |
| 9 | Q. Which is what I was driving at with my next |
| 10 | question. You would agree that it's proportional to |
| 11 | the proportion of ethanol produced at your ethanol |
| 12 | partners. |
| 13 | A. Yes, you know, and understanding that a |
| 14 | plant is not a plant. So our Homeland Energy plant is |
| 15 | one of the larger plants in our current contracted |
| 16 | portfolio. So there are plants ranging from |
| 17 | 50 million to 200 million gallons per year production. |
| 18 | But, generally, I think I would agree. |
| 19 | Q. Sure. I want to ask a question about the |
| 20 | 45Q tax credits. |
| 21 | And, not to turn you into a tax lawyer |
| 22 | here, but you're generally familiar with them; |
| 23 | correct? |
| 24 | A. Generally. |
| 25 | Q. Isn't it true that they require |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

٦

| 1 | construction to begin before 2026? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. I believe that's correct. |
| 3 | Q. And isn't it true that they can be claimed |
| 4 | for 12 years? |
| 5 | A. I believe that's the current duration, yes. |
| 6 | Q. So what I'm wondering is why does Summit |
| 7 | need permanent perpetual easements? |
| 8 | A. Well, we anticipate the life of the |
| 9 | pipeline to extend well beyond 12 years, Mr. Whipple. |
| 10 | And there are provisions in 479B that if we |
| 11 | ceased operation of the pipeline, deactivated the |
| 12 | pipeline or took the pipeline out of service, then |
| 13 | after five years that easement reverts back to the |
| 14 | landowner. |
| 15 | Q. Sure, but what I'm wondering about is |
| 16 | you're making the case for public convenience and |
| 17 | necessity based on the benefits to the ethanol plants. |
| 18 | Which is connected to the sequestration and which is |
| 19 | driven by the federal credits. |
| 20 | So is there public convenience and |
| 21 | necessity when the tax credits go away? |
| 22 | A. Well, I would say that if the tax credits |
| 23 | were to go away, and I being a taxpayer like you are, |
| | |
| 24 | I don't know too many government programs that go |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| _ | |
|----|--|
| 1 | intend to be profitable after we generate enough |
| 2 | income to pay for our cost of borrowing for |
| 3 | construction. And the tax credits will not be our |
| 4 | only source of income. |
| 5 | So we fully anticipate being a viable |
| 6 | business if the tax credits were to go away in 12 |
| 7 | years. |
| 8 | Q. But, in both your testimony and in |
| 9 | Mr. Pirolli's, you're making the case for the benefits |
| 10 | to the state of Iowa based on economic benefits and |
| 11 | environmental benefits which are closely connected to |
| 12 | lowering carbon intensity. Which are, again, closely |
| 13 | connected to the tax credits. |
| 14 | Wouldn't it make sense to time the |
| 15 | easements to the tax credits? |
| 16 | A. Well, we're making the connection to the |
| 17 | low-carbon fuel markets. And that's reducing the |
| 18 | plants' carbon intensity by reducing the CO2 from |
| 19 | their capturing the CO2 from their process with or |
| 20 | without a tax credit. |
| 21 | Q. So you do not agree that the easements |
| 22 | should be linked to the tax credit duration. |
| 23 | A. I do not. |
| 24 | Q. I want to move on and talk a little bit |
| 25 | more about emergency response. |
| | |

| 1 | I want to follow up on something that |
|----|--|
| 2 | Mr. Long asked you about. The third-party strikes. |
| 3 | Given that there are frequent excavation in the road |
| 4 | rights-of-way, shouldn't there be additional measures |
| 5 | at road crossings to mitigate releases from strikes? |
| 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. Do you have an opinion on what those |
| 8 | additional measures should be? |
| 9 | A. Well, it's multifaceted, but primarily it's |
| 10 | depth of cover. So that's why we'll bore, or drill, |
| 11 | all road crossings, and it will be at a depth much |
| 12 | greater than the minimum four foot for the other |
| 13 | aspects or parts of the pipeline. |
| 14 | Q. And so you would be amenable to additional |
| 15 | county terms and conditions on road crossings? |
| 16 | A. Well, we currently are. I mean, as long as |
| 17 | they're reasonable and practical and within typical |
| 18 | industry standard and practice. I mean, if the |
| 19 | requirement is and I'm throwing out a number, is |
| 20 | eight foot top of pipe, and that's pretty common, and |
| 21 | depending on the risk and the type of roadway, |
| 22 | obviously we're okay with that. But, if they want us |
| 23 | to put it 30 feet deep and there's no driver for that, |
| 24 | then I'd want to have a discussion. |
| 25 | Q. But, just generally speaking, that would be |

| 1 | something you would be willing to explore with county |
|----|--|
| 2 | engineers? |
| 3 | A. Absolutely. And we have been. With most. |
| 4 | Q. I'm not advocating for 30 feet, by the way. |
| 5 | A. I appreciate it. And I also don't advocate |
| 6 | for casing either because it introduces risk. It |
| 7 | doesn't help. |
| 8 | Q. I'd also like to go back to something you |
| 9 | were talking about with Mr. Jorde. |
| 10 | He asked you about dispersion modeling a |
| 11 | number of times, but at one point you said something |
| 12 | to the effect that one of the reasons for not |
| 13 | releasing the dispersion modeling was about safety and |
| 14 | protecting the pipeline from people with criminal |
| 15 | intent. |
| 16 | Do you recall that part of your |
| 17 | back-and-forth with Mr. Jorde? |
| 18 | A. There was a lot of back-and-forth. I do |
| 19 | recall that and these really aren't my words, it's |
| 20 | PHMSA, that that type of information should be |
| 21 | protected. And there's a FOIA we came to a |
| 22 | FOIA exemption regarding that type of information so |
| 23 | that again, like I said, we don't provide a |
| 24 | blueprint. |
| 25 | And I do have experience with that, too, by |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | the way, of individuals trying to sabotage pipeline |
|----|--|
| 2 | and pipeline facility assets. |
| 3 | Q. But they wouldn't have been county |
| 4 | officials, would they? |
| 5 | A. No. I hope not. I don't remember, but I |
| 6 | hope not. |
| 7 | Q. A joke, but only a little. |
| 8 | Do you view county officials the same way |
| 9 | as the general public in terms of sharing with them |
| 10 | the dispersion model? |
| 11 | A. I would rather not share a dispersion model |
| 12 | itself with anybody. Because PHMSA doesn't even ask |
| 13 | for the dispersion model. As far as the output, |
| 14 | general conversations, yes, I have to consult with the |
| 15 | management team and the attorneys and there's a lot of |
| 16 | other issues in play here, but I'm always happy to |
| 17 | have a general conversation about the risk. And how |
| 18 | deep we dive into that risk will be determined here |
| 19 | fairly soon, I guess. |
| 20 | Q. But isn't it true that PHMSA regulations |
| 21 | require Summit to provide information to local |
| 22 | emergency officials? |
| 23 | A. They do. |
| 24 | Q. Do you have to inform local officials about |
| 25 | your ability to respond to an emergency? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | 5 |
|----|--|
| 1 | A. Yes. |
| 2 | Q. And doesn't that include dispersion |
| 3 | modeling? |
| 4 | A. That will include the output. |
| 5 | Q. But your position is go ahead. Sorry. |
| 6 | A. No, obviously, that would include the |
| 7 | output. Just for an instance, most emergency |
| 8 | responders, to my knowledge Rod Dillon will testify |
| 9 | later in this proceeding, and he's an emergency |
| 10 | response professional and former firefighter. They |
| 11 | will use either the weather-related dispersant model |
| 12 | that they generate very quickly that's loosely |
| 13 | accurate. It depends on where it is or what the |
| 14 | situation is. Or they'll use their guidebook. The |
| 15 | Emergency Response Guidebook. For instance, for CO2, |
| 16 | it's 330 feet. So they would automatically establish |
| 17 | a boundary of 330 feet as the critical area. |
| 18 | We'll share more information with them and |
| 19 | say in this area that worst-case scenario could be |
| 20 | this, mechanical damage, third-party line strike, |
| 21 | could be why, and here's how we should work together |
| 22 | to establish a perimeter and establish a response |
| 23 | plan. |
| 24 | Q. But, just to recall the maps that Mr. Jorde |
| 25 | was showing earlier about Navigator and about the |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1726

| r | |
|----|--|
| 1 | hazard zone, wouldn't you have to share essentially |
| 2 | something like that in each county with those county |
| 3 | officials about the assets in that county? |
| 4 | A. We would have to share, yes, what they |
| 5 | should expect for the extent of the atmospheric gas |
| 6 | release, is there any overland flow of vapor, and what |
| 7 | the concentrations, or expected concentrations, could |
| 8 | be in those areas. And then they would use that as a |
| 9 | basis to formulate the plan. |
| 10 | Whether or not it's the map as you saw up |
| 11 | there, we'll have to determine. But it will be enough |
| 12 | information as I've done throughout my career. |
| 13 | Whether it's natural gas, refined products, or crude |
| 14 | oil or propane or ethane propane, you go through the |
| 15 | same exercise with your local officials and first |
| 16 | responders to make sure that they understand the risk |
| 17 | and then they, and you, have the resources to address |
| 18 | any type of release scenario. |
| 19 | Q. Have you notified any city officials in any |
| 20 | affected city that they're an HCA or an OPA? |
| 21 | A. I have not personally. As I mentioned |
| 22 | before, I know we've had literally hundreds of |
| 23 | interactions. So I can't tell you if that's the case. |
| 24 | I have no issue doing that. |
| 25 | We talked about our direct impact is 1.13 |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | miles, and that's about that's a handful of |
|----|--|
| 2 | locations. And all but two are at ethanol plants. In |
| 3 | close proximity to. Those other two locations, we'd |
| 4 | be happy to talk to those officials about it. |
| 5 | Q. And, I'm sorry, I should have said the |
| 6 | corporate "you." Not necessarily you personally. But |
| 7 | somebody who is willing to notify the officials in the |
| 8 | cities that are HCAs or OPAs. |
| 9 | A. Yes. Again, subject to all this legal |
| 10 | discussion. I don't want to overstep my bounds here, |
| 11 | but yes. |
| 12 | Q. And are you willing to share the same |
| 13 | information with the county officials in that county? |
| 14 | A. Yes. Within my legal constraints. If |
| 15 | there are any. |
| 16 | Q. Would that include information about where |
| 17 | the critical valleys are? |
| 18 | A. Yeah, I mean, we've as I mentioned, |
| 19 | we've done the overland flow analysis, and quite |
| 20 | frankly it's without getting too deep into this, |
| 21 | it's very difficult to force the dispersed material to |
| 22 | reach a could-affect area. |
| 23 | Q. But isn't it true that there have been a |
| 24 | number of counties that have asked for that |
| 25 | information and have been asking for it for a year? |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. They've been asking it's my |
|----|---|
| 2 | understanding again, I'm involved on an occasion, |
| 3 | but not with many of the counties directly. |
| 4 | They're asking for the dispersant model. |
| 5 | And, if you want the dispersant model, that's |
| 6 | that's something we're not willing to do. It's |
| 7 | something you can generate on your own, as Mr. Jorde |
| 8 | has had his expert witness do that with readily |
| 9 | available material, but, as far as the output, that's |
| 10 | a different ask. And I'm not aware that that's been |
| 11 | asked. It may have been. I'm just not aware of it. |
| 12 | And it's also important to know the context |
| 13 | of those discussions. |
| 14 | Q. Well, I go back to |
| 15 | A. Because there were preemption issues |
| 16 | ongoing. And so you're well aware of those. And |
| 17 | so I'm always deferring to legal counsel and what we |
| 18 | can or cannot discuss or share. |
| 19 | Q. I'll put it to you this way: Would you be |
| 20 | surprised to learn that the counties would like that |
| 21 | information sooner rather than later? |
| 22 | A. And you're talking about your seven |
| 23 | counties. |
| 24 | Q. Well, I only represent seven, but I haven't |
| 25 | yet met a county that wouldn't like to know how to be |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 prepared. | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2 A. Oh, I agre | e with that. About being |
| 3 prepared. I'll just | take your word for it and say, |
| 4 no, I wouldn't be sur | prised. |
| 5 Q. It wasn't | a trick question. I mean, |
| 6 ultimately, what we'r | e going to struggle with is what |
| 7 you mentioned earlier | about the specifics are |
| 8 important. Wind spee | d and location and the |
| 9 assumptions that you | make. |
| 10 And you sa | y, and I think it's not |
| 11 incorrect, that the c | ounties have some of those tools |
| 12 available to them to | try to replicate that |
| 13 information. But the | y need to know actually what your |
| 14 response is going to | be, don't they? |
| 15 A. They do. | And so, without coming off as |
| 16 being flippant, Mr. W | hipple, we're taking this in a |
| 17 step, a phase process | • |
| 18 We've intr | oduced the project to these |
| 19 county officials. We | 've introduced it to the fire |
| 20 chiefs and the emerge | ncy management professionals. We |
| 21 have to get a permit | to construct. |
| 22 And then f | rom the time we get a permit to |
| 23 construct to actually | when we finish construction and |
| 24 go into operation is | going to be at least 18 months. |
| 25 Depending, again, on | when we receive the permit and |

| 1 | when we can begin construction. |
|----|--|
| 2 | And so we will ramp up that activity and |
| 3 | make sure that before we place the project in |
| 4 | operation, and PHMSA will require that we've satisfied |
| 5 | our emergency response and emergency preparedness |
| 6 | obligation, that we've had those detailed |
| 7 | conversations with first responders in each county, |
| 8 | that we understand what their resource constraints |
| 9 | are, that we've augmented those to the extent |
| 10 | practical. |
| 11 | Am I going to buy electric fire trucks? |
| 12 | No. Am I going to provide them with CO2 test |
| 13 | apparatus and am I going to provide them with you |
| 14 | know, are there other things that we're thinking |
| 15 | through now. Like, you know, electric UTVs. I mean, |
| 16 | our team is thinking through that, and they'll |
| 17 | collaborate with the first responders and what they |
| 18 | may or may not want. |
| 19 | But we have committed to anything that they |
| 20 | need that makes sense that would be necessary for a |
| 21 | CO2 response, worst-case scenario, we will provide. |
| 22 | And we will do it in a timely fashion. So it's not |
| 23 | last minute the day before we turn the valve on |
| 24 | open the valve to start moving product so they feel |
| 25 | that they're trained and they're comfortable. |

| 1 | Because it doesn't do us any good to put a |
|----|--|
| 2 | pipeline in operation and in the unlikely event we had |
| 3 | a release, and it happened early into the life of the |
| 4 | pipeline and they're not prepared, that doesn't help |
| 5 | us either. |
| 6 | So we want to make sure we go about that in |
| 7 | the right way. It's just an iterative process that |
| 8 | we've begun, and we'll develop it more and proceed |
| 9 | more earnestly over the next year. |
| 10 | Q. So you say in your rebuttal testimony at |
| 11 | page 4, line 18, that "Summit has undertaken a more |
| 12 | robust dispersion analysis than Denbury." |
| 13 | How can counties know, how can the Board |
| 14 | know, whether it is more robust if they can't compare? |
| 15 | A. Well, again, PHMSA has the obligation to |
| 16 | ensure that they feel that what we've done is |
| 17 | adequate. But I've already committed to the fact |
| 18 | and, if the Board compels us to turn it over, we will. |
| 19 | Just like we have in South Dakota and North Dakota. |
| 20 | They've got the same obligation per that question. |
| 21 | Is that we've already performed the |
| 22 | overland flow component, which Denbury didn't do, and, |
| 23 | in this case, we are performing the geohazard |
| 24 | analysis, which is best practice, that Denbury didn't |
| 25 | do. And we'll incorporate it in our integrity |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | management plan which will be for the entirety of the |
|----|---|
| 2 | pipeline, not just in the HCAs. |
| 3 | So we're doing things that I think are |
| 4 | prudent for an operator that Denbury didn't do. And |
| 5 | some of those not all, but some of those will be |
| 6 | verified by PHMSA. So that's our integrity management |
| 7 | plan, our emergency response plan, our emergency |
| 8 | preparedness plan, all those things. |
| 9 | Q. I just have one more question on this |
| 10 | topic. And I just want your opinion on it. But |
| 11 | suppose the pipeline is built, it's already |
| 12 | constructed, and you have provided the dispersion |
| 13 | modeling and analysis to a county. Shared it with |
| 14 | them, trained them, ready to go. |
| 15 | Would it be inappropriate for county |
| 16 | officials to use their zoning ordinances to prevent |
| 17 | new development based on the dispersion model. |
| 18 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 19 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 20 | MR. LEONARD: I object to the extent it |
| 21 | calls for a legal conclusion. |
| 22 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Whipple. |
| 23 | MR. WHIPPLE: I don't believe it's asking |
| 24 | for a legal conclusion. I believe it's asking whether |
| 25 | he thinks county officials should consider that |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | information in their decision-making process from a |
|----|---|
| 2 | pipeline safety standpoint. |
| 3 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: You may answer if you |
| 4 | know. |
| 5 | A. Well, I would just suggest that it may be |
| 6 | prudent for planning and zoning officials to consider |
| 7 | a host of things, including that. But, as I'm sure |
| 8 | you know let's take Charles City Iowa, for |
| 9 | instance. |
| 10 | So where we're planning to route the |
| 11 | pipeline is in an economic development area where |
| 12 | there are two natural gas lines existing. And it |
| 13 | hasn't impeded that development. So, in that case, |
| 14 | we're in an existing infrastructure corridor. |
| 15 | But if it were there or somewhere else, and |
| 16 | you can see all across this country, in Iowa, 47,000 |
| 17 | miles of pipeline in Iowa, there's economic |
| 18 | development in and around pipelines on a regular |
| 19 | basis. |
| 20 | But I don't think it's I do think it |
| 21 | will be prudent for them to consider that. It's a |
| 22 | factor like many other factors. |
| 23 | BY MR. WHIPPLE: |
| 24 | Q. But they need to have it first, don't they. |
| 25 | A. Well, again, we can share information, but |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | do they have the release plan for the natural gas |
|----|--|
| 2 | let's talk about Charles City. Do they have the |
| 3 | release plan or the dispersant analysis or the blast |
| 4 | radius information for the two natural gas pipelines |
| 5 | that run through that economic development area? My |
| 6 | guess is they don't. |
| 7 | Q. Yeah, and I haven't discussed it with them. |
| 8 | A. So how do the planning and zoning |
| 9 | officials, how do they think through that process with |
| 10 | natural gas pipelines compared to a CO pipeline. |
| 11 | Which, in my opinion, is much less risky, because it's |
| 12 | an asphyxiant just like natural gas, but it's |
| 13 | non-ignitable. |
| 14 | So they've got to reconcile themselves how |
| 15 | one they've got to compare the risk profile of one |
| 16 | versus the other and if they've considered both |
| 17 | properly. |
| 18 | Q. And I'll just suggest perhaps they should |
| 19 | do it for both, but, in either case, they would need |
| 20 | the information, wouldn't you agree? |
| 21 | A. They would need some level of information, |
| 22 | correct. |
| 23 | Q. And I'm glad you brought up Charles City. |
| 24 | I want to go back to that issue as the last kind of |
| 25 | set of questions I have for you. But we went over the |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | fact that you believe one of the reasons that North |
|----|--|
| 2 | Dakota denied the permit was the proximity to the city |
| 3 | of Bismarck; correct? |
| 4 | A. (Moving head up and down.) |
| 5 | Q. That's a yes; correct? |
| 6 | A. Yes. Sorry. |
| 7 | Q. Just for the court reporter. How close was |
| 8 | the proposed route to Bismarck? |
| 9 | A. It was further away than the pipeline is |
| 10 | from Charles City. It's a much bigger area with a |
| 11 | much broader economic development footprint. |
| 12 | Q. Do you know approximately how far? |
| 13 | A. Not off the top of my head. |
| 14 | Q. More than a mile? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. More than two miles? |
| 17 | A. Again, it's further than Charles City. |
| 18 | Q. Well, in Charles City, goes into city |
| 19 | limits, I believe, isn't that correct? |
| 20 | A. Again, it parallels two natural gas |
| 21 | pipelines. And I believe, other than the Charles City |
| 22 | economic development group, every easement is signed |
| 23 | along that route. So the landowners and I think |
| 24 | this is an important factor. The landowners, through |
| 25 | their discussions with us and their own research, have |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | 1 |
|----|--|
| 1 | felt that they had enough information to make a |
| 2 | reasonable decision without the threat of eminent |
| 3 | domain. |
| 4 | MR. JORDE: Well, objection. Objection. |
| 5 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 6 | MR. JORDE: Lack of foundation as to what |
| 7 | other landowners allegedly thought or how they felt. |
| 8 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Whipple? |
| 9 | MR. WHIPPLE: I have no response to |
| 10 | Mr. Jorde's objection other than I was simply going to |
| 11 | confirm with this witness that his response was a yes |
| 12 | to my question. Which is that it goes into city |
| 13 | limits in Charles City. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Yes, it parallels those two |
| 15 | natural gas pipelines. |
| 16 | MR. WHIPPLE: Since he nodded his head |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: I said yes. I said, yes, |
| 18 | that it parallels two natural gas pipelines. |
| 19 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. I think we can |
| 20 | move on. |
| 21 | MR. WHIPPLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. |
| 22 | BY MR. WHIPPLE: |
| 23 | Q. Do you know how close the proposed pipeline |
| 24 | comes to the city of Earling? |
| 25 | A. I do not. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Q. Would you be surprised it was less than a |
|----|--|
| 2 | half a mile? |
| 3 | A. I do know the city of Earling is not a |
| 4 | high-consequence or could-affect area. To my |
| 5 | recollection. |
| 6 | Q. Do you think the people of Earling care |
| 7 | whether they're an HCA? |
| 8 | A. Again, that's important. |
| 9 | Q. Would you be surprised to know that the |
| 10 | city of Earling submitted an objection in these |
| 11 | proceedings and asked that the pipeline route be |
| 12 | moved? |
| 13 | A. What was the question? |
| 14 | Q. Would you be surprised to learn that |
| 15 | Earling also would like the route moved? |
| 16 | A. Okay. |
| 17 | Q. You're not surprised? |
| 18 | A. I don't know what to think of that. I |
| 19 | haven't seen the objection. |
| 20 | Q. The city council sent a letter in to the |
| 21 | Board and it's the direct exhibit of Mr. Willingham, |
| 22 | one of the Counties' witnesses. |
| 23 | But would you accept if I suggested it was |
| 24 | less than a half a mile? And that they would like to |
| 25 | see it be farther away. |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. I guess that's an accurate statement. I |
|----|--|
| 2 | don't know. |
| 3 | Q. And so I guess what I'm wondering is, if |
| 4 | you're willing to move it for Bismarck, why not |
| 5 | Earling? |
| 6 | A. Well, as I've said many times in our |
| 7 | conversation with Mr. Jorde this morning, siting is an |
| 8 | exercise in identifying risk and being able to |
| 9 | mitigate that risk. |
| 10 | Q. But I'm really asking you about development |
| 11 | and about priorities at the local level. And, at that |
| 12 | level, does it matter that they're a high-consequence |
| 13 | area. They'd like to develop east of Earling. What |
| 14 | makes Earling different than Bismarck? Other than |
| 15 | size. |
| 16 | A. Well, I'm just saying, at this late stage |
| 17 | in the project, it's very difficult to move the |
| 18 | pipeline. |
| 19 | Q. But not impossible. |
| 20 | A. It's very difficult to move the pipeline |
| 21 | within this application. And I don't intend to amend |
| 22 | this application. |
| 23 | Q. But you're moving it in North Dakota. |
| 24 | A. Well, we had some incentive to move it in |
| 25 | North Dakota. Again, that had nothing to do with |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | safety, it had nothing to do with risk in our opinion. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Or economic development. The pipeline was already |
| 3 | outside of the economic development area. |
| 4 | Q. And that brings us back to Charles City |
| 5 | just for a moment. But that economic development |
| 6 | project, that part of the community, would like you to |
| 7 | move it for economic development reasons. |
| 8 | What makes them different than Bismarck? |
| 9 | A. Well, I think they'd like to sell us the |
| 10 | property. |
| 11 | Q. Well, they were here to testify and that |
| 12 | isn't what they said. |
| 13 | A. Well, he placed a value on the property, if |
| 14 | I remember correctly. But, again, it depends on the |
| 15 | context of these conversations. |
| 16 | So Floyd County, for instance I mean, |
| 17 | our team has met with Floyd County, as you know, well |
| 18 | before you were even engaged with Floyd County. And |
| 19 | it was the board of supervisors in Floyd County |
| 20 | weren't always receptive to working with my team. And |
| 21 | so we proceeded with what we thought was the most |
| 22 | prudent route through that area. |
| 23 | Q. Does it matter to Summit if a community |
| 24 | welcomes natural gas but not carbon? Will you respect |
| 25 | that community's wishes about what risks should be in |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | the community for whatever those reasons are? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Well, I mean, I have to respect I try to |
| 3 | respect everyone's wishes within reason. |
| 4 | Q. And yet you'd still like to be in the |
| 5 | industrial park in Charles City; correct? |
| 6 | A. Again, Mr. Whipple, if we had this |
| 7 | conversation a year and a half ago, we may have had an |
| 8 | option. At this point, we don't. |
| 9 | MR. WHIPPLE: That's all I have for now, |
| 10 | Your Honor. |
| 11 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 12 | Mr. Taylor, you are next, but before we get |
| 13 | to you, I think we're going to a take quick break if |
| 14 | that's okay. |
| 15 | So we will be back shortly before 3:30 if |
| 16 | that's okay. So we will go off the record until about |
| 17 | 3:27, 3:30. |
| 18 | (Recess taken at 3:11 p.m.) |
| 19 | (Hearing resumed at 3:31 p.m.) |
| 20 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. It is 3:31. |
| 21 | If everyone wants to proceed to their seats, we will |
| 22 | go ahead and go back on the record. |
| 23 | Mr. Powell, a quick reminder, you are still |
| 24 | under oath. |
| 25 | Mr. Taylor, I believe the witness is yours. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1741

| | | č |
|----|------------|---|
| 1 | | MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. |
| 2 | | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 3 | BY MR. TAY | LOR: |
| 4 | Q. | Do you recall your deposition being taken |
| 5 | back on Ju | ne 23rd of this year? |
| 6 | Α. | I recall the deposition. I'm not sure of |
| 7 | the date. | So I'll take your word for the date. |
| 8 | Q. | You'll take my word for it? |
| 9 | Α. | Just for the date, Mr. Taylor. |
| 10 | Q. | I asked you when you began working for |
| 11 | Summit, an | d you said June 1st of 2021. |
| 12 | | Is that correct? |
| 13 | Α. | Yes. |
| 14 | Q. | And I asked you what the status of the |
| 15 | project wa | s at that time and you said it was a |
| 16 | conceptual | project and that there had been a |
| 17 | feasibilit | y study. |
| 18 | | Do you recall that? |
| 19 | Α. | Yes. |
| 20 | Q. | And you said that the feasibility study was |
| 21 | done by Wo | od Company? |
| 22 | | Is that correct? |
| 23 | Α. | Wood Group. |
| 24 | Q. | Wood Group. All companies are "groups" |
| 25 | now, aren' | t they. |
| | | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. Yeah, I think Wood has they've |
|----|--|
| 2 | consolidated multiple companies over the last few |
| 3 | years, and they keep changing their name and the look |
| 4 | of their name. Lowercase, upper case. |
| 5 | Q. In any event, after that deposition, I |
| 6 | asked in a data request for the report that Wood |
| 7 | provided from that feasibility study, and Summit |
| 8 | responded that there wasn't a report from Wood. |
| 9 | So I need to ask you what information did |
| 10 | Wood provide Summit as a result of that feasibility |
| 11 | study? |
| 12 | A. Yes, Mr. Taylor, they provided a |
| 13 | feasibility study. It was very high level. I thought |
| 14 | we had retained a copy. We had not. We contacted |
| 15 | Wood. They couldn't seem to find a copy. |
| 16 | But, essentially, they generated a very |
| 17 | loose route, and when I say "loose," it was traversing |
| 18 | through barns and properties and those types of |
| 19 | things, and a very high-level cost estimate and a very |
| 20 | high-level schedule. |
| 21 | So I did use the word or the phrase |
| 22 | "feasibility study," but it was much more high level |
| 23 | than that. |
| 24 | Q. So what was the purpose of it? |
| 25 | A. To validate to Summit Ag, at the time, a |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | general order of magnitude for cost and schedule and |
|----|--|
| 2 | constructability so that Summit Ag could continue to |
| 3 | develop the project. |
| 4 | And that's when they brought on the |
| 5 | management team, including myself, to refine that work |
| 6 | product, do a lot more work, put a lot more effort |
| 7 | into it, and determine if there was a viable economic |
| 8 | project. |
| 9 | Q. So when did Summit Ag first take a look at |
| 10 | this idea? Do you know? |
| 11 | A. I do not know, but my recollection it was |
| 12 | sometime in 2020. |
| 13 | Q. Then, in response to a question from |
| 14 | Mr. Jorde, you said that Terracon had done some work |
| 15 | to lay out an initial route. |
| 16 | Exactly what did they do? In making that |
| 17 | investigation. |
| 18 | A. Pivvot is the tool. And Pivvot, in my |
| 19 | opinion, is the best routing tool in the industry at |
| 20 | this time. And so they have all publicly available |
| 21 | information, some proprietary information, such as |
| 22 | eco-sensitive information from PHMSA, et cetera, and |
| 23 | then they have routing information that's much more |
| 24 | specific than just Rextag of existing pipelines. |
| 25 | And so they can run multiple iterations of |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | a pipeline route from one point to another, and they |
|----|--|
| 2 | can take into consideration those preferably avoidable |
| 3 | areas like federal grassland easements and those types |
| 4 | of things. |
| 5 | And so it's a good first pass at a route. |
| 6 | Q. Did they provide a report? |
| 7 | A. They did. So, every iteration of the |
| 8 | route, there is a report that's essentially "x" number |
| 9 | of crossings, "x" number of any kind of feature. |
| 10 | Q. And do you recall when they did that? |
| 11 | A. I'm the one that brought Terracon, or |
| 12 | Pivvot, into the project. That would have been third |
| 13 | quarter of 2021 for the initial route. |
| 14 | Q. So is Pivvot a company or is it the method |
| 15 | or program or model, whatever you want to call it, |
| 16 | that Terracon used? |
| 17 | A. The program and the company were synonymous |
| 18 | at one point. So a group of individuals developed the |
| 19 | program and it was called Pivvot, and then they |
| 20 | subsequently sold that product to Terracon. |
| 21 | Q. I see. Okay. |
| 22 | A. I believe that's the lineage. |
| 23 | Q. You said that the dispersion modeling is |
| 24 | not for route selection but simply to satisfy the |
| 25 | PHMSA requirement for HCAs. |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | But don't you think that the results of the |
|----|--|
| 2 | dispersion modeling would help the Board here decide |
| 3 | whether or not the pipeline is being routed in the |
| 4 | right place to protect landowners and others and |
| 5 | protect property? |
| 6 | A. Mr. Taylor, I'd like to rephrase what you |
| 7 | just said. I didn't say that it was simply to |
| 8 | identify the HCAs. I said that the dispersion |
| 9 | modeling is a tool to identify risk. And then we have |
| 10 | to take the design considerations, construction and |
| 11 | operation considerations or activities that we plan, |
| 12 | and mitigate the risk. |
| 13 | And so the dispersant model if we, in |
| 14 | using that, identify that the risk can't be mitigated |
| 15 | to the satisfaction of PHMSA or the IUB, et cetera, |
| 16 | then we have to we consider other alternatives. |
| 17 | Q. So, if the dispersion modeling results |
| 18 | persuade the IUB that they don't like that route, they |
| 19 | can change it; correct? Or they can force you to |
| 20 | change it just like North Dakota did; correct? |
| 21 | A. Well, I'm not going to project what the IUB |
| 22 | can and can't do. I will say this: As you know, |
| 23 | there are 230,000 miles of hazardous liquid pipelines |
| 24 | in this country. There are 47,000 miles of pipeline |
| 25 | in this state. Many of those run right through |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | cities. Major cities. And so they can coexist. |
|----|---|
| 2 | And, as we've said before, pipelines have |
| 3 | an extremely high reliability record and an extremely |
| 4 | good safety record. So pipelines can coexist with |
| 5 | urban areas, metropolitan areas, populated areas. |
| 6 | It comes down to how you identify and how |
| 7 | you manage risk. And that's where the dispersant |
| 8 | model is valuable. |
| 9 | Q. Remind me. Did Dakota Access go through |
| 10 | any cities? |
| 11 | A. Well, I can't remember exactly where the |
| 12 | 343 miles were routed through this state. I'm sure |
| 13 | they skirted close to, if not through. But I do know, |
| 14 | in South Dakota, they're a heck of a lot closer to |
| 15 | Sioux Falls in some areas than the Summit pipeline. |
| 16 | And I will remind you that's a large |
| 17 | diameter crude line and, in my opinion, introduces a |
| 18 | lot more risk than this CO2 pipeline. |
| 19 | Q. Well, it may be that an oil pipeline would |
| 20 | have a leak or a rupture and the oil would spill out |
| 21 | and flow, but oil is not an asphyxiant, is it? |
| 22 | A. But it's flammable. |
| 23 | Q. It's not toxic, is it. Unless you drink |
| 24 | it, I suppose. But, I mean, just exposure. |
| 25 | A. Well, it's a different risk profile, but it |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | is a high-risk profile if in the unlikely event you |
|----|---|
| 2 | have a release and you have an ignition source. |
| 3 | Q. And natural gas is not a hazardous liquid, |
| 4 | is it. |
| 5 | A. No, not natural gas in gas form. But it is |
| 6 | a simple asphyxiant. |
| 7 | Q. Have you seen any dispersion modelings for |
| 8 | natural gas leaks or ruptures? |
| 9 | A. I have been involved in natural gas |
| | |
| 10 | projects and other natural gas liquid and other |
| 11 | liquid projects, crude and refined products projects, |
| 12 | where we've done EFRD and release modeling. Again, to |
| 13 | identify the risk. |
| 14 | But I have never shared those models. Even |
| 15 | with first responders, Mr. Taylor, you don't have to |
| 16 | show them the detailed output. What first responders, |
| 17 | in my experience and Mr. Dillon can speak to this |
| 18 | better than I can, but, in my experience, what they |
| 19 | want to know is where is my containment area. Is it |
| 20 | 330 feet like the response book says for CO2 or is it |
| 21 | something less or something more, what could be the |
| 22 | potential impact in certain scenarios, and what kind |
| 23 | of equipment and resources would I need to respond to |
| 24 | that. |
| 25 | Q. But nearby residents or property owners |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | would want to know how far that CO2 is going to |
|----|--|
| 2 | disperse, wouldn't they? |
| 3 | A. And that's part of the that could be |
| 4 | part of a public awareness campaign is, as you say, if |
| 5 | there were a release, you take this action. And |
| 6 | that's part of a reverse 911. There are means of |
| 7 | notifying residents or potentially affected parties. |
| 8 | Q. But people can't know that unless they know |
| 9 | the dispersion modeling results, can they? |
| 10 | A. Mr. Taylor, I keep coming back to the same |
| 11 | thing. There are 47,000 miles of pipeline in this |
| 12 | state, and I would think it's probably a |
| 13 | high-probability guess on my part that none of the |
| 14 | impacted residences in and around those pipelines have |
| 15 | ever seen a dispersant model. Or a release model. Or |
| 16 | an emergency flow restriction device analysis. |
| 17 | Q. Well, maybe they didn't know enough to ask |
| 18 | at that time. |
| 19 | A. Well, or maybe it was maybe it was used |
| 20 | for the intended purpose. Is to identify the risk. |
| 21 | And then you've got regulatory bodies like PHMSA, like |
| 22 | the IUB, like other agencies, and you've got your |
| 23 | first responders and your emergency planners that help |
| 24 | manage that risk. And the operators of course. |
| 25 | Q. You told Mr. Jorde that PHMSA either |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | recommends or prohibits the release of the dispersion |
|----|--|
| 2 | modeling results. |
| 3 | Can you cite me to the PHMSA rule or |
| 4 | whatever you're referring to? |
| 5 | A. We can provide that. I can't cite that for |
| 6 | you. |
| 7 | Q. Is it a rule or do you know? |
| 8 | A. Again, we can provide information that I'm |
| 9 | using to formulate that response. |
| 10 | Q. You talked about claiming that PHMSA has a |
| 11 | 50-foot setback rule. Isn't it correct that that rule |
| 12 | is a standard for the depth of ditches and not a |
| 13 | setback? |
| 14 | A. Well, that setback is coupled to a |
| 15 | three-foot depth of cover. |
| 16 | Q. Well, basically what it says is that if the |
| 17 | pipeline is closer than 50 feet from a structure, that |
| 18 | the ditch has to be deeper; correct? |
| 19 | A. Well, it doesn't say that, but it does say, |
| 20 | if I remember correctly, that if the pipeline is |
| 21 | buried deeper, that you potentially waive that setback |
| 22 | requirement. |
| 23 | Q. Well, I suppose that's another way of |
| 24 | looking at it, but it's the same thing. That if the |
| 25 | pipeline is closer than 50 feet, the ditch has to be a |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1750

| 1 | certain depth; correct? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. It has to be deeper than three feet. |
| 3 | Q. Yeah. But PHMSA isn't saying that the |
| 4 | pipeline has to be 50 feet away from a structure. |
| 5 | A. Correct. At least that's the way I |
| 6 | interpret it. |
| 7 | Q. And that's right. |
| 8 | You said that the purported benefit of this |
| 9 | pipeline is that there would be higher land prices and |
| 10 | higher corn prices because of the benefit to the |
| 11 | ethanol industry. |
| 12 | Was that a fair statement of what you said? |
| 13 | A. Well, what I hope I said was that by |
| 14 | helping the ethanol plants remain viable, expanding |
| 15 | their markets, that we could sustain the price of corn |
| 16 | and hopefully sustain land values. And, if they're |
| 17 | able to expand and drive up the demand for corn, that |
| 18 | may increase prices. It may not. It may increase |
| 19 | land values. It may not. |
| 20 | But I know if well, I don't know, but |
| 21 | based on history if the commodity price significantly |
| 22 | reduces I mean, most value in this state is tied to |
| 23 | the corn suitability rating. Or most ag land. So |
| 24 | you've got to have a market for that corn. |
| 25 | Q. Well, if there are higher land prices for |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| _ | |
|----|--|
| 1 | farmland, doesn't that make it harder for young |
| 2 | farmers to get started? |
| 3 | A. I can't speak to that, Mr. Taylor. |
| 4 | Q. And, if there are higher corn prices, isn't |
| 5 | that a disadvantage to livestock producers who buy |
| 6 | that corn to feed their animals? |
| 7 | A. I don't know. I can't speak to that. |
| 8 | You're talking about dry distiller grains? |
| 9 | Q. So one person's benefit could be another |
| 10 | person's detriment; correct? |
| 11 | A. Potentially. They may be one and the same. |
| 12 | They may be a farmer who is also a rancher. |
| 13 | Q. You said there might be other industries |
| 14 | besides ethanol that would use your pipeline. |
| 15 | What other industries can you think of? |
| 16 | A. Well, there's pre-combustion and |
| 17 | post-combustion. So fertilizer plants. If you're |
| 18 | talking post-combustion, it could be gas plants, other |
| 19 | industrial processes. |
| 20 | Q. Well, it's true, isn't it, that the |
| 21 | advantage you have right now with the ethanol plants |
| 22 | is you're just getting the CO2 from the fermentation |
| 23 | process, which is essentially pure CO2; correct? |
| 24 | A. It's a nearly pure CO2 with constituents |
| 25 | like oxygen and nitrogen. But it's nearly pure. So |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | it's more cost-effective to capture that CO2 than it |
|----|--|
| 2 | would be from a coal-fired power plant. |
| 3 | Q. So, if there's some other industry that |
| 4 | wants to put the CO2 on your pipeline, it would take |
| 5 | some extra equipment, extra expense, whatever, to |
| 6 | bring that CO2 to a condition that it could be put on |
| 7 | your pipeline; correct? |
| 8 | A. Yeah, assuming that's an industry other |
| 9 | than an ethanol plant. That's fair. |
| 10 | Q. I'll ask Mr. Pirolli about this, but, for |
| 11 | over two years now, all you've gotten for customers |
| 12 | are 13 ethanol plants; correct? |
| 13 | A. In the state of Iowa. |
| 14 | Q. Right. Well |
| 15 | A. I've asked him the same question, |
| 16 | Mr. Taylor. |
| 17 | Q. But, even in the other states there may |
| 18 | be one fertilizer plant, I think, but otherwise it's |
| 19 | all ethanol plants in the other states, too, isn't it? |
| 20 | A. Well, I mean we have other plants. In |
| 21 | South Dakota and Minnesota. But, again, there's |
| 22 | multiple projects in play. So some ethanol plants, I |
| 23 | think, are on the sideline trying to decide which |
| 24 | who is going to have the first move or advantage. |
| 25 | So there's a lot of opportunity out there, |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | hopefully we're successful in gaining some more, but |
|----|--|
| 2 | we have the capacity now and the throughput now to |
| 3 | justify this project. |
| 4 | Q. But, after two years or more, you still |
| 5 | don't have those signed; correct? |
| 6 | A. We have all the current plants signed under |
| 7 | contract, yes. |
| 8 | Q. Right. But the other ones you were talking |
| 9 | about. |
| 10 | A. I'm more respectful of Mr. Pirolli, |
| 11 | Mr. Taylor. Again, we've got enough capacity now to |
| 12 | justify the project. |
| 13 | I will say, Mr. Taylor, sorry to interrupt |
| 14 | your train of thought, with the footprint that we have |
| 15 | configured in this state, we could add essentially |
| 16 | double the number of plants with minimal impact. So |
| 17 | the pipeline mileage would be less than we're |
| 18 | currently asking for to be permitted in this |
| 19 | application. So it would be beneficial to those |
| 20 | plants and to, I think, the contribution to the state. |
| 21 | Q. But, if you add together the 13 plants you |
| 22 | have, the plants that Navigator has signed up in Iowa, |
| 23 | and the two plants that Wolf has signed up, there |
| 24 | aren't very many ethanol plants left beyond that, are |
| 25 | there. In Iowa. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. There are others that I'm aware of. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Mr. Pirolli would have to give you the exact number. |
| 3 | But, as you said, there are other industries. So |
| 4 | there are other post-combustion opportunities. It may |
| 5 | be more costly on the front end, but that's an |
| 6 | arrangement between Summit and that business or that |
| 7 | industry to make that work. |
| 8 | Q. But that certainly is an extra expense and |
| 9 | extra challenge that those other plants would have |
| 10 | that the ethanol plants don't have; correct? |
| 11 | A. The cost of development could potentially |
| 12 | be higher, yes. |
| 13 | Q. In fact, the ethanol plants are just taking |
| 14 | the CO2 from the fermentation process, they aren't |
| 15 | taking the CO2 from their gas-fired energy system, are |
| 16 | they. |
| 17 | A. You're saying that they have combined heat |
| 18 | and power turbines? |
| 19 | Q. Well, let me ask it a different way. Aside |
| 20 | from the fermentation process, the ethanol plants get |
| 21 | their power for their boilers and their other |
| 22 | machinery that they operate in the ethanol process by |
| 23 | burning natural gas; correct? |
| 24 | A. I'm not sure if they all do. I know some |
| 25 | do. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| use gas. So I'll take his word for it. A. Mr. Pirolli has a much deeper understanding of the ethanol plants as he worked for an ethanol plant or corporation before. Q. But assume that the general energy system in an ethanol plant is fired by gas. You're not getting CO2 from any of that part of the process, are you. A. We are not at this time. And that's a fraction of the CO2 that's generated in the fermentation process. But that's a correct statement. Q. But there are ways, are there not, for the ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and storage. A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak to this in more detail, but if you look at and |
|--|
| 4 of the ethanol plants as he worked for an ethanol 5 plant or corporation before. 6 Q. But assume that the general energy system 7 in an ethanol plant is fired by gas. You're not 8 getting CO2 from any of that part of the process, are 9 you. 10 A. We are not at this time. And that's a 11 fraction of the CO2 that's generated in the 12 fermentation process. But that's a correct statement. 13 Q. But there are ways, are there not, for the 14 ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel 15 standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and 16 storage. 17 A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| plant or corporation before. Q. But assume that the general energy system in an ethanol plant is fired by gas. You're not getting CO2 from any of that part of the process, are you. A. We are not at this time. And that's a fraction of the CO2 that's generated in the fermentation process. But that's a correct statement. Q. But there are ways, are there not, for the ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and storage. A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| Q. But assume that the general energy system in an ethanol plant is fired by gas. You're not getting CO2 from any of that part of the process, are you. A. We are not at this time. And that's a fraction of the CO2 that's generated in the fermentation process. But that's a correct statement. Q. But there are ways, are there not, for the ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and storage. A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| in an ethanol plant is fired by gas. You're not getting CO2 from any of that part of the process, are you. A. We are not at this time. And that's a fraction of the CO2 that's generated in the fermentation process. But that's a correct statement. Q. But there are ways, are there not, for the ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and storage. A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| 8 getting CO2 from any of that part of the process, are 9 you. 10 A. We are not at this time. And that's a 11 fraction of the CO2 that's generated in the 12 fermentation process. But that's a correct statement. 13 Q. But there are ways, are there not, for the 14 ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel 15 standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and 16 storage. 17 A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| 9 you. 10 A. We are not at this time. And that's a 11 fraction of the CO2 that's generated in the 12 fermentation process. But that's a correct statement. 13 Q. But there are ways, are there not, for the 14 ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel 15 standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and 16 storage. 17 A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| A. We are not at this time. And that's a fraction of the CO2 that's generated in the fermentation process. But that's a correct statement. Q. But there are ways, are there not, for the ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and storage. A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| 11 fraction of the CO2 that's generated in the 12 fermentation process. But that's a correct statement. 13 Q. But there are ways, are there not, for the 14 ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel 15 standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and 16 storage. 17 A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| 12 fermentation process. But that's a correct statement. 13 Q. But there are ways, are there not, for the 14 ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel 15 standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and 16 storage. 17 A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| Q. But there are ways, are there not, for the ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and storage. A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| 14 ethanol plants to qualify for low-carbon fuel 15 standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and 16 storage. 17 A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| 15 standards without CCS? Without the carbon capture and 16 storage. 17 A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| 16 storage. 17 A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| 17 A. Not really. I mean, Mr. Pirolli can speak |
| |
| 18 to this in more detail, but if you look at and |
| |
| 19 we've looked at this. If you look at installing a |
| 20 solar farm or wind turbines to power the ethanol |
| 21 facilities and the capture facilities, that may have a |
| 22 three to five point reduction in their CI. By |
| 23 capturing the CO2 emissions off the front end of that |
| 24 process, that's a 25 to 30 point reduction in CI. |
| 25 So CCS is far and away the most significant |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| r | - |
|----|--|
| 1 | way for an ethanol plant Mr. Broghammer and others |
| 2 | can speak to it better than I can, but it's by far and |
| 3 | away the best option. |
| 4 | Q. I will ask Mr. Broghammer. In fact, I did |
| 5 | in his deposition. |
| 6 | A. Hopefully he said the same thing. |
| 7 | Q. If it were not for the 45Q and 45Z tax |
| 8 | credits, would Summit even be doing this project? |
| 9 | A. Well, as I mentioned earlier, they won't be |
| 10 | the only revenue stream for this project. But it is a |
| 11 | contributor. Absolutely. |
| 12 | Q. Well, the question was would Summit be |
| 13 | doing this project without those tax credits? |
| 14 | A. You'll have to discuss economics with |
| 15 | Mr. Pirolli. I mean, I generally understand the |
| 16 | economics, but I can't tell you if it's feasible |
| 17 | without those or not. |
| 18 | Q. I guess I would have thought the chief |
| 19 | operating officer would know that much at least. |
| 20 | A. Well, I do know that they're available. |
| 21 | And, if they're available, and we can take advantage |
| 22 | of that, that will contribute to our economics. |
| 23 | Q. You told Mr. Jorde, I believe, when he was |
| 24 | asking you about the geohazard review that you did, or |
| 25 | that Summit did, that there was a phase one and phase |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | two. I wasn't quite sure what those two phases are. |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. Phase one is a desktop where you evaluate |
| 3 | what geohazards are present. And those are either |
| 4 | what's available from geological services or what |
| 5 | we've discovered in our surveys. |
| 6 | And then, if we think that the risk |
| 7 | warrants if we, in consultation with our |
| 8 | consultants, think that the risk warrants a phase |
| 9 | two so phase one is essentially a desktop analysis. |
| 10 | Phase two is a field-level analysis. |
| 11 | And so, if the phase one risk and the |
| 12 | risk being the proximity of the geohazard to the |
| 13 | pipeline. Has that geohazard moved in the last 10, |
| 14 | 20, 30, 40 years, those kinds of things, then, if it's |
| 15 | warranted, then we complete a phase two analysis. |
| 16 | And typically and I can't remember off |
| 17 | the top of my head in Iowa. Mr. Schovanec could |
| 18 | probably address. Typically that information is |
| 19 | shared with, you know, state geological officials. |
| 20 | Q. And is there a report from that geohazard |
| 21 | review? |
| 22 | A. There is a report. I can't tell you off |
| 23 | the top of my head if I've seen a report for the phase |
| 24 | one that's done in Iowa. But there either is or will |
| 25 | be a report. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Q. Isn't that something the Board should have? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. I have no issue with providing that report. |
| 3 | Q. So when will that be produced? |
| 4 | A. Mr. Taylor, Mr. Schovanec will have to tell |
| 5 | you if that report is available. Or when it will be |
| 6 | available. |
| 7 | Q. Mr. Whipple asked you about the pipeline |
| 8 | being close to or inside city limits. As I looked at |
| 9 | Exhibit B, which is the detailed maps that were filed |
| 10 | with Summit's application, it looked to me like the |
| 11 | pipeline crosses into the city limits of New Hampton, |
| 12 | near the south boundary of Charles City, close to the |
| 13 | city limits of Rockford, close to the city limits of |
| 14 | Gillett Grove, crosses into the city limits of |
| 15 | Goldfield, it's near the Eagle Grove city limits, it's |
| 16 | close to the Dana city limits, it crosses into the |
| 17 | Nevada city limits, it touches city limits of |
| 18 | Superior, it touches city limits of Earling, it |
| 19 | crosses into city limits of Shenandoah, and close to |
| 20 | the city limits of Merrill. |
| 21 | Do all those sound correct? |
| 22 | A. Well, I don't have those memorized, but I |
| 23 | can tell you everywhere that we're connecting to an |
| 24 | ethanol plant is typically in or near city limits. |
| 25 | Q. And would you agree that many, if not most, |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | of those cities probably have plans for expansion and |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| | development beyond the current city limits? |
| 3 | A. Again, we covered that this morning, |
| 4 | Mr. Taylor, but I'll repeat that we've worked with all |
| 5 | of these municipalities to the extent possible to |
| 6 | identify where they had economic development plans. |
| 7 | I'm not confirming that we've got those |
| 8 | plans from every single one of those that you |
| 9 | mentioned, but where we had that information, then we |
| 10 | took that into consideration. |
| 11 | And, again, with the routing, as I |
| 12 | mentioned before, there are many, many, many features |
| 13 | and/or avoidance areas and/or constraints. And so |
| 14 | navigating into an ethanol plant that is often in one |
| 15 | of these areas, we took the most practical route with |
| 16 | the least interference or restrictions to get into the |
| 17 | plant. |
| 18 | Q. So it's more important to get the pipe to |
| 19 | the ethanol plant than it is to try to protect the |
| 20 | people in those cities? |
| 21 | A. No, that's not what I said. I said we are |
| 22 | trying to find the best route into a plant to avoid as |
| 23 | many areas as possible that may be restrictive, |
| 24 | including the type you just mentioned. |
| 25 | However, the business model is to capture |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | ethanol or CO2, I'm sorry, from the ethanol plants. |
|----|---|
| 2 | So we have to connect to those plants. |
| 3 | Q. So, even with what you're saying, it seems |
| 4 | to me it's more important to connect to the ethanol |
| 5 | plants than it is to avoid those cities and the risks |
| 6 | that those cities are concerned about. |
| 7 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. Asked and |
| 8 | answered. |
| 9 | MR. TAYLOR: I'll move on. |
| 10 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thanks, Mr. Taylor. |
| 11 | BY MR. TAYLOR: |
| 12 | Q. I think you said in response to Mr. Whipple |
| 13 | that the city of Earling that Summit didn't know |
| 14 | until fairly recently that that was a problem. But |
| 15 | wasn't that issue raised at the informational meeting |
| 16 | back in October of 2021 in Harlan? |
| 17 | A. I never said anything about it not being a |
| 18 | problem. I just said that our team has expended a lot |
| 19 | of effort, whether that was satisfactory or not, to |
| 20 | try to make sure that we understood economic |
| 21 | development plans from these communities. |
| 22 | Q. But do you agree that the concern about |
| 23 | being close to the city of Earling was brought up at |
| 24 | the informational meeting in October of 2021? |
| 25 | A. Mr. Taylor, we conducted 30 informational |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | meetings in September and October of '21. So I can't |
|----|--|
| 2 | remember that particular detail, no. |
| 3 | Q. I'm looking at your educational and |
| 4 | professional background. It looks like you've worked |
| 5 | in the pipeline industry for a few years. |
| 6 | A. Yeah, pipeline, midstream, upstream. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. And how many of those were oil pipelines? |
| 8 | A. I mean, my whole career has been in the |
| 9 | energy business. So it's oil, gas, refined products, |
| 10 | ethanol in Brazil, and now CO2. Natural gas. |
| 11 | Q. What I'm getting at is that for oil |
| 12 | pipelines, how is the oil delivered to the oil |
| 13 | pipeline from the well, so to speak. |
| 14 | A. From a gathering line. Or occasionally |
| 15 | there's truck off-loading locations. Preference is a |
| 16 | gathering line. A pipeline. In my experience. |
| 17 | Q. Well, if there were a spot shipper, so to |
| 18 | speak maybe I'm using the wrong term, but somebody |
| 19 | who just wants on a very short term or infrequently to |
| 20 | ship oil on the pipeline, the main pipeline, how does |
| 21 | that oil get to that main pipeline? |
| 22 | A. You're talking about crude oil, not CO2. |
| 23 | Q. Right. Crude oil. |
| 24 | A. Again, if it's I mean, it's a |
| 25 | multifaceted scenario. Right? So, if it's a producer |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | that's got a near-term need, then I worked for |
|----|--|
| 2 | Kinder Morgan. Ran their projects in engineering and |
| 3 | then ran their operation. |
| 4 | So, if you come to me and said, "I've got |
| 5 | 'x' number of barrels for six months," I would suggest |
| 6 | you put up the capital to put in the infrastructure to |
| 7 | connect to the pipeline, and, if we had the capacity |
| 8 | and you can meet the tariff requirements, you can ship |
| 9 | on the pipeline. |
| 10 | Q. What if it's just like a month-to-month |
| 11 | thing? |
| 12 | A. Again, that's a business decision. |
| 13 | Q. But oftentimes it would be transported by |
| 14 | truck? Or maybe even rail? |
| 15 | A. Yeah, I mean, you probably remember that |
| 16 | there was a lot of rail shipments out of North Dakota |
| 17 | in 2013, '14. And, you know, the preference was |
| 18 | pipeline. And that's why Dakota Access was built. |
| 19 | For one reason. And I built a pipeline out of North |
| 20 | Dakota into Wyoming to move that product on pipeline |
| 21 | versus rail. |
| 22 | Q. I'm talking about just the way the spot |
| 23 | shipper would get the oil to the main pipeline. |
| 24 | That's what I mean. |
| 25 | A. That's one method. |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| [| |
|----|--|
| 1 | Q. I think you told Mr. Jorde that capturing |
| 2 | the carbon dioxide would require some machinery and |
| 3 | equipment at the ethanol plant to capture that carbon |
| 4 | dioxide? |
| 5 | A. Correct. |
| 6 | Q. Just kind of give us a brief description of |
| 7 | what that equipment is like. |
| 8 | A. So we'll receive the CO2 at near |
| 9 | atmospheric pressure. So we'll put it through a |
| 10 | package that's called a blower skid. It increases the |
| 11 | pressure to inject into the suction side of the |
| 12 | compression train. So you have the blower skid. Then |
| 13 | you have the compression skid. Which is a series of |
| 14 | compressors that increase the pressure. And, with |
| 15 | that, the temperature increases as well. |
| 16 | And then in the latter stages of those |
| 17 | so you'll need multi-stage compression. All on one |
| 18 | large skid. And we'll have a dehydration unit that |
| 19 | pulls the water off of that train in the latter stages |
| 20 | of decompression. So you'll have three big package |
| 21 | units. And then, on the back side, we'll have a |
| 22 | discharge pump that discharges the dense phase CO2 |
| 23 | into the pipeline. |
| 24 | Q. If I read Mr. Phillips' Ernst & Young |
| 25 | report correctly, that capture equipment for each |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | ethanol plant would cost several million dollars. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Does that sound right? |
| 3 | A. That's correct. |
| 4 | Q. And I think he said 23 million. |
| 5 | Does that sound right? |
| 6 | A. Well, the capital cost for the capture |
| 7 | facilities you know, depending on the size of the |
| | |
| 8 | facilities and if it's a one-compressor, |
| 9 | two-compressor, or three-compressor train, one blower |
| 10 | skid or two, it could range from that fifteen to |
| 11 | twenty-five million dollar capital investment. |
| 12 | Q. And is one advantage of your business plan, |
| 13 | at least with the ethanol plants, is that Summit bears |
| 14 | that expense? |
| 15 | A. I mean, again, I'm not familiar with all |
| 16 | the commercial terms of the offtake agreements, but, |
| 17 | from a capital outlay perspective, I would think it |
| 18 | would be beneficial for the ethanol plant that they do |
| 19 | not have to finance the installation of the capture |
| 20 | equipment. |
| 21 | MR. TAYLOR: That's all the questions I |
| 22 | have. Thank you. |
| 23 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 24 | Ms. Ryon. |
| 25 | MS. RYON: Thank you. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1765 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. RYON: 3 Good afternoon, Mr. Powell. Q. 4 Α. Good afternoon. 5 0. I want to start with going through your prefiled testimony and asking some more specificity 6 7 and clarity about some of the things that you wrote 8 there. 9 And I'm going to start on page 5. The verv 10 first line you say "demand for the project is high." 11 Can you tell me how you measure demand? 12 Α. With, at least in my opinion, that it's 13 measured by the amount of volume we have contracted. 14 So you've measured demand after 0. 15 establishing the project. Well, the 32 plants, the initial 32 plants, 16 Α. 17 Summit Ag had an agreement with those plants before 18 they formed the management team. 19 Do you know how early Summit Ag had 0. 20 agreements with those plants? 21 And I think that's a true statement. Α. Ι 22 know they had -- had those discussions. I think they 23 had agreements in place. Mr. Pirolli can confirm. 24 No, I do not. 25 On page 6 in the second line, you mention Q.

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1766

| | - |
|----|--|
| 1 | additional rural jobs. |
| 2 | What specifically will be the additional |
| 3 | rural jobs? |
| 4 | A. Well, it's the jobs associated with the |
| 5 | capture facilities and the pipeline. So direct hires. |
| 6 | And then indirect hires. So service providers, |
| 7 | technicians. Commodity type. Oil, grease, gaskets. |
| 8 | Those kinds of things. |
| 9 | Q. How many of those types of jobs will there |
| 10 | be? |
| 11 | A. The direct jobs in Iowa, if I remember |
| 12 | correctly, are in the 45 to 50 range. Indirect are |
| 13 | typically three times. So I believe it was in the 170 |
| 14 | range. And then there's another category. But, in my |
| 15 | OpEx budget, I'm just accounted for what we think will |
| 16 | be our buildup of direct hires and our service |
| 17 | providers. |
| 18 | Q. Can you tell me how many of those will be |
| 19 | specifically rural jobs? |
| 20 | A. Most of those will be rural jobs. |
| 21 | Q. And, just for clarity, can you tell me what |
| 22 | the distinction is between direct and indirect? |
| 23 | A. Direct would be a Summit employee. |
| 24 | Indirect would be a third-party contractor or service |
| 25 | provider. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Q. And you would presumably contract with |
|----|---|
| 2 | companies that are already in existence. |
| 3 | A. If they're available, yes. |
| 4 | Q. On page 7 of your direct testimony, you |
| 5 | mention a backup operations control center. At that |
| 6 | point in time, you didn't have a location for it. |
| 7 | Has there been a location determined for |
| 8 | that yet? |
| 9 | A. No. |
| 10 | Q. Do you know when that will be determined? |
| 11 | A. Probably when we've secured our permits. |
| 12 | As we go into construction. Because it takes time to |
| 13 | make sure that we've got infrastructure in place, |
| 14 | et cetera. |
| 15 | Q. Do you know if it will be in Iowa or will |
| 16 | it be in one of the other states? |
| 17 | A. To be determined, but it will not be in |
| 18 | this in the Des Moines or Ames area. Because |
| 19 | that's where the primary control center will be. So, |
| 20 | if there were a catastrophic event, hopefully not, in |
| 21 | that area, then we would not want to jeopardize both |
| 22 | control centers. So it could be in Sioux City, it |
| 23 | could be in Sioux Falls, it could be in Bismarck. |
| 24 | Somewhere else along the system. |
| 25 | Q. Then you also say that the project is being |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | designed to transport supercritical or dense phase |
|----|---|
| 2 | carbon dioxide. |
| 3 | Will it be in the supercritical phase for |
| 4 | the entire time that it's in the pipeline? |
| 5 | A. As I mentioned to Mr. Jorde this morning, |
| 6 | there could be occasion where the product falls below |
| 7 | the critical temperature, the threshold, and then it |
| 8 | would fall back into a liquid phase versus a dense |
| 9 | phase. |
| 10 | Q. Are you able to predict at what points on |
| 11 | the pipeline that will happen? |
| 12 | A. We have done a temperature study. And so |
| 13 | evaluated or made assumptions around what the |
| 14 | temperature will be at discharge and how quickly that |
| 15 | will dissipate in the pipeline. It depends on ground |
| 16 | temperature, et cetera. And then where that could |
| 17 | happen and at what pressures that could happen. |
| 18 | Q. So, if it depends on ground temperature, |
| 19 | does that mean that it's you know, in Iowa, there's |
| 20 | a pretty big difference in ground temperature between |
| 21 | summer and winter. So will the phase of the carbon |
| 22 | dioxide be different in different seasons? |
| 23 | A. No, it doesn't the ground temperature |
| 24 | doesn't have that big of an impact. It's really just |
| 25 | how quickly the CO2 stabilizes as it leaves the |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | discharge point in the capture facility, and is it one |
|----|--|
| 2 | mile, two miles, or three miles down the pipeline |
| 3 | before it stabilizes to a normal temperature. |
| 4 | Q. By "normal temperature," what do you mean |
| 5 | by "normal"? |
| 6 | A. Mr. Schovanec is probably better suited to |
| 7 | tell you this, but it's probably in that 60- to |
| 8 | 70-degree range. It may be higher. He's better |
| 9 | suited to tell you the details. He's the real |
| 10 | engineer. |
| 11 | Q. I'll ask him then. |
| 12 | So you also mention that, in addition to |
| 13 | remote control operations, there will be local |
| 14 | automated control. |
| 15 | Where will local automated control be? |
| 16 | A. So there will be automated control local |
| 17 | control at the capture facilities, and there will |
| 18 | actually be hands-off auto the valves will be |
| 19 | actuated, main line valves, and so there will be local |
| 20 | control at the valve. So someone on-site could cycle |
| 21 | the valve manually when I say "manually," not with |
| 22 | a hand wheel, but with a switch on-site. So it's not |
| 23 | totally reliant on somebody sitting in the control |
| 24 | center. |
| 25 | Q. You also say that operations personnel will |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | be located in close proximity to those facilities. |
|----|---|
| 2 | What do you mean by "close proximity"? |
| 3 | A. Well, in the capture facilities, they will |
| 4 | be. And then along the pipeline I mean, we haven't |
| 5 | confirmed where we'll have operations offices, if you |
| 6 | will, and maintenance workshops. To be determined. |
| 7 | But we'll have people that live along the pipeline. |
| 8 | So they're not all going to be dispatched |
| 9 | out of Sioux City to come to span a hundred miles |
| 10 | back east. So we'll have, hopefully, people that live |
| 11 | along the pipeline route that don't have to report to |
| 12 | the office every day that can perform activities, |
| 13 | whether it's damage prevention or other activities, |
| 14 | along the pipeline. |
| 15 | Q. You said "hopefully." So that means you're |
| 16 | not certain? |
| 17 | A. Well, we've got to find those people and |
| 18 | train those people up. So we're confident we can find |
| 19 | them. From tech schools and other industries. But |
| 20 | we've got to start that process. |
| 21 | We have to have those personnel. So |
| 22 | whether we have to import them or we find them |
| 23 | locally. |
| 24 | Q. Do you have any sort of guideline or ideal |
| 25 | for a certain number of miles in between people who |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | are stationed along the route? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. No. It's I mean, I've operated pipeline |
| 3 | systems where it's 75 to 100 miles between where |
| 4 | someone may be stationed. It really depends on the |
| 5 | activities that are ongoing, if there's a capture |
| 6 | facility, if there's a pump station, if there's a |
| 7 | launcher/receiver, those types of activities. |
| 8 | Q. And, along those lines, you mentioned that |
| 9 | there will be personnel trained in maintenance and |
| 10 | emergency response procedures. |
| 11 | Will these be different personnel than the |
| 12 | ones we were just discussing? |
| 13 | A. Our operations folks will all be trained in |
| 14 | emergency response. Some will be trained in |
| 15 | maintenance activities and some will not. |
| 16 | Q. And I asked how far apart they were going |
| 17 | to be, but I didn't ask how many, in general, are you |
| 18 | looking to have in Iowa? |
| 19 | A. Along the pipeline route now, we're |
| 20 | projecting about 220, but we've got we'll bring on |
| 21 | our operations supervisors as soon as we get permitted |
| 22 | and start construction. And then they'll build up |
| 23 | their own organization. |
| 24 | Q. And if there were some sort of emergency, |
| 25 | those would be the people who would sort of respond |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

٦

| 1 | initially? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. The Summit employees, first responders in |
| 3 | the communities, in the area, and then we'll have |
| 4 | to Mr. Dillon will have to determine or make a |
| 5 | recommendation around OSRO is a bad acronym, |
| 6 | because it's oil spill response, but those third-party |
| 7 | emergency response-type folks. To see if we need |
| 8 | those to augment the other resources available. |
| 9 | Q. I know earlier today you mentioned that you |
| 10 | had talked with pretty much every emergency response |
| 11 | team along the pipeline route. |
| 12 | Have you talked with any local hospitals to |
| 13 | see what kinds of needs they might have? |
| 14 | A. I'm not sure if Mr. Dillon has. He'll |
| 15 | testify later in this proceeding. |
| 16 | Q. I'll ask him. |
| 17 | You also discuss a little bit about the |
| 18 | incident in Satartia. And you pointed out that one of |
| 19 | the reasons that PHMSA found for that incident was |
| 20 | soil instability. |
| 21 | Do you recall what kind of soil that |
| 22 | pipeline was buried in? |
| 23 | A. I don't, but, living in that part of the |
| 24 | world, I'm assuming it was probably high clay index. |
| 25 | Q. Would you be surprised to know that it was |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | loess soil? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. What kind of soil? Excuse me? |
| 3 | Q. Loess. L-o-e-s-s. |
| 4 | A. I know that a proper geohazard analysis |
| 5 | wasn't performed. |
| 6 | Q. Do you know what the topography was? |
| 7 | A. I do. I've been there. |
| 8 | Q. Could you describe it? |
| 9 | A. Yeah, so it's a decline the town of |
| 10 | Satartia is very small. In fact, I would say there's |
| 11 | probably 12 to 15 houses there, and it borders, I |
| 12 | believe, it's the Yazoo River. The pipeline is uphill |
| 13 | maybe 100, 150 feet, and it's to the north of where |
| 14 | the town of Satartia sits. So there's a roadway and |
| 15 | then it sloughs off and goes downhill, and that's |
| 16 | where the failure occurred. |
| 17 | Q. Do you know if there are any loess hills in |
| 18 | Iowa? |
| 19 | A. I mean, when you get into western Iowa, the |
| 20 | topography changes for sure. And that's why the |
| 21 | geohazard analysis is important. |
| 22 | Q. Given that that was the kind of topography |
| 23 | where the Satartia incident occurred, is that the kind |
| 24 | of area where you would do a phase two analysis? |
| 25 | A. Again, it depends on if you identify the |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

And, if you do, you do a phase two. 1 hazard. It 2 doesn't -- not only do you have to do the appropriate 3 level of analysis, you have to make sure that that's 4 in your risk assessment and you have to make sure that 5 that's in your integrity management program and you have to make sure that that's in your operating and 6 7 maintenance procedures.

For instance, in my experience, in that 8 9 part of the world where you have significant rainfall 10 events in a very short period of time, anytime you 11 have an event like that you immediately dispatch 12 people, either aerial surveillance or on foot or in a 13 vehicle, to look at these high-risk areas and make 14 sure that you still have the integrity after the event 15 that you had before the event. And that wasn't done. I have additional questions about your 16 0.

17 public awareness program. You said that you will 18 incorporate all could-affect HCAs into the public 19 awareness program.

So, before I get into the specifics of that, we've heard a lot about high-consequence areas today. Could you define that? I'm not sure I ever heard a good explanation of what exactly that is. A. Well, as PHMSA defines a high-consequence area, it's either a high-populated area, which is

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

٦

| 1 | 50,000 people or more with a density of 1,000 people |
|----|--|
| 2 | per square mile, or it's an other populated area, |
| 3 | which could be a lower population and less density, or |
| 4 | less dense, and then it's navigable waterways and then |
| 5 | it's eco-sensitive areas. |
| 6 | Q. So, when it comes to people, it's based |
| 7 | entirely on population, not on use. |
| 8 | A. Not on what? I'm sorry? |
| 9 | Q. Use. |
| 10 | A. I think that's correct. From PHMSA's |
| 11 | definition. |
| 12 | Q. So if, for example, there were an |
| 13 | educational facility with a large campus near the |
| 14 | pipeline, it wouldn't have a great population so it |
| 15 | wouldn't be a high-consequence area. |
| 16 | A. It may be an other-populated area. |
| 17 | Q. May be. |
| 18 | A. Again, it depends on how many people may be |
| 19 | in that facility. But just because PHMSA doesn't |
| 20 | classify it as a high-consequence area doesn't mean |
| 21 | that an operator doesn't take that into account for |
| 22 | their risk assessment. |
| 23 | Q. Do you take those things into consideration |
| 24 | for determining the route? |
| 25 | A. We try to, yes. |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Q. So, going back to your public awareness |
|----|---|
| 2 | program, you said you incorporate all could-affect |
| 3 | HCAs. What is a could-affect HCA? |
| 4 | A. Well, they're one and the same. The |
| 5 | difference is a direct effect is where the pipeline |
| 6 | traverses that area. A could-affect is where the |
| 7 | pipeline is in the vicinity but doesn't physically |
| 8 | cross it. |
| 9 | And so what we did on the dispersant |
| 10 | modeling was looked at tracer scenarios. So anywhere |
| 11 | that the pipeline was within I believe it was two |
| 12 | miles of a could-affect, not the HCA but a |
| 13 | could-affect, that's when we looked at the |
| 14 | terrain-aided or overland flow. |
| 15 | And then also, in the could-affect areas, |
| 16 | we the boundaries we established the boundaries |
| 17 | of the could affects as a concentration of |
| 18 | 15,000 parts per million, versus conservative, versus |
| 19 | the 40,000 parts per million that Mr. Jorde showed on |
| 20 | the buffer areas of the Navigator map. |
| 21 | So the could-affect area extended out |
| 22 | broader than I would argue it normally would. And |
| 23 | then we went two miles beyond that and did further |
| 24 | evaluation. |
| 25 | Q. So it says you're incorporating all |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1777

| 1 | could-affect HCAs in the public awareness program. |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. If I said that, I misspoke, because the |
| 3 | public awareness program will affect every landowner |
| 4 | whether the pipeline crosses their property or they're |
| 5 | in the vicinity of the pipeline. So, if you're in the |
| 6 | area of the pipeline, you will be part of the public |
| 7 | awareness program. |
| 8 | Q. And "in the area," do you look at a certain |
| 9 | sort of radius around it? Or within a certain |
| 10 | distance? How do you define that? |
| 11 | A. There's some discretion there. Mr. Dillon |
| 12 | can probably tell you what he's planning to do when he |
| 13 | testifies. But, generally, you want to take a wide |
| 14 | swath. So anybody that could potentially be impacted |
| 15 | from road closures, any type of business interruption, |
| 16 | direct affects obviously if the pipeline is crossing |
| 17 | their property, you want to incorporate those. I |
| 18 | think it's prudent to incorporate those people and |
| 19 | those businesses into your public awareness plan. |
| 20 | Q. Now, I want to follow up on some of the |
| 21 | questions you were asked earlier today. And I've |
| 22 | tried to keep these somewhat organized. So I'm going |
| 23 | to try not to repeat myself. I apologize if I do. |
| 24 | Earlier today you said you'd reached |
| 25 | agreement with 73 percent of the landowners. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Is that right? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Just for clarification, is that 73 percent Q. 4 of total landowners, total miles, or total parcels? 5 Α. It's generally within 1 percent. We keep metrics on number of tracts or parcels, mileage, and 6 7 number of landowners. 8 Mr. Rorie can tell you exactly, but 73 9 percent -- I always look at mileage. It's actually 10 about 73 1/2 percent. That's mileage. So tracts and 11 landowners will be within a percent of that typically. 12 There was also some discussion about water Q. 13 usage and some water well permits for this process. 14 Are those permits being obtained by Summit 15 Carbon Solutions, LLC? No, they're being obtained by the capture 16 Α. 17 entity. So Summit Capture, I probably have got the 18 name wrong, LLC. But it's the capture facility. We 19 have the capture, the pipeline, and the sequestration. The capture facility, or the capture entity or 20 21 business, will secure those permits. 22 What's the corporate relationship? 0. Is the 23 capture facility -- is Summit Carbon Solutions a 24 parent company of the capture facilities or how is 25 that relationship structured?

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. Yes. I believe that's correct. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Are the capture facilities also LLCs? |
| 3 | A. Not that I'm aware of. Mr. Pirolli can |
| 4 | confirm the structure. |
| 5 | Q. I'll ask him about it. You also recently |
| 6 | mentioned the total number of hazardous liquid |
| 7 | pipelines in the U.S. And it sounded like a few |
| 8 | hundred thousand. |
| 9 | Is that right? |
| 10 | A. I believe it's about 230,000. |
| 11 | Q. How many of those miles are carbon dioxide |
| 12 | pipelines? |
| 13 | A. About 5,300. In about 11 states. |
| 14 | Primarily Wyoming, Texas, New Mexico. |
| 15 | Q. I want to follow up a little bit more on |
| 16 | your discussion of some of the emergency response |
| 17 | issues. |
| 18 | You said a couple of different times that |
| 19 | volunteer fire departments tend to have a high |
| 20 | turnover. What's your source for that information? |
| 21 | A. The fire chiefs themselves. I didn't |
| 22 | realize until I Rod meets Mr. Dillon meets with |
| 23 | all these officials. But I've met with several across |
| 24 | the footprint, and that's usually one of the first |
| 25 | things that they mention is, "How often will you |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | provide training, and will you do ad hoc training, |
|----|--|
| 2 | because we have a high turnover." |
| 3 | I always thought that volunteer firemen |
| 4 | were there because that's what they like to do, not |
| 5 | what they're getting paid to do, and so there wasn't a |
| 6 | turnover. But apparently there is a high turnover. |
| 7 | Now, I don't know if that's consistent |
| 8 | across the state of Iowa in every area, but I have |
| 9 | heard that many times. |
| 10 | Q. You also talked about providing tactical |
| 11 | response information to landowners in addition to |
| 12 | emergency response agencies. |
| 13 | What would be specific recommendations to |
| 14 | landowners? |
| 15 | A. Well, Rod will work Rod and his team |
| 16 | will work with the emergency response professionals |
| 17 | and the first responders. They'll develop response |
| 18 | plans depending on the type of release or incident |
| 19 | they're responding to, and then they will make the |
| 20 | landowner aware of what that might look like. |
| 21 | So a for instance and Mr. Dillon will |
| 22 | have to speak in more detail, but if, for instance, we |
| 23 | had a release, you're half a mile from the pipeline, |
| 24 | and so the risk is "x" and you shelter in place. And |
| 25 | we'll have a reverse 911 for information, and this is |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | how you'll be contacted by a first responder if you |
|----|--|
| 2 | will be, et cetera. Those kind of things. So, if an |
| 3 | event does happen, then a landowner has some idea of |
| 4 | what to expect. |
| 5 | Q. Is sheltering in place a common |
| 6 | recommendation? |
| 7 | A. Yes, to my understanding it is. And we |
| 8 | have some folks that can testify to that in more |
| 9 | detail later in the week. But, yes, it is. |
| 10 | Q. Does sheltering in place help someone who |
| 11 | is, say, working in the field? |
| 12 | A. Well, again, it depends on where they are |
| 13 | and with respect to proximity to an incident, what the |
| 14 | atmospheric conditions are, et cetera. |
| 15 | I mean, we modeled worst case. So we |
| 16 | modeled no crops in the field, no trees, worst-case |
| 17 | environmental conditions as I discussed with Mr. Jorde |
| 18 | this morning. |
| 19 | So you have to take into account any |
| 20 | situation. |
| 21 | Q. What would your advice be to someone who |
| 22 | was out working in the field and didn't have any way |
| 23 | to get to a building because all they had was |
| 24 | combustion engines for transportation? |
| 25 | A. In what scenario? |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Q. A farmer. |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. But in what scenario are you talking about? |
| 3 | What advice would I provide for what scenario. |
| 4 | Q. Any scenario. Any rupture. |
| 5 | A. Well, what I would tell you is that |
| 6 | likelihood or the probability of a release is going to |
| 7 | be extremely low. And if you see if you hear |
| 8 | it's like any product. Whether it's CO2 or something |
| 9 | else. |
| 10 | Any other commodity, if you hear a noise or |
| 11 | see a cloud, and in CO2 release you will see a vapor |
| 12 | cloud, then, yes, you go in the other direction, not |
| 13 | in the direction toward the incident. |
| 14 | Q. What about other facilities. Schools or |
| 15 | nursing homes. Will those facilities get some sort of |
| 16 | tactical response information? |
| 17 | A. Any business or landowner or homeowner |
| 18 | that's in the proximity in that once Rod and the |
| 19 | first responders determine what those responses look |
| 20 | like and what the perimeter boundaries look like, if |
| 21 | they're in those areas, they will be notified and |
| 22 | communicated to. |
| 23 | Q. And I've heard you mentioned a couple of |
| 24 | times reverse 911. Could you explain to me how that |
| 25 | works? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. Well, it's my understanding that some |
|----|---|
| 2 | municipalities, I don't think all, have a reverse 911 |
| 3 | number so you can call and get information. |
| 4 | Mr. Dillon can explain how it works in detail. I'm |
| 5 | not intimately familiar with it. |
| 6 | Q. Do you have any idea of how many |
| 7 | communities along the pipeline route have reverse 911 |
| 8 | systems? |
| 9 | A. I do not, but that's the information that |
| 10 | Rod will gather working with these first responder |
| 11 | groups over the next six to twelve months. |
| 12 | Q. So he hasn't gathered that information yet. |
| 13 | A. I think he has a conceptual idea, but I |
| 14 | don't know if he's spoken to every one. But he'll be |
| 15 | available to ask that question. |
| 16 | Q. With respect to the ethanol plants, I |
| 17 | noticed you refer to the ethanol plants as your |
| 18 | "partners." Do the ethanol plants have an ownership |
| 19 | interest in Summit? |
| 20 | A. I'd defer that question to Mr. Pirolli. |
| 21 | Q. You don't know if they have an ownership |
| 22 | interest. |
| 23 | A. Well, they don't have an ownership let |
| 24 | me say I'm not sure if any of the ethanol plants or |
| 25 | their shareholders have invested in Summit. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | As I told Mr. Jorde this morning, I don't |
|----|--|
| 2 | know all the 400-plus investors in Summit Carbon |
| 3 | Solutions. So some of those folks who either are |
| 4 | shareholders at the ethanol plant or employees of the |
| 5 | ethanol plant may be investors, but I don't know that. |
| 6 | So I can't confirm. |
| 7 | Q. But ethanol plants who are on your route, |
| 8 | they are not considered they don't have an |
| 9 | ownership interest. If they have an ownership |
| 10 | interest, they would have had to pursue it some other |
| 11 | way. |
| 12 | A. I don't know if they have direct investment |
| 13 | or not, but Mr. Pirolli can answer that question. |
| 14 | Q. Will the ethanol plants share in the 45Q |
| 15 | tax credits? |
| 16 | A. Again, you're getting into the terms of the |
| 17 | offtake agreements, and that's not my area of |
| 18 | expertise. Mr. Pirolli can answer that question. |
| 19 | Q. Well, the 45Q tax credits will be a large |
| 20 | source of revenue for Summit; correct? |
| 21 | A. That's fair. |
| 22 | Q. And shouldn't you know, as COO, if part of |
| 23 | that large portion of revenue is going to be shared |
| 24 | with somebody else? |
| 25 | A. I've heard that a couple times today from |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Mr. Jorde. My responsibility is design, construction, |
|----|---|
| 2 | and operation of the facility. I'm not the finance |
| 3 | guy and I'm not the commercial guy. |
| 4 | So they tell me that I tell them how |
| 5 | much it's going to cost, and they tell me that we can |
| 6 | finance that and we have an economic model that's |
| 7 | viable with that type of capital expenditure and that |
| 8 | type of operating cost. But, no, I don't have the |
| 9 | details of that. |
| 10 | Q. Who is responsible for the big picture |
| 11 | economic scenario? |
| 12 | A. Well, that's Mr. Pirolli and that's our |
| 13 | chief financial officer, which at this time is Jon |
| 14 | Probst, and that's Lee Blank, the CEO. |
| 15 | Q. Are either of them testifying? |
| 16 | A. Mr. Pirolli is. |
| 17 | Q. He's the CFO? |
| 18 | A. He's the chief commercial officer. CCO. |
| 19 | Jon Probst is the finance guy, he is the CFO, and Lee |
| 20 | is the CEO. |
| 21 | Q. But neither of those last two individuals |
| 22 | are testifying. |
| 23 | A. They are not. |
| 24 | Q. You may need to have me ask this to someone |
| 25 | else. With respect to the 45Q tax credits, has Summit |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | used any tax equity financing? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. We haven't used any tax equity financing. |
| 3 | But I know the finance team has evaluated that, but |
| 4 | I can't tell you the specifics. |
| 5 | Q. You've mentioned several times that Summit |
| 6 | has other sources of revenue. What are those sources |
| 7 | of revenue? |
| 8 | A. I hate to keep doing this, but |
| 9 | Mr. Pirolli can confirm, but there's tax credits I |
| 10 | mean, there's carbon credits and selling carbon |
| 11 | credits. And Mr. Pirolli can he can share the |
| 12 | details around those and other opportunities. |
| 13 | I don't want him building my pipeline so he |
| 14 | doesn't want me in his commercial business. |
| 15 | Q. And you said that the ethanol plants will |
| 16 | have the flexibility to sell into lower carbon |
| 17 | markets. And I think at some point you mentioned |
| 18 | potential international markets. |
| 19 | Could you elaborate a little bit on that? |
| 20 | A. Well, I just again, I'm not the |
| 21 | commercial person, but, you know, there are obviously |
| 22 | other markets outside of the West Coast and Canada |
| 23 | that potentially a low-carbon fuel supplier could sell |
| 24 | into. Now, is it economically viable for them? I |
| 25 | can't tell you that. I just know the markets from, |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | what I understand, are expanding. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. So you weren't speaking of any specific |
| 3 | markets. |
| 4 | A. No. |
| 5 | Q. Again, you said it allows the flexibility |
| 6 | for the ethanol plants to sell into low-carbon fuel |
| 7 | markets. But there's no guarantee that they will. |
| 8 | A. That's a choice for the ethanol provider. |
| 9 | Q. When it came to choosing the route for the |
| 10 | pipeline, did Summit consult with any other pipeline |
| 11 | companies to see if there could be any coordination to |
| 12 | avoid overlapping pipelines? |
| 13 | A. You mean existing pipelines or planned |
| 14 | pipelines? |
| 15 | Q. Either. |
| 16 | A. Well, you always have some communication |
| 17 | with existing pipelines because you've got to |
| 18 | understand what crossing agreements, crossing |
| 19 | requirements, et cetera, are. But, as far as |
| 20 | Navigator or Wolf or others, no. |
| 21 | Q. Did Summit consult with any regional or |
| 22 | national organizations to discuss how Summit's project |
| 23 | would fit into a national carbon dioxide |
| 24 | infrastructure? |
| 25 | A. No. I personally did not. I know we've |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | had some conversations with the Department of Energy. |
|----|---|
| 2 | And I know PHMSA obviously has a connection to the |
| 3 | Department of Energy and how CCS infrastructure may |
| 4 | build out in the future. But I have not been part of |
| 5 | those conversations if they have taken place. |
| 6 | Q. Would there be another witness testifying |
| 7 | who I could ask that question to? |
| 8 | A. The only one that I'm aware of that's |
| 9 | testifying may be Jim, but I'm not sure if he was |
| 10 | party to those conversations. If there were any of |
| 11 | those conversations. |
| 12 | Q. Is there someone who isn't testifying who |
| 13 | would have been part of those conversations? |
| 14 | A. Not that I'm aware of. |
| 15 | Q. There's been a lot of talk about what the |
| 16 | intent of the pipeline is. And the intent is for the |
| 17 | carbon dioxide to be sequestered. |
| 18 | Is that a requirement in the contract with |
| 19 | the ethanol plants? |
| 20 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. |
| 21 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 22 | MR. LEONARD: Questions regarding the |
| 23 | content of the offtake agreements, those have been |
| 24 | held by the ALJ to be confidential subject to |
| 25 | attorney's eyes only. If the question is going to be |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | |
|---------|---|
| 1 | asked, it needs to be in closed session, and it needs |
| 2 | to be in front of those who have signed that |
| 3 | attorney's eyes only confidentiality order. |
| 4 | MS. RYON: I'll rephrase. |
| 5 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 6 | BY MS. RYON: |
| 7 | Q. Is the intent for what happens to the |
| 8 | carbon dioxide the type of provision that would be |
| 9 | addressed in the offtake agreement? |
| 10 | A. I can't answer that. I'm not intimately |
| 11 | familiar with the offtake agreements. |
| 12 | Q. Then how do you know what the intent is of |
| 13 | the ethanol plants? |
| 14 | A. How do I know what the intent of the |
| 15 | ethanol plants is? |
| 16 | Q. Yes. |
| 17 | A. Well, the intent, for me, is that we're |
| 18 | going to capture a certain volume of CO2 that's been |
| 19 | projected from their operations personnel, and we're |
| 20 | going to put it in the pipeline and transport it and |
| 21 | sequester it in North Dakota. So that's my only |
| 22 | insight into the intent. |
| 23 | Q. Earlier when you were talking to Mr. Jorde |
| 24 | you said that it's your understanding that Summit is |
| 25 | incorporated in Delaware. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| EARING 09/05/2023 Page 1 | 790 |
|---|-----|
| Do you know for sure? | |
| A. We are. | |
| Q. Do you know what additional states Summit | |
| has registered with the Secretary of State in? | |
| A. I do not. | |
| Q. You indicated that a number of states, | |
| including Iowa, are looking at low-carbon fuel | |
| standards. | |
| What's your source for saying that about | |
| Iowa? | |
| A. It's just what I read and hear from our | |
| management team. So it's Colorado and it's Iowa and | |
| it's New Mexico and it's Texas. So I don't know what | E |
| the timelines are for those. Minnesota. | |
| Q. But you can't identify a specific source | |
| with respect to Iowa. | |
| A. No. | |
| Q. Now, there was some discussion about the | |
| potential for enhanced oil recovery. Which is | |
| <pre>fracking; correct?</pre> | |
| A. Yes. | |
| Q. And, if I recall correctly, you said that | |
| the oxygen level in the carbon dioxide is not correct | t |
| for use in fracking? | |
| A. Correct. | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | Q. And that it would require construction of |
|----|--|
| 2 | a I think you called it a liquefaction facility? |
| 3 | A. Correct. |
| 4 | Q. What all would be entailed in building a |
| 5 | liquefaction facility? |
| 6 | A. Well, it would be serial number one. So I |
| 7 | really wouldn't want to be the first to build a |
| 8 | liquefaction facility of the size and scale it would |
| 9 | take to reduce the oxygen content of 18 million tons |
| 10 | of CO2. |
| 11 | And it's really irrelevant because, as |
| 12 | we've said many times today, the CO2 that will be |
| 13 | captured from these ethanol facilities in Iowa will be |
| 14 | sequestered permanently in North Dakota. |
| 15 | Q. You just said you don't have any source for |
| 16 | knowing that that's the intent of the ethanol plants. |
| 17 | A. Well, I know whatever CO2 is transported |
| 18 | from the ethanol plants will be sequestered in North |
| 19 | Dakota. Because we don't have a means nor is there a |
| 20 | plan to provide a means to transport that CO2 to an |
| 21 | area where it can be used for enhanced oil recovery. |
| 22 | There's no permit application in place that would |
| 23 | allow that to happen. |
| 24 | Q. Would Summit be willing to accept a |
| 25 | condition on the permit that the carbon dioxide could |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1792

| r | |
|----|--|
| 1 | only be transported for the purpose of permanent |
| 2 | sequestration? |
| 3 | A. From the existing 12 facilities that we |
| 4 | currently have under contract? |
| 5 | Q. Sure. |
| 6 | A. Again, I'd have to consult with our legal |
| 7 | team and the management team, but we would entertain |
| 8 | that. |
| 9 | Q. So, when you were talking about your |
| 10 | professional experience, you mentioned that you had |
| 11 | experience working with ethanol in Brazil. |
| 12 | Can you tell me when that was? |
| 13 | A. That was 2009 time frame. I worked with |
| 14 | BP, and we had a project actually had a sugarcane |
| 15 | ethanol project that was going in the wrong direction, |
| 16 | and they sent me to Brazil for six months to get it on |
| 17 | the right track. |
| 18 | Q. Was that low-carbon ethanol? |
| 19 | A. No, that was that was just ethanol. |
| 20 | Q. Where I know that's been a little while, |
| 21 | but, as far as ethanol in Brazil, where is that |
| 22 | ethanol sold? |
| 23 | A. At that time, that ethanol was sold in the |
| 24 | United States. |
| 25 | Q. And I have one last question. I think it |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | , , |
|----|---|
| 1 | was Mr. Jorde was asking you a little bit about the |
| 2 | security company, OverWatch, and just one question |
| 3 | about that. |
| 4 | Do you know, is OverWatch's contract for |
| 5 | providing security services here, is that held by |
| 6 | Summit or the IUB? |
| 7 | A. Well, since it's my understanding that |
| 8 | Summit is responsible for all expenses incurred for |
| 9 | all these proceedings, including security, it's |
| 10 | secured by Summit. |
| 11 | Q. So does that mean that Summit holds the |
| 12 | contract with OverWatch? |
| 13 | A. We have a contract in place with OverWatch, |
| 14 | and we amended that contract to include the resources |
| 15 | that were requested by the Utility Board for this |
| 16 | venue. |
| 17 | MS. RYON: That's all my questions. Thank |
| 18 | you. |
| 19 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. I |
| 20 | think is it Mr. Murray? Go ahead. |
| 21 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 22 | BY MR. MURRAY: |
| 23 | Q. Very good. I am fine moving my chair if |
| 24 | that makes it easier, but if not we can go like this. |
| 25 | Okay? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| ARING 09/05/2023 Page | ge 1794 |
|---|---------|
| Good afternoon, Mr. Powell. | |
| A. Good afternoon. | |
| Q. I have a couple of landowners I represen | nt. |
| The good news is a lot of my questions have been | |
| eliminated, but I still have a few. | |
| Okay? | |
| A. Okay. | |
| Q. I couldn't help but notice that the IUB | |
| recently filed an order granting the Sierra Club's | |
| second motion to compel concerning the dispersion | |
| modeling documents and data. | |
| Are you aware of that? | |
| MR. LEONARD: Objection. | |
| BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objecti | .on. |
| MR. LEONARD: I don't know how it's | |
| possible that the witness that's been on the stand | |
| would be aware of the Board's order that was publis | shed |
| a few minutes ago. | |
| A. I'm not aware. | |
| BY MR. MURRAY: | |
| Q. Mr. Powell, I'm going to represent to yo | ou |
| an email was sent out at 4:25 granting that. Of | |
| course limiting it to attorney eyes only of course. | , |
| My question to you is, is it Summit's | |
| intention to appeal that ruling to the district cou | ırt |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | or does Summit intend on disclosing that dispersion |
|----|---|
| 2 | modeling? |
| 3 | MR. LEONARD: Objection, Your Honor. It |
| 4 | invades attorney-client privilege. Obviously, we |
| 5 | haven't had an opportunity to consult with our client |
| 6 | about that yet. |
| 7 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 8 | Mr. Murray, do you have a response or can |
| 9 | we move on? |
| 10 | MR. MURRAY: We can move on. |
| 11 | BY MR. MURRAY: |
| 12 | Q. I think Mr. Long asked this question of |
| 13 | you. Something about the nature of your company |
| 14 | organization. But in there I think you said |
| 15 | something to the extent of "we are liable for damage |
| 16 | to our pipeline." I think you were trying to give |
| 17 | some assurance to Mr. Long that Summit is going to |
| 18 | pick up any sort of liability for pipeline rupture. |
| 19 | You would agree generally with that |
| 20 | testimony you gave? |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. Now, you don't mean yourself personally, do |
| 23 | you? |
| 24 | A. No, I do not. |
| 25 | Q. Summit is a limited liability company, is |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | it not? |
|----|--|
| 2 | A. It is. |
| 3 | Q. The owners are known as members, aren't |
| 4 | they. An owner of an LLC is a member, isn't it. |
| 5 | A. That's my understanding. |
| 6 | Q. Did you say you're an owner? |
| 7 | A. I have equity in the business, yes. |
| 8 | Q. So that means you're a member; right? |
| 9 | A. Yes, but, again, as we discussed earlier, |
| 10 | we will have insurance. |
| 11 | Q. No, I just wanted to make sure I understand |
| 12 | this. Because I own, like, stock in a company. I buy |
| 13 | stocks in publicly traded companies. And so I |
| 14 | understand, as a shareholder, I don't have any |
| 15 | liability if that corporation gets sued; right? You |
| 16 | would agree with that general statement; right? |
| 17 | A. I think that's correct. |
| 18 | Q. So, similarly then, you can be assured that |
| 19 | there's no liability against you if a claim should |
| 20 | come against Summit; correct? |
| 21 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. Calls for a legal |
| 22 | conclusion. |
| 23 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Murray. |
| 24 | MR. MURRAY: I think he's just testified as |
| 25 | to liability and his knowledge of that. He certainly |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | does have knowledge of the liability and limited |
|----|--|
| 2 | liability of this corporation. As a COO, I certainly |
| 3 | would think you would. |
| 4 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Powell, if you |
| 5 | know the answer to that, you're welcome to answer. |
| 6 | Otherwise we can move on. |
| 7 | A. Could you repeat the question, sir? |
| 8 | BY MR. MURRAY: |
| 9 | Q. I'll restate it. We can be assured that |
| 10 | there's no liability as to a claim against Summit with |
| 11 | respect to yourself. You don't have any liability on |
| 12 | that claim, do you. |
| 13 | A. I don't think I do, no. |
| 14 | Q. And Mr. Rastetter is in a similar situation |
| 15 | as well. He does not have that liability either, does |
| 16 | he. |
| 17 | MR. LEONARD: Same objection. Calls for a |
| 18 | legal conclusion. |
| 19 | MR. MURRAY: I'll withdraw it. |
| 20 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 21 | BY MR. MURRAY: |
| 22 | Q. Can I purchase an interest in Summit? |
| 23 | A. Not at this time. You could have, but that |
| 24 | equity raise is complete. |
| 25 | Q. Is this company a publicly traded company? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. No. As you just stated, it's not. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. In the future, the public will not be |
| 3 | informed as to the assets held and the debts owing by |
| 4 | this LLC, isn't that right? |
| 5 | A. Well, the reporting requirements for a |
| 6 | private company are different than a publicly traded |
| 7 | company. |
| 8 | Q. So, by your answer, I can assume that your |
| 9 | answer is yes to that question. It will not be |
| 10 | publicly disclosed. These issues of assets and |
| 11 | liabilities of the company. |
| 12 | Correct? |
| 13 | A. Generally, that's correct. |
| 14 | Q. So I'd like to turn to what I think you |
| 15 | just referenced in one of your answers about insurance |
| 16 | coverage. Okay? With respect to the I believe the |
| 17 | \$35 million of insurance company {sic}, is it my |
| 18 | understanding that Summit is going to provide such |
| 19 | coverage? |
| 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. And would you agree that providing this |
| 22 | coverage is a precondition to any permit that would be |
| 23 | granted by the IUB? |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. Did you testify earlier that one type of |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | coverage that will be available is coverage for loss |
|----|--|
| 2 | of life and personal injury? |
| 3 | A. I did not testify to that. |
| 4 | Q. Would it be included then? As far as the |
| 5 | loss of life and personal injury. |
| 6 | A. I'm not the insurance expert. So we can |
| 7 | provide that information on what we think that |
| 8 | coverage would look like, but I do not have those |
| 9 | answers. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. Maybe I misunderstood your answers |
| 11 | to Ms. Gruenhagen earlier when she asked you some |
| 12 | similar questions about or maybe it was |
| 13 | Mr. Whipple. As to loss of life or personal injury. |
| 14 | Do you remember him asking you these |
| 15 | questions? |
| 16 | A. Yeah, I remember Mr. Whipple talking about |
| 17 | livestock and whatever in the vicinity of a pipeline |
| 18 | release. |
| 19 | Q. So, as you sit here today, you cannot tell |
| 20 | this Board that someone who happens to be caught in a |
| 21 | pipeline rupture and happens to die from asphyxiation, |
| 22 | whether your company's insurance coverage will cover |
| 23 | that claim, can you. |
| 24 | MR. LEONARD: Objection, Your Honor. Calls |
| 25 | for speculation. The witness has already testified |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | that these insurance policies are not in existence at |
|----|--|
| 2 | this time. |
| 3 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 4 | Mr. Murray. |
| 5 | MR. MURRAY: I believe that earlier |
| 6 | testimony established that this witness has provided a |
| 7 | data request to the OCA proclaiming a \$35 million |
| 8 | policy. I'm just asking about that. |
| 9 | MR. LEONARD: And what the data request |
| 10 | response says is \$35 million in coverage. The witness |
| 11 | did testify in response to Mr. Long's question that |
| 12 | those insurance policies are not yet secured. |
| 13 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Powell, if you |
| 14 | have knowledge of the policy and would like to testify |
| 15 | and comment, please do so. |
| 16 | Otherwise, Mr. Murray, please proceed. |
| 17 | A. Again, I don't have knowledge of specifics |
| 18 | in the policy yet because that hasn't been finalized. |
| 19 | BY MR. MURRAY: |
| 20 | Q. Would you agree that the risk of a pipeline |
| 21 | rupture with CO2 is a risk to loss of life? |
| 22 | A. Well, I think a risk of a rupture of any |
| 23 | pipeline there is there is a risk of loss of life, |
| 24 | but I would argue that the probability is extremely, |
| 25 | extremely low. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1801

| 1 | Q. So just like you hope that there are |
|----|---|
| 2 | certain ethanol plants that come onto your line, I |
| 3 | guess you hope that you have insurance coverage; is |
| 4 | that right? |
| 5 | A. No, I think you're obviously misstating the |
| 6 | point. The point is that the reliability of pipelines |
| 7 | is very, very high, and I don't believe you've had any |
| 8 | deaths from the 47,000 miles of pipeline in Iowa to |
| 9 | this point. And that's obviously very good. And I |
| 10 | testified earlier that we will have insurance coverage |
| 11 | that's adequate to support the risk of operating the |
| 12 | pipeline in this state. |
| 13 | Q. With respect to the duration of the |
| 14 | pipeline permit you are seeking, I would imagine it's |
| 15 | for the maximum period of 25 years? |
| 16 | A. Correct. |
| 17 | Q. So, considering the time value of money, |
| 18 | would you agree with me that if you had \$100 today, it |
| 19 | grows over time? |
| 20 | Is that a fair estimation? |
| 21 | A. It depends on how you invest it. It sounds |
| 22 | like you're a stock investor. If you do a good job |
| 23 | investing, it may grow. |
| 24 | Q. I'm terrible at it. |
| 25 | A. Well, then you know it doesn't always grow; |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| right? Q. But, if you did put it in, say, a CD today you could lock in, I think, 5 percent? A. 5 percent. Q. So let's use 5 percent. Do you have any idea what that would grow to in a guaranteed rate of return over, say, ten years? A. You're talking about what would grow? Q. \$100. A. No, I can't do the math in my head. Q. It was hard for me. I had to get my calculator out. I'll suggest to you it was over \$160 Okay? A. Okay. Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to say that for 15 years at 5 percent that money would |
|--|
| you could lock in, I think, 5 percent? A. 5 percent. Q. So let's use 5 percent. Do you have any idea what that would grow to in a guaranteed rate of return over, say, ten years? A. You're talking about what would grow? Q. \$100. A. No, I can't do the math in my head. Q. It was hard for me. I had to get my calculator out. I'll suggest to you it was over \$160 Okay? A. Okay. Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| A. 5 percent. Q. So let's use 5 percent. Do you have any idea what that would grow to in a guaranteed rate of return over, say, ten years? A. You're talking about what would grow? Q. \$100. A. No, I can't do the math in my head. Q. It was hard for me. I had to get my calculator out. I'll suggest to you it was over \$160 Okay? A. Okay. Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| Q. So let's use 5 percent. Do you have any idea what that would grow to in a guaranteed rate of return over, say, ten years? A. You're talking about what would grow? Q. \$100. A. No, I can't do the math in my head. Q. It was hard for me. I had to get my calculator out. I'll suggest to you it was over \$160 Okay? A. Okay. Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| idea what that would grow to in a guaranteed rate of return over, say, ten years? A. You're talking about what would grow? Q. \$100. A. No, I can't do the math in my head. Q. It was hard for me. I had to get my calculator out. I'll suggest to you it was over \$160 Okay? A. Okay. Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| 7 return over, say, ten years? 8 A. You're talking about what would grow? 9 Q. \$100. 10 A. No, I can't do the math in my head. 11 Q. It was hard for me. I had to get my 12 calculator out. I'll suggest to you it was over \$160 13 Okay? 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| A. You're talking about what would grow? Q. \$100. A. No, I can't do the math in my head. Q. It was hard for me. I had to get my calculator out. I'll suggest to you it was over \$160 Okay? A. Okay. Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| 9 Q. \$100. 10 A. No, I can't do the math in my head. 11 Q. It was hard for me. I had to get my 12 calculator out. I'll suggest to you it was over \$160 13 Okay? 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| 10A.No, I can't do the math in my head.11Q.It was hard for me.I had to get my12calculator out.I'll suggest to you it was over \$16013Okay?14A.Okay.15Q.Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| 11Q.It was hard for me.I had to get my12calculator out.I'll suggest to you it was over \$16013Okay?14A.Okay.15Q.Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| <pre>12 calculator out. I'll suggest to you it was over \$160 13 Okay? 14 A. Okay. 15 Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to</pre> |
| 13Okay?14A. Okay.15Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| 14A. Okay.15Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| 15 Q. Would you agree with me that it's fair to |
| |
| 16 say that for 15 years at 5 percent that money would |
| To bay chac for its years at a percent chat money would |
| 17 grow to over \$200; right? |
| 18 A. Okay. |
| 19 Q. Okay. So you'll have to trust a lawyer on |
| 20 math here for just a second, I know that's hard, but |
| 21 it would double in money over those 15 years; right? |
| 22 A. I'm trusting your math. |
| 23 Q. Thank you. So \$35 million today is not th |
| 24 same as \$35 million in 15 years; correct? |
| 25 A. Correct. That's assuming. Assuming the |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | economy is sound and the value of the dollar is sound, |
|----|--|
| 2 | et cetera. But, as you know, you don't at least in |
| 3 | my experience, we don't enter into an insurance policy |
| 4 | today that's good for 35 years. That's probably |
| 5 | renewable every year or every other year. Just like |
| 6 | your risk profile is evaluated by that insurance |
| 7 | carrier along that same timeline, and that cost of |
| 8 | that premium and the value of that insurance may |
| 9 | change. |
| 10 | Q. That's the problem with fixing a rate, |
| 11 | isn't it. We don't know. |
| 12 | But there is the inflation rate, isn't |
| 13 | there; right? |
| 14 | A. Especially today. |
| 15 | Q. Well, so then if we were to suggest to |
| 16 | Summit that it could increase its liability insurance |
| 17 | coverage every five years based on the inflation rate, |
| 18 | would you agree to that? |
| 19 | A. No, what I said earlier was that once we |
| 20 | finalize we obtain permits, finalize the |
| 21 | construction plan and finalize the total cost and risk |
| 22 | assessment, then we'll work with an insurance company |
| 23 | to ensure the amount of insurance coverage that we |
| 24 | think is adequate to support the risk. And the IUB |
| 25 | can place whatever conditions they think is |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1804

| 1 | appropriate. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. I think my question was would Summit agree |
| 3 | to this. |
| 4 | A. I'm not going to agree to anything like |
| 5 | that without consultation with our management team. |
| 6 | Q. In your direct testimony, you've testified |
| 7 | to the impact of the proposed pipeline on agriculture. |
| 8 | Would you concede obviously that the taking |
| 9 | of land by eminent domain is an impact on the |
| 10 | agricultural use by landowners? |
| 11 | A. Well, it may be a it may be a yield |
| 12 | deficiency impact, but, again, a landowner that |
| 13 | currently has that property in agricultural use can |
| 14 | continue that use after the pipeline is installed. |
| 15 | Q. So are you saying that there is no impact |
| 16 | on a landowner as to the use of this pipeline? |
| 17 | A. Well, the landowner won't be using the |
| 18 | pipeline, I hope. But, as far as using the land after |
| 19 | the construction is completed and the property or the |
| 20 | right-of-way has been reclaimed, then the landowner |
| 21 | can take it back to its initial use. |
| 22 | So if that's planting if it's in |
| 23 | agricultural use and it's corn and soybeans, it's |
| 24 | pasture grass, whatever their preferred use is. |
| 25 | Q. This hearing began on August 22nd, 2023, I |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1805

| 1 | believe. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Do you agree with the Iowa Farm Bureau |
| 3 | witness, I believe his name is Mr. Johnson, who |
| 4 | testifies that there are 187.41 miles that Summit has |
| 5 | not secured land rights as of August 22nd? |
| 6 | A. That's close. |
| 7 | Q. So, to be clear, your, this Summit |
| 8 | pipeline, a private for-profit company, is seeking the |
| 9 | power of eminent domain over these 187 miles of land; |
| 10 | correct? |
| 11 | A. Well, there's time between now and when the |
| 12 | IUB makes a decision. So we've secured over 500 miles |
| 13 | of right-of-way, which is one and a half times the |
| 14 | amount of right-of-way that Energy Transfer had to |
| 15 | secure. So we still have a ways to go, but we still |
| 16 | have time to negotiate with those landowners. |
| 17 | Q. And I believe that we've talked about this |
| 18 | earlier today, but I believe you had affirmed that |
| 19 | this 187 miles would be |
| 20 | A. It's actually 183. |
| 21 | Q. 183. |
| 22 | A. You're the math guy. |
| 23 | Q. Thank you. Appreciate that. So we're |
| 24 | talking about a percentage of over 20 percent that's |
| 25 | not signed up; right? |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. Correct. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. I think you said in testimony earlier that |
| 3 | 90 percent of that land is farmland? |
| 4 | A. Across the footprint. |
| 5 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Powell, I know |
| 6 | we're in kind of an awkward situation. Can you just |
| 7 | make sure you're speaking into the mic. Go ahead and |
| 8 | look at Mr. Murray. That's no problem. |
| 9 | A. But I believe that's generally the case |
| 10 | across the footprint. |
| 11 | BY MR. MURRAY: |
| 12 | Q. Now, did Summit oppose the legislation that |
| 13 | came before the Iowa House earlier this year that |
| 14 | required a 90 percent threshold before a hearing could |
| 15 | commence? |
| 16 | A. I believe that's correct. |
| 17 | Q. Is that because Summit knows it had little |
| 18 | chance of reaching that threshold? |
| 19 | A. No, it's because Summit felt the rules of |
| 20 | the game were changing. So, when we applied for our |
| 21 | permit, that requirement was not in place. So, after |
| 22 | we applied for our permit with the IUB and began this |
| 23 | project and began securing right-of-way, to change the |
| 24 | rules in the game at a later time we felt was not |
| 25 | appropriate. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | 1 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Q. If land rights are taken, would you agree |
| 2 | that those land rights should be limited strictly to |
| 3 | the use that is necessary in order to facilitate the |
| 4 | permit? |
| 5 | A. And you're speaking to specifically the |
| 6 | installation and operation of the pipeline? |
| 7 | Q. And the construction and the ingress/egress |
| 8 | that you seek. |
| 9 | A. I would agree. |
| 10 | Q. Now, we'll step through this rather slowly |
| 11 | here just to walk through these different easements |
| 12 | you have, but, generally speaking, Summit seeks a |
| 13 | permanent pipeline easement; correct? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. And that's generally a 50-foot wide |
| 16 | easement in most cases, if I understand right? |
| 17 | A. Correct. |
| 18 | Q. And, also, there is the temporary |
| 19 | construction easement areas as well; correct? |
| 20 | A. Correct. |
| 21 | Q. And then there's also this ingress/egress |
| 22 | easement that is sought by Summit; correct? |
| 23 | A. In some cases, yes. |
| 24 | Q. I see that the eminent domain rights within |
| 25 | Summit's Exhibit H forms include a professional |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | surveying map that defines the permanent easement and |
|----|---|
| 2 | the temporary construction easement areas. |
| 3 | Would you agree with that? |
| 4 | A. Generally, yes. |
| 5 | Q. There is also a description of what is |
| 6 | known as "the property," which is the landowner's |
| 7 | parcel. Which includes the permanent easement and |
| 8 | temporary construction easement areas. |
| 9 | Correct? |
| 10 | A. From what I recall, yes. |
| 11 | Q. So, as I understand it, if we're talking |
| 12 | about the property which is outside of the permanent |
| 13 | easement and outside of the temporary construction |
| 14 | easement, that area would simply be an area that is |
| 15 | only for unimpeded ingress/egress that is sought by |
| 16 | the company; correct? That's the only use that you're |
| 17 | looking for is ingress/egress over the remainder of |
| 18 | the property; right? |
| 19 | A. Yes. Without looking at a specific plat, |
| 20 | yes. |
| 21 | Q. So I'll refer to that as the remainder of |
| 22 | the property. |
| 23 | Okay? |
| 24 | A. Okay. |
| 25 | Q. So I'll just represent to you that a sample |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| r | 1 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Exhibit H includes language that limits the use of the |
| 2 | remainder to be in the event of an emergency over such |
| 3 | other portions of property. |
| 4 | Would you agree with that characterization |
| 5 | of Exhibit H? |
| 6 | A. Again, without looking at the specific |
| 7 | Exhibit H, generally I would agree with that. |
| 8 | Q. Well, that word "emergency" is pretty |
| 9 | important. |
| 10 | Could you define emergency? |
| 11 | A. Well, emergency would be I would hope to |
| 12 | be a rare occurrence. So it wouldn't be normal |
| 13 | maintenance, normal access. If we had a release or an |
| 14 | incident and we had to access the property, that would |
| 15 | be defined as an emergency. |
| 16 | Q. I see. Would there be any other |
| 17 | definitions of emergency besides a release? |
| 18 | A. It would have to be an incident that was |
| 19 | specific to the pipeline. The pipeline was damaged in |
| 20 | some way. Or it could be if we ran an internal |
| 21 | inspection tool and the tool discovered some damage |
| 22 | that wasn't identified during construction. A dent, a |
| 23 | gouge. In that case, that wouldn't necessarily |
| 24 | constitute an emergency, but we'd have to access the |
| 25 | property. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | And, unless it's emergency, which would be |
|----|--|
| 2 | almost exclusively in response to an incident, then we |
| 3 | would try to coordinate with the landowner on when we |
| 4 | could get in and do some investigation. |
| 5 | Q. Thank you. So an incident, as you |
| 6 | reference it, is a release. |
| 7 | A. Or unless we knew someone was digging |
| 8 | around the pipeline and struck the pipeline but we |
| 9 | didn't have a release, that would constitute an |
| 10 | emergency for me to get in and assess the damage. But |
| 11 | it would not be normal, routine maintenance or |
| 12 | operation of the pipeline. |
| 13 | Q. So let's say the property in question for |
| 14 | this example is a square 40-acre parcel with a road on |
| 15 | the south side. |
| 16 | Okay? |
| 17 | A. Okay. |
| 18 | Q. And I'm just going to suggest to you that, |
| 19 | in farmland, there's a farm access lane to these |
| 20 | parcels. So let's put a farm lane on the southeast |
| 21 | corner of this parcel. |
| 22 | Okay? |
| 23 | A. Okay. |
| 24 | Q. Let's also suggest to you that in this |
| 25 | parcel we have a pipeline that's located on the north |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | 5 |
|----|--|
| 1 | side and runs east/west. On the far north side of the |
| 2 | parcel. |
| 3 | A. Okay. |
| 4 | Q. I'm going to suggest to you that this |
| 5 | parcel is a quarter mile by a quarter mile. And I'm |
| 6 | going to suggest to you that's what a square 40 is. |
| 7 | Okay? |
| 8 | A. Okay. |
| 9 | Q. So, if you have an emergency, you're going |
| 10 | to want to come on that southeast corner farm lane. |
| 11 | Do I have that right? |
| 12 | A. Well, it depends on what access is |
| 13 | available on the north side of the 40. Is it adjacent |
| 14 | to a county road. Is there another access into it. |
| 15 | Q. I'll explain further. My example is you |
| 16 | have the road on the south side of this 40. Okay? |
| 17 | There is no road on any other side. |
| 18 | A. Okay. That makes it more clear. |
| 19 | Q. Thank you. So, if we have an emergency to |
| 20 | that pipeline that's a quarter of a mile to the north |
| 21 | roughly, I would suggest to you that you're going to |
| 22 | use that farm lane in the southeast corner and proceed |
| 23 | north, wouldn't you? |
| 24 | A. In that hypothetical, that makes sense. |
| 25 | Q. Now, in this situation, let's just say that |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | this farmer that has this parcel that has this |
|----|--|
| 2 | ingress/egress easement on the entire 40 acres, let's |
| 3 | say he wants to subdivide that parcel and he wants to |
| 4 | create an acreage that's five or ten acres. |
| 5 | That's the pretty typical size of an |
| 6 | acreage. You would agree with that, wouldn't you? |
| 7 | A. I have no idea. I'll take your word it |
| 8 | for. |
| 9 | Q. They are. So let's say he puts it in the |
| 10 | southwest corner of that 40-acre parcel. |
| 11 | All right? |
| 12 | A. And the access was on the southeast corner |
| 13 | you said? |
| 14 | Q. Yes. |
| 15 | A. I'm just trying to keep track. |
| 16 | Q. Yes. You're doing a good job. So what |
| 17 | would be the necessity to having an ingress/egress |
| 18 | over that acreage? |
| 19 | A. What do you mean? "The necessity." |
| 20 | Q. Well, what's the use of having any |
| 21 | ingress/egress over that southwest ten acres. You |
| 22 | don't need it, do you. |
| 23 | A. I mean, simply put, we need a way to access |
| 24 | the permanent right-of-way in an emergency situation. |
| 25 | Whatever the easiest, least intrusive way to get there |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | is what we need. Whether that's on the southeast |
|----|--|
| 2 | corner, across someone else's property if that's |
| 3 | available, whatever that is. |
| 4 | Q. The Exhibit Hs that you filed with the |
| 5 | Board do not attempt to define any sort of |
| 6 | limitations. It just takes carte blanche |
| 7 | ingress/egress over the entire parcel, the entire |
| 8 | property. |
| 9 | Wouldn't you agree? |
| 10 | A. Again, you're speaking in hypotheticals. |
| 11 | There are a lot of Exhibit Hs. And so, based on your |
| 12 | scenario, it sounds like the southeast corner would be |
| 13 | the easiest point to access the property. |
| 14 | Q. Not just the easiest, but the only real |
| 15 | necessary ingress/egress that you need; correct? |
| 16 | A. Again, in your hypothetical. |
| 17 | Q. You would agree then. In the hypothetical. |
| 18 | Yes? |
| 19 | A. In your hypothetical. Without seeing |
| 20 | anything other than what you've described, road on the |
| 21 | south side, no road on the north side, a five- to |
| 22 | ten-acre tract being developed on the southwest |
| 23 | corner, and the access road on the southeast corner. |
| 24 | Q. It sounds to me like you agree with me |
| 25 | then? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

٦

| 1 | A. Again, in your hypothetical. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Thank you. |
| 3 | You've answered some of these questions |
| 4 | earlier, but is Summit going to continue to negotiate |
| 5 | to secure land rights in the future? |
| 6 | A. Yeah, we're negotiating as we speak. |
| 7 | Q. And I think you testified earlier that your |
| 8 | goal is to sign 100 percent of all landowners; |
| 9 | correct? |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. Do you acknowledge that seeking the power |
| 12 | of eminent domain constitutes a threat to landowners' |
| 13 | rights? |
| 14 | A. I mean, that was brought up several times |
| 15 | this morning. We're following the IUB process. So |
| 16 | we're continuing to negotiate with landowners like we |
| 17 | have for the last year and a half in Iowa. Regardless |
| 18 | of whether they received an Exhibit H or not, that |
| 19 | hasn't changed the conversation. |
| 20 | Q. Will Summit, or its land agents, use the |
| 21 | fact that this hearing has started as leverage against |
| 22 | landowners who have not yet signed up? |
| 23 | A. No. And, unlike we've heard previously in |
| 24 | some testimony, our land agents are prohibited from |
| 25 | threatening eminent domain at any time. And, if that |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | happens, then they're terminated. And Mr. Rorie can |
|----|--|
| 2 | confirm that when he testifies later this week. |
| 3 | Q. You've testified to this next question I |
| 4 | have. I'm going to ask it in a different way just to |
| 5 | make sure I understand. |
| 6 | As relates to negotiations focused on |
| 7 | compensation, and for purposes of this question it is |
| 8 | compensation on an easement that has no other terms |
| 9 | that have altered, will Summit reduce its compensation |
| 10 | being offered to landowners in the future or will it |
| 11 | continue to increase or remain at that level? |
| 12 | A. Well, I think what I testified to earlier, |
| 13 | maybe with Mr. Whipple, was that we would continue to |
| 14 | discuss the most recent offer that a landowner had |
| 15 | received. |
| 16 | Q. So, if no other terms are changing other |
| 17 | than the discussion on compensation, is there a |
| 18 | possibility that Summit is going to reduce its offer |
| 19 | and compensation in the future? |
| 20 | A. That's not something we're currently |
| 21 | planning. |
| 22 | Q. It is a possibility it could happen though. |
| 23 | A. Well, again, I'm not going to predict |
| 24 | what's going to happen in three months, but currently |
| 25 | we are actively negotiating with every landowner that |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | has not signed an easement and that compensation |
|----|--|
| 2 | conversation is ongoing. No one to my knowledge, |
| 3 | the conversation hasn't been, "You will accept this |
| 4 | or" something else. |
| 5 | Q. So if a right-of-way agent states that he |
| 6 | has heard that Summit is going to go south on its |
| 7 | compensation offers, you're saying that that |
| 8 | right-of-way agent is going to be terminated. |
| 9 | A. No, I didn't say that. I said if a |
| 10 | right-of-way agent threatens the use of eminent |
| 11 | domain, that that right-of-way agent will be |
| 12 | terminated. |
| 13 | Q. So if a right-of-way agent were to say that |
| 14 | Summit is planning on going south on compensation, or |
| 15 | implies it, that's fair play in your game? |
| 16 | A. Well, again, Mr. Rorie can speak better |
| 17 | about his strategy, but generally, in Iowa, Summit has |
| 18 | offered some extraordinary premiums. And those were |
| 19 | for landowners that worked with us early and they were |
| 20 | rewarded with those premiums. |
| 21 | So will we continue to pay at those |
| 22 | premiums? No, we've adjusted those all throughout the |
| 23 | process. |
| 24 | But, as I stated earlier, if we're having a |
| 25 | conversation with a landowner today and we're talking |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | about a certain compensation, we'll continue to talk |
|----|--|
| 2 | about that level of compensation. We haven't |
| 3 | threatened them with reducing it to my knowledge. |
| 4 | Q. As it relates to landowner communications, |
| 5 | will Summit promptly respond to those landowners |
| 6 | seeking to negotiate? |
| 7 | A. We typically always do. It's usually the |
| 8 | other way around. Having a landowner many are |
| 9 | busy, especially if they're farmers promptly |
| 10 | responding to the agent. |
| 11 | Q. So my question was will Summit promptly |
| 12 | respond? |
| 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | Q. When you acknowledge that Summit will |
| 15 | promptly respond, what do you characterize as a prompt |
| 16 | response? |
| 17 | A. I think that's a reasonable time from a |
| 18 | couple of days. |
| 19 | Q. A couple of days? Okay. On routing, as I |
| 20 | understand your testimony earlier today, you |
| 21 | testified, I think it was to Mr. Whipple perhaps |
| 22 | you might correct me if I'm wrong on this, but I think |
| 23 | you said "I don't intend on amending the application |
| 24 | as it relates to route." |
| 25 | Did I hear you right? |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | A. That's correct. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q. Doesn't the statute require Summit's |
| 3 | petition to discuss the availability of alternate |
| 4 | routes? |
| 5 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. Calls for a legal |
| 6 | conclusion. |
| 7 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Murray, do you |
| 8 | want to rephrase your question? Or reword. |
| 9 | BY MR. MURRAY: |
| 10 | Q. Is it your testimony today that there are |
| 11 | no alternate routes that you are putting before the |
| 12 | Board? |
| 13 | A. That's correct. |
| 14 | Q. So I'm trying to understand the testimony |
| 15 | you had with Ms. Gruenhagen earlier. I think you |
| 16 | testified something to the extent that if a landowner |
| 17 | is negotiating with Summit, perhaps Summit will be |
| 18 | willing to change that route in the future? Is that |
| 19 | right? |
| 20 | A. No, what I said was if the Board provides |
| 21 | flexibility to get outside of the route that we've |
| 22 | represented in the Exhibit Hs, then we could |
| 23 | potentially do that, but now I'm bound by what's |
| 24 | presented in the Exhibit Hs. |
| 25 | Q. So, as I understand it, you're expecting |

| 1 | landowners to prove up an alternative route to the IUB |
|----|--|
| 2 | in order for you to then consider that alternative |
| 3 | route. |
| 4 | Do I have that right? |
| 5 | A. What I would suggest to you is that in many |
| 6 | cases we've been having conversations with landowners |
| 7 | that would talk with us for well over a year. And, as |
| 8 | I mentioned this morning, we've made over 1,500 route |
| 9 | adjustments. And, as Mr. Jorde characterized them, |
| 10 | micro adjustments. Many of those are due to landowner |
| 11 | preference. |
| 12 | So landowners, including those that have |
| 13 | received Exhibit Hs, have had a lot of time to work |
| 14 | with Summit to locate the pipeline on their property |
| 15 | to accommodate their needs and use. |
| 16 | Q. So, if I understand this correct then, it's |
| 17 | Summit's view that it's not allowing for alternative |
| 18 | routes, it's not petitioning for alternative routes at |
| 19 | this time, and it's not doing so because the |
| 20 | landowners that are left are out of luck. |
| 21 | MR. LEONARD: Objection, Your Honor. |
| 22 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: State your objection. |
| 23 | MR. LEONARD: Misstates the testimony. |
| 24 | Mischaracterizes the requirements of the statute. |
| 25 | Alternative routes are discussed in the testimony. |

| 1 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Murray, do you |
|----|---|
| 2 | want to reword your question? |
| 3 | MR. MURRAY: I'm just trying to understand |
| 4 | this witness's testimony as it relates to alternative |
| 5 | routes. |
| 6 | BY MR. MURRAY: |
| 7 | Q. Are you saying then that the landowner has |
| 8 | the duty to prove the alternate route rather than |
| 9 | Summit? |
| 10 | MR. LEONARD: Objection. Asked and |
| 11 | answered. |
| 12 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Murray, it might |
| 13 | be time to move on to a different question. |
| 14 | BY MR. MURRAY: |
| 15 | Q. If there were different routes published by |
| 16 | Summit in a previously recorded Exhibit H but then an |
| 17 | amended Exhibit H were changing that route, that can |
| 18 | happen; right? In the past I'm saying. That has |
| 19 | happened. |
| 20 | A. I don't |
| 21 | MR. LEONARD: I'll object to form. What's |
| 22 | the question? |
| 23 | MR. MURRAY: I'll reword. |
| 24 | BY MR. MURRAY: |
| 25 | Q. In a given parcel, Summit has amended its |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1821

| 1 | routes and amended its Exhibit H definition of that |
|----|---|
| 2 | particular route on that particular parcel. That's |
| 3 | happened, hasn't it? |
| 4 | A. That may have happened. |
| 5 | Q. So then the prior route could be considered |
| 6 | an alternate route, wouldn't you agree? |
| 7 | A. Well, what I would agree is that the route |
| 8 | as illustrated on the Exhibit Hs that are current is |
| 9 | the route. |
| 10 | Q. But, if Summit has done a survey of a prior |
| 11 | route and filed that survey, that would be an |
| 12 | alternate route, wouldn't it? |
| 13 | MR. LEONARD: I'll object to the extent it |
| 14 | calls for a legal conclusion as to alternative routes |
| 15 | required by the statute. |
| 16 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Mr. Murray, do you |
| 17 | have a response? |
| 18 | MR. MURRAY: I'll withdraw the question. I |
| 19 | have nothing further. |
| 20 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. Thank you. |
| 21 | Ms. Kohles. I believe you have some |
| 22 | questions. |
| 23 | MS. KOHLES: I do. |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1822 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. KOHLES: 3 Hello, Mr. Powell. Can you hear me? Q. 4 Yes, ma'am. Α. 5 0. Okay. I have a question for you. I'm Jean Kohles, Kohles Family Farms, LLC. I'm glad the 6 gentleman did answer {sic} a lot of my questions I was 7 8 concerned about relating to insurance, et cetera. 9 I want you to know that I can't get 10 insurance as a landowner. So I hope you have better 11 luck. 12 Also, I want to know -- you're very proud 13 of the 73 percent of people who voluntarily, you say, 14 signed the easement agreements. I'm wanting to know 15 did your land agents provide the landowners any hazard or risk analysis on the critical CO2 pipeline before 16 17 they signed these easements? 18 Well, it depends on the landowner, but I Α. 19 myself have had many conversations, and I know our 20 staff has and agents have, in addition to construction professionals, drain tile professionals. And so 21 22 trying to make sure that we answer all the questions 23 that a landowner has. 24 And then I trust that a landowner is 25 comfortable and feels informed enough to make that

| 1 | decision. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q. Well, I asked several times over the course |
| 3 | of a year and a half, and I didn't get an answer and I |
| 4 | had multiple land agents. Also, my tenants and other |
| 5 | landowners that have signed the easement in the county |
| 6 | are very concerned with what's coming out in this |
| 7 | hearing and what they've learned over the last six |
| 8 | months. They've had signer remorse. |
| 9 | MR. LEONARD: Your Honor, I'm going to |
| 10 | object to the form of the question for purposes of our |
| 11 | record. |
| 12 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Thank you. |
| 13 | MS. KOHLES: Excuse me? What was that |
| 14 | again? |
| 15 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Go ahead and restate |
| 16 | that. |
| 17 | MR. LEONARD: I'm objecting to the form of |
| 18 | the question for purposes of our record. I don't |
| 19 | believe a question was actually asked. A statement |
| 20 | was made. |
| 21 | BY MS. KOHLES: |
| 22 | Q. Mr. Powell, do you think all landowners who |
| 23 | signed early their easement agreements were aware of |
| 24 | the critical state of the CO2 pipeline? |
| 25 | A. I can't speak to every single landowner, |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | but my assumption is they felt well enough informed to |
|----|--|
| 2 | feel comfortable signing a legal document. |
| 3 | Q. Or were they not informed adequately to |
| 4 | make an informed decision? |
| 5 | A. Ma'am, I can't determine for landowners how |
| 6 | much information they feel is necessary for them to |
| 7 | make the decision. I can tell you that we're |
| 8 | available now for every landowner, whether they've |
| 9 | signed an easement or not, to have a conversation |
| 10 | around risk. |
| 11 | Q. When I discussed several times the |
| 12 | possibility of a route change, I was informed, no, |
| 13 | that's the only safe way, there is no negotiation. |
| 14 | And I had the same response over a year and a half. |
| 15 | A. Well, I'm sorry to hear that. That's a |
| 16 | unique response. Because, as I've said, we've changed |
| 17 | the route many, many, many times to accommodate a |
| 18 | landowner's preference. |
| 19 | Q. Why wouldn't they listen or present my |
| 20 | concerns to your company or to the responsible party? |
| 21 | A. I can't answer that, ma'am. |
| 22 | Q. Also, concerning I'm letting you know |
| 23 | how some of your land agents acted to me specifically. |
| 24 | And I was how can I say this. I was they pretty |
| 25 | much told me that I would need that this was as |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1825

| 1 | good as it was going to be on an easement agreement. |
|----|--|
| 2 | It was completely underpriced for the value of my |
| 3 | land. They also said I needed to sign now or they |
| 4 | would file eminent domain. |
| 5 | On July 7, 2023, my mani, my farm manager, |
| 6 | said absolutely not. On July 17th, they mailed me the |
| 7 | eminent domain notification. |
| 8 | So, in that case when they said, "If you |
| 9 | don't sign, we'll apply for eminent domain on your |
| 10 | property," they did. |
| 11 | What do you say to that? |
| 12 | A. Well, what I would encourage you to do is |
| 13 | when Mr. Rorie testifies, you ask him who that agent |
| 14 | was. And he can confirm what happened there. And, if |
| 15 | that agent threatened you with eminent domain, they'll |
| 16 | be terminated. |
| 17 | As far as Exhibit Hs, we have to follow the |
| 18 | IUB process. Which means we have to file those |
| 19 | Exhibit Hs by a certain time frame. And so that was |
| 20 | following the process. It was unrelated to what may |
| 21 | or may not have happened between yourself or your |
| 22 | tenant and the agent. |
| 23 | MS. KOHLES: Well, there was more than one |
| 24 | agent. I think there were four different agents over |
| 25 | that time frame. And they basically did the same |
| | |

| 1 | thing. |
|----|--|
| 2 | No further questions. |
| 3 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Seeing no more |
| 4 | questions from the parties, we are going to go to |
| 5 | Board questions, but we'll take a five-minute break |
| 6 | and be right back here. So we're going to go off the |
| 7 | record for five minutes and be right back at 5:35. |
| 8 | (Recess taken at 5:30 p.m.) |
| 9 | (Hearing resumed at 5:36 p.m.) |
| 10 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: It's 5:36 p.m. We |
| 11 | will go back on the record. I believe the Board has |
| 12 | some questions. |
| 13 | I will turn it over to Board Member Byrnes. |
| 14 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: I'll let folks grab |
| 15 | their seat and we'll get started with Board questions. |
| 16 | Well, Mr. Powell, I think I could almost |
| 17 | say good evening now. So a series of different |
| 18 | questions here. |
| 19 | And I think one of the things I think has |
| 20 | been advantageous is I did get to listen to landowners |
| 21 | the last two weeks and heard a lot of different |
| 22 | questions that were posed from them. I've taken pages |
| 23 | and pages upon notes of things that I feel need to be |
| 24 | asked on the things I heard over the last couple |
| 25 | weeks, and so some of these questions are going to |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | stem from things that initiated some ideas there and |
|----|--|
| 2 | then a series of questions also just based on your |
| 3 | testimony. |
| 4 | So, getting started here, the first thing, |
| 5 | I've kind of thought about a lot of this in terms of |
| 6 | themes. And one of the big themes is safety. |
| 7 | So you have a lot of years of experience in |
| 8 | the pipeline industry; correct? |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 10 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And so, based on |
| 11 | those experiences, this pipeline project in terms of |
| 12 | safety compared to other pipeline projects you've |
| 13 | done, is there any stark contrast? Have technologies |
| 14 | advanced? Through your knowledge and your |
| 15 | experiences, what can you say about the safety of this |
| 16 | pipeline? |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: I think technologies have |
| 18 | advanced. And most prominently in manufacture of |
| 19 | materials and the raw materials available for |
| 20 | manufacture of line pipe, for instance. It's the |
| 21 | QA/QC process in the pipe mills, et cetera. |
| 22 | Another unique thing to this project is, |
| 23 | even though it's a large-scale project, it's the area. |
| 24 | So, yes, there are populated areas in these five |
| 25 | states and in Iowa, but compared to a lot of the |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | pipeline construction that takes place in the Gulf |
|----|--|
| 2 | Coast, the populated areas aren't quite as prevalent. |
| 3 | So making routing of the pipeline is challenging |
| 4 | but not as challenging from a safety perspective. |
| 5 | There are aspects in routing in this part |
| 6 | of the world when it comes to cultural features, |
| 7 | et cetera, that make it more challenging, but not from |
| 8 | a safety perspective. |
| 9 | So I think the materials, construction |
| 10 | techniques, types of equipment, and the things that |
| 11 | we're doing to go above and beyond, like 100 percent |
| 12 | x-ray, like looking at the dispersant analysis across |
| 13 | the whole footprint, like employing the integrity |
| 14 | management plan across the whole footprint, like |
| 15 | employing lessons learned from not only the Satartia |
| 16 | incident but other incidents. |
| 17 | And, also, it's the fact that in this |
| 18 | pipeline, we will be the first to employ PHMSA's |
| 19 | guidance on a reduced distance between block valves. |
| 20 | So I think when you couple the materials, |
| 21 | which we're sourcing largely in the United States when |
| 22 | available, and the QA/QC techniques, the contractors |
| 23 | we're employing, and the areas that we're going above |
| 24 | and beyond in safety and design, construction, and |
| 25 | ultimately operation I think make this one of the |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | safest pipelines that I've been involved with. |
|----|--|
| 2 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So, just as you had |
| 3 | stated earlier, probably about 30 public information |
| 4 | meetings took place for this project. I think I did |
| 5 | 20-plus of those being the oldest Board member here |
| 6 | now. |
| 7 | I know one of the things that was |
| 8 | discussed, and we've heard it in the last two weeks |
| 9 | is, "Well, I heard it at those public information |
| 10 | meetings." |
| 11 | And I believe the response at the time, |
| 12 | when odorant was talked about, is that there is |
| 13 | research or experimenting going on right now of how to |
| 14 | make some sort of an odorant work with carbon dioxide. |
| 15 | Has that progressed? |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: We have we have done what |
| 17 | I think is exhaustive analysis. And what we've come |
| 18 | down to, or what it's come down to, is if you use a |
| 19 | mercaptan like is used in natural gas and I've |
| 20 | heard it said many, many, many times that natural gas |
| 21 | pipelines are odorized. They're only odorized in |
| 22 | residential service. Transmission lines are rarely, |
| 23 | in my experience, odorized. |
| 24 | But, in CO2, you're introducing a flammable |
| 25 | component in mercaptan, or an odorant like mercaptan, |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| (| |
|----|--|
| 1 | into what's a non-flammable stream of product. And so |
| 2 | it's the risk of then introducing that into the |
| 3 | stream. And then there's a corrosivity risk as well. |
| 4 | So we've got a chemical engineering |
| 5 | consultant, process engineering consultant, that's |
| 6 | been working with us from day one. They've been |
| 7 | involved in most of the CO2 projects in this country |
| 8 | over the last several years going all the way back to |
| 9 | ADM Decatur. And they've done some extensive |
| 10 | analysis, and we think the risk associated with |
| 11 | corrosion and introducing that flammable component |
| 12 | makes us not want to odorize the CO2. |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And I believe the |
| 14 | last name of the individual that was here in the last |
| 15 | two weeks, Frideres, I believe, was the last name, |
| 16 | they made a comment that going around safety, or |
| 17 | sticking with safety, a warning system, a text |
| 18 | messaging system to landowners, an alarm system if you |
| 19 | will. You know, what is available or what could be |
| 20 | used to alert or message landowners with a potential |
| 21 | leak issue? |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I hate to punt on this |
| 23 | one. I will tell you that Mr. Dillon is working with |
| 24 | first responders and other pipeline operators to see |
| 25 | what is the breadth of available sources, what we |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1831

| 1 | think may be effective. |
|----|--|
| 2 | The challenge is in some places in Iowa, |
| 3 | some places in South Dakota and the other states, not |
| 4 | everyone has a smartphone, et cetera. |
| 5 | So it's trying to figure out what one area |
| 6 | or one action, or multiple actions, would be most |
| 7 | beneficial and allow us to communicate with the |
| 8 | broadest group of people along the pipeline route. |
| 9 | So we're working on that. I don't have |
| 10 | that definitive answer as we sit here today. |
| 11 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And, sticking with |
| 12 | safety, I think a lot of questions I had about |
| 13 | training, working with the local first responders and |
| 14 | whatnot, I think you answered some of the questions on |
| 15 | purchase of equipment and training supplies and |
| 16 | whatnot. And I know from running a gas system myself, |
| 17 | I know that we had to do annual training with our |
| 18 | local first responders. But that was for a |
| 19 | residential gas system. A natural gas system. |
| 20 | Does PHMSA require you to do, or will they |
| 21 | require you to do, annual training with first |
| 22 | responders with a CO2 pipeline? |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Yes, that's my understanding. |
| 24 | And, as I stated earlier, if more frequent training is |
| 25 | requested, we'll try to accommodate that. |
| 1 | |

| 1 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And there were some |
|----|--|
| 2 | questions about the pressures in the last couple weeks |
| 3 | that's going through this pipeline. There was a |
| 4 | statement and you might not be the right person. |
| 5 | It's I guess I would consider it an engineering |
| 6 | statement about 1 liter and then it equals 80 liters |
| 7 | when it hits atmosphere. |
| 8 | Does that change occur? |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Mr. Louque, will be he's |
| 10 | the chemical engineer or the dispersant expert, but I |
| 11 | will tell you that when CO2 is introduced in the |
| 12 | atmosphere, then there is an expansion of that CO2 as |
| 13 | it vaporizes. As far as the volume of that expansion, |
| 14 | I can't tell you, Board Member Byrnes, but Mr. Louque |
| 15 | could, I'm sure. |
| 16 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And I believe |
| 17 | Mr. Fehr had some comments or some questions on the |
| 18 | pressure of this pipe and what's in it. |
| 19 | So what is the minimum pressure that you |
| 20 | need to have in this pipe to maintain its status and |
| 21 | then what is the maximum that that pipe can withstand? |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: So the maximum allowable |
| 23 | operating pressure, I believe, is 2,183. The maximum |
| 24 | operating pressure that's set now is, I believe, is |
| 25 | 2,150. And Mr. Schovanec can confirm. |

| 1 | The minimum operating pressure to keep the |
|----|--|
| 2 | CO2 in supercritical state is about 1,050, depending |
| 3 | on the temperature, but I believe our minimum set |
| 4 | point is about 1,300 pounds. |
| 5 | So it will discharge from an ethanol plant |
| 6 | at, say, 2,150, and of course the pressure will |
| 7 | dissipate as it travels down the pipeline. And, when |
| 8 | it gets to the suction side of the first pump station, |
| 9 | then it will be in that 1,300 range. And then it will |
| 10 | be pressured back up through the pump station. |
| 11 | Mr. Schovanec can confirm, but I believe |
| 12 | that's generally correct. |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Another item |
| 14 | obviously deals with soil compaction, tile repairs, |
| 15 | wet conditions. I think we've addressed quite a bit |
| 16 | of that today. |
| 17 | I guess the one thing we maybe didn't hear |
| 18 | much from, on wet conditions, when is it a go or no go |
| 19 | day when it comes to wet conditions? And there was |
| 20 | some debate over the last two weeks what is a wet |
| 21 | condition. |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: In my mind, a wet condition |
| 23 | is a situation where the equipment being used for |
| 24 | whatever stage of the pipeline construction we're in |
| 25 | will do "irreparable" is probably a severe term, |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | 1 |
|----|---|
| 1 | but it will do unnecessary damage to the area. |
| 2 | Now, we'll have in most of the areas in |
| 3 | Iowa, we'll have mats, we'll have timber mats in |
| 4 | place, and that will kind of mitigate the risk |
| 5 | associated with that. |
| 6 | But it doesn't make sense and I know it |
| 7 | happens. It doesn't make sense for us when we're |
| 8 | constructing, or our contractors, to work in weather |
| 9 | or in conditions that compound the situation and make |
| 10 | it more work in the end to finish the project and |
| 11 | reclaim the property correctly. |
| 12 | And then there's the compaction issue. We |
| 13 | know, as we're traversing this right-of-way with |
| 14 | equipment and pipe, et cetera, that we're going to |
| 15 | compact it. And I know that the IUB has specific |
| 16 | requirements on how we de-compact before we reclaim, |
| 17 | or as we reclaim, but we don't want to compound that |
| 18 | and make that more difficult. And that's where the |
| 19 | county inspectors come into play. |
| 20 | So obviously we'll work with them and |
| 21 | collaborate with them before we begin construction to |
| 22 | make sure we have perfect alignment, or as near |
| 23 | perfect alignment as possible, around where is that |
| 24 | line and when it doesn't make sense to work. And the |
| 25 | contractor will be part of that conversation too. |
| | |

| 1 | But I don't want to be in a situation where |
|----|--|
| 2 | we cause more work, unnecessary work, and that we make |
| 3 | it more difficult to reclaim the property. I can't |
| 4 | tell you if that's twelve inches of mud or that's six |
| 5 | inches of mud. It may be different depending on how |
| 6 | much topsoil is there, et cetera. |
| 7 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: I believe it was |
| 8 | Mr. Utesch had concerns with constant crossing of |
| 9 | heavy equipment. |
| 10 | And our farm equipment has gotten rather |
| 11 | large. I honestly don't know how we can get any |
| 12 | bigger than what we currently have today. But we have |
| 13 | really large farm equipment. |
| 14 | I mean, these and I think the concern |
| 15 | was the constant crossing over this pipeline with that |
| 16 | heavy equipment. How do you mitigate that pressure |
| 17 | from on top to that pipe below? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, we do, you |
| 19 | know, API 1111 calculations on what the max load would |
| 20 | be, the live load, which is the traveling back and |
| 21 | forth, versus a dead load where it's stationary. |
| 22 | And with the material we're using; the |
| 23 | grade of material, the wall thickness material and the |
| 24 | compaction activity that will take place over that |
| 25 | pipeline, the risk of equipment damaging the pipeline, |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

unless there's some extraordinary weather event and it 1 2 sinks four foot on top of the pipeline, is very 3 remote. 4 So we've taken that into consideration. 5 BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: We've heard a lot of conversations around a private for-profit doing this 6 project. You have a lot of years of experience in the 7 pipeline business. What would you say is your 8 9 percentage of projects you're doing with shareholders 10 versus -- I mean, pretty much our only other option is a municipal project. In your history, what have you 11 I'm curious. 12 seen? 13 I mean, every project that THE WITNESS: I've been involved with, either with a publicly traded 14 15 company or a private company, is for profit. So we've never -- I've never been involved in the execution of 16 17 a project that wasn't intended to generate a profit 18 for the entity whether it was public or private. 19 So I don't really quite understand the 20 distinction sometimes. 21 BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Have you done 22 municipal projects --23 I have not. THE WITNESS: 24 BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And just one last 25 question from what I've taken as notes the last two

Page 1837

| 1 | weeks. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Have you ever heard of CapCO2? That was |
| 3 | something that came up quite a bit. Do you have any |
| 4 | knowledge of CapCO2? |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: I don't. |
| 6 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Now we're going to |
| 7 | get into some of your testimony. |
| 8 | On page 4, line 12 through 14 of your |
| 9 | direct testimony we'll give staff a second to pull |
| 10 | this up. |
| 11 | So, in lines 12 through 14 of your direct, |
| 12 | you state that the pipeline system will be capable of |
| 13 | shipping 18 million metric tons per year. |
| 14 | Do you know the portion of that that's in |
| 15 | Iowa? |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: I can't every time you |
| 17 | bring this up anyone brings that up I can't get |
| 18 | past that typo in line 14, but I'll try this last |
| 19 | time. |
| 20 | Right now we have roughly 9 $1/2$ million |
| 21 | tons under contract. And, of that 9 1/2 million, 3.28 |
| 22 | is the production anticipated from the 12 plants in |
| 23 | Iowa. |
| 24 | And of the 18 million tons we anticipate, |
| 25 | if we receive the growth to 18 million tons, that that |

| 1 | will largely come from Iowa and South Dakota. |
|----|--|
| 2 | The opportunity in Nebraska and North |
| 3 | Dakota is much, much less than in Iowa and South |
| 4 | Dakota. Predominantly Iowa. |
| 5 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So, if we go to |
| 6 | page 7 of your direct testimony, one of the things you |
| 7 | brought up was the need of four pump stations in Iowa. |
| 8 | So has Summit obtained all necessary land |
| 9 | rights for those or is it requesting the right of |
| 10 | eminent domain over any of those areas? |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Right now, even the pump |
| 12 | stations because not all four will be in this first |
| 13 | phase of the project. I believe two. Mr. Schovanec |
| 14 | can confirm what would be needed to be able to ship |
| 15 | 18 million tons. |
| 16 | But I know Micah Rorie and his team are |
| 17 | working to secure sites for all pump stations, |
| 18 | currently planned and future, and Mr. Rorie can tell |
| 19 | you exactly how many of those have been secured and |
| 20 | how many have not. It's a large percentage that have |
| 21 | been secured. |
| 22 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So he'll have that |
| 23 | information. |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: Mr. Rorie would. Yes, sir. |
| 25 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Do you know |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1839

| 1 | approximately like what are we talking for a |
|----|--|
| 2 | footprint on these sites? Is there an acre amount |
| 3 | typically? |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: The pump stations are, I want |
| 5 | to say, four to five acres. So they're larger. And |
| 6 | they're not for Energy Transfer standards, they're |
| 7 | not large pump stations, but it's usually two to three |
| 8 | pumps, they're enclosed in a structure, aboveground |
| 9 | piping, launcher, receiver, meters. It's a fairly |
| 10 | simple station. |
| 11 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So I'm assuming they |
| 12 | need some sort of an access? A driveway? |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Correct. |
| 14 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Are they typically |
| 15 | built close to a road? Is that where you try to keep |
| 16 | them? |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Close to a road and close to |
| 18 | available power. But, if not, yes, we'll have to |
| 19 | negotiate with the landowner for the access to that |
| 20 | pump station. |
| 21 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Well, let's stick |
| 22 | with power. So you'll have to purchase electricity |
| 23 | from local providers. |
| 24 | Do you anticipate on generating any of your |
| 25 | own energy out of that? Solar or anything renewable |
| 1 | |

| 1 | at those pump stations? |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: At this time, we are not. We |
| 3 | are looking at that, but because of the timing we |
| 4 | always want to have a primary feed of available power |
| 5 | from a power provider. But, as a secondary feed, we |
| 6 | are looking, especially at the pump stations, at |
| 7 | solar. |
| 8 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And I believe |
| 9 | Mr. Bents from OCA, he had a Direct Exhibit 1. I |
| 10 | don't know if you're aware of this. We'll get it |
| 11 | pulled up. So Bents Direct Exhibit 1, OCA Data |
| 12 | Response 86. It states that Summit Carbon will pay |
| 13 | for the necessary electric upgrades. |
| 14 | Do you know how many of these upgrades will |
| 15 | need to happen? |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: It varies. And Mr. Schovanec |
| 17 | will be able to add a lot more detail than I can, |
| 18 | Board Member Byrnes, but it's very few cases where we |
| 19 | have to and I think actually Shenandoah may be one. |
| 20 | Where we have to contract with our own provider to |
| 21 | build a substation. Typically we can upgrade existing |
| 22 | infrastructure. And that's usually the existing |
| 23 | substation, not new power coming into the substation. |
| 24 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Will there need to be |
| 25 | any new electric transmission lines constructed to |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | meet these needs? |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of at the |
| 3 | capture facilities. There may be an electrical drop |
| 4 | to a main line valve off the power line at the road, |
| 5 | but not new infrastructure other than at the capture |
| 6 | facilities. And I think that is very few, but |
| 7 | Mr. Schovanec will be able to tell you exactly. |
| 8 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And have you begun |
| 9 | any conversations yet with these electric utilities |
| 10 | about this potential need? |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. Over a year ago. |
| 12 | So we've been working with all of them. And, in fact, |
| 13 | in some cases, we've even paid for the upfront |
| 14 | engineering cost for them to identify what upgrades |
| 15 | are needed, procure long lead equipment, et cetera, |
| 16 | because not all utilities work at the pace that we |
| 17 | like them to work. That's not meant to be a |
| 18 | disparaging comment. |
| 19 | So we wanted to start that process very |
| 20 | early so we can make sure that that power was there |
| 21 | when we were ready to energize the system. |
| 22 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: On pages 7 and 8 of |
| 23 | your direct testimony, you discuss main line valves. |
| 24 | Or, for the record, we'll refer to them as MLVs. |
| 25 | Has Summit Carbon obtained all necessary |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 2 eminent domain for any of those areas? 3 THE WITNESS: It's my understanding we 4 not requested the right of eminent domain, but 5 Mr. Rorie can he can tell you definitively. A | have |
|---|-------|
| 4 not requested the right of eminent domain, but | have |
| | |
| 5 Mr. Rorie can he can tell you definitively. A | |
| | nd I |
| 6 know we, like the pump stations, have been securi | ng |
| 7 those sites for quite some time. And it's my | |
| 8 understanding we have a large percentage of those | |
| 9 sites secured, but Mr. Rorie can tell you exactly | • |
| 10 BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So we've talked | a |
| 11 little bit about the route, and how a route could | be |
| 12 changed, and we know that if the Board were to is | sue |
| 13 an order on this or a permit, it could have chang | es to |
| 14 the route. | |
| 15 How would any potential changes that w | ere |
| 16 asked for impact these MLVs? | |
| 17 THE WITNESS: The only way that it wou | ld |
| 18 impact them is if we were outside of the 20-mile | |
| 19 spacing. Or, if it's an HCA, if we were outside | even |
| 20 a tighter spacing. Then we may have to install | |
| 21 another valve to make sure we were compliant with | |
| 22 PHMSA. | |
| 23 And, if it were a significant reroute, | |
| 24 which I'm hoping it wouldn't be, that may affect | the |
| 25 location of our pump stations. So we may actuall | Y |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | have to secure an alternative site for a pump station. |
|----|--|
| 2 | But I think that would only occur in the |
| 3 | case of a significant reroute. Not minor reroutes or |
| 4 | micro reroutes. |
| 5 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Just out of |
| 6 | curiosity, what would this MLV facility look like |
| 7 | physically? If you're describing to me and for the |
| 8 | record what that looks like. |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think here we've got |
| 10 | a 50-foot by 50-foot fenced-in area. The pipe comes |
| 11 | aboveground, it has a valve that's supported, goes |
| 12 | back belowground, pressure indication devices on |
| 13 | either side. It's fenced, it has some type of |
| 14 | communication, whether that's satellite, et cetera, in |
| 15 | that location, and that's it. It's a fairly simple |
| 16 | aboveground facility. |
| 17 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: On page 8 of your |
| 18 | direct testimony, you discuss launcher and receiver |
| 19 | for smart pigs. And we know that smart pigs are kind |
| 20 | of the inspection device that you can put inside the |
| 21 | pipe. |
| 22 | So these launching and receiving areas, do |
| 23 | they require any sort of a special footprint that the |
| 24 | Board should be aware of? |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: I'm assuming in my testimony |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| [| |
|----|--|
| 1 | I had the footprint, but it would be similar to a main |
| 2 | line valve. And you typically like to have the |
| 3 | launcher/receiver in close proximity to a road. Now, |
| 4 | it's aware the spec break happens where the pipe |
| 5 | diameter happens so you have access. And it will |
| 6 | require power for that. Because the launcher/receiver |
| 7 | also has valves for automation. But it's a fairly |
| 8 | simple structure as well. |
| 9 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Can you locate them |
| 10 | in the same location as the MLV or not? And, if |
| 11 | not |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: It can be. It can be. |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So do you have I |
| 14 | think you said the majority of your locations you've |
| 15 | tried to secure for the MLVs. |
| 16 | Have you also done the same for the |
| 17 | launcher/receiver sites? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: The answer is yes. And |
| 19 | typically the launcher/receiver will be at the |
| 20 | beginning or the end of the line. So that main line |
| 21 | valve at the front end of that launcher/receiver will |
| 22 | constitute an MLV. And then that spacing begins to |
| 23 | get to the next subsequent main line valve. |
| 24 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So can they |
| 25 | technically could they be starting at the ethanol |
| | |

1 site? 2 Yes. We will have a launcher THE WITNESS: 3 at the ethanol site. At each ethanol site. And then it's at every diameter change after that. 4 5 BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: On page 8, line 22 through 23, of your direct testimony, you discuss .72 6 7 design factor. 8 I am not an engineer. So could you please 9 explain that design factor for me, please. 10 That's prescribed by PHMSA. THE WITNESS: And it's 72 percent of the specified minimum yield 11 strength of the pipe material. And that's standard 12 13 for liquid pipeline. 14 Where that will change is at road 15 crossings. So road bores, river crossings for directional drills, will have heavier wall pipe. 16 And 17 so that design factor might be a .6 or something that 18 constitutes a heavier wall or a thicker material. And 19 that's just for added protection from an integrity 20 perspective. 21 BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So what you're saying 22 is, in certain locations, you will go above and beyond 23 the .72? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. Same 25 thing with coating. So, if you're at a river

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | crossing, we'll put the fusion bond epoxy which |
|----|--|
| 2 | will be on the entire pipeline. But then, on top of |
| 3 | that we'll put an abrasion-resistant coating on top |
| 4 | of that. So it will have a double layer of |
| 5 | protection. |
| 6 | And that's because when you're locating a |
| 7 | pipeline 20 or 30 feet below the river bottom or below |
| 8 | a road eight to ten feet, or whatever the depth is, |
| 9 | below a road crossing, we don't want to interrupt and |
| 10 | have to replace those at some point or do maintenance |
| 11 | on those. |
| 12 | And so we always, from an integrity |
| 13 | perspective, put in a heavier grade material and extra |
| 14 | coating, et cetera. |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: One of the things |
| 16 | discussed is the operations control center. And, for |
| 17 | the record, we'll refer to it as the OCC. We love to |
| 18 | have acronyms in the utility industry. |
| 19 | So the OCC. Can you give me a description |
| 20 | of what this OCC will look like? And I believe I've |
| 21 | heard throughout public information meetings' |
| 22 | testimony it's going to be located in Ames. |
| 23 | What's this facility going to look like? |
| 24 | What's its makeup? |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: The current plan is to have |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | it in our current office building. It's a two-story |
|----|--|
| 2 | office building. It will be downstairs. It has the |
| 3 | broadband capability, et cetera, to accommodate this. |
| 4 | And it will look much like where you're sitting now. |
| 5 | So it will be a series of consoles with |
| 6 | screens, and these controllers will actually have |
| 7 | schematics of the pipeline system that's their area of |
| 8 | responsibility. They'll have access to the capture |
| 9 | facilities, and, at the end of the line, the |
| 10 | sequestration. |
| 11 | So they can see what if a pump is turned |
| 12 | on and off, if a valve is open or closed, they can see |
| 13 | what the pressure or the flow rate or the temperature |
| 14 | is. And that's real time, ongoing, all the time. And |
| 15 | then that will be manned continually. |
| 16 | And then currently we have a simulator |
| 17 | that's just been completed in our office now. So the |
| 18 | SCADA platform is designed. |
| 19 | And so, as we get permitted and begin |
| 20 | construction, we'll onboard these control center |
| 21 | personnel well in advance of going into operation, and |
| 22 | we'll use the simulator that's been designed to train |
| 23 | these personnel. |
| 24 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And so what kind of |
| 25 | actions can be taken from there? Can we shut off |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1848

| 1 | valves? Open valves? |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Yes, they have access |
| 3 | throughout the system. So they can start and stop |
| 4 | pumps, they can close and open valves, they can shut |
| 5 | down a pipeline segment or the entire pipeline |
| 6 | segment. |
| 7 | And there'll be operating procedures. So |
| 8 | they have you know, it's very prescriptive about, |
| 9 | when an alarm sounds, what are the actions taken up |
| 10 | to each of these controllers will have the |
| 11 | discretion to take the ultimate action, which I think |
| 12 | is shutting in the system. |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So, as we've |
| 14 | discussed throughout the day, third-party incidents |
| 15 | where somebody doesn't do a One Call, somebody hits |
| 16 | something, they strike utility infrastructure. |
| 17 | You know, I think a lot of us in here |
| 18 | probably have thought the same thing. Technology is |
| 19 | great as long as it's working. And I know there's |
| 20 | going to be a lot of technology as part of this |
| 21 | project. |
| 22 | What happens with a fiber line getting cut |
| 23 | and you lose communications? Like what is the |
| 24 | redundancy plan? |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: Well, the good news is we're |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1849

| 1 | not using fiber. |
|----|--|
| 2 | And I will say, just to follow up on the |
| 3 | last question, forgive me, we do have a gentleman who |
| 4 | will be the supervisor of the control center named |
| 5 | Martin Velco who actually has operated CO2 pipelines |
| 6 | for Occidental Petroleum. So has a lot of experience |
| 7 | in managing control centers but obviously direct |
| 8 | experience with CO2 pipeline. |
| 9 | But to your point about third-party damage, |
| 10 | that's when you know, we rely on the 811 system, |
| 11 | but we also have secondary reliability measures like |
| 12 | aerial surveillance, like people that are in |
| 13 | operations that are traveling up and down the pipeline |
| 14 | on a daily basis depending on what their task is for |
| 15 | that day or what their inspection requirements are. |
| 16 | And then we'll have the sensors up and down the |
| 17 | pipeline. So pressure and flow. |
| 18 | So any indication from any of those means |
| 19 | that there's a problem with the pipeline, that's when |
| 20 | we deploy somebody to investigate. |
| 21 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So, if not fiber, |
| 22 | then what is the communication tool for information? |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: So it's these pressure and |
| 24 | temperature and flow indicators along the length of |
| 25 | the pipeline. Not buried in the ditch. |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | We've looked at fiber. And, in my |
|----|---|
| 2 | experience, fiber is not used very often. I know |
| 3 | Navigator has talked about fiber the problem with |
| 4 | fiber is two things. One is you're coming back |
| 5 | when you install it, you can't install it under river |
| 6 | crossings, et cetera, so you have breaks in your |
| 7 | connectivity. |
| 8 | And, secondly, it's tuning it. So we've |
| 9 | got people on our staff that have direct experience |
| 10 | with fiber. And it's very difficult to get it tuned, |
| 11 | and so you get a lot of false alarms. |
| 12 | And there's a west Texas system |
| 13 | Mr. Schovanec and some other folks in our automation |
| 14 | control group have direct experience with where it |
| 15 | didn't alarm and they had a release. They had an |
| 16 | incident. An NGL incident. And so it's not foolproof |
| 17 | by any means. |
| 18 | And, in my opinion, the worst part about |
| 19 | fiber or the biggest challenge is you're coming back |
| 20 | and you're disrupting the farmland again. Because you |
| 21 | install the pipeline, you install the conduit, and |
| 22 | then you come back at pull points and you pull the |
| 23 | fiber in. |
| 24 | So, after the pipeline is done and the |
| 25 | property is reclaimed, you're coming back and pulling |
| 25 | property is reclaimed, you're coming back and pull |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | the fiber and tying it all in. So you're essentially |
|----|--|
| 2 | coming back twice to the construction site. |
| 3 | So it's the temperature and flow and |
| 4 | temperature indication along the pipeline at each of |
| 5 | those pump stations, valve stations, and |
| 6 | launcher/receivers. |
| 7 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So it's a receiver |
| 8 | system. So you have a tower, I'm assuming? The |
| 9 | communications, I guess, is what I'm getting at. |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. The primary is |
| 11 | satellite. |
| 12 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: On page 10, lines 12 |
| 13 | to 13 of your direct testimony, you describe a real |
| 14 | time transient model. Could you please further |
| 15 | describe what this is and how it will work and what |
| 16 | inputs and instrumentation is it based on. |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. So the SCADA system |
| 18 | will be used to provide direct feedback in the |
| 19 | operating pipeline. But we've also developed, and is |
| 20 | being developed, a hydraulic model that simulates the |
| 21 | operation of the pipeline under whatever condition may |
| 22 | be prevalent. So a discharge pressure from a certain |
| 23 | facility, flow rate projected from that facility. And |
| 24 | they run in parallel. |
| 25 | So you essentially have a test, if you |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | will, or a default case and the current operating |
|----|---|
| 2 | case. So you compare those two. |
| 3 | So, in the simulated model, it may say your |
| 4 | operating pressure should be at 1,350 pounds and your |
| 5 | flow rate should be 2 million tons an hour or |
| 6 | whatever I mean, I'm making that up. |
| 7 | If the operating if the actual operation |
| 8 | of the pipeline if the controller sees something |
| 9 | that significantly deviates from that, then he should |
| 10 | investigate and see why that is. Because this model, |
| 11 | the hydraulic model, will have been trued up and |
| 12 | tested and we consider it to be very accurate. |
| 13 | So, if what we're seeing in real-life |
| 14 | operation deviates from that, then we can assume we |
| 15 | may have a problem. |
| 16 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So the audible alarms |
| 17 | are at the OCC; correct? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Any sort of audible |
| 20 | alarms that are out in the field? |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: There may be at the pump |
| 22 | stations. Not at not along at main line valves, |
| 23 | et cetera. |
| 24 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: On page 11 of your |
| 25 | testimony, you state that the operations personnel |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1853

| - | |
|----|--|
| 1 | will be located in close proximity to remote operated |
| 2 | facilities noting they could respond locally if remote |
| 3 | communications fail. |
| 4 | So and I know that, in my prior life, my |
| 5 | technicians had a response time that they had to |
| 6 | adhere to in order to get to a natural gas leak. |
| 7 | What is your response time and what's the |
| 8 | expectation? And maybe define proximity, close |
| 9 | proximity, a little bit deeper. |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think I hate to |
| 11 | punt on this. Response time will be developed over |
| 12 | the next several months as we have those |
| 13 | communications with the first responders. What are |
| 14 | the location for the first responders to the proximity |
| 15 | to the pipeline, where we think the greatest risk may |
| 16 | be. And, if it's a volunteer fire department, for |
| 17 | instance, versus one that's on call all the time, that |
| 18 | may play into it. |
| 19 | And then we'll use that as one indicator on |
| 20 | where we put our people. So where we locate them up |
| 21 | and down the line. |
| 22 | I can't tell you in this ten seconds, Board |
| 23 | Member Byrnes, whether a response time is going to be |
| 24 | 15 minutes or 30 minutes or whatever. That will be |
| 25 | determined. |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Is that determined by |
|----|--|
| 2 | you or is that determined by PHMSA? |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Well, in my experience, it's |
| 4 | determined by us but in collaboration with the first |
| 5 | responders. |
| 6 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So there's and I'm |
| 7 | trying to learn on this CO2 stuff. I know what I had |
| 8 | to do on my end. |
| 9 | So you don't have any required response |
| 10 | time then that PHMSA sets out. |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of, but |
| 12 | I'll research that. Not that I'm aware of. |
| 13 | Mr. Dillon can tell you definitively, but I'm not |
| 14 | aware of it. |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: On page 11 of your |
| 16 | testimony, line 16, you mentioned automatic closing of |
| 17 | sectionalizing block valves if an anomaly is detected |
| 18 | that could indicate a major issue. |
| 19 | So does this automatic closure require the |
| 20 | communication system between the pipeline and the OCC |
| 21 | to be functional or can it also work if communications |
| 22 | were down? |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: It can work when |
| 24 | communications are down with the OCC. Because there |
| 25 | will be a pressure indicator upstream and downstream |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1855

| 1 | and that valve will close automatically. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Mr. Schovanec can if you want to know, |
| 3 | Mr. Schovanec can explain exactly how that occurs, but |
| 4 | I know that can be done locally and not from the |
| 5 | control center. |
| 6 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: And I know at the |
| 7 | control center you're kind of you're blindly, |
| 8 | somewhat trusting, what you're seeing is actually |
| 9 | happening. So it says a valve closed. You assume it |
| 10 | closed. How do you know? Like what is the fail-safe? |
| 11 | Do you send a technician out? Are they |
| 12 | going to physically take a look at this? I mean, |
| 13 | what again, technology is great when it works. |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think if a situation |
| 15 | is critical enough where we're going to shut a valve, |
| 16 | or a valve shuts, we're definitely going to send |
| 17 | personnel out to investigate. |
| 18 | But then, in the control center, depending |
| 19 | on the operating condition of the line, there should |
| 20 | be some indication. So, if a valve shuts, then flow |
| 21 | will stop. So you'll see a flow indication. Pressure |
| 22 | may build. |
| 23 | So there should be some indication in the |
| 24 | control center. Some indicators. But we will |
| 25 | definitely if it's serious where they shut a valve, |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | or if a valve shuts automatically, that will dispatch |
|----|--|
| 2 | personnel to investigate. |
| 3 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So I'm assuming this |
| 4 | is going to be similar to what I've experienced, but |
| 5 | could you explain, you know, public education. What's |
| 6 | the outreach of this project? What's required of you |
| 7 | to educate the public? |
| 8 | Is this a onetime thing where you educate |
| 9 | in the beginning and no education again? Is this an |
| 10 | annual thing? An ongoing thing? |
| 11 | How does this public education outreach |
| 12 | look for Summit Carbon? |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: It's ongoing and it will be |
| 14 | annual. And it will be in various forms. One will |
| 15 | be we'll send a mailer. So you'll get information |
| 16 | in the mail that says there's an 8-inch pipeline, it's |
| 17 | in carbon dioxide service, it's in your area. It's |
| 18 | crossing your property or it's in this area. |
| 19 | It may have some information about what |
| 20 | to if you notice "x," if you notice a vapor cloud |
| 21 | or if you hear a noise, then call this number. And it |
| 22 | will have contact information to the control center. |
| 23 | And then, aside from that, many times |
| 24 | Mr. Dillon and I've been involved in some. Where |
| 25 | you'll have community events, whether it's |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | obviously with the first responders, but if it's local |
|----|--|
| 2 | businesses or schools where they just want to learn |
| 3 | about CO2, where they want to learn about pipeline |
| 4 | operations, about what we do, or any risk associated |
| 5 | with it, then those can be conducted as well. |
| 6 | But they're not scheduled annually like the |
| 7 | public awareness update would be to those affected. |
| 8 | Or potentially affected. |
| 9 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So we talked a little |
| 10 | bit early in our conversation about calling 811. |
| 11 | Doing a One Call. |
| 12 | What is the expectation for landowners if |
| 13 | they have this pipeline going through? Is it just |
| 14 | scratching the surface? Is it taking out and you |
| 15 | know, if they have a chisel plow out and they're doing |
| 16 | fieldwork they need to do an 811? They're digging |
| 17 | postholes, they're planting a tree. |
| 18 | When do they need to be making these phone |
| 19 | calls around your pipeline? |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: So we'll give them an |
| 21 | as-built. Every landowner. So you'll know across |
| 22 | your field it's exactly four foot deep. Or exactly |
| 23 | five foot deep, et cetera. |
| 24 | And so what we'll ask is if you're digging |
| 25 | within two feet of the pipeline laterally, we'd like |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | you to notify us. So if you're putting a posthole in |
|----|--|
| 2 | and you know well, if you're digging anywhere on |
| 3 | that 50-foot easement and you're uncomfortable, please |
| 4 | call us, we'll come out and locate the pipeline. |
| 5 | That's what we prefer to do. |
| 6 | So, even if you're putting in a post for a |
| 7 | fence and you plan on being four foot on either side |
| 8 | of the center line of the pipe, please call us. We'll |
| 9 | send somebody out at our expense, we'll locate the |
| 10 | pipeline, and we'll say, "Here's where it is," we'll |
| 11 | mark it, and you'll know exactly where it is. |
| 12 | If you're going through your normal farming |
| 13 | activities and you're plowing or you're disking, or |
| 14 | whatever you're doing above the pipeline, as long as |
| 15 | you're comfortable that if you know it's four foot |
| 16 | depth of cover, that you're going 18 inches or |
| 17 | 24 inches, that works for us. But, if you're |
| 18 | uncomfortable, then we would expect you to call us. |
| 19 | If you want to call 811, that's up to you. |
| 20 | But, as you know, 811 gets depending on the area |
| 21 | that it's in can field a lot of different |
| 22 | notifications. |
| 23 | But I would just suggest, and we'll put |
| 24 | this in our public information, that if you're |
| 25 | concerned at any point about where the pipeline is and |
| 1 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | the activity in and around it, please call it. | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | The other thing, Board Member Byrnes, is | | | | | | |
| 3 | over time our operations personnel will get to know | | | | | | |
| 4 | landowners and hopefully establish a relationship. | | | | | | |
| 5 | And so they need to feel comfortable that, "I know | | | | | | |
| 6 | Dave Smith is the guy that's typically in my area. I | | | | | | |
| 7 | can call Dave and say, 'Here's what I want to do. Can | | | | | | |
| 8 | you swing by or can you give me some guidance or can | | | | | | |
| 9 | you have somebody locate the line.'" Those types of | | | | | | |
| 10 | things. | | | | | | |
| 11 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: I think the last | | | | | | |
| 12 | question that I have, on page 4 of your rebuttal | | | | | | |
| 13 | testimony, you noted that Summit has taken actions to | | | | | | |
| 14 | comply with PHMSA's June 2, 2022, advisory bulletin. | | | | | | |
| 15 | Can you describe some of those actions that | | | | | | |
| 16 | you've taken due to that bulletin? | | | | | | |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: And this is in response to | | | | | | |
| 18 | the Satartia incident? | | | | | | |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: I believe so. Let's | | | | | | |
| 20 | see. I've got page 4, rebuttal testimony. I guess I | | | | | | |
| 21 | didn't write down the line. Yeah, it would be. | | | | | | |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's just obviously, | | | | | | |
| 23 | we read the investigative report, and one of those | | | | | | |
| 24 | actions is to make sure that we understand where the | | | | | | |
| 25 | high-consequence areas are, the could affects, the | | | | | | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | HPOs, and the OPOs, and that we've incorporated 2D or | | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | overland flow in our dispersant modeling. | | | | | | |
| 3 | We will obviously make sure that geohazard | | | | | | |
| 4 | analysis, et cetera, is completed and that any and all | | | | | | |
| 5 | that will be represented in our quantitative risk | | | | | | |
| 6 | assessment. And then whatever appropriate actions we | | | | | | |
| 7 | need to from an integrity management standpoint will | | | | | | |
| 8 | be incorporated and in our operating and maintenance | | | | | | |
| 9 | procedures. | | | | | | |
| 10 | So I mean, obviously, with Denbury and | | | | | | |
| 11 | Satartia, they missed on the front end with not | | | | | | |
| 12 | identifying the geohazard and the risk associated with | | | | | | |
| 13 | it, but they missed on the back end in the response, | | | | | | |
| 14 | public awareness, and emergency response. | | | | | | |
| 15 | So, essentially, if they would have | | | | | | |
| 16 | followed PHMSA guidelines, maybe that event could have | | | | | | |
| 17 | been avoided, but that was a significant weather | | | | | | |
| 18 | event. And those do happen. And so it's | | | | | | |
| 19 | understanding where that risk is and then responding | | | | | | |
| 20 | as soon as practical to any type of event like that to | | | | | | |
| 21 | make sure that your pipeline still has the integrity | | | | | | |
| 22 | that's intended. | | | | | | |
| 23 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: So I forgot I do have | | | | | | |
| 24 | one other little note here. | | | | | | |
| 25 | I heard this multiple times the last two | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | weeks on different landowners that have railroad |
|----|--|
| 2 | tracks next to them. And one of the things, and it |
| 3 | does seem to be a trend, does that railroad track need |
| 4 | to be approached at a 90-degree angle. |
| 5 | That seems to be on all the KMZ maps and |
| 6 | all the things I've researched, it seems like you guys |
| 7 | do cross at a 90-degree angle. |
| 8 | Is that a requirement of the railroad |
| 9 | company? Is that something you're trying to |
| 10 | accomplish as a company? Or is that just something |
| 11 | unusual that's trending? |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Well, I will say that I think |
| 13 | we cross 41 rail lines in Iowa, and I think we've |
| 14 | we've applied for all 41 permits, and I think we've |
| 15 | received 14. |
| 16 | And railroads kind of dictate the terms. I |
| 17 | don't know if all 41 require us to cross at a 90, but |
| 18 | generally that's my experience. And they're very |
| 19 | cognizant of their angle of repose. |
| 20 | And so and they're very specific around |
| 21 | the depth of cover that they want you to cross under |
| 22 | the you know, what depth they want you to cross |
| 23 | under their rail line. |
| 24 | And so Mr. Schovanec can tell you |
| 25 | definitively, but my assumption is it's a requirement |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | from the railroads to cross at a 90. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Just like most DOT permits would prefer you |
| 3 | cross at a 90. Sometimes they'll let you cross at an |
| 4 | angle, but typically county roads want you to cross at |
| 5 | a 90. |
| 6 | BOARD MEMBER BYRNES: Thank you. That's |
| 7 | all the questions I have. I don't know if Board |
| 8 | Member Martz |
| 9 | BOARD MEMBER MARTZ: Just a couple |
| 10 | clarifications. |
| 11 | Mr. Powell, you mentioned the |
| 12 | communications method from the pipeline to the OCC. |
| 13 | That was satellite you said? |
| 14 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, as a primary. |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER MARTZ: Do you know what the |
| 16 | reliability of that communications network would be? |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: I don't. I know there's |
| 18 | redundant I can get that information for you. |
| 19 | BOARD MEMBER MARTZ: And then Board Member |
| 20 | Byrnes touched on the response time. And you said |
| 21 | there wasn't a defined response time. |
| 22 | So my understanding with Satartia is that |
| 23 | the valve around that incident was remotely closed, |
| 24 | but later it was found that it did not actually fully |
| 25 | close. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1 | So wouldn't it be important to have a |
|----|---|
| 2 | defined response time in the event of a rupture? |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: Oh, absolutely. What I meant |
| 4 | to say is that we haven't established what that is at |
| 5 | this point. So we're working on that. And Mr. Dillon |
| 6 | can comment further on it when he testifies. |
| 7 | But, yes, I think there definitely needs to |
| 8 | be a response time. And that response time may vary |
| 9 | depending on where we are along the pipeline route, |
| 10 | what's in proximity, what's the potential impact of a |
| 11 | release. It may vary. It may not be 15 minutes |
| 12 | across the entire footprint. But it will clearly be |
| 13 | defined before we go into operation. It just hasn't |
| 14 | been defined as we sit here today. |
| 15 | BOARD MEMBER MARTZ: Thank you. |
| 16 | No further questions. |
| 17 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. Thank you. We |
| 18 | will turn the witness back over to Summit for |
| 19 | redirect. Following Summit, the other parties will |
| 20 | have an opportunity to ask clarification questions as |
| 21 | it relates to redirect only. |
| 22 | MR. LEONARD: No redirect questions, Your |
| 23 | Honor. |
| 24 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Boy, that made that |
| 25 | easy. Thank you. Appreciate it. |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| [| |
|----|--|
| 1 | Okay. Mr. Powell, you're not excused, but |
| 2 | you may step down. You may be called back. In fact, |
| 3 | I think it's probably safe to say you will be called |
| 4 | back. But, for this evening, you're done. |
| 5 | MR. JORDE: I just have to place an |
| 6 | objection on the record. If we're not allowed to ask |
| 7 | questions following the Board's questions, I would |
| 8 | object to not being able to follow up on those |
| 9 | questions. |
| 10 | BOARD CHAIR HELLAND: Okay. Thanks. |
| 11 | Okay. With that, we will recess until |
| 12 | 8 a.m. in the morning. We'll look forward to seeing |
| 13 | you bright and early. Thank you. |
| 14 | (Hearing recessed at 6:24 p.m., |
| 15 | September 5, 2023.) |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Page 1865

| 2 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 3 Reporter of the State of Iowa, do hereby certify that 4 I acted as the official court reporter at the 5 proceedings in the above-entitled matter at the time 6 and place indicated; that I took in shorthand all of 7 the proceedings had at the said time and place and 8 that said shorthand notes were reduced to typewriting 9 under my direction and supervision, and that the 10 foregoing typewritten pages are a full and complete 11 transcript of the shorthand notes so taken. 12 Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. 13 Image: CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 19 Image: A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 18 Image: A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 19 Image: A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 12 Image: A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 13 Image: A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 14 Image: A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 15 Image: A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 16 Image: A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 17 Image: A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 < | 1 | CERTIFICATE |
|---|----|---|
| 4 I acted as the official court reporter at the 5 proceedings in the above-entitled matter at the time 6 and place indicated; that I took in shorthand all of 7 the proceedings had at the said time and place and 8 that said shorthand notes were reduced to typewriting 9 under my direction and supervision, and that the 10 foregoing typewritten pages are a full and complete 11 transcript of the shorthand notes so taken. 12 Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. 13 14 15 Maissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 2 | I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand |
| 5 proceedings in the above-entitled matter at the time 6 and place indicated; that I took in shorthand all of 7 the proceedings had at the said time and place and 8 that said shorthand notes were reduced to typewriting 9 under my direction and supervision, and that the 10 foregoing typewritten pages are a full and complete 11 transcript of the shorthand notes so taken. 12 Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. 13 14 15 Matura A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 3 | Reporter of the State of Iowa, do hereby certify that |
| 6 and place indicated; that I took in shorthand all of 7 the proceedings had at the said time and place and 8 that said shorthand notes were reduced to typewriting 9 under my direction and supervision, and that the 10 foregoing typewritten pages are a full and complete 11 transcript of the shorthand notes so taken. 12 Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. 13 14 15 Mulusa A. Burns 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 4 | I acted as the official court reporter at the |
| 7 the proceedings had at the said time and place and 8 that said shorthand notes were reduced to typewriting 9 under my direction and supervision, and that the 10 foregoing typewritten pages are a full and complete 11 transcript of the shorthand notes so taken. 12 Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. 13 14 15 Mulsuk A. Burns 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 5 | proceedings in the above-entitled matter at the time |
| 8 that said shorthand notes were reduced to typewriting 9 under my direction and supervision, and that the 10 foregoing typewritten pages are a full and complete 11 transcript of the shorthand notes so taken. 12 Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. 13 14 15 Mulusa A.Burns 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 6 | and place indicated; that I took in shorthand all of |
| 9 under my direction and supervision, and that the 10 foregoing typewritten pages are a full and complete 11 transcript of the shorthand notes so taken. 12 Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. 13 14 15 <i>Mulusa A. Burns</i> 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 7 | the proceedings had at the said time and place and |
| 10 foregoing typewritten pages are a full and complete 11 transcript of the shorthand notes so taken. 12 Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. 13 14 15 Malusa A. Burns 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 8 | that said shorthand notes were reduced to typewriting |
| 11 transcript of the shorthand notes so taken. 12 Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. 13 14 15 Indiana A.Burns 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 9 | under my direction and supervision, and that the |
| 12 Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. 13 14 14 <i>Indisia A. Burns</i> 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 10 | foregoing typewritten pages are a full and complete |
| 13 14 15 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 11 | transcript of the shorthand notes so taken. |
| 14 15 <i>Inclusa A.Burns</i> 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 12 | Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. |
| 15Mulusa A.Burns16CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #5271718192021222324 | 13 | |
| 16 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 14 | |
| Melissa A. Burns, Iowa CSR #527 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 15 | Melissa a. Durns |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 16 | |
| 19 20 21 22 23 24 | 17 | |
| 20 21 22 23 24 | 18 | |
| 21 22 23 24 | | |
| 22 23 24 | | |
| 23 24 | | |
| 24 | | |
| | | |
| 25 | | |
| | 25 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Index: \$10..47,000-plus

| \$ | 1837:20,21 10 1572:17 1632:1 | 18 1618:2 1624:16 1649:2 1729:24 | 1864:15 2026 1720:1 | 31st 1586:21 1590:5 1616:18 |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| \$10 1641:21 | 1757:13 1851:12 | 1731:11 1791:9 1837:13,24,25 | 2026 1720:1 2035 1640:21 | 32 1765:16 |
| \$100 1801:18 1802:9 | 100 1681:19 1771:3 1773:13 1814:8 1828:11 | 1838:15 1858:16 183 1805:20,21 | 21 1569:1 1761:1 22 1845:5 | 330 1725:16,17 1747:20 |
| \$160 1802:12 | 100,000 1674:5 | 187 1805:9,19 | 220 1771:20 | 343 1746:1235 1683:8 1803:4 |
| \$200 1802:17 \$250,000 1683:5 | 100-degree 1694:23 1695:13 | 187.41 1805:4 195 1654:25 | 22nd 1804:25 1805:5 | 3:11 1740:18 |
| \$35 1682:19 | 10:01 1564:5 | 195.111 1656:4 | 23 1764:4 1845:6 | 3:27 1740:17 |
| 1798:17 1800:7, 10 1802:23,24 | 11 1569:12 1779:13 1852:24 | 1:15 1658:4,8 | 230,000 1745:23 1779:10 | 3:30 1740:15,17 3:31 1740:19,20 |
| \$50 1572:21 | 1854:15 1111 1835:19 | 1:16 1658:10,12 1st 1741:11 | 23rd 1741:5 | 4 |
| (| 12 1618:25 | 2 | 24 1611:1 1687:25 1858:17 | 4 1633:13 1731:17 |
| (c) 1713:7,20 | 1622:24 1629:7 1635:1 1645:3 1687:20,24 | 2 1607:9 1621:1 | 24-inch 1599:13 1609:24 1610:1,7 | 1837:8 1859:12, 20 |
| (e) 1710:13 1713:7,25 | 1691:11 1717:15 1718:25 1719:2,6 | 1852:5 1859:14 | 1611:7,19,23 1613:2 1688:12 | 4,000 1613:3 |
| (f) 1709:7,11,25 | 1720:4,9 1721:6 1773:11 1792:3 | 2,000 1690:2 2,000-mile | 25 1569:1 1755:24 1801:15 | 40 1598:1 1757:1 1811:6,13,16 |
| 0 | 1837:8,11,22 1851:12 | 1691:6 2,150 1832:25 | 27 1619:6,10 | 1812:2 40,000 1608:20 |
| 002 1585:24 | 12-inch 1688:11 120 1659:13 | 1833:6 2,183 1599:12 | 29 1602:122D 1609:11 | 1672:23,25 1673:3,4 1674:20 |
| 1 | 1660:21 | 1832:23 | 1669:22 1676:24 1860:1 | 1676:17 1776:19 40-acre 1810:14 |
| 1 1704:6 1707:25 | 12:05 1658:9 13 1576:17 | 20 1607:16 1649:17 1660:17, | 3 | 1812:10 |
| 1713:10,16 1778:5 1832:6 | 1622:19 1662:9 1667:25 1718:25 | 20 1757:14 1805:24 1846:7 | | 400 1690:5 400-plus 1784:2 |
| 1840:9,11 1,000 1775:1 | 1719:2 1752:12 1753:21 1851:13 | 20-mile 1599:13 1611:7,8 1612:3 | 3 1569:11,12 1684:8,21 | 41 1861:13,14,17 |
| 1,050 1833:2 | 17 53.21 1851.13 14 1762:17 | 1842:18 | 3,000 1611:23 1613:3 | 42 1680:22 1719: |
| 1,300 1833:4,9 | 1837:8,11,18 1861:15 | 20-plus 1829:5 | 3-0 1616:14 | 45 1766:12 |
| 1,350 1852:4 | 15 1673:6 1773:11 | 200 1719:17 2009 1792:13 | 3.28 1837:21 | 45Q 1625:11,15, 22 1635:5 |
| 1,500 1600:11,18 1819:8 | 1802:16,21,24 1853:24 1863:11 | 2013 1762:17 | 3.3 1634:25 1635:2 | 1719:20 1756:7 1784:14,19 1785:25 |
| 1,855 1610:10,23 1611:18 | 15,000 1673:3,7,9 1776:18 | 2020 1743:122021 1741:11 | 30 1648:4 1722:23 1723:4 1755:24 | 45Z 1756:7 |
| 1.13 1585:22 1597:23 1726:25 | 150 1773:13 16 1649:2 1854:16 | 1744:13 1760:16, 24 | 1757:14 1760:25 1829:3 1846:7 | 47,000 1733:16 1745:24 1748:11 |
| 1.3 1586:1 1599:2 | 170 1766:13 | 2022 1859:14 | 1853:24 30,000 1672:20 | 1801:8 47,000-plus |
| 1.6 1620:20 1/2 1778:10 | 17th 1825:6 | 2023 1564:5 1569:1 1699:11 1804:25 1825:5 | 31 1699:11 | 1666:23 |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 479B 1683:5 1706:25 1720:10 | 574 1698:1 1699:6 |
|---|---|
| 49 1655:19 | 578 1692:6 |
| 4:25 1794:22 | 59 1692:8 |
| | 5:30 1826: |
| 5 | 5:35 1826: |
| 5 1564:5 1646:1 | 5:36 1826: |
| 1765:9 1802:3,4, 5,16 1864:15 | 5L 1650:25 |
| 5,000 1613:3 | 6 |
| 5,300 1654:2 1779:13 | 6 1609:25 ² |
| 5,565 1611:20 | 1688:16,17 1765:25 18 |
| 50 1621:1 1718:14 1719:17 1749:17, | 6- 1609:24 |
| 25 1750:4 | 60 1598:1 |
| 1766:12 | 60- 1769:7 |
| 50,000 1672:20 1775:1 | 60104(b) |
| 50-foot 1601:11 | 686 1585:2 |
| 1683:11 1749:11 1807:15 1843:10 | 6:24 1864: |
| 1858:3 | 7 |
| 500 1805:12 | |
| 506 1606:8 1615:6,14 1616:7 | 7 1767:4 18 1838:6 184 |
| 52 1692:8 | 70 1690:18 |
| 551 1606:14 | 70,000 167 |
| 557 1679:17 1682:11,15 | 70-degree 1769:8 |
| 565 1684:10,15, 18 | 72 1845:6,7 73 1577:22 |
| 568 1672:13 1678:25 1679:14 | 1777:25 17 10 1822:13 |
| 569 1667:22,23 1668:9 1672:7,12 | 75 1641:20 1708:6,8 1 |
| 57 1718:19 | 77 1704:3 |
| 570 1632:23 1633:7 | 8 |
| 571 1627:14 1632:15,21 572 1696:14 1697:24 | 8 1611:1 16 1841:22 18 1845:5 186 8- 1609:24 |
| | |

8-inch 1609:23 698:16 9:6 692:6,12 692:8 1826:8 1826:7 1826:9,10 650:25 6 9:25 1647:17 3:16,17 5:25 1845:17 21 09:24 1610:1 598:1 769:7 **1(b)** 1655:19 585:21 1864:14 7 7:4 1825:5 3:6 1841:22 690:18 1691:3 **0** 1674:5 gree 9:8 345:6,11,23 577:22 :25 1778:3,8, 822:13 641:20 3:6,8 1771:3 '04:3 8 1:1 1650:7 :22 1843:17 1627:16 1641:12 5:5 1864:12 1649:22 1652:19 1661:23 1675:20

1610:8.22 1611:8. 18 1856:16 80 1832:6 80,000 1673:4 1674:4 811 1666:23 1849:10 1857:10, 16 1858:19,20 **86** 1840:12 9 **9** 1650:24 1658:20 1662:8 1837:20, **9.5** 1618:5 1634:20 1635:4 1649:1 90 1687:14 1690:22 1806:3, 14 1861:17 1862:1,3,5 90-degree 1861:4,7 **911** 1748:6 1780:25 1782:24 1783:2,7 Α **a.m.** 1564:5 1864:12 ABI 1586:9 abide 1661:18 ability 1565:16 1601:2,5,10,19 1607:7 1620:18 1629:10 1662:23 1691:22,23 1718:5 1724:25 able 1584:16 1587:12 1588:7 1606:6 1614:2,8 1620:12 1625:11

1738:8 1750:17 1768:10 1838:14 1840:17 1841:7 1864:8 aboveground 1648:18 1839:8 1843:11,16 abrasionresistant 1846:3 absolutely 1587:3 1628:11 1645:13 1656:25 1723:3 1756:11 1825:6 1863:3 abundance 1633:6 accept 1650:15 1682:5 1705:25 1706:16 1717:6, 12,21 1718:7 1737:23 1791:24 1816:3 accepted 1566:7 1651:15 access 1565:6 1578:15,16,21 1614:18 1617:16 1618:22 1642:17,

1677:9 1688:11

1690:21 1692:3

1694:21 1714:14

19 1691:14 1710:8 1718:6 1746:9 1762:18 1809:13,14,24 1810:19 1811:12, 14 1812:12,23 1813:13,23 1839:12,19 1844:5 1847:8 1848:2

accessing 1617:4

accident 1662:17

accommodate 1649:2 1819:15 1824:17 1831:25 1847:3

accompany 1695:25

accomplish 1713:24 1861:10

Index: 479B..actions

according

1618:4 1636:13 1637:25 1641:17

account 1598:14, 17 1673:15 1689:25 1690:9 1775:21 1781:19

accountable 1593:18 1596:15

accounted 1766:15

accounting 1600:16

accuracy 1612:2 1698:21

accurate 1600:17 1609:14,18 1670:5 1679:10 1680:13 1710:24 1725:13 1738:1 1852:12

acknowledge 1814:11 1817:14

acquire 1690:21

acre 1839:2

acreage 1812:4, 6,18

acres 1812:2,4,21 1839:5

acronym 1772:5

acronyms 1846:18

across 1619:4 1644:23 1733:16 1779:23 1780:8 1806:4,10 1813:2 1828:12,14 1857:21 1863:12

act 1700:21

acted 1824:23

action 1663:17 1670:24 1748:5 1831:6 1848:11

actions 1608:5

Index: active..alleged

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1848:9 1859:13, 15,24 1860:6 active 1654:5 | address 1565:17 1654:4 1726:17 1757:18 | advantageous 1826:20 | agents 1814:20, 24 1822:15,20 | 1689:17 |
|---|--|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 15,24 1860:6 active 1654:5 | | 1020.20 | 24 1822.15,20 | |
| active 1654:5 | 1757:18 | | | agreement |
| | | adversely | 1823:4 1824:23 | 1577:21,23 |
| | addressed | 1623:11 | 1825:24 | 1619:23,25 |
| | 1789:9 1833:15 | | aggregate | 1634:14 1651:23 |
| actively 1654:10 | | advice 1781:21 | 1682:23 | 1694:14 1701:10 |
| 1815:25 a | adequate | 1782:3 | | 1711:25 1712:3 |
| activities | 1570:16 1641:1 | advising 1654:5 | agree 1566:12 | 1765:17 1777:25 |
| 1745:11 1770:12, | 1653:3 1731:17 | - | 1572:12,15 | 1789:9 1825:1 |
| 13 1771:5,7,15 | 1801:11 1803:24 | advisory 1859:14 | 1575:17,23 | |
| | adequately | advocate 1723:5 | 1577:25 1580:3 | agreements |
| | 1678:14 1824:3 | | 1586:25 1588:2 | 1564:25 1565:9 |
| activity 1730:2 | 1070.14 1024.0 | advocating | 1590:11,17 | 1631:4 1634:8 |
| 1835:24 1859:1 a | adhere 1853:6 | 1723:4 | 1592:21 1594:1, | 1764:16 1765:20, |
| actual 1620:3,5 a | adjacent 1672:2 | aerial 1588:8 | 11 1596:6,11,18, | 23 1784:17 |
| 1647:12 1663:9 | 1705:19 1706:4 | 1600:25 1665:10, | 24 1600:18 | 1787:18 1788:23 |
| 1852:7 | 1811:13 | | 1602:18 1604:12, | 1789:11 1822:14 |
| 1002.1 | 1011.13 | 18 1774:12 | 16,24 1606:1 | 1823:23 |
| actually 1572:9 a | adjusted 1816:22 | 1849:12 | 1607:6,13,22 | agricultural |
| 1508.14 1600.2 | - | affect 1599:2 | 1609:18 1611:16, | 1687:15 1710:3 |
| 1605:5 1607:20 ² | adjustments | 1623:12 1777:3 | 22 1613:5 | |
| 1626:22 1627:5 | 1819:9,10 | 1842:24 | 1616:22 1618:18 | 1718:20 1804:10, 13,23 |
| 1630:18 1645:10 | ADM 1830:9 | | 1619:18,19,20 | 13,23 |
| 1646:15 1654:24 | | affected 1576:21 | 1620:4 1623:5 | agriculture |
| 1661:3 1664:5 ⁶ | administration | 1577:24 1603:7 | 1625:10 1626:1,7 | 1673:25 1804:7 |
| 1667:17 1668:13, | 1693:2 | 1684:25 1706:2 | 1629:22 1631:10 | ah a a 1 450407 |
| | admission | 1726:20 1748:7 | 1632:6 1635:16 | ahead 1564:3,7 |
| 1670:6,24 1681:5 | 1568:24 1606:14, | 1857:7,8 | 1638:8,24 | 1571:17 1589:1 |
| 1729:13,23 | 19 | affecting | 1639:17,21,22 | 1591:12 1605:22 |
| 1769.18 1778.9 | | 1624:22 | 1640:8 1641:6,10 | 1616:9 1652:11 |
| 1792:14 1805:20 ² | admissions | | 1642:24 1644:2, | 1656:23 1688:7 |
| 1823:19 1840:19 | 1696:14 | affects 1776:17 | 20 1660:22 | 1693:11 1696:13 |
| | admit 1566:17 | 1777:16 1859:25 | 1661:24 1664:16 | 1697:11,17 |
| 1849:5 1855:8 | 1570:17 1598:25 | affirmed 1805:18 | 1669:14 1672:19, | 1709:7 1725:5 |
| 1862:24 | 1599:4 1616:3,6 | | 23 1674:5 1676:2, | 1740:22 1793:20 |
| | 1633:6 1672:11 | afternoon | 8 1677:4,9 | 1806:7 1823:15 |
| actuated 1769:19 | 1679:13 1682:15 | 1658:12 1702:18, | 1680:10,14 | air 1674:16 |
| acute 1674:7 | 1684:17 1692:11 | 19 1707:18,19 | 1681:18 1682:3, | 1675:4,8,10 |
| | 1699:5,22 | 1765:3,4 1794:1,2 | 19 1689:18 | |
| ad 1780:1 | 1700:14 1713:15 | ag 1579:13,15,18, | 1692:24 1698:12 | alarm 1830:18 |
| add 1615:10 | | 21 1580:11 | 1707:6,10 1716:4 | 1848:9 1850:15 |
| 1651:13 1753:15, ⁶ | admitted | 1622:8 1742:25 | 1719:10,18 | alarms 1665:25 |
| 21 1840:17 | 1571:11 1575:22 | | 1721:21 1729:2 | 1850:11 1852:16, |
| | 1606:22 1632:20 | 1743:2,9 1750:23 | 1734:20 1758:25 | 20 |
| added 1845:19 | 1697:24 1704:5 | 1765:17,19 | 1760:22 1795:19 | 20 |
| addition 1769:12 | admonition | Ag's 1579:19 | 1796:16 1798:21 | alert 1668:2 |
| | admonition | - | 1800:20 1801:18 | 1830:20 |
| 1780:11 1822:20 | 1591:19 | agencies | 1802:15 1803:18 | alignment |
| additional | adopt 1640:4,9 | 1712:21 1748:22 | 1804:2,4 1805:2 | • |
| 1569.21 1571.4 | - | 1780:12 | 1807:1,9 1808:3 | 1834:22,23 |
| 1605:19,20 ⁸ | advance 1847:21 | agency 1570:14 | 1809:4,7 1812:6 | ALJ 1788:24 |
| | advanced | 1593:10 1660:13 | 1813:9,17,24 | allagetter |
| 1722:4,8,14 | 1827:14,18 | | 1821:6,7 | allegation |
| 1766:1.2 1774:16 | | agent 1816:5,8, | | 1692:2 |
| 1790:3 | advantage | 10,11,13 1817:10 | agreed 1667:16 | alleged 1576:12 |
| | 1751:21 1752:24 | 1825:13,15,22,24 | 1683:7 1687:18 | 1617:18 1624:3 |
| | 1756:21 1764:12 | | | |

20 1678:20

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

1683:7 1765:13

1626:7 1629:4

1831:7

allowable

1832:22

1864:6

1787:5

12

alternative

1843:1

1711:11

1745:16

1846:12

amenable

1722:14

amended

25 1821:1

amending

1817:23

Alternatively

alternatives

1642:21 1647:16 1803:23 1805:14 1690:13 1694:2 1839:2 1704:18 1714:25 allegedly 1736:7 1715:1 1733:3 analysis **allow** 1575:15 1784:13.18 1575:14,20 1576:16 1614:16 1789:10 1797:5 1576:9 1582:18 1615:1 1701:13 1798:8,9 1822:7, 1584:23 1591:14 1709:12 1791:23 22 1823:3 1597:1 1599:1,5 1824:21 1831:10 1602:10,19 1844:18 1604:14 1607:23 answered 1760:8 1608:24 1609:9, 11.14 1615:17 1814:3 1820:11 allowed 1695:11 1619:14 1645:22 1831:14 1647:18 1648:13, answering allowing 1819:17 16 1649:4 1596:20 1651:16 1652:5 allows 1578:17 1664:6,12 1673:2, answers 1568:17 24 1677:17 1798:15 1799:9, 1685:10,22 10 altered 1815:9 1687:2 1688:25 anticipate alternate 1818:3. 1699:10 1727:19 1566:19 1720:8 11 1820:8 1821:6, 1731:12,24 1721:5 1837:24 1732:13 1734:3 1839:24 1748:16 1757:9, 10,15 1773:4,21, anticipated 1819:1,2,17,18,25 24 1774:3 1820:4 1821:14 1837:22 1822:16 1828:12 anytime 1711:3 1829:17 1830:10 1774:10 1860:4 **API** 1650:25 and/or 1759:13 1835:19 angle 1861:4,7,19 apologize 1862:4 1657:22 1697:17 always 1570:5 animals 1751:6 1705:14 1709:23 1683:7 1724:16 1713:21 1777:23 1728:17 1739:20 annual 1666:20 1778:9 1780:3 1831:17,21 apparatus 1787:16 1801:25 1856:10,14 1604:10 1669:11 1817:7 1840:4 1730:13 annually 1635:6 1667:16 1671:24 apparatuses 1857:6 1669:1 **annum** 1618:6 apparently amend 1738:21 1634:21 1588:17 1644:4 1652:14 1689:2 anomaly 1854:17 1780:6 1793:14 1820:17, answer 1576:17 **appeal** 1591:15 1580:3 1584:16 1699:18 1794:25 1586:4 1592:3 1595:14,17 appears 1644:11 1615:21 1630:17, Ames 1670:23 applicable 23 1631:20 1767:18 1846:22 1681:6 1637:9,10 amount 1610:25 1647:15 1649:7 applicant 1617:1 1618:1 1657:19 1662:10, 1572:14,25 1660:8 1661:23

1573:1 1575:22 approve 1572:13 1576:16 1600:3 1576:20 1624:21 1612:25 1619:15 1639:7 1640:16 1693:15 1660:14,15 1717:3,25 application 1572:13 1576:16 approved 1594:18,19,20 1601:9,17 1605:7 1606:2 1616:15, 1641:11 1643:18 1648:20 1661:18 24 1623:19 1627:23 1628:3,9, 1682:21 1687:22 25 1632:24 **approves** 1639:6 1681:3 1682:21 1738:21.22 approximately 1753:19 1758:10 1649:13 1691:3 1791:22 1817:23 1735:12 1839:1 applied 1659:23 area 1585:16 1662:9 1806:20, 1588:11 1607:8 22 1861:14 1608:2 1612:4,8 1667:21 1669:4 applies 1636:4 1670:1 1672:3 apply 1609:5 1674:10 1677:5,7 1625:22 1660:3 1678:3 1680:16 1662:9,12 1825:9 1684:25 1686:25 1690:19,20 applying 1659:11 1708:25 1709:17 appreciate 1714:23 1725:17, 1564:4 1565:21 19 1727:22 1733:11 1734:5 1633:8,11 1679:18 1685:15 1735:10 1737:4 1738:13 1739:3, 1711:15 1712:10 22 1747:19 1723:5 1805:23 1863:25 1767:18,21 1772:3 1773:24 appreciating 1774:25 1775:2, 1604:18 15,16,20 1776:6, 21 1777:6.8 approach 1780:8 1784:17 1673:13 1791:21 1808:14 approached 1827:23 1831:5 1861:4 1834:1 1843:10 1847:7 1856:17, appropriate 18 1858:20 1569:5 1632:7 1859:6 1634:8 1652:6.7 1774:2 1804:1 areas 1585:23 1806:25 1860:6 1586:13 1597:14 approval 1598:3,8,9,10,12 1599:3,24 1608:4, 1595:21 1601:9 18,25 1613:10 1605:22 1618:20 1645:15 1650:13 1628:25 1642:9 1665:14 1669:18 1643:5 1660:9 1673:12 1677:1 1706:18 1717:7 1678:24 1685:24, 1718:10 25 1686:6 1687:4 approvals 1712:4 1726:8 1639:10

Index: allegedly..areas

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1744:3 1746:5,15 | 1647:14 1657:5 |
|--------------------|------------------|
| 1759:13,15,23 | 1662:8 1668:1 |
| 1774:13,21 | 1678:10 1696:18 |
| 1775:5 1776:15, | 1697:2 1722:2 |
| 20 1782:21 | 1723:10 1727:24 |
| 1807:19 1808:2,8 | 1728:11 1737:11 |
| 1827:24 1828:2, | 1741:10,14 |
| 23 1834:2 | 1742:6 1752:15 |
| 1838:10 1842:2 | 1758:7 1760:7 |
| 1843:22 1859:25 | 1771:16 1777:21 |
| argue 1776:22 | 1789:1 1795:12 |
| 1800:24 | 1799:11 1820:10 |
| 1000.24 | 1823:2,19 |
| argument 1652:4 | 1826:24 1842:16 |
| 1664:22 1681:24 | asking 1576:14, |
| argument's | 15,18,19 1577:10 |
| 1639:14 | 1587:5 1594:18, |
| 1059.14 | 21,22 1595:3 |
| argumentative | 1600:24 1601:2,4 |
| 1589:13 1656:20 | 1613:11,12 |
| 1695:2 | 1626:8 1628:25 |
| arrangement | 1636:7,10,12 |
| 1754:6 | 1675:22 1693:13 |
| 1754.0 | 14 1698:21 |
| arrived 1710:5 | 1712:10 1727:25 |
| art 1677:5 | 1728:1,4 1732:23 |
| | 24 1738:10 |
| article 1627:19 | 1753:18 1756:24 |
| 1628:2 | 1765:6 1793:1 |
| as-built 1857:21 | 1799:14 1800:8 |
| ask 1564:20 | asks 1595:10 |
| 1565:3 1569:18 | |
| 1570:9 1573:14 | aspects 1630:21 |
| 1588:14 1589:22, | 1722:13 1828:5 |
| 23 1591:22,23 | asphyxiant |
| 1593:13 1595:21 | 1674:24 1734:12 |
| 1600:24 1604:23 | 1746:21 1747:6 |
| 1628:19 1632:11 | |
| 1634:11 1671:3 | asphyxiation |
| 1679:23 1699:8 | 1799:21 |
| 1706:21 1714:4, | assess 1810:10 |
| 22 1719:19 | assessment |
| 1724:12 1728:10 | 1585:18 1586:19 |
| 1742:9 1748:17 | 1590:3.10 |
| 1752:10 1754:19 | 1593:14 1596:16 |
| 1756:4 1769:11 | 1608:4 1613:8 |
| 1771:17 1772:16 | 1645:18 1655:13 |
| 1779:5 1783:15 | 15 1678:1,11 |
| 1785:24 1788:7 | 1681:12 1774:4 |
| 1815:4 1825:13 | 1775:22 1803:22 |
| 1857:24 1863:20 | 1860:6 |
| 1864:6 | |
| asked 1566:2 | asset 1581:6 |
| 1568:16 1641:24 | assets 1580:24, |
| | |

17:14 1657:5 25 1581:3,9,18 62:8 1668:1 1724:2 1726:3 78:10 1696:18 1798:3,10 97:2 1722:2 associated 23:10 1727:24 1634:25 1645:21 28:11 1737:11 11:10,14 12:6 1752:15 58:7 1760:7 71:16 1777:21 39:1 1795:12 99:11 1820:10 23:2.19 26:24 1842:16 i**ng** 1576:14, 18.19 1577:10 37:5 1594:18, 22 1595:3 00:24 1601:2,4 3:11,12 26:8 1628:25 36:7,10,12 75:22 1693:13, 1698:21 2:10 1727:25 28:1,4 1732:23, 1738:10 53:18 1756:24 65:6 1793:1 99:14 1800:8 **s** 1595:10 ects 1630:21 22:13 1828:5 hyxiant 74:24 1734:12 16:21 1747:6 hyxiation 99:21 ess 1810:10 essment 35:18 1586:19 90:3,10 93:14 1596:16 08:4 1613:8 15:18 1655:13, 1678:1,11 31:12 1774:4 75:22 1803:22 et 1581:6

1679:10 1705:8, 23 1766:4 1830:10 1834:5 1857:4 1860:12 Association 1641:18 1642:3 1707:25 **assume** 1610:17, 19 1662:14 1685:21 1703:13 1705:9 1706:9 1755:6 1798:8 1852:14 1855:9 assumes 1574:7 1644:11 1673:17 1693:9 1695:2 assuming 1612:3 1642:13 1666:6 1752:8 1772:24 1802:25 1839:11 1843:25 1851:8 1856:3 assumption 1573:12 1574:20 1824:1 1861:25 assumptions 1729:9 1768:13 assurance 1593:11 1700:25 1795:17 assured 1796:18 1797:9 atmosphere 1623:21.24 1624:14 1625:9 1832:7,12 atmospheric

1670:7 1673:9 1675:9 1676:23 1726:5 1763:9 1781:14

attaches 1629:24,25

attack 1589:6

Index: argue..aware attempt 1813:5 1725:16 1855:1 attempting 1700:21 attendees 1564:3 attested 1609:19 attorney 1794:23 attorney's 1788:25 1789:3 attorney-client 1795:4 attorneys 1565:8 1652:17 1700:12 1724:15 attributable 1683:13,16,19 1703:9 1711:5 1714:20 audible 1852:16, 19 audience 1564:3 Audubon 1613:21 1699:9 augment 1772:8 augmented 1730:9

August 1569:1 1586:21 1590:6 1616:18 1699:11 1804:25 1805:5

author 1704:11

authorities 1667:5

authority 1595:4, 23 1596:2

authorized 1700:7

auto 1769:18

automated 1769:14,15,16

automatic 1854:16,19 automatically

1663:24 1688:13

1856:1 automation 1844:7 1850:13 availability 1661:4 1818:3 available 1581:5 1583:9 1584:7 1592:24,25 1598:19 1607:4 1661:9,11 1670:4, 5 1689:25 1704:21 1728:9 1729:12 1743:20 1756:20,21 1757:4 1758:5,6 1767:3 1772:8 1783:15 1799:1 1811:13 1813:3 1824:8 1827:19 1828:22 1830:19, 25 1839:18 1840:4 avoid 1597:9 1598:19 1759:22 1760:5 1787:12 avoidable 1744:2

avoidance 1759:13 avoided 1597:14, 16 1860:17 Avoiding 1600:12 aware 1572:5,17,

19,20,22,23 1573:6,9,13,22 1574:2,15 1581:25 1586:6 1590:4 1603:17, 22 1610:8 1615:13,17 1625:15,23 1627:19 1638:15, 18 1651:6 1654:8 1655:5,18,23 1656:3 1661:10 1666:17 1680:25 1693:5,16,25 1728:10,11,16 1754:1 1779:3 1780:20 1788:8,

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Index: awareness..board

| 14 1794:12,17,19 | bad 1587:11 | 1856:9 | biggest 1850:19 | 1592:10,19 |
|-------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1823:23 1840:10 | 1645:2 1772:5 | begins 1605:25 | billion 1620:20, | 1593:1 1594:4,7, |
| 1841:2 1843:24 | bail 1592:11 | 1844:22 | 21 1641:21,22 | 22,25 1595:3,13 |
| 1844:4 1854:11, | | | | 1596:3,13 1600:6 |
| 12,14 | Bakken 1649:19 | begs 1624:2 | biological | 1601:13 1602:3 |
| awareness | balance 1575:17 | begun 1606:2 | 1600:13 | 1606:10,21 |
| 1603:15 1653:23 | | 1731:8 1841:8 | Bismarck | 1612:17 1615:7, |
| 1671:25 1748:4 | balancing | | 1689:7,9,12 | 25 1616:2,5,9 |
| 1774:17,19 | 1575:20 1644:19 | behalf 1604:23 | 1735:3,8 1738:4, | 1618:21 1624:19 |
| 1776:1 1777:1,3, | barns 1742:18 | 1612:21 1614:10 | 14 1739:8 | 1625:1,5 1626:8 |
| 7,19 1857:7 | | 1654:23 | 1767:23 | 1629:3,14 1630:8, |
| 1860:14 | barrels 1762:5 | belief 1601:8 | | 12,16 1631:15,17, |
| ACO7 :40 | base 1688:20 | 1632:5 | bit 1639:19 | 20 1632:17,19,25 |
| away 1607:16 | | | 1648:12 1650:22 | 1633:4 1634:4,9 |
| 1720:21,23,25 | based 1576:17 | believes 1644:25 | 1657:24 1669:13 | 1637:4,10 1639:6 1640:14 1642:25 |
| 1721:6 1735:9 | 1582:17 1588:8 | 1671:16 | 1707:4 1708:12 | |
| 1737:25 1750:4 | 1605:11 1609:8 | bell 1698:5 | 1721:24 1772:17 | 1643:7,9,10,16 |
| 1755:25 1756:3 | 1623:19 1635:4 | L . L | 1779:15 1786:19 | 1650:15,20 1652:2,4,9 |
| awkward 1806:6 | 1652:21 1663:15 | belowground | 1793:1 1833:15 | 1653:12 1656:19, |
| | 1672:17 1676:2 | 1843:12 | 1837:3 1842:11 | 22 1657:8,14,18, |
| B | 1678:19 1679:8 | beneficial | 1853:9 1857:10 | 21 1658:2,11 |
| B | 1686:10 1688:18 | 1753:19 1764:18 | blanche 1813:6 | 1662:22 1664:19, |
| | 1689:21 1699:17 | 1831:7 | Blank 1579:3,5 | 23 1672:8,10,11 |
| back 1564:6 | 1710:6 1720:17 | herefit 4040.40 | 1785:14 | 1676:21 1678:5 |
| 1580:15 1584:12 | 1721:10 1732:17 1750:21 1775:6 | benefit 1618:19 | | 1679:1,5,12,13 |
| 1594:20 1596:5 | 1803:17 1813:11 | 1620:9 1622:13, | blanketly 1687:9 | 1682:10,12,14,15 |
| 1615:20,21 | 1827:2,10 | 18 1624:3,17,20, 25 1625:4,7 | blast 1734:3 | 1684:14,17 |
| 1616:11 1621:4 | 1851:16 | 1626:8 1628:17 | biast 1754.5 | 1685:13,18 |
| 1622:15 1655:22 | | 1648:7 1681:22 | blinders 1689:20 | 1686:14,18 |
| 1657:11 1658:4, 12 1670:14 | basically | 1750:8,10 1751:9 | blindly 1855:7 | 1689:19 1692:10, |
| 12 1670.14 | 1640:11 1675:14 | | billidiy 1000.7 | 11 1693:7,10,23 |
| 1694:22 1695:11, | 1702:4 1749:16 | benefits 1576:1, | block 1663:19 | 1694:2,6,18 |
| 18 1696:6 1713:5 | 1825:25 | 2,10 1619:16 | 1828:19 1854:17 | 1695:1,4,21 |
| 1720:13 1723:8 | basis 1566:16 | 1629:4,10 1643:2 | blower 1763:10, | 1696:16,19,24 |
| 1728:14 1734:24 | 1574:12 1584:19 | 1646:16 1647:17 | 12 1764:9 | 1697:10,15,23 |
| 1739:4 1740:15, | 1600:16 1603:24 | 1707:1,6 1720:17 | | 1698:17,24 |
| 22 1741:5 | 1617:17 1643:3 | 1721:9,10,11 | blueprint | 1699:4,5,18,19,21 |
| 1748:10 1760:16 | 1651:17 1652:20 | Bents 1840:9,11 | 1587:13,14 | 1700:1,11 |
| 1763:21 1768:8 | 1653:21 1654:15 | | 1723:24 | 1701:15,19,20,25 |
| 1770:10 1776:1 | 1666:20 1697:4 | best 1603:4,8 | board 1564:2,14 | 1702:8,13 |
| 1804:21 1826:6,7, | 1726:9 1733:19 | 1605:12,13 | 1565:6,7,12,15 | 1703:25 1704:5 |
| 11 1830:8 | 1849:14 | 1649:7 1652:17 | 1566:9,21 1567:7, | 1706:18 1707:13 |
| 1833:10 1835:20 | bears 1764:13 | 1670:3 1685:3 | 16,18,21 1568:3,5 | 1713:11,14,15 |
| 1843:12 1850:4, | Dedis 1704.13 | 1731:24 1743:19 | 1569:2,15,20,23 | 1715:17 1716:16 |
| 19,22,25 1851:2 | began 1741:10 | 1756:3 1759:22 | 1570:4,5,15,17 | 1731:13,18 |
| 1860:13 1863:18 | 1804:25 1806:22, | betting 1646:9 | 1571:3,8,16 | 1732:19,22 |
| 1864:2,4 | 23 | bias 1696:14 | 1573:24 1574:8, | 1733:3 1736:5,8, |
| back-and-forth | begin 1566:4 | | 17,24 1575:15 | 19 1737:21 |
| 1723:17,18 | 1603:3 1605:7 | big 1674:1,25 | 1576:14,15,19,24 | 1739:19 1740:11, |
| | 1667:11 1683:1 | 1763:20 1768:20, | 1577:3,6,8,10,14 | 20 1745:2 1758:1 |
| background | 1720:1 1730:1 | 24 1785:10 | 1579:10 1580:6 | 1760:10 1764:23 1788:21 1789:5 |
| 1611:14 1761:4 | 1834:21 1847:19 | 1827:6 | 1587:1,2 1588:23 | 1793:15,19 |
| | | | 1589:1,11,14 | 1735.13,13 |
| backup 1767:5 | I a a b a b a b b a b b b b b b b b b b | biaaer 1619:3 | | 1794 14 1795 7 |
| backup 1767:5 | beginning 1566:2 1844:20 | bigger 1619:3 1735:10 1835:12 | 1590:20,25 1591:12,14,25 | 1794:14 1795:7 1796:23 1797:4, |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

b 20 1799:20 1800:3.13 1806:5 1813:5 1818:7,12, b 20 1819:22 1820:1,12 1821:16,20 1823:12,15 b 1826:3,5,10,11, b 13,14,15 1827:10 1829:2,5 1830:13 1831:11 1832:1, 14,16 1833:13 b 1835:7 1836:5,21, 24 1837:6 1838:5, 22,25 1839:11,14, b 21 1840:8,18,24 1841:8,22 В 1842:10,12 В 1843:5,17,24 1844:9,13,24 В 1845:5,21 В 1846:15 1847:24 1848:13 1849:21 1851:7,12 b 1852:16,19,24 1853:22 1854:1,6, b 15 1855:6 1856:3 1857:9 1859:2,11, b 19 1860:23 1862:6,7,9,15,19 1863:15,17,24 1864:10 Board's 1577:5 b 1591:18 1681:16 1702:4 1794:17 b 1864:7 boards 1690:4 b bodies 1748:21 b body 1591:2 1652:25 boilers 1754:21 b **bond** 1683:6 b 1846:1 **book** 1603:24 b 1747:20 borders 1773:11 b bore 1722:10 b bores 1845:15 borne 1579:20 b

| 023 | |
|--|---|
| oorrowing 1721:2 | 1735:11 1776:22 |
| | broadest 1831:8 |
| 00ttom 1622:13, 18 1684:22 1846:7 | Broghammer 1755:1 1756:1,4 |
| oound 1818:23 | brought 1677:14 1734:23 1743:4 |
| 50undaries 1664:9 1671:6,10 1776:16 1782:20 | 1744:11 1760:23 1814:14 1838:7 |
| | Bruce 1579:8 |
| ooundary 1673:11 1725:17 1758:12 | buck 1579:3 1613:12 |
| ounds 1727:10 | budget 1766:15 |
| Boy 1863:24 | budgeting 1604:9 |
| BP 1792:14 | buffer 1590:9 |
| Branstad 1693:2 | 1608:19 1610:11 1611:18,19,22 |
| Brazil 1761:10 1792:11,16,21 | 1776:20 |
| breach 1666:9 1700:4 | build 1587:12 1641:4 1771:22 |
| | 1788:4 1791:7 |
| oreadth 1830:25 | 1840:21 1855:22 |
| break 1586:4 1615:22 1627:12 | building 1592:15 1695:12 1781:23 |
| 1657:24 1658:1 | 1786:13 1791:4 |
| 1740:13 1826:5 1844:4 | 1847:1,2 |
| preak-even | buildup 1665:15 1766:16 |
| 1635:6 | built 1732:11 |
| preakdown | 1762:18,19 |
| 1647:13 | 1839:15 |
| preaks 1850:6 preathing | bulletin 1859:14, 16 |
| 1604:10 1669:1, | bunch 1620:2 |
| 11 | Bureau 1713:15 |
| oright 1864:13 | 1805:2 |
| oring 1752:6 1771:20 1837:17 | buried 1749:21 1772:22 1849:25 |
| oringing 1565:21 | burning 1754:23 |
| 1675:13 | bury 1686:6,23 |
| prings 1739:4 1837:17 | 1688:5 bushels 1620:20 |
| proadband | |
| 1847:3 | business 1573:2, 5 1581:2 1608:1 |
| oroader 1673:11 | 1623:7 1630:15 1662:7 1721:6 |
| | |

1754:6 1759:25 1761:9 1762:12 1764:12 1777:15 1778:21 1782:17 1786:14 1796:7 1836:8

businesses 1575:12 1598:15 1614:20 1618:25 1682:1 1777:19 1857:2

busy 1817:9

buy 1730:11 1751:5 1796:12

Byrnes 1826:13, 14 1827:10 1829:2 1830:13 1831:11 1832:1, 14,16 1833:13 1835:7 1836:5,21, 24 1837:6 1838:5, 22,25 1839:11,14, 21 1840:8,18,24 1841:8,22 1842:10 1843:5, 17 1844:9,13,24 1845:5,21 1846:15 1847:24 1848:13 1849:21 1851:7,12 1852:16,19,24 1853:23 1854:1,6, 15 1855:6 1856:3 1857:9 1859:2,11, 19 1860:23 1862:6,20

bystanders 1703:14 1704:16, 20

С

calculate 1599:14,15,16 1635:5

calculated 1610:10

calculation 1648:4

calculations 1614:3 1835:19 Index: Board's..Canada calculator

1802:12

calculus 1681:15,16

calibrated 1670:7,9

California 1617:6,12,20 1620:14 1623:17 1638:15 1639:14, 20 1640:9,20 1641:14 1643:2 1644:7 1646:16

California/ canada 1642:18

Californians 1618:10

call 1566:17 1567:15 1576:8 1588:17 1610:20 1654:23 1671:19 1678:5 1717:10 1744:15 1783:3 1848:15 1853:17 1856:21 1857:11 1858:4,8,18,19 1859:1,7

called 1568:2 1581:1 1583:14, 15 1594:25 1744:19 1763:10 1791:2 1864:2,3

calling 1566:4 1677:22 1857:10

calls 1577:4 1594:2 1630:10 1631:16 1634:6 1637:6 1643:8 1670:16 1693:8, 24 1732:21 1796:21 1797:17 1799:24 1818:5 1821:14 1857:19

campaign 1748:4

campus 1775:13

Canada 1617:6, 20 1623:18 1641:1 1644:7 1786:22

Index: CANARY..chemical

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

CANARY 1670:2. 1786:10.16 caused 1568:12 1843:14 1846:14 12 1821:16,20 6 1685:3.4 1787:23 1788:17 1703:19 1704:16 1847:3 1850:6 1823:12.15 1706:11 1789:8 1790:23 1852:23 1857:23 1826:3,10 capability 1847:3 1863:17,24 1791:25 1829:14 1860:4 caution 1633:6 capable 1837:12 1840:12 1841:25 1864:10 **CFO** 1785:17,19 1856:12,17 CCO 1785:18 capacity 1617:1 Chair's 1591:19 chair 1564:2 care 1610:18 **CCS** 1641:18 1624:15 1632:1,2 1565:12 1566:9, challenge 1631:6 1648:22 1686:25 1690:4 1755:15,25 14,21 1567:7,16, 1638:23 1675:7 1710:12 1737:6 1691:25 1695:20 1788:3 18,21 1568:3,5 1754:9 1831:2 1753:2,11 1762:7 career 1726:12 **CD** 1802:2 1850:19 1569:2,15,23 1571:3,8,16 Capco2 1837:2,4 1761:8 ceased 1720:11 challenging 1574:8.17.24 capital 1581:2 cares 1643:16 1828:3.4.7 1577:3,6,8 census 1598:6 1762:6 1764:6,11, carrier 1628:14, 1588:23 1589:1, chance 1692:21 17 1785:7 **center** 1610:11 19 1631:13,18,22 11,14 1590:20,25 1713:19 1806:18 1611:24 1663:16 1591:12,25 capture 1581:13 1632:3 1691:22 change 1624:6,8, 1670:18,20,22 1614:14 1629:20, 1594:4,7 1595:13 1692:3 1705:12 22 1654:19 1767:5,19 1606:10,21 24 1630:6 1659:5 1803:7 1655:6,13 1769:24 1846:16 1615:7,25 1616:2, 1752:1 1755:15, carries 1698:13 1847:20 1849:4 1662:11 1681:9, 5,9 1630:8,12,16 21 1759:25 14 1686:21 1855:5,7,18,24 1763:3,25 1764:6, carry 1647:1 1631:15,17,20 1691:19 1745:19, 1856:22 1858:8 1632:17,19,25 19 1766:5 1769:1, carrying 1650:9 20 1803:9 1633:4 1634:4,9 17 1770:3 1771:5 centers 1767:22 1806:23 1818:18 1637:4.10 1643:7. 1778:16,17,18,19, cart 1599:7 1849:7 1824:12 1832:8 10 1652:2,9 20,23,24 1779:2 carte 1813:6 cents 1621:1 1845:4,14 1656:19,22 1789:18 1841:3,5 1657:14.18.21 1847:8 changed 1655:17 **CEO** 1579:5,18 case 1565:6 1658:2,11 1715:16 1814:19 1582:23 1585:23 1785:14,20 captured 1664:19,23 1824:16 1842:12 1594:13 1619:16 1620:11 1625:17, **certain** 1610:23 1672:8,10 1679:1, 1633:22 1653:13 21 1629:9 1651:4 changing 1636:14,16,19 5,12 1682:12,14 1660:2 1662:4 1791:13 1690:16 1742:3 1655:5 1660:9 1684:14,17 1665:24 1691:11 1806:20 1815:16 1667:16,21 1686:14,18 capturing 1705:22 1720:16 1820:17 1668:10 1682:5 1692:10 1693:7, 1623:23 1721:19 1721:9 1726:23 1692:24 1710:7 10,23 1694:2 1755:23 1763:1 characterization 1731:23 1733:13 1747:22 1750:1 1695:1,4 1696:16, 1734:19 1742:4 1638:3 1809:4 carbon 1568:2 1770:16,25 19,24 1697:10,15, 1781:15 1806:9 1571:24 1573:4, characterize 1777:8,9 1789:18 23 1698:17 1809:23 1825:8 21 1574:16 1801:2 1817:1 1817:15 1699:4.21 1843:3 1852:1,2 1575:10,15 1825:19 1845:22 1700:11 1701:15, characterized 1576:2 1578:24 cases 1578:15 1851:22 25 1702:8,13 1819:9 1579:9,20 1807:16,23 1703:25 1704:5 certainty 1580:24 1581:7, 1819:6 1840:18 charge 1596:7 1707:13 1713:11, 1646:17,18 13 1603:13 1841:13 14 1716:16 charged 1591:3 1614:17 1624:9 cetera 1596:1 1732:19,22 casing 1723:6 1629:24 1630:6 1604:5 1623:4 Charles 1733:8 1733:3 1736:5,8, 1641:7 1642:18 catastrophic 1734:2,23 1636:9 1672:6 19,21 1740:11,20 1643:2 1644:14 1767:20 1735:10,17,18,21 1690:5 1743:22 1760:10 1764:23 1646:19 1656:5 1736:13 1739:4 1745:15 1767:14 1788:21 1789:5 categories 1691:13 1699:10 1768:16 1781:2, 1740:5 1758:12 1793:19,23 1598:4 1721:12,18 14 1787:19 1794:14 1795:7 charter 1642:12 1739:24 1755:15 category 1803:2 1822:8 1796:23 1797:4, 1763:2,3 1768:2, 1766:14 1827:21 1828:7 **check** 1700:17 20 1800:3,13 21 1778:15,23 1831:4 1834:14 1806:5 1818:7 chemical 1830:4 caught 1799:20 1779:11 1784:2 1835:6 1841:15 1819:22 1820:1, 1832:10

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

1720:3

1749:10

1707:7

clarification

clarifications

clarify 1565:4

clarity 1683:4

Class 1690:24

clay 1772:24

clear 1565:8

1566:1 1601:7

1702:3 1805:7

1811:18

clear-cut

22

1683:21,24

client 1795:5

close 1627:21

19 1760:23

1839:15,17

1862:25

1844:3 1848:4

1634:8 1789:1

10 1862:23

1862:10

1705:15

chemistry

chief 1612:22

1785:13,18

1779:21

1787:8

chiefs 1729:20

chisel 1857:15

choice 1682:4,6

1696:5 1711:13

choose 1600:5

1662:24 1665:2

choosing 1787:9

chose 1585:3

chosen 1575:4

Chris 1707:20

CI 1620:25

circulates

1659:19

1664:7

cite 1684:21

cities 1727:8

20 1760:5,6

1618:9

city 1689:8

1726:19,20

1733:8 1734:2,23

1735:2,10,17,18,

21 1736:12,13,24

1737:3,10,20

1739:4 1740:5

1758:8,11,12,13,

14,15,16,17,18,

19,20,24 1759:2

1767:22 1770:9

1796:19 1797:10,

claim 1635:10

12 1799:23

1760:13,23

citizens 1577:1

1746:1,10 1759:1,

1749:3,5

circumstance

1755:22,24

1613:12 1623:1

1632:10 1756:18

1611:6

claimed 1600:18 closely 1721:11, 22 1763:8,22 1841:18 1863:6 12 1768:25 1782:8. comments 11 1789:18 claiming 1710:15 **closer** 1565:21 1565:15 1571:6 1791:10,12,17,20 1603:2 1612:6 1832:17 1800:21 1822:16 1746:14 1749:17, claims 1622:20 1823:24 1829:24 commercial 25 1830:7,12 1623:1 1630:21 closing 1854:16 1831:22 1832:11, 1631:5 1632:10 12 1833:2 1849:5, 1764:16 1785:3, 1633:11 1712:10 **closure** 1664:2 8 1854:7 1857:3 18 1786:14,21 1778:3 1863:20 1854:19 coal-fired 1752:2 commission closures 1777:15 1615:15 1622:24 Coast 1618:24 cloud 1692:25 1626:13 1717:3 1638:22 1641:1 1782:11,12 1644:7 1786:22 commit 1628:10 1566:25 1702:20 1856:20 1828:2 commitment Club's 1794:9 coating 1845:25 1710:1 1712:12 1846:3,14 **co-op** 1698:14 1765:7 1766:21 committed Code 1706:25 1634:23,24 co-ops 1622:22 1730:19 1731:17 coexist 1746:1,4 **CO2** 1581:14 classify 1775:20 committing 1584:22 1593:16 cognizant clause 1655:19 1594:11 1595:23 1629:19 1710:4 1861:19 1599:12 1607:4 commodity 1610:21,25 coincidence 1618:23 1621:19 1614:15 1618:2 1627:25 1696:3 1622:5 1668:11 1620:10 1623:21, collaborate 1750:21 1766:7 1612:21 1691:19 22 1625:12,16,21 1730:17 1834:21 1782:10 1626:4,6,14,23 collaboration 1627:1,3 1628:4, common 10,11,16,20,22 1854:4 1628:14 1631:13, 1629:2,9,16,19 18.22 1632:3 collaborative 1630:3,18,21 1666:25 1677:20 1583:20 1631:12 1633:18 1691:22 1692:3 1634:1 1635:10, Colorado 1722:20 1781:5 client's 1701:12 25 1636:13 1790:12 communicate 1641:5 1649:23 climate 1624:6,7, colorless 1675:3 1831:7 1650:12 1653:14 1654:2,11,16 combine 1671:17 communicated 1658:24 1659:2,3, 1782:22 combined 1663:24 1664:10 13,17 1661:25 communication 1675:25 1703:16, 1754:17 1672:19 1673:7 1787:16 1843:14 17 1727:3 1735:7 1674:23 1675:15 combustion 1849:22 1854:20 1736:23 1746:13 1676:4 1690:14, 1638:17 1781:24 1758:8,12,13,16, 25 1691:9,12,17, communication comfortable 23 1707:8 **s** 1690:2 1817:4 1770:1,2 1805:6 1604:8 1730:25 1717:15 1721:18, 1848:23 1851:9 1822:25 1824:2 19 1725:15 1853:3,13 1858:15 1859:5 1730:12,21 1854:21,24 1853:1,8 1855:1 1746:18 1747:20 commence 1862:12,16 1748:1 1751:22, 1806:15 communities 23,24 1752:1,4,6 **closed** 1565:10 comment 1576:7 1583:24 1754:14,15 1569:3,16 1571:4 1614:20 1645:8, 1755:8,11,23 1847:12 1855:9, 1633:21 1636:12 11 1689:22

1800:15 1830:16

1760:21 1772:3

SUSAN FRYE COURT REPORTING | 515-284-1972 300 Walnut Street, #36, Des Moines, IA 50309-2224

1760:1 1761:10,

Index: chemistry..communities

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

1783:7

community 1584:3 1585:6 1739:6,23 1740:1 1856:25

community's 1739:25

compact 1834:15

compaction 1833:14 1834:12 1835:24

companies 1576:18 1577:12 1617:11 1624:4 1741:24 1742:2 1767:2 1787:11

companies' 1645:3

1796:13

company 1574:22 1580:15 1583:15 1607:5 1610:21 1623:13 1650:3 1668:14 1698:25 1710:4 1741:21 1744:14, 17 1778:24 1793:2 1795:13, 25 1796:12 1797:25 1798:6,7, 11,17 1803:22 1805:8 1808:16 1824:20 1836:15 1861:9,10

company's 1706:24 1799:22

compare 1731:14 1734:15 1852:2

compared 1734:10 1827:12, 25

compel 1564:14 1591:15 1592:2 1699:19 1794:10

compels 1731:18

compensate 1683:24 **compensation** 1573:6,13 1715:7, 19,21 1815:7,8,9, 17,19 1816:1,7,14 1817:1,2

compete 1618:16 1629:10 1644:15 1646:21

competition 1646:24

competitive 1624:10

1610:20

competitiveness 1647:7 competitor

complete 1588:20 1664:6 1715:1 1757:15 1797:24

completed 1651:14 1685:9, 13 1804:19 1847:17 1860:4

completely 1569:5 1590:11 1825:2

compliance 1664:21 1714:17

compliant 1603:14 1842:21

comply 1649:15 1650:21 1654:21 1859:14

component 1731:22 1829:25 1830:11

components 1581:19

compound 1834:9,17

compress 1631:7

compressed 1611:6

compression 1659:19,21,22 1763:12,13,17 compressors 1763:14

concealing 1574:4,20

concede 1804:8

concentration 1608:20 1676:5,9 1776:17

concentrations 1726:7

concept 1579:13, 19 1589:5 1597:8, 20 1600:11 1603:6 1631:1,2

conceptual 1741:16 1783:13

concern 1645:19 1668:13 1760:22 1835:14

concerned 1645:10,13 1653:25 1662:2 1675:15,17 1693:19 1760:6 1822:8 1823:6 1858:25

concerning 1794:10 1824:22

concerns 1687:4,17 1824:20 1835:8

concludes 1702:11

conclusion 1577:4 1582:22 1594:3,6 1630:10 1631:16 1634:6 1637:6 1643:8 1732:21,24 1796:22 1797:18 1818:6 1821:14

conclusions 1595:16

concrete 1646:7

condition 1661:22 1717:6, 22 1718:8,10 1752:6 1791:25 1833:21,22 1851:21 1855:19

conditions

1610:23 1661:19 1662:23 1663:1 1673:17 1675:9, 10,24 1676:23 1677:18 1715:13 1716:12 1722:15 1781:14,17 1803:25 1833:15, 18,19 1834:9

conducted 1670:11 1760:25 1857:5

conduit 1850:21

confidence 1586:24 1587:1,3 1592:20 1612:18

confident 1609:13 1618:14 1690:23 1770:18

confidential 1565:20 1583:9 1699:25 1700:3,5, 8,14,16,22 1701:3,10,23 1788:24

confidentiality 1689:16 1700:4 1701:5 1789:3

configured 1753:15

confirm 1644:13 1648:25 1649:5,9 1653:1 1661:8 1705:5 1706:9 1736:11 1765:23 1779:4 1784:6 1786:9 1815:2 1825:14 1832:25 1833:11 1838:14

confirmed 1770:5

confirming 1759:7

conflating 1590:8 confront 1686:5 confronted

Index: community..constant

1677:10

connect 1760:2,4 1762:7

connected 1681:13 1682:1 1720:18 1721:11, 13

connecting 1758:23

connection 1628:6 1721:16 1788:2

connectivity 1850:7

consequence 1607:8,9

consequences 1679:8,10

conservation 1711:22,24 1712:4,5,22

conservative 1673:13 1674:14 1776:18

consideration 1582:13 1650:12 1652:7 1677:17 1744:2 1759:10 1775:23 1836:4

considerations 1677:24 1745:10, 11

considered 1650:16 1692:1 1734:16 1784:8 1821:5

considers 1653:21

consistent 1780:7

consoles 1847:5

consolidated 1742:2

constant 1835:8, 15

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 00110111001110 | | | | |
|----------------|--------|--|--|--|
| 1675:11 1 | 751:24 | | | |
| constitute | | | | |
| 1809.24 1 | 810.9 | | | |

constituents

1844:22 constitutes

1814:12 1845:18

constraints 1602:3 1727:14 1730:8 1759:13

construct 1594:23 1603:2 1608:11 1629:23 1633:14 1639:11 1686:4 1691:1 1717:19,20 1729:21,23

constructability 1743:2

constructed 1597:6 1598:1 1609:7 1641:11 1655:9 1732:12 1840:25

constructing 1834:8

construction 1579:6 1593:20 1603:3 1605:7.8 1639:10 1655:20 1656:15 1681:11 1683:1 1705:3 1711:1,5 1714:9 1717:2,24 1720:1 1721:3 1729:23 1730:1 1745:10 1767:12 1771:22 1785:1 1791:1 1803:21 1804:19 1807:7,19 1808:2, 8,13 1809:22 1822:20 1828:1,9, 24 1833:24 1834:21 1847:20 1851:2

consult 1724:14 1787:10,21 1792:6 1795:5

consultant 1830:5

consultants 1656:12 1757:8 consultation 1757:7 1804:5

consulted 1583:17

consumption 1660:14,17 1661:5

contact 1584:14 1668:11,13 1672:5 1687:22 1688:9 1710:14 1856:22

contacted 1742:14 1781:1

contain 1592:4

contained 1666:22 1670:9

containment 1747:19

content 1627:2,3 1788:23 1791:9

context 1710:5 1728:12 1739:15

Continental 1580:10,12 1650:2

continual 1654:14

continually 1847:15

continue 1647:23 1715:7 1743:2 1804:14 1814:4 1815:11.

13 1816:21 1817:1

continuing 1814:16

continuously 1670:18

contract 1753:7 1767:1 1788:18 1792:4 1793:4,12, 13,14 1837:21

1840:20

contracted 1614:14 1618:16. 19 1628:15 1629:8 1641:2 1644:9 1669:15 1719:6,15 1765:13

contractor 1711:13 1766:24 1834:25

contractors 1608:11 1828:22 1834:8

contracts 1618:25 1712:21 1714:18

contractually 1714:11

contrast 1827:13

contribute 1619:1.2.11 1622:1 1676:4 1756:22

contributing 1622:9

contribution 1576:6 1753:20

contributor 1756:11

control 1600:2 1651:14,17 1656:13 1663:16 1670:17,20,22 1767:5,19,22 1769:13,14,15,16, 17,20,23 1846:16 1847:20 1849:4,7 1850:14 1855:5,7, 18.24 1856:22

controller 1852:8

controllers 1847:6 1848:10

convenience 1592:23 1618:9 1639:18 1681:17 1720:16,20

convenient 1644:21 1681:18 conversation 1708:2.4 1724:17 1738:7 1740:7 1814:19 1816:2,3, 25 1824:9 1834:25 1857:10 conversations 1605:3 1667:12 1687:12 1724:14 1730:7 1739:15

1788:1,5,10,11,13 1819:6 1822:19 1836:6 1841:9 **COO** 1612:25

1630:14 1693:14 1784:22 1797:2

cool 1659:13 cooler 1659:20

1676:12

cooling 1659:18

coordinate 1667:4,5 1686:2 1810:3

coordinating 1695:23

coordination 1787:11

copy 1633:9 1651:18 1704:10 1709:6 1742:14, 15

core 1644:2

corn 1576:3 1614:20 1620:18, 20 1621:2,11,14, 15,25 1622:5,8,12 1623:5,6,11,17 1624:11 1642:19 1643:3 1671:11 1718:14 1750:10, 15,17,23,24 1751:4,6 1804:23

corner 1680:18 1810:21 1811:10, 22 1812:10,12 1813:2,12,23

corporate 1622:21 1647:11 1727:6 1778:22

1755:5 1796:15 1797:2 correct 1572:14, 18 1573:11 1575:11,13 1577:20 1578:3 1580:13,14,21 1581:22 1582:8, 23 1583:1,5 1584:25 1585:24 1586:7 1588:20 1589:9.25 1590:14 1592:13 1593:17 1594:14 1595:1.2.5.6.9.24 1597:9 1598:7,11 1599:21 1600:3, 21,22 1602:10,21 1603:13,21 1606:4,18 1608:2 1609:17 1611:20, 21 1612:13,24 1614:5 1616:16. 17,20 1617:2,23, 25 1618:6,7,21 1620:6 1623:19 1629:5.18 1630:1. 2 1633:19 1634:2 1635:3 1636:19, 23 1637:2,17,21 1638:5,13,14 1639:8 1644:23 1645:4 1648:1,17 1649:24 1653:15 1655:11,12 1656:17 1659:9, 15 1662:13 1665:5 1666:3,15 1668:16 1673:19 1675:6 1676:5 1678:21 1680:19, 21 1681:5 1684:3, 4,5,6,25 1685:1, 16 1688:14 1689:14,23 1690:16 1703:3 1704:19,22 1706:6 1708:18 1712:18,23 1717:23 1719:5, 23 1720:2 1734:22 1735:3,5, 19 1740:5 1741:12,22

1745:19,20

Index: constituents..correct

corporation

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Index: corrected..damaging

| 1749:11,18 | could-affect | 1826:24 1828:20 | cross- | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 1750:1,5 1751:10, | 1612:4 1673:12 | 1832:2 1862:9 | examination | D |
| 23 1752:7,12 | 1689:9,12 | | 1567:2 1571:22 | |
| 1753:5 1754:10, | 1727:22 1737:4 | coupled 1749:14 | 1689:2 1702:16 | daily 1849:14 |
| 23 1755:12 | 1774:18 1776:2,3, | court 1587:7 | 1707:16 1716:19 | ually 1649.14 |
| 1758:21 1763:5 | 6,12,13,15,21 | 1620:2 1735:7 | 1741:2 1765:1 | Dakota 1565:6 |
| 1764:3 1775:10 | 1777:1 | 1794:25 | 1793:21 1822:1 | 1580:17 1586:23 |
| 1779:1 1784:20 | 1777.1 | 1734.23 | 1795.21 1022.1 | 1589:17,22 |
| 1790:20,23,25 | council 1737:20 | courts 1613:6 | cross-examine | 1590:2,13,22 |
| 1791:3 1796:17, | counsel 1651:24, | 0010r 1692.20 | 1564:17,22 | 1591:6 1592:18 |
| 20 1798:12,13 | 25 1656:17 | cover 1682:20 | | 1593:7 1599:20 |
| 1801:16 1802:24, | 1657:7 1694:21 | 1683:15 1711:2,5 | crosses 1672:2 | 1607:5 1609:19 |
| | | 1722:10 1749:15 | 1758:11,14,16,19 | 1610:10 1614:16 |
| 25 1805:10 | 1695:10 1700:23 | 1799:22 1858:16 | 1777:4 | 1615:15,18 |
| 1806:1,16 | 1728:17 | 1861:21 | crossing | 1616:12,13,15 |
| 1807:13,17,19,20, | count 1642:10 | coverage 1683:9 | 1777:16 1787:18 | 1617:2 1618:22, |
| 22 1808:9,16 | | 1798:16,19,22 | 1835:8,15 1846:1, | |
| 1813:15 1814:9 | counties 1576:6 | 1799:1,8,22 | 9 1856:18 | 24 1620:12 |
| 1817:22 1818:1, | 1583:23 1586:1 | 1800:10 1801:3, | 9 1000.10 | 1623:24 1626:2,4 |
| 13 1819:16 | 1596:22 1602:8, | 10 1803:17,23 | crossings | 6,12,24 1627:20, |
| 1827:8 1833:12 | 12 1603:20 | 10 1003.17,23 | 1722:5,11,15 | 22 1628:3,8,12,10 |
| 1839:13 1845:24 | 1680:1 1716:22, | covered 1759:3 | 1744:9 1845:15 | 1629:21 1631:8 |
| 1852:17 | 23 1718:5 | | 1850:6 | 1632:23 1649:20 |
| corrected 1000-0 | 1727:24 1728:3, | create 1697:20 | | 1659:11 1674:20 |
| corrected 1689:3 | 20,23 1729:11 | 1812:4 | crude 1726:13 | 1687:7,10 |
| corrections | 1731:13 | credit 1657:6 | 1746:17 1747:11 | 1688:18 1689:13, |
| 1568:19 | | 1721:20,22 | 1761:22,23 | 19 1690:17,25 |
| | Counties' | 1721.20,22 | cultural 1600:12 | 1691:1 1696:1,2 |
| correctly 1612:6 | 1737:22 | credits 1625:12, | 1828:6 | 1710:8 1717:1,3, |
| 1620:19 1651:9 | country 1592:15 | 15,22 1635:5 | 1020.0 | 7,14,16 1718:7 |
| 1660:4 1691:4 | 1638:22 1639:23 | 1719:20 1720:19, | curiosity 1843:6 | 1731:19 1735:2 |
| 1739:14 1749:20 | 1640:4 1654:3 | 21,22 1721:3,6, | | 1738:23,25 |
| 1763:25 1766:12 | | 13,15 1756:8,13 | curious 1614:9 | 1745:20 1746:9, |
| 1790:22 1834:11 | 1671:11 1678:17 | 1784:15,19 | 1836:12 | 14 1752:21 |
| corridor 1600:9, | 1733:16 1745:24 | 1785:25 1786:9, | current 1600:17 | 1762:16,18,20 |
| 23 1601:3 | 1830:7 | 10,11 | 1644:8 1649:5 | 1789:21 1791:14, |
| | county 1584:6 | | 1653:19 1679:21 | 19 1831:3 1838:1 |
| 1733:14 | 1585:25 1593:24 | crews 1696:2 | 1685:15 1719:15 | 3,4 |
| corrosion | 1604:25 1616:18 | criminal 1587:17 | 1720:5 1753:6 | |
| 1650:22 1658:22, | 1679:24 1680:7, | 1588:13 1723:14 | 1759:2 1821:8 | damage 1666:25 |
| 23,25 1830:11 | 16 1690:3,4 | | 1846:25 1847:1 | 1677:22 1706:10, |
| | 1708:15 1710:19 | critical 1598:8,12 | 1852:1 | 11 1708:21 |
| corrosivity | 1717:25 1718:4 | 1725:17 1727:17 | 1002.1 | 1711:4,7 1725:20 |
| 1830:3 | 1722:15 1723:1 | 1768:7 1822:16 | custody 1630:18, | 1770:13 1795:15 |
| cost 1721:2 | 1724:3,8 1726:2,3 | 1823:24 1855:15 | 25 1631:2,6,11 | 1809:21 1810:10 |
| 1742:19 1743:1 | 1727:13 1728:25 | crop 1700.17 00 | auctomere | 1834:1 1849:9 |
| 1754:11 1764:1,6 | 1729:19 1730:7 | crop 1708:17,20, | customers | damagad |
| 1785:5,8 1803:7, | 1732:13,15,25 | 24,25 1709:13,16 | 1629:17 1633:22, | damaged |
| 21 1841:14 | 1732:13,15,25 | 1710:1,15 | 24 1647:8 | 1809:19 |
| 21 1041.14 | 1811:14 1823:5 | 1718:14 | 1752:11 | damages |
| cost-benefit | | crops 1671:11 | cut 1627:12 | 1683:10,13,25 |
| 1576:9 | 1834:19 1862:4 | 1781:16 | 1848:22 | 1703:8,10,18 |
| | couple 1564:8 | | | 1704:15,20 |
| cost-effective | 1621:21 1679:22 | cross 1566:18 | cycle 1659:19 | 1708:17 1709:1 |
| 1752:1 | 1702:20 1712:16 | 1568:24 1571:15 | 1769:20 | 1710:1,15,20 |
| | | 1687:15 1776:8 | | |
| costly 1754.5 | 1//9:18 1/82:23 | | | 1/11/016/24 |
| costly 1754:5 costs 1576:10 | 1779:18 1782:23 1784:25 1794:3 | 1861:7,13,17,21, | | 1711:9,16,23 damaging |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

1835:25 debate 1642:5 1833:20 Dana 1758:16 debts 1798:3 dance 1613:15 decades 1655:6 danger 1672:24 decarbonization dangerous 1647:4 1585:7 1588:1 1589:6,8 1605:23 decarbonize 1639:23 dark 1590:18 **Decatur** 1830:9 data 1613:1 **decide** 1745:2 1645:12 1692:7 1704:3,11 1752:23 1709:11,22,23 decided 1616:14 1742:6 1794:11 1628:19 1800:7,9 1840:11 decision 1591:4 date 1586:16 1597:2 1632:23 1628:1 1741:7,9 1653:13 1657:22 dated 1699:11 1662:7 1685:20 1702:4 1736:2 **Dave** 1859:6,7 1762:12 1805:12 1823:1 1824:4,7 day 1612:15 1640:12 1694:20 decision-maker 1695:9 1696:8,11 1579:7 1730:23 1770:12 decision-making 1830:6 1833:19 1848:14 1849:15 1575:8 1625:2 1644:19 1733:1 days 1627:22 decisions 1628:1 1654:8 1712:16 1817:18, 1578:24 1579:4 1593:25 1605:10 de-compact **decline** 1773:9 1834:16 decompression de-couple 1763:20 1597:3 deem 1604:12 deactivated **deep** 1687:18 1720:11 dead 1835:21 23 deadline 1570:7 deal 1564:23 1565:5 1692:4 1701:22 1705:11 1853:9 dealing 1715:3 default 1852:1 deals 1714:22 defend 1579:24 1833:14 death 1674:7 1683:18 deaths 1801:8 **defer** 1652:17

19

deferring

1722:23 1724:18 1727:20 1857:22.

deeper 1625:24 1687:17 1749:18, 21 1750:2 1755:3

1580:2 1636:12

1713:22 1783:20

1728:17

deficiencies 1688:23 1689:3 1710:7

deficiency 1709:20 1710:12 1804:12

define 1666:3,5 1717:10 1774:22 1777:10 1809:10 1813:5 1853:8

defined 1677:5 1809:15 1862:21 1863:2,13,14

defines 1636:25 1637:15 1774:24 1808:1

defining 1607:19

definitely 1644:13 1855:16, 25 1863:7

definition 1673:20 1717:12 1775:11 1821:1

definitions 1809:17

definitive 1831:10

definitively 1842:5 1854:13 1861:25

degrees 1659:13

dehydrate 1631:7 1659:3,20

dehvdration 1659:6 1763:18

Delaware 1582:8,9 1789:25

deliver 1707:8

delivered 1617:25 1707:7 1761:12

demand 1614:20 1620:18,19,22 1621:14,25 1622:6,8 1624:11 1640:8,18,25 1641:19 1644:8,9, 11,23 1708:5 1750:17 1765:10, 11.14

Denbury 1651:2, 8 1653:18 1669:14 1684:23 1731:12,22,24 1732:4 1860:10

denied 1606:3 1616:18 1735:2

dense 1636:21, 22 1659:17 1763:22 1768:1,8 1775:4

density 1775:1,3

dent 1809:22

deny 1616:14 1689:20 1699:22

denying 1632:24

department 1604:4 1627:21 1628:3 1660:7 1661:2,7,20 1696:7 1788:1,3 1853:16

departments 1603:1 1667:20 1779:19

depend 1660:24 1661:7 1666:13 1671:1 1685:21

depending 1565:15.18 1597:25 1649:3 1666:3 1675:8 1712:3 1722:21 1729:25 1764:7 1780:18 1833:2 1835:5 1849:14 1855:18 1858:20 1863:9

depends 1622:15 1639:9 1660:19 1669:9 1675:23, 24 1725:13 1739:14 1768:15, 18 1771:4 1773:25 1775:18

Index: Dana..designation

1781:12 1801:21 1811:12 1822:18

depiction 1611:17

depicts 1607:20

deploy 1849:20

deposition 1566:7 1567:3,5 1606:15,17,19,22 1741:4,6 1742:5 1756:5

depositions 1567:1 1587:6

depth 1686:7,12, 17 1687:7,8,10, 13,14 1722:10,11 1749:12,15 1750:1 1846:8 1858:16 1861:21, 22

derivative 1642:25 1643:1

Des 1767:18

describe 1638:6 1707:1 1773:8 1851:13,15 1859:15

described 1813:20

describing 1843:7

description 1582:19 1637:21 1763:6 1808:5 1846:19

deserved 1694:10

deserves 1589:17 1591:6

design 1579:6 1655:20 1677:24 1681:10 1745:10 1785:1 1828:24 1845:7,9,17

designated 1699:25

designation

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

1700:8

designed 1597:4,5 1656:6 1768:1 1847:18, 22

designing 1582:16

desire 1642:9 1647:6

desktop 1582:18 1602:16 1648:13, 15 1667:13 1757:2,9

desperate 1626:6

destroyed 1626:9

detail 1610:5 1640:2 1645:18 1656:13 1685:23 1714:15 1755:18 1761:2 1780:22 1781:9 1783:4 1840:17

detailed 1584:18 1588:8 1730:6 1747:16 1758:9

details 1565:20 1572:23 1591:17 1685:19 1769:9 1785:9 1786:12

detect 1663:5,22 1665:11 1666:1

detected 1854:17

detection 1663:3,4 1665:4,7

determination 1592:22

determine 1582:25 1585:14 1588:7 1597:15 1598:3 1599:18 1665:8,18 1669:18 1678:2, 13 1700:13 1726:11 1743:7 1772:4 1782:19 1824:5

1843:12

determined 1603:13 1648:19 1669:6 1689:16 1710:14 1724:18 1767:7.10.17 1770:6 1853:25 1854:1,2,4 determines 1585:19 1683:19 1686:25 determining 1596:25 1678:24 1775:24 detriment 1751:10 detrimental 1623:6 develop 1583:10 1698:10 1731:8 1738:13 1743:3 1780:17 developed 1579:13 1744:18 1813:22 1851:19. 20 1853:11 developing 1597:12 development 1582:12 1584:7 1598:16,19 1605:2 1654:2 1690:10 1732:17 1733:11,13,18 1734:5 1735:11, 22 1738:10 1739:2,3,5,7 1754:11 1759:2,6 1760:21 develops 1661:12 deviate 1715:17 deviates 1852:9, 14 deviation 1665:21 **device** 1748:16 1856:17 1843:20 devices 1626:16

dial 1668:16 diameter 1610:3, 7 1746:17 1844:5 1845:4 dictate 1861:16 dictated 1695:21 dictates 1636:2 dictating 1577:14 die 1799:21 difference 1590:8 1651:12 1659:1 1674:25 1768:20 1776:5 differences 1669:21 differentiating 1630:25 1631:3 differently 1566:14 difficult 1588:11 1657:2 1671:10 1686:10 1687:1 1727:21 1738:17, 20 1834:18 1835:3 1850:10 dig 1632:6 digging 1810:7 1857:16,24 1858:2 **Dillon** 1725:8 1747:17 1772:4, 14 1777:11 1779:22 1780:21 1783:4 1830:23 1854:13 1856:24 1863:5 diminish 1640:9 dioxide 1656:5 1763:2,4 1768:2, 22 1779:11 1787:23 1788:17 1789:8 1790:23 1791:25 1829:14

direct 1568:8,13, 25 1569:10

1570:18 1571:10 1573:19 1583:2.4 1585:22 1597:23 1606:7 1614:22 1633:13 1651:24 1658:21 1668:1, 16 1706:23 1718:13 1726:25 1737:21 1766:5, 11,16,22,23 1767:4 1776:5 1777:16 1784:12 1804:6 1837:9,11 1838:6 1840:9.11 1841:23 1843:18 1845:6 1849:7 1850:9,14 1851:13,18 directed 1714:23

direction 1599:17 1671:18 1698:13 1782:12, 13 1792:15

directional 1845:16

directions 1610:12

directly 1576:21 1645:7 1714:19 1728:3

directors 1580:6, 8

disadvantage 1564:16 1751:5

disagree 1585:5 1593:2 1611:14, 15 1617:3 1620:7 1623:9 1626:11 1636:24 1638:2 1639:4 1645:13

disagreement 1619:21

disapproved 1601:17

discharge 1636:8 1763:22 1768:14 1769:1 1833:5 1851:22

discharges 1763:22

Index: designed..disparaging

disclose 1575:5 1645:1 disclosed 1798:10 disclosing 1795:1 discovered 1711:7 1757:5 1809:21 discovery 1569:19 1587:5 1692:7 1701:21 discredit 1696:6 discretion 1662:25 1715:18 1777:11 1848:11 discuss 1602:13 1647:17 1728:18 1756:14 1772:17 1787:22 1815:14 1818:3 1841:23 1843:18 1845:6 discussed 1628:17 1681:21 1689:24 1704:15 1734:7 1781:17 1796:9 1819:25 1824:11 1829:8 1846:16 1848:14 discussing

1702:21.22 1703:4 1707:24 1719:7 1771:12

discussion 1615:18 1634:16 1650:10 1703:7 1707:4 1710:22 1722:24 1727:10 1778:12 1779:16 1790:18 1815:17

discussions

1728:13 1735:25 1765:22

disease 1673:16 disking 1858:13

dismiss 1578:15, 21

disparaging

Index: dispatch..educational

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

downhill 1773:15 1801:13 1841:18 1777:10 1828:19 1620:5 1644:23 1645:1 1681:22 dispatch 1774:11 distiller 1751:8 downstairs duty 1820:8 1693:17 1715:3, 1847:2 1856:1 distinction 21 1720:7 dispatched 1766:22 1836:20 downstream Ε 1721:15.21 1770:8 1663:19 1854:25 1744:3 1807:11 distribute 1822:17 Eagle 1758:15 dispersant 1700:12 drag 1622:13 easier 1564:23 1592:16 1596:15 earlier 1597:23 district 1794:25 drain 1822:21 1793:24 1599:5 1608:18, 1647:3,14 24 1613:7 ditch 1749:18.25 drainage 1650:19 1662:3 easiest 1812:25 1678:23 1689:15 1849:25 1710:20 1711:6, 1690:12 1702:22, 1813:13,14 1725:11 1728:4,5 12 25 1703:5,24 ditches 1749:12 1734:3 1745:13 east 1698:11 1707:4 1725:25 draw 1660:23 1718:6 1738:13 1746:7 1748:15 dive 1724:18 1729:7 1756:9 1661:10 1684:8 1776:9 1828:12 1770:10 1772:9 1777:21, docket 1582:21 1832:10 1860:2 drill 1660:3 24 1789:23 east/west 1811:1 1586:23 1588:9 1662:5 1667:14 1796:9 1798:25 disperse 1748:2 1589:25 1606:7 easy 1588:12 1722:10 1799:11 1800:5 1615:15 dispersed 1863:25 1801:10 1803:19 drills 1602:15,16 1676:10 1727:21 document 1805:18 1806:2, eco-sensitive 1845:16 1607:4 1679:4 13 1814:4,7 dispersion 1598:10 1743:22 1697:2 1698:22 drink 1746:23 1815:12 1816:24 1564:12,15,21 1775:5 1699:16 1701:13, 1817:20 1818:15 1584:22.23.25 drive 1750:17 17 1704:12 economic 1829:3 1831:24 1585:5,14,17 1824:2 driven 1720:19 1598:19 1618:18, 1586:14,22 Earling 1736:24 19 1621:8,9 1587:8,21 documented driver 1722:23 1737:3,6,10,15 1641:20 1647:17, 1589:18 1591:13 1664:20 1738:5,13,14 18 1690:10 drivers 1624:8 1599:1,6 1602:10 1758:18 1760:13, 1721:10 1733:11, documents 1604:14,20 drives 1624:11 23 17 1734:5 1602:15 1604:1 1607:22 1645:12 1735:11,22 1657:4,10 driveway early 1731:3 1650:13,16 1739:2,3,5,7 1700:16 1794:11 1839:12 1765:19 1816:19 1652:5 1673:1 1743:7 1759:6 1823:23 1841:20 1674:19 1684:24 dollar 1764:11 driving 1719:9 1760:20 1785:6, 1857:10 1864:13 1689:14 1699:10 1803:1 11 drop 1635:25 1723:10.13 earnest 1603:4 dollars 1641:22 1841:3 1724:10,11,13 economically 1606:2 1764:1 1725:2 1731:12 1786:24 drops 1679:25 earnestly 1732:12,17 domain 1578:2,6, economics 1605:25 1731:9 dry 1661:22 1744:23 1745:2,8, 9 1715:9 1736:3 1756:14,16,22 1751:8 17 1747:7 1748:9 1804:9 1805:9 easement 1578:1 1749:1 1794:10 economies 1807:24 1814:12. Dublinske 1601:6 1684:2 1795:1 1576:6 1619:1 25 1816:11 1566:10,11 1686:11,16,23 1825:4,7,9,15 1567:4 1568:5 1708:25 1709:17 dispute 1697:8 economist 1838:10 1842:2,4 1715:5,7 1716:12 1571:12 1696:17 1621:5 disrupt 1657:23 1720:13 1735:22 1704:1 domestic 1619:9 economy 1807:13,16,19,22 disrupting due 1600:12 door 1694:22 1619:12 1622:8 1808:1,2,7,8,13, 1850:20 1667:19 1679:14, 1674:1 1803:1 1695:11,16,18 14 1812:2 1815:8 25 1697:25 dissemination 1816:1 1822:14 educate 1856:7,8 **DOT** 1862:2 1699:6 1705:9 1652:8 1823:5,23 1824:9 1819:10 1859:16 education **double** 1753:16 1825:1 1858:3 dissipate 1856:5,9,11 1802:21 1846:4 duly 1568:3 1768:15 1833:7 easements double-check educational duration 1682:20 1578:10 1581:7 distance 1610:10 1761:3 1775:13 1565:13 1720:5 1721:22 1595:1 1619:7 1674:19 1676:1

Index: effect..ethanol

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| effect 1575:23 | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| 1723:12 1776:5 | 23 1771:10,14,24 1772:7,10 | energy 1649:19 1660:3 1661:18 | 1848:5 1863:12 entirety 1604:2 | 5,10 1581:1,5 1786:1,2 1796:7 |
| effective 1831:1 | 1779:16 1780:12, 16 1809:2,8,10, | 1698:9 1719:14 1754:15 1755:6 | 1676:25 1677:3, 12 1732:1 | 1797:24 Erik 1584:15 |
| effects 1645:8,11 | 11,15,17,24 | 1761:9 1788:1,3 | | |
| 1656:6 1673:7,23, | 1810:1,10 1811:9, | 1805:14 1839:6, | entities 1573:20 | Ernst 1621:4,7 |
| 24 | 19 1812:24 | 25 | 1579:16 1602:20 | 1647:18 1648:2 |
| efficient 1617:15 | 1860:14 | engaged 1654:10 | entity 1572:16,25 | 1763:24 |
| affart 1500.00 | eminent 1578:2, | 1739:18 | 1573:18 1574:5 | escapes 1582:6 |
| effort 1583:20 1743:6 1760:19 | 6,9 1715:8 1736:2 | engineer | 1577:19 1582:8 | escaping 1664:1 |
| 1743.0 1700.19 | 1804:9 1805:9 | 1611:12 1769:10 | 1596:7 1653:11 | escaping 1004.1 |
| efforts 1656:14 | 1807:24 1814:12, | 1832:10 1845:8 | 1778:17,20 | especially |
| EFRD 1747:12 | 25 1816:10 | onginogring | 1836:18 | 1615:2 1803:14 |
| aight 4000.00 | 1825:4,7,9,15 1838:10 1842:2,4 | engineering 1611:14 1652:20 | entrusts 1580:1 | 1817:9 1840:6 |
| eight 1639:20 1673:10 1674:4 | | 1681:10 1762:2 | environment | essentially |
| 1722:20 1846:8 | emissions | 1830:4,5 1832:5 | 1639:2 1670:10 | 1580:4 1618:10 |
| | 1624:13,16 | 1841:14 | 1673:9 1674:17 | 1726:1 1742:16 |
| eight-year | 1755:23 | - | | 1744:8 1751:23 |
| 1639:16 | emitted 1614:15 | engineers 1690:4 1723:2 | environmental | 1753:15 1757:9 |
| elaborate | 1623:21 1624:14, | 1090.4 1723.2 | 1600:13 1624:20, 24 1625:4,7 | 1851:1,25 1860:15 |
| 1786:19 | 17 1625:9 | engines 1638:17 | 24 1625:4,7 1626:7 1629:4 | |
| electric 1604:11 | emitter 1581:14 | 1781:24 | 1675:9,24 | establish 1600:8, |
| 1638:20,25 | | enhanced | 1721:11 1781:17 | 9 1682:8 1697:5 |
| 1640:10,21 | employ 1619:1 | 1626:5 1627:1,5 | | 1725:16,22 |
| 1730:11,15 | 1645:7 1828:18 | 1628:20 1649:24 | environments | 1859:4 |
| 1840:13,25 | employed | 1690:12 1790:19 | 1674:13 | established |
| 1841:9 | 1619:5 1695:20 | 1791:21 | EOR 1626:5 | 1570:14 1667:2 |
| electrical | employee | enough 1587:4,7 | 1629:3 1690:12, | 1776:16 1800:6 |
| 1638:21 1841:3 | 1766:23 | 1703:16,17 | 14,25 1691:1 | 1863:4 |
| | | 1714:21 1721:1 | epoxy 1846:1 | establishing |
| electricity | employees 1772:2 1784:4 | 1726:11 1736:1 | | 1765:15 |
| 1839:22 | 1772:2 1784:4 | 1748:17 1753:11 | equals 1832:6 | estimate 1742:19 |
| electronically | employing | 1822:25 1824:1 | equation | |
| 1703:23 1709:4 | 1828:13,15,23 | 1855:15 | 1622:16 | estimation |
| elements 1655:5 | EMS 1602:7 | enrolled 1712:22 | equipment | 1801:20 |
| | | oncure 1566.6 | 1581:10,13,18 | ethane 1726:14 |
| eliminated | enclosed 1839:8 | ensure 1566:6 1647:7 1731:16 | 1604:10 1605:5, | othonal 1565.0 |
| 1794:5 | encounter | 1803:23 | 11 1629:24 | ethanol 1565:2 1576:2,4,5,17,20, |
| else's 1813:2 | 1687:3 | | 1630:6 1659:7 | 22,25 1577:11 |
| | | optoiled 1701.1 | 1669:2,7 1747:23 | |
| email 1709.4 | encourade | entailed 1791:4 | | 1614:13.23 |
| email 1709:4 1794:22 | encourage 1700:17 1825:12 | enter 1694:21 | 1752:5 1763:3,7, | 1614:13,23 1617:10,12,18,25 |
| 1794:22 | 1700:17 1825:12 | | 1752:5 1763:3,7, 25 1764:20 | |
| | 1700:17 1825:12 end 1569:12 | enter 1694:21 1695:11 1803:3 | 1752:5 1763:3,7, 25 1764:20 1828:10 1831:15 | 1617:10,12,18,25 1618:11,15,19 1620:8,12,13,17, |
| 1794:22 emails 1697:9 emergency | 1700:17 1825:12 end 1569:12 1617:11 1622:15 | enter 1694:21 1695:11 1803:3 entering 1659:14 | 1752:5 1763:3,7, 25 1764:20 1828:10 1831:15 1833:23 1834:14 | 1617:10,12,18,25 1618:11,15,19 1620:8,12,13,17, 22 1621:9,11,24 |
| 1794:22 emails 1697:9 emergency 1602:11 1603:15, | 1700:17 1825:12 end 1569:12 1617:11 1622:15 1644:22 1646:4 | enter 1694:21 1695:11 1803:3 | 1752:5 1763:3,7, 25 1764:20 1828:10 1831:15 | 1617:10,12,18,25 1618:11,15,19 1620:8,12,13,17, 22 1621:9,11,24 1622:5,11,19 |
| 1794:22 emails 1697:9 emergency 1602:11 1603:15, 18,20 1653:23 | 1700:17 1825:12 end 1569:12 1617:11 1622:15 | enter 1694:21 1695:11 1803:3 entering 1659:14 | 1752:5 1763:3,7, 25 1764:20 1828:10 1831:15 1833:23 1834:14 1835:9,10,13,16, 25 1841:15 | 1617:10,12,18,25 1618:11,15,19 1620:8,12,13,17, 22 1621:9,11,24 1622:5,11,19 1623:22 1624:3,8 |
| 1794:22 emails 1697:9 emergency 1602:11 1603:15, 18,20 1653:23 1667:1,4,9,11 | 1700:17 1825:12 end 1569:12 1617:11 1622:15 1644:22 1646:4 1656:7 1754:5 | enter 1694:21 1695:11 1803:3 entering 1659:14 enters 1631:12 entertain 1792:7 | 1752:5 1763:3,7, 25 1764:20 1828:10 1831:15 1833:23 1834:14 1835:9,10,13,16, 25 1841:15 equipped | 1617:10,12,18,25 1618:11,15,19 1620:8,12,13,17, 22 1621:9,11,24 1622:5,11,19 1623:22 1624:3,8 1628:14 1629:7, |
| 1794:22 emails 1697:9 emergency 1602:11 1603:15, 18,20 1653:23 1667:1,4,9,11 1668:24 1721:25 | 1700:17 1825:12 end 1569:12 1617:11 1622:15 1644:22 1646:4 1656:7 1754:5 1755:23 1834:10 | enter 1694:21 1695:11 1803:3 entering 1659:14 enters 1631:12 entertain 1792:7 entire 1573:4 | 1752:5 1763:3,7, 25 1764:20 1828:10 1831:15 1833:23 1834:14 1835:9,10,13,16, 25 1841:15 | 1617:10,12,18,25 1618:11,15,19 1620:8,12,13,17, 22 1621:9,11,24 1622:5,11,19 1623:22 1624:3,8 1628:14 1629:7, 25 1630:20 |
| 1794:22 emails 1697:9 emergency 1602:11 1603:15, 18,20 1653:23 1667:1,4,9,11 1668:24 1721:25 1724:22,25 | 1700:17 1825:12 end 1569:12 1617:11 1622:15 1644:22 1646:4 1656:7 1754:5 1755:23 1834:10 1844:20,21 | enter 1694:21 1695:11 1803:3 entering 1659:14 enters 1631:12 entertain 1792:7 entire 1573:4 1623:18 1636:4 | 1752:5 1763:3,7, 25 1764:20 1828:10 1831:15 1833:23 1834:14 1835:9,10,13,16, 25 1841:15 equipped 1668:25 1669:2 equitable | 1617:10,12,18,25 1618:11,15,19 1620:8,12,13,17, 22 1621:9,11,24 1622:5,11,19 1623:22 1624:3,8 1628:14 1629:7, 25 1630:20 1633:23 1635:1 |
| 1794:22 emails 1697:9 emergency 1602:11 1603:15, 18,20 1653:23 1667:1,4,9,11 1668:24 1721:25 1724:22,25 1725:7,9,15 | 1700:17 1825:12 end 1569:12 1617:11 1622:15 1644:22 1646:4 1656:7 1754:5 1755:23 1834:10 1844:20,21 1847:9 1854:8 1860:11,13 | enter 1694:21 1695:11 1803:3 entering 1659:14 enters 1631:12 entertain 1792:7 entire 1573:4 1623:18 1636:4 1680:15 1682:20 | 1752:5 1763:3,7, 25 1764:20 1828:10 1831:15 1833:23 1834:14 1835:9,10,13,16, 25 1841:15 equipped 1668:25 1669:2 | 1617:10,12,18,25 1618:11,15,19 1620:8,12,13,17, 22 1621:9,11,24 1622:5,11,19 1623:22 1624:3,8 1628:14 1629:7, 25 1630:20 1633:23 1635:1 1639:19 1640:5 |
| 1794:22 emails 1697:9 emergency 1602:11 1603:15, 18,20 1653:23 1667:1,4,9,11 1668:24 1721:25 1724:22,25 | 1700:17 1825:12 end 1569:12 1617:11 1622:15 1644:22 1646:4 1656:7 1754:5 1755:23 1834:10 1844:20,21 1847:9 1854:8 | enter 1694:21 1695:11 1803:3 entering 1659:14 enters 1631:12 entertain 1792:7 entire 1573:4 1623:18 1636:4 | 1752:5 1763:3,7, 25 1764:20 1828:10 1831:15 1833:23 1834:14 1835:9,10,13,16, 25 1841:15 equipped 1668:25 1669:2 equitable | 1617:10,12,18,25 1618:11,15,19 1620:8,12,13,17, 22 1621:9,11,24 1622:5,11,19 1623:22 1624:3,8 1628:14 1629:7, 25 1630:20 1633:23 1635:1 |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1644:8,14 1645:3, | events 1774:10 |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 6 1646:3,15 | 1856:25 |
| 1647:5,10 1680:18,22,23 | everyone's |
| 1718:15,24 | 1663:8 1740:3 |
| 1719:1,11 | evidence |
| 1720:17 1727:2 | 1564:17 1565:10 |
| 1750:11,14 | 1567:3,6 1570:25 |
| 1751:14,21 | 1572:9 1574:7,10 |
| 1752:9,12,19,22 | 1576:11 1606:17 |
| 1753:24 1754:10, | 1640:14 1651:19 |
| 13,20,22 1755:4, | 1652:21 1678:6 |
| 7,14,20 1756:1 | 1693:9,14 |
| 1758:24 1759:14, | 1694:17 1695:3,7 |
| 19 1760:1,4 | 1697:23 1699:9 |
| 1761:10 1763:3 | 1713:9 |
| 1764:1,13,18 | evidentiary |
| 1783:16,17,18,24 | 1570:16 |
| 1784:4,5,7,14 1786:15 1787:6,8 | evolves 1647:22 |
| 1788:19 1789:13, | |
| 15 1791:13,16,18 | exact 1600:24 1601:10 1754:2 |
| 1792:11,15,18,19, | 1001.10 1754.2 |
| 21,22,23 1801:2 | exactly 1592:7 |
| 1833:5 1844:25 | 1701:7 1705:4 |
| 1845:3 | 1743:16 1746:11 |
| Europe 1640:13, | 1774:23 1778:8 |
| 16 | 1838:19 1841:7 |
| European 1640:6 | 1842:9 1855:3 1857:22 1858:11 |
| - | |
| evaluate 1652:19 | EXAMINATION |
| 1686:1 1757:2 | 1568:8 |
| evaluated | examined 1568:4 |
| 1768:13 1786:3 | example 1775:12 |
| 1803:6 | 1810:14 1811:15 |
| evaluating | |
| 1654:13 1681:17 | excavate 1687:5 |
| evaluation | excavation |
| 1776:24 | 1722:3 |
| evening 1826:17 | excavator |
| 1864:4 | 1703:2,13,19 |
| event 1591:21 | exceed 1664:8 |
| 1611:1 1612:7 | exceeded |
| 1611:1 1612:7 1636:25 1654:19 | 1586:12 |
| 1668:21 1670:13 1674:17 1675:20 | except 1565:8 |
| 1677:21 1731:2 | exchange 1620:4 |
| 1742:5 1747:1 | exclusions |
| 1767:20 1774:11, | |
| 14,15 1781:3 | 1705.5 |
| | 1705:5 |
| 1809:2 1836:1 | exclusively |
| 1860:16,18,20 | exclusively 1594:12 1668:20 |
| | exclusively |

Excuse 1773:2 ents 1774:10 856:25 1823:13 ervone's excused 1658:7 663:8 1740:3 1864:1 idence execution 564:17 1565:10 1836:16 567:3,6 1570:25 exemption 572:9 1574:7,10 1723:22 576:11 1606:17 640:14 1651:19 exempts 1655:19 652:21 1678:6 exercise 1726:15 693:9.14 1738:8 694:17 1695:3,7 exhaustive 697:23 1699:9 713:9 1829:17 identiary exhibit 1567:6 570:16 1601:20 1606:14, olves 1647:22 act 1600:24 601:10 1754:2 actly 1592:7 701:7 1705:4 743:16 1746:11 774:23 1778:8 838:19 1841:7 842:9 1855:3 857:22 1858:11 **(AMINATION** 568:8 amined 1568:4 ample 1775:12 810:14 1811:15 cavate 1687:5 cavation 722:3 cavator 703:2,13,19 ceed 1664:8 ceeded 586:12 cept 1565:8 change 1620:4 clusions 705:5 clusively

23 1610:14 1615:14 1616:6,7 1619:6 1627:14 1632:15,20,21 1633:7 1664:13 1668:9 1672:7,11, 12 1678:25 1679:9,14,17 1682:15 1684:10, 18 1692:6,12 1696:14 1697:19, 22,24 1698:16 1699:6,12,14 1700:7 1702:6 1703:23 1704:6 1706:22 1707:25 1709:3 1713:2.10. 16 1714:22 1715:16 1737:21 1758:9 1807:25 1809:1,5,7 1813:4,11 1814:18 1818:22, 24 1819:13 1820:16,17 1821:1,8 1825:17, 19 1840:9,11 exhibits 1566:18 1606:6 existence 1767:2 1800:1 existing 1577:17 1687:21 1688:4 1733:12,14 1743:24 1787:13, 17 1792:3

1840:21,22 exists 1646:12,14 expand 1620:18 1640:5 1750:17

expanded 1646:2

expanding 1750:14 1787:1

expansion 1598:15 1759:1 1832:12,13

expect 1577:23 1726:5 1781:4 1858:18

expectation 1853:8 1857:12

expected 1726:7

expecting 1640:14 1818:25

expended 1760:18

expenditure 1785:7

expense 1714:12 1752:5 1754:8 1764:14 1858:9

expenses 1793:8

experience 1592:14 1666:8 1678:9 1723:25 1747:17,18 1761:16 1774:8 1792:10,11 1803:3 1827:7 1829:23 1836:7 1849:6,8 1850:2, 9,14 1854:3 1861:18

experienced 1710:7 1856:4

experiences 1827:11,15

experiencing 1709:16

experimenting 1829:13

Index: Europe..extreme expert 1582:2

1609:19 1613:7,8, 12 1622:8 1645:16 1674:10 1728:8 1799:6 1832:10

expertise 1784:18

experts 1613:10 1656:12

explain 1706:24 1716:9 1782:24 1783:4 1811:15 1845:9 1855:3 1856:5

explained 1716:5

explanation 1774:23

explore 1723:1

exposure 1672:19 1673:7 1674:6,13 1675:1 1679:11 1746:24

Express 1699:11

extend 1716:4 1720:9

extended 1776:21

extensive 1592:15 1830:9

extent 1599:25 1600:1 1609:2,5 1630:9 1634:7 1637:6 1669:10 1726:5 1730:9 1732:20 1759:5 1795:15 1818:16 1821:13

extra 1752:5 1754:8,9 1846:13

extraordinary 1816:18 1836:1

extrapolated 1710:9

extrapolates 1621:1

extreme 1564:16

Index: extremely..first

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | | | | • |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1671:6 | 1619:21 1693:9 1695:2,6 | 1812:1 | fees 1620:2 | file 1606:11 1696:20,21 |
| extremely 1597:24 1608:8 | factual 1630:13 | farmers 1576:3 1577:18 1621:11 | feet 1611:20,23 1613:3 1686:12, | 1825:4,18 |
| 1746:3 1782:7 1800:24,25 | fail 1853:3 | 1641:12,21 1751:2 1817:9 | 24 1687:13 1688:10 1722:23 | filed 1568:13,25 1582:1 1701:3 |
| eyes 1788:25 | fail-safe 1855:10 | farming 1619:11 | 1723:4 1725:16, 17 1747:20 | 1758:9 1794:9 1813:4 1821:11 |
| 1789:3 1794:23 | failure 1677:19, 23 1684:11 | 1858:12 | 1749:17,25 | filing 1715:8,16 |
| F | 1773:16 | farmland 1751:1 1806:3 1810:19 | 1750:2,4 1773:13 1846:7,8 1857:25 | final 1664:5 |
| facilitate 1807:3 | fair 1584:20 1611:3,4 1644:20 | 1850:20 Farms 1822:6 | Fehr 1832:17 | finalize 1682:25 |
| facilities 1640:5 | 1652:23 1693:3,4, 20 1702:24 | farther 1737:25 | felt 1688:22 1736:1,7 1806:19, | 1683:3 1803:20, 21 |
| 1648:18 1755:21 1764:7,8 1766:5 | 1704:25 1714:21 | fashion 1646:20 | 24 1824:1 | finalized 1663:7 |
| 1769:17 1770:1,3 1778:24 1779:2 | 1750:12 1752:9 1784:21 1801:20 | 1700:22 1730:22 | fence 1671:9,13 1858:7 | 1664:4 1800:18 finance 1764:19 |
| 1782:14,15 | 1802:15 1816:15 | fault 1703:14 | fenced 1843:13 | 1785:2,6,19 |
| 1791:13 1792:3 1841:3,6 1847:9 | fairly 1724:19 1760:14 1839:9 1843:15 1844:7 | feasibility 1741:17,20 1742:7,10,13,22 | fenced-in 1843:10 | 1786:3 financial 1785:13 |
| 1853:2 facility 1627:6 | fall 1709:21 | feasible 1627:8 | fermentation | financing |
| 1629:20 1659:5 | 1768:8 | 1756:16 | 1623:23 1630:19 1751:22 1754:14, | 1786:1,2 find 1564:3 |
| 1660:18,20 1724:2 1769:1 | falls 1746:15 1767:23 1768:6 | feature 1744:9 | 20 1755:12 | 1585:7 1687:3 |
| 1771:6 1775:13, 19 1778:18,20,23 | false 1695:9,15, | features 1583:8 1600:12,13 | fertilizer 1751:17 1752:18 | 1742:15 1759:22 1770:17,18,22 |
| 1785:2 1791:2,5,8 1843:6,16 | 16 1850:11 | 1759:12 1828:6 | fiber 1848:22 | fine 1641:15 |
| 1846:23 1851:23 | familiar 1579:17 1580:22 1597:8, | federal 1586:11 1587:10,15 | 1849:1,21 1850:1, 2,3,4,10,19,23 | 1696:9 1699:23 1793:23 |
| fact 1589:5,7 1611:5 1613:2 | 20 1625:14 1641:25 1649:18 | 1593:10 1595:7, 11,22 1598:4,13 | 1851:1 | fined 1572:21 |
| 1619:14 1624:12 1626:5,25 1629:2, | 1651:1 1655:1,3,4 1661:21 1674:12 | 1613:5 1626:13 1677:7 1712:21 | fictitious 1673:15 | finish 1729:23 1834:10 |
| 12,15 1631:11 | 1698:4 1699:1,3 1700:19 1719:22 | 1714:17,18 1720:19 1744:3 | field 1613:21 | fire 1603:1 1604:4 |
| 1633:25 1635:17 1646:16 1648:5 | 1764:15 1783:5 | federally 1677:5 | 1632:8 1668:22, 23 1671:13,16 | 1667:20 1729:19 1730:11 1779:19, |
| 1650:15 1651:24 1656:21 1696:10 | 1789:11 families 1619:10 | Federation | 1686:1 1699:9 1781:11,16,22 | 21 1853:16 |
| 1731:17 1735:1 1754:13 1756:4 | family 1677:6 | 1713:15 | 1852:20 1857:22 | fired 1755:7 |
| 1773:10 1814:21 1828:17 1841:12 | 1822:6 | feed 1645:9 1751:6 1840:4,5 | 1858:21 field-level | firefighter 1725:10 |
| 1864:2 | farm 1713:15 1714:17 1755:20 | feedback | 1757:10 | firemen 1780:3 |
| factor 1622:9,10 1733:22 1735:24 | 1805:2 1810:19, 20 1811:10,22 | 1851:18 feel 1667:18 | fields 1671:5 | first 1564:10 1565:14 1567:13 |
| 1845:7,9,17 | 1825:5 1835:10, 13 | 1670:3,4 1730:24 | fieldwork 1857:16 | 1568:3 1569:4,25 |
| factors 1622:4 1625:3 1676:3 | farmer 1646:15 | 1731:16 1824:2,6 1826:23 1859:5 | fifteen 1764:10 | 1571:19 1577:17 1586:5 1592:17 |
| | 1647:13 1671:15 | feeling 1673:6 | fighting 1683:17 | 1597:12 1602:7, 20 1603:20,22 |
| 1692:4 1733:22 | 1708:23 1709:16, | - | | 20 1003.20,22 |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| OBTIEARING 05/03 | "ZUZ |
|---|--|
| 1607:14,25 1649:14 1650:11 1661:14 1667:10 1668:18,20 1693:15 1696:11 1726:15 1730:7, 17 1733:24 1743:9 1744:5 1747:15,16 1748:23 1752:24 1765:10 1772:2 1779:24 1780:17 1781:1 1782:19 1783:10 1791:7 1827:4 1828:18 1830:24 1831:13, 18,21 1833:8 1838:12 1853:13, 14 1854:4 1857:1 | 24 18 21 flov Flo 17 17 fluc 16 flui 16 flui 18 FOI |
| fit 1662:12 1678:12 1787:23 | 16 16 16 |
| five 1674:8 1686:7,12 1688:2 1711:7 1720:13 1755:22 1803:17 1812:4 1826:7 1827:24 1839:5 1857:23 | 17 17 18 foll 17 17 17 |
| five- 1813:21 | 18 18 |
| five-minute 1826:5 | foll 17 |
| fix 1711:12,16,23 | foll |
| fixing 1803:10 | foll |
| flammable 1746:22 1829:24 1830:11 | 17 18 18 |
| flange 1665:9 | foll |
| flexibility 1615:1 1715:18 1786:16 1787:5 1818:21 | foo 18 foo |
| flippant 1729:16 | 16 |
| flipped 1571:18 | 16 16 |
| flow 1608:21,23, 24 1609:8,11 1612:9 1661:25 1663:15 1676:24 1726:6 1727:19 1731:22 1746:21 1748:16 1776:14 1847:13 1849:17, | 16 17 18 18 18 foo 17 |

| 23 | |
|--|--|
| 4 1851:3,23 852:5 1855:20, 1 1860:2 | 1806:4,10 1828:13,14 1839:2 184 |
| wing 1642:19 | 1844:1 186 |
| 5yd 1708:15 710:19 1739:16, | for-profit 1836:6 |
| 7,18,19 ctuating 622:4 | force 1695 1696:3 172 1745:19 |
| id 1635:21 637:18,20,22 | forces 169 1695:25 |
| cused 1576:12 | forcing 16 |
| 815:6 | forefront |
| IA 1723:21,22 ks 1592:13 | foreign 15 25 1580:20 |
| 616:23 1645:9 690:5 1693:3 695:23 1771:13 | forever 16 1626:24 16 25 1681:21 |
| 772:7 1781:8 784:3 1826:14 850:13 | forget 161 1649:18 16 |
| low 1648:7 700:24 1702:20 | forgive 16 1849:3 |
| 703:5,10 1722:1 | forgot 186 |
| 777:20 1779:15 825:17 1849:2 864:8 | form 1574 1588:25 17 1820:21 18 |
| low-up 707:21 | 17 |
| lowed 1860:16 | formed 15 1765:18 |
| lowing 1682:9 | former 172 |
| 704:14 1814:15 825:20 1863:19 864:7 | forms 180 1856:14 |
| lows 1568:4 | formula 17 |
| 5)proof 850:16 | formulate 1749:9 |
| ot 1610:10,23 611:18 1665:13 | forth 1683 1835:21 |
| 686:7,22 687:18,20 | forward 16 1864:12 |
| 688:2,3,4,6,8,13 722:12,20 774:12 1836:2 | found 168 1772:19 18 |
| 857:22,23 858:7,15 | foundation 1569:13 15 |
| 577 511 517 511 517 511 517 511 517 511 517 511 517 511 517 511 517 511 511 | 1679:3 169 6,19 1698: 1736:6 |

28:13.14 39:2 1843:23 44:1 1863:12 profit 1805:8 36:6 **e** 1695:15,19 96:3 1727:21 45:19 ces 1694:24 95:25 **;ing** 1600:5 efront 1647:6 eign 1573:19, 1580:20 ever 1625:21 26:24 1644:23, 1681:21 **1615:5** 49:18 1656:2 **ive** 1647:10 49:3 **jot** 1860:23 **n** 1574:6,19 88:25 1747:5 20:21 1823:10, ned 1577:19 65:18 ner 1725:10 **ns** 1807:25 56:14 nula 1716:5,9 nulate 1726:9 49:9 **h** 1683:17 35:21 vard 1606:4 64:12 nd 1684:23 72:19 1862:24 ndation 69:13 1570:13

79:3 1697:1,4, 9 1698:20 1736:6

1702:6 founded 1681:3 four 1598:4 1620:21 1676:14 1686:21,24 1687:13 1688:8, 10,12 1708:6 1722:12 1825:24 1836:2 1838:7,12 1839:5 1857:22 1858:7,15

foundational

1584:18 1657:10

four-foot 1686:17 1687:7

fracking 1790:20,24

fraction 1656:2 1719:8 1755:11

fracture 1651:14, 17 1656:4,6,9,13

frame 1792:13 1825:19,25

frankly 1727:20

fraud 1572:22

Fremont 1680:2, 7 1681:7,22

frequent 1722:3 1831:24

frequently 1667:18

Frideres 1830:15

front 1599:7 1622:23 1712:15 1754:5 1755:23 1789:2 1844:21 1860:11

frost 1665:15

frustrating 1657:3

fuel 1614:18 1617:5 1618:16 1622:17 1624:10 1629:11 1638:16 1639:25 1640:4, 16,19,22 1641:9 1644:16 1646:3

1755:14 1786:23 1787:6 1790:7 fuels 1640:7 1641:18 1642:3, 13 1646:12 1707:25 fulfill 1714:10

1691:14 1721:17

Index: fit..gas

full 1592:23 1597:1 1609:2,5

fully 1577:23 1685:14 1720:25 1721:5 1862:24

function 1659:8

functional 1854:21

fund 1581:2

funds 1580:20

fusion 1846:1

future 1583:22 1584:3 1626:4 1633:23 1788:4 1798:2 1814:5 1815:10,19 1818:18 1838:18

G

gain 1618:18 1644:16 1691:14

gaining 1753:1

gallons 1620:21 1660:10,17,20,21 1719:17

game 1806:20,24 1816:15

gas 1624:16 1626:2 1635:15, 21,22 1637:2,19, 22.25 1638:3.11 1654:18 1674:25 1675:10,13,16,19, 24 1690:19 1703:1,19 1706:1 1726:5,13 1733:12 1734:1,4, 10,12 1735:20 1736:15,18

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

1739:24 1747:3.5. Goldfield generated 8.9.10 1751:18 1653:3.10 1742:16 1755:11 1754:23 1755:2,7 1761:9,10 generating 1829:19,20 1839:24 1831:16,19 1853:6 gentleman 1621:3 1647:24 gas-fired 1822:7 1849:3 1754:15 gentlemen gaseous 1637:16 1696:6 gases 1625:8 geohazard 1685:10,19,25 gaskets 1766:7 1688:24 1731:23 gate 1680:15 1756:24 1757:12, gather 1783:10 13,20 1773:4,21 1860:3,12 gathered geohazards 1783:12 1685:13 1757:3 gathering 1694:7 geological 1761:14,16 1686:3 1757:4,19 gave 1678:20 1715:18 1795:20 geology 1686:3 **GDP** 1619:2 Gillett 1758:14 **GIS** 1583:13 general 1588:11 1652:8 1661:3 **GIS-BASED** 1673:21 1682:19 1583:7 1714:5 1724:9,14, 17 1743:1 1755:6 **qist** 1587:23 1771:17 1796:16 1620:15 give 1569:20 generally 1597:20 1627:3 1584:2 1595:3 1649:21 1655:3,4 1603:6,9 1614:8, 1660:12 1673:5, 18 1679:14 1690:23 1698:2 21 1676:9 1683:20 1689:6 1699:6,13 1705:6 1709:8 1714:25 1754:2 1714:11 1715:15 1763:6 1795:16 1718:9,24 1837:9 1846:19 1719:18,22,24 1857:20 1859:8 1722:25 1756:15 giving 1634:1 1777:13 1778:5 1657:10 1795:19 1798:13 1806:9 1807:12, glad 1677:14 15 1808:4 1809:7 1734:23 1822:6 1816:17 1833:12 6 global 1624:6,22 1861:18 1642:16 generate globally 1639:22 1575:10,13,16 1721:1 1725:12 goal 1814:8 1728:7 1836:17 **Godfrey** 1613:15,

16

1758:15 good 1564:2 1567:17,18 1568:10,11 1576:12 1587:4, 11 1798:23 16 1598:2 1602:18,22 1604:6 1616:4 22 1643:24 1644:2 1657:25 1658:11 1804:24 1661:3 1676:1 1678:16.18 grassland 1694:6 1702:10, 1744:3 18,19 1707:18,19 1716:13 1731:1 1744:5 1746:4 1765:3,4 1774:23 1793:23 1794:1,2, 4 1801:9,22 1803:4 1812:16 20 1722:12 1825:1 1826:17 1848:25 goodness 1587:20 greenhouse gouge 1809:23 governing 1654:11 government 1572:21 1587:10, 18,20,23 15 1595:7,11,23 1598:4 1626:13 1677:8 1693:19 1720:24 1741:23,24 Governor 1850:14 1692:19 governor's 1692:18 grab 1826:14 15 grade 1650:23 1651:7,12 1835:23 1846:13 gradual 1666:1,4, grain 1641:12 grains 1751:8 Gruenhagen grandfather 1655:18 5,18 1713:1,3,8,

Index: gas-fired..halted grandfathered 17.18 1716:13 1654:24 1655:5 1799:11 1818:15 grant 1576:15 quarantee 1594:23 1688:18 1787:7 granted 1715:6, guaranteed 1802:6 granting 1794:9, guess 1597:21 1600:23 1608:10 1612:19 1624:4 grass 1712:6 1632:14 1634:11 1652:24 1653:4 1667:20 1684:9 1700:6,23 1714:4 1724:19 1734:6 grease 1766:7 1738:1,3 1748:13 1756:18 1801:3 great 1571:21 1832:5 1833:17 1649:19 1775:14 1851:9 1859:20 1848:19 1855:13 guidance greater 1611:9, 1595:21 1655:10 1673:5 1700:6 greatest 1853:15 1828:19 1859:8 Green 1681:6 quidebook 1603:23 1725:14, 15 1624:16 1625:8 guideline gross 1619:9 1770:24 ground 1598:14 guidelines 1676:1 1768:15, 1595:25 1655:23 1860:16 grounds 1570:13 guillotine group 1735:22 1599:15 1677:19 Gulf 1618:24 1744:18 1831:8 1828:1 guy 1579:2 groups 1605:4 1785:3,19 1741:24 1783:11 1805:22 1859:6 Grove 1758:14, guys 1861:6 grow 1801:23,25 н 1802:6,8,17 growing 1689:22 half 1620:21 grows 1801:19 1675:20 1688:10 1737:2,24 1740:7 growth 1837:25 1780:23 1805:13 1814:17 1823:3 1567:10 1707:14, 1824:14 15,17,20 1709:3, halted 1564:21

Index: Hamm..hold

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Hamm 1580:13. harder 1751:1 1631:15,17,20 high 1603:1 1816:6 1826:21, 16 1626:2 1650:1 24 1829:8,9,20 1632:17,19,25 1621:25 1622:1 Harlan 1760:16 1633:4 1634:4,9 1836:5 1837:2 1624:12 1708:14 Hampton 1714:16 1715:4 harmed 1703:17 1846:21 1860:25 1637:4,10 1643:7, 1758:11 10 1652:2,9 1742:13.22 Harold 1580:13 hearing 1564:9 hand 1567:22 1656:19,22 1746:3 1765:10 1566:2 1567:6 1626:2 1667:8 1769:22 1657:14,18,21 1772:24 1779:19 1616:6 1632:19, 1658:2,11 1780:2,6 1801:7 hate 1786:8 handed 1709:10 21 1633:7 1664:19,23 1830:22 1853:10 high-1713:9 1658:10 1672:12 1672:8,10 1679:1, hazard 1607:9 1679:14 1682:15 consequence handful 1576:25 5,12 1682:12,14 1684:14,18 1608:1 1726:1 1585:16.23 1684:14,17 1577:11 1617:10 1692:11 1697:24 1598:3 1608:25 1774:1 1822:15 1686:14,18 1624:3 1639:19 1699:6 1703:23 1650:13 1665:13 1692:10 1693:7, 1727:1 hazardous 1704:6 1709:3 1669:25 1677:1,4, 10,23 1694:2 1583:24 1585:7 handle 1565:20, 1713:1,10,14,15 7 1678:3,24 1695:1,4 1696:16, 1593:5 1595:4,9 1714:22 1715:23. 23 1566:23 1737:4 1738:12 19,24 1697:10,15, 1596:8 1597:12 25 1740:19 1774:21,24 23 1698:17 handout 1713:7 1602:8 1614:11 1804:25 1806:14 1775:15,20 1699:4,21 1643:17 1678:21 1814:21 1823:7 hands 1587:22 1859:25 1700:11 1701:15, 1686:5 1745:23 1826:9 1864:14 25 1702:8,13 hands-off high-level 1747:3 1779:6 hearsay 1569:13 1703:25 1704:5 1742:19,20 1769:18 **HCA** 1689:9,12 1570:13,15 1707:13 1713:11, high-populated hanging 1578:2 1726:20 1737:7 14 1716:16 heat 1754:17 1774:25 1776:3,12 1732:19,22 happen 1588:19 1842:19 heats 1659:17 1733:3 1736:5,8, high-probability 1608:7 1610:2 19 1740:11,20 HCAS 1597:13,23 1748:13 1617:24 1636:15 heavier 1675:4,8, 1760:10 1764:23 1646:8 1666:20 1598:2,5,13,17 10,11 1845:16,18 high-risk 1747:1 1788:21 1789:5 1706:8 1768:11, 1599:2 1609:4 1846:13 1774:13 1793:19 1794:14 17 1781:3 1673:12 1689:20, 1795:7 1796:23 heavies 1675:23 1791:23 1815:22, 23 1727:8 1732:2 higher 1621:2 1797:4,20 1800:3, 24 1820:18 1744:25 1745:8 1622:16 1642:19 heavy 1835:9,16 13 1806:5 1818:7 1840:15 1860:18 1774:18 1776:3 1644:16 1667:21 1819:22 1820:1, heck 1746:14 1777:1 1676:9,11 1750:9, happened 12 1821:16,20 10,25 1751:4 he'll 1714:25 hedge 1580:20 1570:3 1589:24 1823:12,15 1754:12 1769:8 1772:14 1783:14 1662:18 1731:3 1826:3,10 held 1615:18 1838:22 highly 1598:9,11 1820:19 1821:3,4 1863:17,24 1654:8 1708:15 1825:14,21 1706:6 1864:10 head 1578:2 1788:24 1793:5 happening 1680:8 1718:18 1798:3 hills 1773:17 Hello 1822:3 1598:14 1623:25 1735:4,13 Helland 1564:2 hires 1766:5,6,16 help 1576:20 1855:9 1736:16 1757:17, 1565:12 1566:9, 1614:13 1618:15 23 1802:10 hiring 1683:17 21 1567:7,16,18, happens 1646:6 1621:16 1624:6,8, health 1672:21, 21 1568:3,5 1695:24 1789:7 history 1750:21 9 1639:2 1641:5 24 1673:22 1569:2,15,23 1836:11 1799:20.21 1723:7 1731:4 1571:3,8,16 1815:1 1834:7 1745:2 1748:23 healthy 1673:17 hit 1606:25 1844:4,5 1848:22 1574:8,17,24 1781:10 1794:8 hear 1782:7,10 1577:3,6,8 hits 1832:7 happy 1591:23 helping 1750:14 1588:23 1589:1, 1790:11 1817:25 1848:15 1607:21 1612:18 11,14 1590:20,25 1822:3 1824:15 helps 1567:4 1664:5 1724:16 hoc 1780:1 1833:17 1856:21 1591:12,25 1642:20 1727:4 1594:4,7 1595:13 hold 1574:17 heard 1712:20 hesitate 1715:15 hard 1640:14 1606:10,21 1634:18 1653:12 1774:21.23 1802:11,20 1615:7,25 1616:2, 1699:4 1701:15 hierarchy 1780:9 1782:23 5,9 1630:8,12,16 1661:13 1784:25 1814:23

Index: holds..indirect

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| holds 1581:7 1793:11 home 1587:12 | hundred 1572:3, 4 1600:2 1647:1 1649:13 1680:10 | 1738:8 1860:12 identities 1574:22 | impossible 1572:13 1592:22 1738:19 | incorporate 1586:8 1731:25 1774:18 1776:2 |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| | 1703:13 1770:9 | | improper | 1777:17,18 |
| Homeland 1660:2 1661:17 | 1779:8 hundreds 1583:8 | identity 1574:4 IFBF 1713:9 | 1574:23 | incorporated 1582:9 1584:8 |
| 1662:4 1719:14 | 1726:22 | ignitable 1674:25 | 1599:23 1669:8 | 1789:25 1860:1,8 |
| homeowner 1782:17 | hydraulic 1663:11 1851:20 | 1675:2,3,18 | inappropriate 1732:15 | incorporating 1669:22 1776:25 |
| homes 1782:15 | 1852:11 | ignition 1747:2 | | incorrect |
| honestly 1835:11 | hydraulics 1649:4 | illustrated 1821:8 | incapable 1604:18 | 1628:13 1646:11 1729:11 |
| Honor 1567:4,14 | hypothetical | imagine 1801:14 | incentive | increase 1621:17 |
| 1568:7,23 1569:25 1571:14 | 1811:24 1813:16, | immediate | 1738:24 | 1659:16 1750:18 |
| 1696:23 1707:15 | 17,19 1814:1 | 1578:20 1672:24 | inches 1687:20, | 1763:14 1803:16 |
| 1713:8,17 | hypothetically | immediately | 24,25 1835:4,5 | 1815:11 |
| 1716:18 1740:10 | 1613:25 | 1604:14 1774:11 | 1858:16,17 | increased |
| 1795:3 1799:24 1819:21 1823:9 | hypotheticals | | incident 1653:17 | 1643:3 |
| 1863:23 | 1813:10 | impact 1585:14, 20,22 1587:18 | 1669:13 1671:16 | increases |
| | | 1597:16,23 | 1684:24 1705:8 1772:18,19 | 1620:19,22,23 |
| hope 1640:12,20, 22,23 1642:6 | | 1608:7 1621:8,9 | 1773:23 1780:18 | 1763:10,15 |
| 1681:1,2,4,6 | | 1641:20 1655:14 | 1781:13 1782:13 | increasing |
| 1724:5,6 1750:13 | ICE 1639:15 | 1660:24 1726:25 1747:22 1753:16 | 1809:14,18 | 1623:6,11 |
| 1801:1,3 1804:18 | idea 1587:11,16 | 1768:24 1804:7,9, | 1810:2,5 1828:16 | incredibly |
| 1809:11 1822:10 | 1588:19 1616:4 | 12,15 1842:16,18 | 1850:16 1859:18 1862:23 | 1589:8 1591:3 |
| hoping 1842:24 | 1619:8 1657:9 | 1863:10 | | incurred 1793:8 |
| horse 1599:7 | 1680:5 1743:10 1781:3 1783:6,13 | impacted 1682:2 | incidents 1828:16 1848:14 | index 1772:24 |
| hospitals | 1802:6 1812:7 | 1748:14 1777:14 | include 1625:2 | indicate 1854:18 |
| 1772:12 | ideal 1770:24 | impartiality | 1649:1 1725:2,4,6 | |
| host 1733:7 | | 1694:8 | 1727:16 1793:14 | indicated 1600:25 1641:18 |
| hour 1676:14,18 1852:5 | ideas 1646:5 1827:1 | impeded 1733:13 implemented | 1807:25 included 1578:12 | 1790:6 |
| | identical 1638:9, | 1641:19 | 1703:11 1799:4 | indicates |
| hours 1673:10 | 12 | implies 1816:15 | includes | 1672:23 |
| House 1806:13 | identification 1681:12 | import 1770:22 | 1635:20,21,22 | indicating 1695:17 1716:22 |
| houses 1773:11 | | • | 1808:7 1809:1 | indication |
| housing 1598:16 | identified 1678:14 1809:22 | important 1591:3 1604:23 1607:10, | including 1566:7 1570:15 1586:13 | 1665:15 1843:12 |
| However 1583:7 1602:14 1613:17 | identifies 1598:4 | 13,17,24,25 1608:3 1658:23 | 1596:17 1608:18 1639:24 1648:12 | 1849:18 1851:4 1855:20,21,23 |
| 1665:6 1759:25 | identify 1669:15, 23 1673:11 | 1674:22 1677:8 1705:16 1728:12 | 1680:1 1733:7 | indicator |
| HPOS 1860:1 | 23 1673:11 1685:24 1745:8,9, | 1729:8 1735:24 | 1743:5 1759:24 1790:7 1793:9 | 1853:19 1854:25 |
| Hs 1813:4,11 | 14 1746:6 | 1737:8 1759:18 | 1819:12 | indicators |
| 1818:22,24 | 1747:13 1748:20 | 1760:4 1773:21 | income 1721:2,4 | 1663:19,22 |
| 1819:13 1821:8 1825:17,19 | 1759:6 1773:25 1790:15 1841:14 | 1809:9 1863:1 | | 1666:12 1849:24 1855:24 |
| | | impose 1662:23 | inconvenience 1683:25 | indirect 1573:19 |
| humidity 1676:12 | identifying | imposed 1661:19 | 1000.20 | 1645:8 1766:6,12, |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 22,24 | 1671:25 1672:3,5 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| indirectly | 1685:14 1690:1,8 |
| 1576:21 | 1694:7 1710:18 |
| | 1723:20,22 |
| individual | 1724:21 1725:18 |
| 1573:13 1602:5 | 1726:12 1727:13, |
| 1660:2 1677:6 | 16,25 1728:21 |
| 1684:1 1830:14 | 1729:13 1733:1, |
| individually | 25 1734:4,20,21 |
| 1573:15 | 1736:1 1742:9 |
| | 1743:21,22,23 |
| individuals | 1749:8 1757:18 |
| 1572:5 1608:4 | 1759:9 1779:20 |
| 1724:1 1744:18 | 1780:11,25 |
| 1785:21 | 1782:16 1783:3,9 |
| indoor 1674:12 | 12 1799:7 1824:6 |
| 1074.12 | 1829:3,9 1838:23 |
| indoors 1670:10 | 1846:21 1849:22 |
| 1673:8 | 1856:15,19,22 |
| industrial | 1858:24 1862:18 |
| 1626:13 1740:5 | informational |
| 1751:19 | 1708:13,14 |
| 1751.19 | 1716:6 1760:15, |
| industries | 24,25 |
| 1565:2 1751:13, | |
| 15 1754:3 | informed 1798:3 |
| 1770:19 | 1822:25 1824:1,3 |
| inductry 1614.04 | 4,12 |
| industry 1614:24 1636:22 1660:25 | informs 1708:23 |
| 1722:18 1743:19 | |
| 1750:11 1752:3,8 | infrastructure |
| 1754:7 1761:5 | 1580:9 1687:21 |
| 1827:8 1846:18 | 1733:14 1762:6 |
| 1027.0 1040.10 | 1767:13 1787:24 |
| inept 1588:6 | 1788:3 1840:22 |
| inflation | 1841:5 1848:16 |
| 1803:12,17 | infrequently |
| 1003.12,17 | 1761:19 |
| inform 1613:1 | 1701.10 |
| 1724:24 | ingress/egress |
| information | 1807:7,21 |
| 1574:14 1575:5 | 1808:15,17 |
| | 1812:2,17,21 |
| 1583:9,10 | 1813:7,15 |
| 1586:22 1587:16 | inherent 1597:6 |
| 1590:2 1591:4 1592:11,20 | |
| 1593:8 1598:18 | initial 1578:7 |
| 1600:17 1602:13, | 1582:13,16,20 |
| 19 1603:8,9 | 1583:3,18 1584:4 |
| 1604:25 1605:12, | 19,24 1599:1 |
| 13,17,24 1607:14 | 1600:10 1649:1 |
| 1612:17 1613:6 | 1655:20,21 |
| 1626:19,21 | 1743:15 1744:13 |
| 1650:18,20 | 1765:16 1804:21 |
| 1666:22 1668:12 | initially 1583:16 |
| 1000.22 1000.12 | |
| | |

1647:20 1772:1 5 1672:3.5 4 1690:1.8 initiated 1827:1 1710:18 0.22 inject 1631:7 1 1725:18 1690:23 1763:11 2 1727:13, injected 1626:14 728:21 1659:4 3 1733:1, 4:4,20,21 injection 1616:19 1631:9 1718:1,3,7 1742:9 1,22,23 **injured** 1705:18 1757:18 1706:3 1779:20 1,25 6 1783:3,9, 13 9:7 1824:6 .9 1838:23 1 1849:22 5,19,22 4 1862:18 1851:16 ational 3,14 1843:20 1760:15, **d** 1798:3 5 1824:1,3, 1708:23 ucture 1834:19 1687:21 4 1762:6 instability 3 1787:24 1772:20 1840:22 1848:16 ently /egress ,21 5,17 ,17,21 1804:14 15 installing t 1597:6 1755:19 578:7 3.16.20 18 1584:4. 599:1 0 1649:1 0.21

injury 1799:2,5, **input** 1622:12 inputs 1614:3 1678:1,10 inside 1758:8 insight 1789:22 inspect 1665:14 inspection 1655:21 1809:21 1843:20 1849:15 inspectors install 1842:20 1850:5,21 installation 1655:20 1714:9 1764:19 1807:6 installed 1581:19 1668:2 1711:8 instance 1661:13 1671:17 1676:4 1688:10,14,24 1696:1 1725:7,15 1733:9 1739:16 1774:8 1780:21, 22 1827:20 1853:17

instructions 1700:16 instrumentation 1851:16 insufficient 1669:15 1682:20 insurance 1682:18,19 1683:4,9 1704:21 1705:1,12 1706:14,17 1796:10 1798:15, 17 1799:6,22 1800:1,12 1801:3, 10 1803:3,6,8,16, 22,23 1822:8,10

instances

1667:16

integrity 1597:17 1609:3 1677:25 1731:25 1732:6 1774:5,14 1828:13 1845:19 1846:12 1860:7, 21

intelligent 1596:21

```
intend 1565:19,
 22 1566:16,23
 1581:13 1628:11,
 15 1629:8 1662:9.
 17 1667:5
 1690:14,15
 1691:17 1700:18
 1721:1 1738:21
 1795:1 1817:23
```

intended 1646:2 1748:20 1836:17 1860:22

intending 1691:16

intends 1583:24 1629:16

intensity 1614:17 1624:9 1641:8 1644:14 1646:19 1691:13 1721:12, 18

intent 1578:10 1587:17 1588:13

Index: indirectly..introduce

1633:21 1662:14 1704:23 1705:14 1723:15 1788:16 1789:7,12,14,17, 22 1791:16

intention 1633:14 1662:11, 18 1668:9 1794:25

interactions 1584:18 1726:23

interest 1572:25 1576:25 1696:15 1698:7 1783:19, 22 1784:9,10 1797:22

interested 1593:24 1603:7 1671:23 1692:20 1718:23

interests 1575:17 1577:12, 20 1580:16 1626:3

interference 1759:16

internal 1638:17 1639:15 1809:20

international 1786:18

interpret 1750:6

interpreted 1705:13

interpreting 1704:19

interrupt 1714:9 1753:13 1846:9

interruption 1777:15

interstate 1593:22

intervals 1599:13 1649:11

intimately 1783:5 1789:10

introduce 1703:22

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Index: introduced..keyword

| IUB HEARING 09/0 | 5/2023 | | Index | : Introducedkeyword |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| introduced 1729:18,19 1832:11 introduces 1723:6 1746:17 introducing 1829:24 1830:2, 11 intrusive 1812:25 invades 1795:4 | 1597:24 1599:20 1600:7,10 1604:2, 15 1609:1,2,23 1610:2 1614:12, 24 1617:10,12 1618:9,15 1619:11 1620:20 1621:17,22 1622:2,20 1624:4 1629:23 1635:1 1637:6 1639:18, 19,24 1640:15 1641:17 1642:3 | 1791:11 irreparable 1833:25 IRS 1625:11,20 issue 1564:23 1566:6,13 1570:12 1657:13, 16 1664:24 1726:24 1734:24 1758:2 1760:15 1830:21 1834:12 1834:12 | job 1587:14 1678:16 1694:6 1696:9 1801:22 1812:16 jobs 1766:1,3,4,9, 11,19,20 Joel 1582:6 John 1569:15 Johnson 1805:3 joke 1724:7 | 1679:7,13,15,19 1680:20 1682:8, 11,15,16,17 1684:10,16,18,19, 20 1686:19,20 1688:21 1690:17 1691:21 1692:6, 11,13,15 1693:11, 12 1694:4,19 1695:6,8 1696:13 1697:5,7,10,13, 18,24 1698:1,3, 16,25 1699:5,7, |
| invest 1801:21 | 1643:19 1645:20, | 1842:12 1854:18 | Jon 1785:13,19 | 13,23 1700:18 |
| invested 1783:25 | 23 1646:15 1649:12 1659:12, 23 1660:3,5,8 | issues 1564:12 1565:7 1566:19 1590:8 1724:16 | Jorde 1569:4 1570:12,20 | 1701:7,12 1702:2, 10,21 1703:5,7 1707:5,22 1723:9, |
| investigate 1849:20 1852:10 | 1661:8,12 | 1728:15 1779:17 | 1571:9,19,20,21, 23 1574:9,10,13, | 17 1725:24 |
| 1855:17 1856:2 | 1666:18,20 | 1798:10 | 15 1575:1,2,3 | 1728:7 1736:4,6 |
| | 1668:19 1670:15, 24 1673:25 | ISU 1710:6 | 1577:6,7,9,21 | 1738:7 1743:14 1748:25 1756:23 |
| investigation 1651:4 1684:11 | 1679:24 1680:19 | item 1833:13 | 1578:4 1582:2,24 | 1763:1 1768:5 |
| 1743:17 1810:4 | 1682:9,10 | | 1585:11 1587:10 | 1776:19 1781:17 |
| | 1685:10,11,16 | iteration 1744:7 | 1588:12,21 | 1784:1 1785:1 |
| investigative | 1687:6,11 | iterations | 1589:1,3,4,15,16 | 1789:23 1793:1 |
| 1859:23 | 1691:12 1692:18 | 1743:25 | 1591:1,2,21,25 1592:2,6,9,14 | 1819:9 1864:5 |
| investing | 1694:6,18 | iterative 1731:7 | 1593:9 1594:4,5, | Jorde's 1736:10 |
| 1801:23 | 1695:21 1706:25 1707:25 1713:15 | | 9,10,16 1595:19 | |
| investment | 1717:2,16,22,25 | IUB 1572:13 | 1597:3 1602:25 | judge 1683:18 |
| 1574:1 1575:7 | 1719:2 1721:10 | 1578:11 1594:13 1596:4 1600:8,24 | 1605:16 1606:6, | judgment |
| 1580:20 1764:11 | 1733:8,16,17 | 1613:25 1745:15, | 13,24 1607:2 | 1652:17 |
| 1784:12 | 1752:13 1753:22, | 18,21 1748:22 | 1611:11,25 | judicial 1633:5,9 |
| investor 1572:18 | 25 1757:17,24 | 1793:6 1794:8 | 1612:15 1615:4, 10,12,25 1616:1, | July 1825:5,6 |
| 1574:16 1579:10 | 1766:11 1767:15 | 1798:23 1803:24 | 4,6,8,10 1617:22 | - |
| 1801:22 | 1768:19 1771:18 1773:18,19 | 1805:12 1806:22 | 1622:16 1627:1, | June 1741:5,11 |
| investors | 1780:8 1790:7,10, | 1814:15 1819:1 | 11,18 1628:13 | 1859:14 |
| 1572:1,4 1574:22 | 12,16 1791:13 | 1825:18 1834:15 | 1629:6 1630:12, | jurisdiction |
| 1580:21,23 | 1801:8 1805:2 | IUB's 1696:5 | 13,22 1631:17,18 | 1595:8,11 1604:5 |
| 1626:3 1698:14 | 1806:13 1814:17 | | 1632:4,14,20,22 | 1643:9 |
| 1784:2,5 | 1816:17 1827:25 | J | 1633:7,8,12 1634:10,11,13 | jury 1683:18 |
| involved 1690:3 | 1831:2 1834:3 | | 1637:7,12,13 | justify 1618:20 |
| 1710:16 1728:2 | 1837:15,23 1838:1,3,4,7 | James 1567:15 | 1643:11,12,13,21 | 1644:25 1645:2 |
| 1747:9 1829:1 | 1861:13 | 1568:1,12,24 | 1644:4 1645:6 | 1753:3,12 |
| 1830:7 1836:14, 16 1856:24 | | jargon 1636:22 | 1646:10 1647:3 | |
| | lowa's 1718:14 | | 1650:5,8 1652:11, | K |
| lowa 1570:15 | lowa-captured | Jean 1822:5 | 12,16 1656:21,22, 25 1657:1,15,21, | |
| 1578:4,14,17,20 1581:24 1585:21 | 1626:23 | jeopardize | 25 1657.1,15,21, 25 1658:16,18,19 | Kent 1584:14 |
| 1586:16 1588:3 | lowans 1575:16, | 1767:21 | 1665:1 1667:22, | key 1623:15 |
| 1589:17,19 | 18,24 1587:5 | jet-type 1670:4 | 24 1668:5,7 | - |
| 1590:14 1591:6 | lowans' 1644:23 | Jim 1788:9 | 1672:7,12,13,15 1674:23 1677:11 | keyword 1681:2 1683:16 |
| 1593:4 1594:22 1595:5 1596:3,23 | irrelevant | Jimmy 1695:10 | 1678:22,25 | |
| 1000.0,20 | | - | | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Index: kick..Leonard

| UB REAKING 09/0 | 5/2025 | | | Index. KickLeonard |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| kick 1700:19 | 1624:12 1642:20 | 16,22 1815:10 | lasts 1674:17 | least 1564:24 |
| kind 1601:15 | 1643:3 1644:23 1687:14 1750:9, | 1816:19 1817:5 1819:1,6,12,20 | late 1738:16 | 1583:3 1601:23 1610:23 1621:20 |
| 1615:22 1646:8 1669:5 1671:19 | 16,19,23,25 | 1822:15 1823:5, | lateral 1610:9 | 1633:9 1639:7 |
| 1672:17 1673:14 | 1804:9,18 1805:5, | 22 1824:5 | laterally 1857:25 | 1644:10 1659:11 |
| 1679:24 1680:14, | 9 1806:3 1807:1,2 | 1826:20 1830:18, | - | 1674:4,12 1680:25 1684:23 |
| 15 1708:13 | 1814:5,20,24 1822:15 1823:4 | 20 1857:12 1859:4 1861:1 | laterals 1717:20 | 1690:18 1705:22 |
| 1709:19 1714:22 | 1824:23 1825:3 | | latitude 1569:21 | 1729:24 1750:5 |
| 1734:24 1744:9 1747:22 1763:6 | 1838:8 1842:1 | landowners' 1683:10 1814:12 | 1570:9 1601:14 | 1756:19 1759:16 |
| 1747.22 1763.6 | landowner | | 1602:2 | 1764:13 1765:12 |
| 22,23 1781:2 | 1600:13 1601:14 | landslides | launcher 1839:9 | 1803:2 1812:25 |
| 1806:6 1827:5 | 1672:1 1679:13 | 1685:25 | 1843:18 1845:2 | leave 1612:20 |
| 1834:4 1843:19 | 1682:3,7,15 | lane 1810:19,20 | launcher/ | 1624:2 1627:13 |
| 1847:24 1855:7 | 1683:25 1687:16 | 1811:10,22 | receiver 1771:7 | 1662:25 |
| 1861:16 | 1692:11 1697:24 | language | 1844:3,6,17,19,21 | leaves 1768:25 |
| Kinder 1762:2 | 1705:10,17,18,22, 24 1712:17 | 1686:11 1809:1 | launcher/ | led 1651:17 |
| kinds 1757:14 | 1714:2,6 1715:22 | large 1606:11 | receivers 1851:6 | |
| 1766:8 1772:13 | 1716:4,7 1720:14 | 1650:3 1666:23 | launching | Lee 1579:3 |
| KMZ 1861:5 | 1777:3 1780:20 | 1696:21 1698:13 | 1697:16 1843:22 | 1785:14,19 |
| | 1781:3 1782:17 | 1746:16 1763:18 | | left 1591:5 |
| knew 1810:7 | 1804:12,16,17,20 | 1775:13 1784:19, | law 1637:6 1648:7 | 1594:12 1652:21 |
| knowing 1585:7 | 1810:3 1815:14, 25 1816:25 | 23 1835:11,13 1838:20 1839:7 | laws 1640:24 | 1658:20 1695:12, 17 1753:24 |
| 1791:16 | 1817:4,8 1818:16 | 1842:8 | lawsuits 1578:22 | 1819:20 |
| knowledge | 1819:10 1820:7 | | lawyer 1652:14, | |
| 1572:4,11 1702:6 | 1822:10,18,23,24 | large-scale 1649:14 1827:23 | 16 1719:21 | legal 1577:4 1594:2,5 1595:16 |
| 1725:8 1796:25 | 1823:25 1824:8 | | 1802:19 | 1620:2 1630:10 |
| 1797:1 1800:14, | 1839:19 1857:21 | largely 1828:21 | lawyers 1592:25 | 1631:16 1634:6 |
| 17 1816:2 1817:3 1827:14 1837:4 | landowner's | 1838:1 | 1683:17 | 1637:6 1643:8 |
| | 1578:2 1808:6 | larger 1627:4 | | 1652:21 1727:9, |
| Kohles 1821:21, | 1824:18 | 1719:15 1839:5 | lay 1708:6 1743:15 | 14 1728:17 |
| 23 1822:2,6 1823:13,21 | landowners | largest 1627:7 | | 1732:21,24 1792:6 1796:21 |
| 1825:23 | 1576:10 1577:17, | last 1576:17 | layer 1846:4 | 1797:18 1818:5 |
| | 22,24 1578:5,8, | 1581:4 1582:6 | lays 1661:12 | 1821:14 1824:2 |
| Korean 1572:16 | 13,14,20 1601:24 1602:5 1607:11 | 1615:21 1621:21, | lead 1672:21 | legislation |
| 1573:18 | 1616:6 1619:6,24 | 22 1647:25 | 1841:15 | 1806:12 |
| | 1632:21 1633:7 | 1689:11 1690:11 | | |
| L | 1671:24 1672:12 | 1694:9 1706:21 | leak 1585:8 1663:3,4 1665:3, | length 1680:5 1849:24 |
| | 1681:19 1682:2 | 1709:21 1716:2 1730:23 1734:24 | 4,7,8,9,10,16,22 | |
| L-O-E-S-S 1773:3 | 1684:18 1687:11 1692:7 1694:9 | 1742:2 1757:13 | 1746:20 1830:21 | Leonard 1567:14 |
| | 1699:5 1703:15 | 1785:21 1792:25 | 1853:6 | 1568:6,7,9,23 1569:24,25 |
| lack 1569:13 | 1708:16 1710:7, | 1814:17 1823:7 | leaks 1663:5 | 1571:13,14 |
| 1652:21 1679:2 1697:1 1698:20 | 20 1712:20 | 1826:21,24 | 1665:19 1747:8 | 1574:6,18,19 |
| 1736:6 | 1715:8,14 | 1829:8 1830:8,14, 15 1832:2 | learn 1728:20 | 1577:2,4 1588:22, |
| | 1735:23,24 | 1833:20 1836:24, | 1737:14 1854:7 | 24 1589:10,12 |
| laid 1621:6 | 1736:7 1745:4 1777:25 1778:4,7, | 25 1837:18 | 1857:2,3 | 1590:19,21 |
| land 1582:22 | 11 1780:11,14 | 1849:3 1859:11 | learned 1611:13 | 1591:11,13 1592:1 1594:2,15 |
| 1583:22 1584:3 | 1794:3 1804:10 | 1860:25 | 1621:21 1823:7 | 1595:10 1606:18 |
| 1621:16,21 1622:1 1623:16 | 1805:16 1814:8, | lastly 1699:8 | 1828:15 | 1615:9 1630:7,9 |
| 1022.1 1023.10 | | - | | · |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Index: Leonard's..Louque 1603:14 1621:24

1628:5 1659:3

19 1703:22

1694:23 1701:16,

17 1702:14,15,17,

1704:1,7,8 1705:6

1707:11 1713:5

1714:19 1722:2,

1841:15 1848:19

16 1795:12,17

Long's 1800:11

longer 1663:4

looked 1614:6

1755:19 1758:8,

1677:23,24

long-term 1661:6

1858:14

1662:19

| 1631:14,16 1632:16 1633:1 1634:3,5 1637:3,5 1643:6,8 1652:1,3 1656:18,20 1657:12 1664:18, 20 1672:9 1679:2 1684:13 1686:13, 15 1692:9 1693:6, 8,22,24 1694:25 1695:2 1696:22 1697:1 1698:18 1699:12,16 1701:2,9 1704:4 1713:13 1732:18, 20 1760:7 | liability 1 1683:3,8 1705:5,11 1795:18,3 1796:15, 1797:1,2 1803:16 liable 170 1795:15 life 1626: 1672:24 1712:13 1731:3 1 13 1800: 1853:4 |
|--|---|
| 1788:20,22 | lifetime |
| 1794:13,15 1795:3 1796:21 | light 156 |
| 1797:17 1799:24 1800:9 1818:5 1819:21,23 | likelihoo 1782:6 |
| 1820:10,21 | limit 159 |
| 1821:13 1823:9, 17 1863:22 | limitation 1813:6 |
| Leonard's 1704:2 | limited 1 1797:1 18 |
| less 1591:6 | limiting |
| 1675:17 1676:13 1686:24 1734:11 1737:1,24 1747:21 1753:17 1775:3,4 1838:3 | limits 16 1705:5 1 1736:13 11,13,14 17,18,19 |
| lessons 1828:15 | 1759:2 1 |
| letter 1578:7 1737:20 | line.' 185 |
| letting 1824:22 | lineage 1 |
| level 1607:9 1663:4 1664:2 | linear 16 1687:21 |
| 1665:3 1673:1 1675:5 1686:24 1688:25 1708:14 1714:16 1715:4 1734:21 1738:11, 12 1742:13,22 1774:3 1790:23 | lines 167 1694:23 1733:12 1829:22 1840:25 1861:13 |
| 1815:11 1817:2 | linked 17 |
| levels 1679:11 | links 162 |
| leverage 1814:21 | 1627:6 1 |
| liabilities 1798:11 | liquid 16 |

| 023 | |
|--|---|
| iability 1682:19 1683:3,8 1704:21 1705:5,10,22 1795:18,25 1796:15,19,25 1797:1,2,10,11,15 1803:16 | 1636:1,14,16,18 1637:2,17,19,23, 25 1638:3,7 1654:17 1659:2 1745:23 1747:3, 10,11 1768:8 1779:6 1845:13 |
| iable 1706:9 1795:15 | liquify 1649:23 |
| ife 1626:19 1672:24 1711:4 1712:13 1720:8 1731:3 1799:2,5, 13 1800:21,23 1853:4 | listed 1704:10 listen 1824:19 1826:20 listening 1712:1 |
| ifetime 1711:19 | liter 1832:6 |
| ight 1569:18 | literally 1583:8 1726:22 |
| ikelihood 1782:6 | liters 1832:6 |
| imit 1595:15 imitations 1813:6 imited 1795:25 1797:1 1807:2 imiting 1794:23 imits 1675:1 1705:5 1735:19 1736:13 1758:8, 11,13,14,15,16, 17,18,19,20,24 1759:2 1809:1 ine.' 1859:9 | little 1564:23 1573:10 1648:12 1650:22 1657:24 1662:16 1707:4 1708:12 1721:24 1724:7 1772:17 1779:15 1786:19 1792:20 1793:1 1806:17 1842:11 1853:9 1857:9 1860:24 live 1570:2 1607:17 1770:7, 10 1835:20 livelihood |
| ineage 1744:22 | 1614:19 |
| inear 1666:19 1687:21 ines 1671:13 1694:23 1695:14 1733:12 1771:8 1829:22 1837:11 1840:25 1851:12 1861:13 inked 1721:22 inks 1621:13 iquefaction 1627:6 1791:2,5,8 iquid 1635:12, 15,18,22,24 | 1673:23,24,25 1703:17 1751:5 1799:17 living 1772:23 LLC 1568:2 1571:24 1573:21 1575:11 1578:25 1579:9,20 1580:25 1603:13 1778:15,18 1796:4 1798:4 1822:6 LLCS 1779:2 |

7:2,17,19,23, 638:3.7 4:17 1659:2 5:23 1747:3, 1 1768:8 9:6 1845:13 **y** 1649:23 571:17 **d** 1704:10 **n** 1824:19 6:20 ning 1712:16 1832:6 **lly** 1583:8 6:22 1832:6 1564:23 3:10 1648:12 0:22 1657:24 2:16 1707:4 8:12 1721:24 4:7 1772:17 9:15 1786:19 2:20 1793:1 6:17 1842:11 3:9 1857:9 0:24 1570:2 7:17 1770:7. 835:20 hood 4:19 tock 3:23,24,25 3:17 1751:5 9:17 **j** 1772:23 1568:2 1:24 1573:21 5:11 1578:25 9:9,20 0:25 1603:13 8:15,18 6:4 1798:4 2:6 **S** 1779:2

load 1835:19,20, 21 local 1602:7 1619:1 1667:2,4, 10 1668:20 1696:7 1718:11 1724:21,24 1726:15 1738:11 1769:13,15,16,19 1772:12 1831:13. 18 1839:23 1857:1 locality 1593:23 **locally** 1619:2 1622:21 1642:20 1770:23 1853:2 1855:4 locate 1583:24 1595:4 1596:23 1602:8 1687:1 1819:14 1844:9 1853:20 1858:4,9 1859:9 located 1585:9 1588:8 1668:23 1681:20 1696:20 1770:1 1810:25 1846:22 1853:1 locating 1595:9 1678:21 1846:6 location 1594:24 1596:22 1604:4 1612:10 1631:25 1650:12 1668:3 1670:21 1689:21 1729:8 1767:6,7 1842:25 1843:15 1844:10 1853:14 locations 1649:7 1727:2,3 1761:15 1844:14 1845:22 lock 1662:19 1802:3 loess 1773:1,3,17 logic 1597:22

long 1569:17

1570:8 1571:4

LO 1615:6

1667:23 1679:17

10 1776:10,13 1850:1 **loose** 1582:19 1742:17 **loosely** 1725:12 **lop** 1680:15 lose 1848:23 losers 1576:24 1577:11 loss 1708:20 1709:13,17 1710:15 1799:1,5, 13 1800:21,23 lot 1602:25 1641:3 1643:14, 15 1690:7 1710:2 1723:18 1724:15 1743:6 1746:14, 18 1752:25 1760:18 1762:16 1774:21 1788:15 1794:4 1813:11 1819:13 1822:7 1826:21 1827:5,7, 25 1831:12 1836:5,7 1840:17 1848:17,20 1849:6 1850:11 1858:21 Louque 1613:19, 20,21 1614:1 1685:3,6,7,8

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| 1832:9,14 | 1825:6 | 1829:14 1834:6,7, | manufactured | matter 1565:14, |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | 9,18,22,24 1835:2 | 1581:4,11,23 | 19 1566:1 |
| ove 1846:17 | mailer 1856:15 | 1841:20 1842:21 | | 1568:14 1570:6 |
| ow 1597:24 | main 1649:10,13, | 1859:24 1860:3, | map 1584:3 | 1589:5 1613:10, |
| 1608:8 1627:2 | 16 1650:10 | 21 | 1679:20 1680:23 | 11 1629:12,15 |
| 1642:18 1643:2 | 1717:13,19 | • | 1726:10 1776:20 | 1637:1,8,16,20 |
| 1782:7 1800:25 | 1761:20,21 | makes 1578:24 | 1808:1 | 1646:10 1681:7 |
| | 1762:23 1769:19 | 1579:4 1597:22 | mapped 1593:6 | 1701:18 1708:20 |
| ow-carbon | 1841:4,23 1844:1, | 1730:20 1738:14 | | 1738:12 1739:23 |
| 1614:18 1615:2 | 20,23 1852:22 | 1739:8 1793:24 | maps 1583:23 | |
| 1617:4,5,18 | 20,23 1032.22 | 1805:12 1811:18, | 1588:9,10 1590:8 | matters 1564:7 |
| 1618:11,16 | maintain | 24 1830:12 | 1594:25 1601:1 | 1566:14 1701:13 |
| 1622:17 1624:10 | 1626:18,21 | makeun 4500.00 | 1674:20 1725:24 | motures 1005.0 |
| 1629:10 1638:16 | 1646:24 1652:5 | makeup 1580:22 | 1758:9 1861:5 | matures 1605:6 |
| 1639:25 1640:4,7, | 1655:12 1686:6 | 1647:10 1846:24 | | max 1649:16 |
| 16,19,22 1641:8 | 1687:19,25 | making 1591:3 | mark 1858:11 | 1835:19 |
| 1644:16 1646:3, | 1688:11 1832:20 | 1593:24 1597:2 | market 1621:24 | |
| 12 1691:14 | | 1619:22 1656:14 | 1622:3 1640:5 | maximum |
| 1721:17 1755:14 | maintaining | 1712:12 1720:16 | 1641:5 1644:6 | 1664:8 1801:15 |
| 1786:23 1787:6 | 1632:1 | 1721:9,16 | 1646:11,14,16 | 1832:21,22,23 |
| 1790:7 1792:18 | maintenance | 1743:16 1828:3 | 1750:24 | moone 1605-04 |
| 1130.1 1132.10 | 1683:14 1770:6 | 1852:6 1857:18 | 1750.24 | means 1605:21 1620:1 1631:23 |
| ower 1641:7 | | 1002.0 1007.10 | markets 1614:19 | |
| 1661:14 1676:8, | 1771:9,15 1774:7 | manage 1746:7 | 1615:2 1617:4,16 | 1665:8,21 1672:4 |
| 11 1686:7 1775:3 | 1809:13 1810:11 | 1748:24 | 1618:17 1622:17 | 1748:6 1770:15 |
| 1786:16 | 1846:10 1860:8 | | 1624:10 1629:11 | 1791:19,20 |
| | major 1626:3 | managed 1660:5 | 1640:7,19 1641:9 | 1796:8 1825:18 |
| owercase | 1649:14 1746:1 | 1661:1 | 1642:18 1644:16 | 1849:18 1850:17 |
| 1742:4 | 1854:18 | management | 1646:3 1691:14 | meant 1631:19 |
| owering 1721:12 | 1004.10 | 1573:4,7,10 | 1721:17 1750:15 | 1703:11 1841:17 |
| | majority 1844:14 | 1580:1,5 1582:4 | | 1863:3 |
| owest 1716:6 | make 4500.00 | | 1786:17,18,22,25 | 1003.3 |
| | make 1568:20 | 1602:12 1603:4 | 1787:3,7 | meantime |
| uck 1819:20 | 1573:12 1588:12 | 1609:3 1667:9,11 | Martin 1849:5 | 1606:13 |
| 1822:11 | 1592:22 1600:16 | 1677:25 1705:7 | | |
| unch 1657:22 | 1601:7 1605:10 | 1706:19 1724:15 | Martz 1862:8,9, | measure 1765:1 |
| 1707:23 | 1609:6 1619:15 | 1729:20 1732:1,6 | 15,19 1863:15 | measured |
| 1101.20 | 1620:2,13 | 1743:5 1765:18 | master 1583:23 | 1626:15 1765:13 |
| | 1621:13 1635:7 | 1774:5 1790:12 | 1584:3 | 14 |
| Μ | 1639:19 1641:8 | 1792:7 1804:5 | 1007.0 | 17 |
| | 1644:3 1654:20 | 1828:14 1860:7 | material 1650:23 | measurements |
| machinery | 1655:16 1657:22 | manager 1825:5 | 1656:15 1727:21 | 1674:15 |
| 1754:22 1763:2 | 1662:6 1672:4 | manayer 1020.0 | 1728:9 1835:22, | maga: |
| | 1678:12 1685:20 | managing | 23 1845:12,18 | measures |
| made 1567:5 | 1690:8 1699:14 | 1849:7 | 1846:13 | 1722:4,8 1849:1 |
| 1592:25 1597:21 | 1700:1,8 1702:2,7 | man data d | , | mechanical |
| 1627:20 1636:13 | 1714:6,18 | mandated | materially | 1677:22 1725:20 |
| 1664:13 1689:3 | 1721:14 1726:16 | 1653:19 | 1623:6 | |
| 1708:12 1768:13 | 1729:9 1730:3 | mani 1825:5 | materials | mechanics |
| 1819:8 1823:20 | 1731:6 1736:1 | | 1701:20,23 | 1714:3 |
| 1830:16 1863:24 | 1751:1 1754:7 | manned 1670:18 | 1827:19 1828:9, | media 1694:16 |
| | 1760:20 1767:13 | 1847:15 | | illeula 1094:16 |
| magnitude | | manually | 20 | meet 1631:23 |
| 1743:1 | 1772:4 1774:3,4, | manually | math 1585:24 | 1691:24 1762:8 |
| mail 1672:3 | 6,13 1780:19 | 1769:21 | 1802:10,20,22 | 1841:1 |
| | 1796:11 1806:7 | manufacture | 1805:22 | - |
| 1856:16 | 1815:5 1822:22, | 1827:18,20 | 1000.22 | meeting 1647:5 |
| | 25 1824:4,7 | | | |

Index: meetings..modifications

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

mile 1675:20 minimal 1753:16 MLVS 1841:24 meetings 1654:9 1727:18 1729:7 1656:11 1708:13. 1756:9 1759:9.12. 1735:14 1737:2. 1842:1.16 minimize 1597:9. 15 1710:18 24 1769:2 1775:2 1844:15 24 1768:5 1771:8 16,19 1645:16 1716:6 1761:1 1772:9 1779:6 1780:23 1811:5, model 1586:2,19 1829:4.10 1782:23 1786:5, 20 minimizing 1590:3,9 1592:16 17 1792:10 1627:16 meetings' mileage 1753:17 1593:12,16 1819:8 1854:16 1846:21 1778:6,9,10 minimum 1599:5 1600:15 1862:11 1608:18 1609:10 1586:6,11 milepost 1612:5 meets 1630:5 mercaptan 1612:1,2 1629:23 1608:17 1653:10 1633:15,20 1829:19,25 miles 1585:21,22 1630:15 1663:2,9 1683:8 1686:17 1779:22 1678:10,23 1586:1 1597:23 1687:7,8,10,13, Mercer 1718:4,5 1684:24 1724:10, member 1579:10 1599:2 1607:16 14,20 1715:12 1580:7 1796:4,8 mere 1700:5 1610:2 1649:17 1722:12 1832:19 11.13 1725:11 1833:1,3 1845:11 1728:4,5 1732:17 1826:13,14 1654:2 1666:24 Merrill 1758:20 1744:15 1745:13 1827:10 1829:2,5 1676:14,17 Minnesota 1830:13 1831:11 message 1680:5,11 1746:8 1748:15 1752:21 1790:14 1759:25 1785:6 1832:1,14,16 1830:20 1681:19 1690:18 1833:13 1835:7 1691:3 1727:1 Minnkota 1698:9 1851:14,20 messaging 1836:5,21,24 1852:3,10,11 1733:17 1735:16 1830:18 minor 1843:3 1837:6 1838:5,22, 1745:23,24 modeled 1674:4 25 1839:11,14,21 1746:12 1748:11 met 1602:11 minute 1660:20, 1676:6,22,24 1840:8,18,24 1769:2 1770:9,25 21 1718:23 1687:11 1707:20 1677:12 1706:7 1841:8,22 1771:3 1776:12, 1728:25 1739:17 1730:23 1781:15,16 1842:10 1843:5, 23 1778:4 1779:23 minutes 1673:6 17 1844:9,13,24 1779:11 1801:8 modeling metallurgist 1674:8,17 1845:5.21 1805:4,9,12,19 1564:12,15,21 1656:12 1794:18 1826:7 1846:15 1847:24 1584:22,23 million 1572:21 1853:24 1863:11 1848:13 1849:21 meters 1839:9 1585:1,5,14,17 1608:20 1618:2,5 1851:7,12 1586:14,16,22 **Mischaracterize** 1624:16 1634:20 method 1700:20 1852:16,19,24 1587:9,21 **s** 1819:24 1635:2,4 1648:4 1744:14 1762:25 1853:23 1854:1,6, 1589:19 1592:23 1649:1,2 1660:17 1862:12 missed 1588:17 15 1855:6 1856:3 1596:9,16 1672:20,24,25 1860:11,13 1857:9 1859:2,11, 1604:20 1608:24 **metric** 1618:6 1673:5,8,10 19 1860:23 1609:22 1613:7 1626:23 1634:21 misspoke 1777:2 1674:6,21 1862:6,8,9,15,19 1650:13,16 1635:2 1837:13 1676:17 1679:8 Misstates 1863:15 1669:14.17.22 1682:19 1683:8 metrics 1778:6 1686:15 1819:23 1670:3 1673:2 members 1719:17 1764:1,4, 1674:18 1676:13, metropolitan misstating 1573:7,9 1580:9 11 1776:18,19 16,20 1681:11 1746:5 1801:5 1642:8 1698:14 1791:9 1798:17 1689:14 1723:10, 1796:3 1800:7,10 Mexico 1779:14 misunderstood 13 1725:3 1802:23,24 1790:13 1799:10 memorandum 1732:13 1744:23 1837:13,20,21,24, 1745:2,9,17 1623:10 mic 1806:7 Mitchell 1708:15 25 1838:15 1747:12 1748:9 1710:19 memorized 1852:5 Micah 1838:16 1749:2 1776:10 1655:25 1758:22 mitigate 1597:9, 1794:11 1795:2 millions 1660:10 **micro** 1600:19 19 1608:5 1656:6 mention 1765:25 1860:2 1819:10 1843:4 mills 1827:21 1722:5 1738:9 1767:5 1769:12 modelings middle 1650:11 1745:12 1834:4 1779:25 **mind** 1607:1.10 1747:7 1671:5,12 1835:16 1627:15 1690:16 mentioned models 1670:8 1833:22 midstream mitigated 1578:8 1583:13 1747:14 1678:14 1745:14 1761:6 1588:21 1597:22 Mineral 1627:21 modifications 1616:12 1671:21 1628:4 Midwest 1699:10 MLV 1843:6 1568:20 1600:20 1685:2 1701:20 1844:10,22 minerals 1690:20 mildly 1674:24 1708:4 1726:21

Index: Moines..nuances

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| Moines 1767:18 | 17,20,24 1739:7 | 1787:22,23 | 1841:15 | normal 1664:9 |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| molecules | 1752:24 1760:9 | natural 1660:6,7 | negatively | 1769:3,4,5 |
| 1611:6 1631:12 | 1762:20 1795:9, | 1661:2,7,20 | 1660:24 | 1809:12,13 |
| 1633:18 1635:18 | 10 1797:6 | 1674:24 1675:10, | | 1810:11 1858:12 |
| 1000.10 1000.10 | 1820:13 | 13,16,19,24 | negotiate 1705:2 | north 1580:17 |
| moment 1631:12 | moved 1689:9 | | 1715:7 1805:16 | |
| 1633:1 1691:20 | | 1726:13 1733:12 | 1814:4,16 1817:6 | 1592:18 1614:15 |
| 1696:17 1698:2, | 1737:12,15 | 1734:1,4,10,12 | 1839:19 | 1616:12,13,14 |
| 18 1739:5 | 1757:13 | 1735:20 1736:15, | | 1617:1 1618:24 |
| | moves 1676:5 | 18 1739:24 | negotiating | 1620:11 1623:24 |
| money 1620:13 | | 1747:3,5,8,9,10 | 1578:4 1607:11 | 1626:1,4,5,12,24 |
| 1801:17 1802:16, | moving 1639:15 | 1754:23 1761:10 | 1715:3 1814:6 | 1627:20,22 |
| 21 | 1730:24 1735:4 | 1829:19,20 | 1815:25 1818:17 | 1628:3,8,12,16 |
| menitering | 1738:23 1793:23 | 1831:19 1853:6 | negetietien | 1629:21 1631:8 |
| monitoring | | meture 4705.40 | negotiation | 1632:23 1649:19 |
| 1626:16 | mud 1835:4,5 | nature 1795:13 | 1824:13 | 1660:17 1688:18 |
| month-to-month | Muhlbauer | nausea 1674:6 | negotiations | 1689:7,13,19 |
| 1762:10 | 1677:15 | | 1715:25 1815:6 | 1690:17,25 |
| 1102.10 | 10/7.10 | navigable | 17 10.20 1010.0 | 1691:1,2 1695:25 |
| monthly 1666:20 | multi-stage | 1598:10 1775:4 | net 1619:16 | 1717:1,3,7,14,16 |
| menthe (500-0 | 1763:17 | novigating | not ellowed | 1718:7 1731:19 |
| months 1590:3 | | navigating | net alleged | 1735:1 1738:23, |
| 1667:19 1729:24 | multifaceted | 1759:14 | 1576:9 | |
| 1762:5 1783:11 | 1722:9 1761:25 | Navigator | network 1862:16 | 25 1745:20 |
| 1792:16 1815:24 | multiple 1586:1 | 1589:25 1607:4 | | 1762:16,19 |
| 1823:8 1853:12 | 1613:17 1742:2 | 1610:9 1612:1 | neutral 1642:4 | 1773:13 1789:21 1791:14,18 |
| Morgan 1762:2 | 1743:25 1752:22 | 1615:15 1725:25 | Nevada 1758:17 | 1810:25 1811:1, |
| morning 1564:2 | 1823:4 1831:6 | 1753:22 1776:20 | newly 1577:19 | 13,20,23 1813:21 |
| 1567:17,18 | 1860:25 | 1787:20 1850:3 | - | 1838:2 |
| 1568:10,11 | multiply 1611:2 | NDIC 1690:23 | news 1794:4 | |
| 1591:19 1606:9 | | | 1848:25 | note 1860:24 |
| 1612:15 1613:17 | municipal | near-term 1762:1 | | noted 1571:9 |
| 1628:18 1629:7 | 1836:11,22 | nearby 1747:25 | NGL 1850:16 | 1859:13 |
| | municipalitico | Healby 1747.25 | NIOSH 1672:23 | 1000.10 |
| 1645:6 1657:17 | municipalities | Nebraska 1838:2 | 1673:21 | notes 1826:23 |
| 1667:7 1669:20 | 1759:5 1783:2 | | | 1836:25 |
| 1671:21 1678:22 | municipality | necessarily | nitrogen 1751:25 | notice 1000.0 00 |
| 1689:24 1738:7 | 1584:6 | 1703:15 1727:6 | nodded 1736:16 | notice 1600:8,23 |
| 1759:3 1768:5 | | 1809:23 | House 1750.10 | 1633:6,9 1794:8 |
| 1781:18 1784:1 | Murray 1793:20, | necessary | noise 1782:10 | 1856:20 |
| 1814:15 1819:8 | 22 1794:20 | 1565:16 1603:10, | 1856:21 | noticed 1783:17 |
| 1864:12 | 1795:8,10,11 | 12 1604:12 | | |
| motion 1564:14 | 1796:23,24 | | non-compliance | notification |
| | 1797:8,19,21 | 1644:22 1669:3 | 1714:19 | 1578:18 1825:7 |
| 1591:15 1592:2 | 1800:4,5,16,19 | 1681:19 1701:23 | non-disclosure | notificationa |
| 1699:18 1794:10 | 1806:8,11 1818:7, | 1718:11 1730:20 | 1564:25 1565:9 | notifications |
| motivated | 9 1820:1,3,6,12, | 1807:3 1813:15 | 1004.20 1000.0 | 1578:13 1858:22 |
| 1653:15 | 14,23,24 1821:16, | 1824:6 1838:8 | non-flammable | notified 1726:19 |
| 1000.10 | 18 | 1840:13 1841:25 | 1830:1 | 1782:21 |
| move 1566:17 | - | necessity | | |
| 1568:24 1575:1 | mystery 1699:2 | 1592:23 1618:9 | non-ignitable | notify 1727:7 |
| 1589:2 1594:8 | | | 1734:13 | 1858:1 |
| 1601:10,19 | | 1639:18 1681:17 | non-intervening | notifying 1740-7 |
| 1606:3,13 | N | 1720:17,21 | 1712:17 | notifying 1748:7 |
| 1637:14 1657:19, | | 1812:17,19 | 1116.11 | noting 1853:2 |
| 20 1693:11 | named 1849:4 | needed 1578:20 | nonsense | - |
| 1695:5 1721:24 | notional (500-0 | 1628:4 1694:11 | 1588:20 | nuances 1694:11 |
| 1736:20 1738:4, | national 1588:2 | 1825:3 1838:14 | | |
| 1,00.20 1,00.4, | | 1020.0 1000.14 | | |

Index: number..operations

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| number 1610:18 | 1694:25 1695:1 | occasion 1728:2 | 1816:7 | 1653:20,21 |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| 1648:6,23 1660:9 | 1696:22 1697:12, | 1768:6 | office 1660:6 | 1716:1 1728:16 |
| 1668:12,15 | 21 1698:17 | occasionally | 1686:3 1692:18 | 1771:5 1816:2 |
| 1670:15,16 | 1699:17 1701:2 | 1761:14 | 1705:16 1770:12 | 1847:14 1856:10, |
| 1671:19 1699:12 | 1704:4 1713:13 | 1701.14 | | 13 |
| 1719:6 1722:19 | 1732:18,19 | Occidental | 1847:1,2,17 | OPA 1726:20 |
| 1723:11 1727:24 | 1736:4,5,10 | 1849:6 | officer 1612:23 | UFA 1726:20 |
| 1744:8,9 1753:16 | 1737:10,19 | | 1613:13 1623:2 | OPAS 1727:8 |
| 1754:2 1762:5 | 1760:7 1788:20, | OCCUR 1636:6 | 1632:11 1636:11 | amam 4074.40 |
| 1770:25 1778:6,7 | 21 1794:13,14 | 1646:8 1683:10 | 1756:19 1785:13, | open 1674:16 |
| 1779:6 1783:3 | 1795:3 1796:21 | 1832:8 1843:2 | 18 | 1730:24 1847:12 |
| 1790:6 1791:6 | 1797:17 1799:24 | occurred 1569:8 | offices 1770:5 | 1848:1,4 |
| 1856:21 | 1818:5 1819:21, | 1570:25 1773:16, | omces 1770:5 | operate 1597:7 |
| numbere 1610.5 | 22 1820:10 | 23 | official 1571:1 | 1614:21 1633:15 |
| numbers 1612:5, | 1864:6 | | | 1754:22 |
| 12 1619:21 | abiantiana | occurrence | officials 1690:3 | |
| 1647:19 1672:6 | objections | 1608:6,7 1682:24 | 1724:4,8,22,24 | operated 1771:2 |
| 1716:12 | 1615:8 1632:16, | 1809:12 | 1726:3,15,19 | 1849:5 1853:1 |
| nursing 1782:15 | 17,20,25 1633:5 | occurring | 1727:4,7,13 | operating |
| • | 1652:22 1672:8, | 1583:22 1665:5 | 1729:19 1732:16, | 1612:22 1613:13 |
| | 11 1679:1 | | 25 1733:6 1734:9 | 1623:13 1664:8,9 |
| 0 | 1682:12 1684:13, | occurs 1636:3 | 1757:19 1779:23 | 1665:24 1668:14 |
| | 15 1696:16 | 1708:21 1855:3 | offtake 1631:4 | 1705:21 1706:10 |
| oath 1658:5,15 | 1700:22 1703:25 | October 1760:16, | 1634:7,14 | 1756:19 1774:6 |
| 1740:24 | 1713:12 | 24 1761:1 | 1764:16 1784:17 | 1785:8 1801:11 |
| object 1569:5,12, | objective | _ | 1788:23 1789:9, | 1832:23,24 |
| 17 1574:6 | 1575:12 1638:20 | odorant 1829:12, | 11 | 1833:1 1848:7 |
| 1588:24 1630:9 | - h is stirres | 14,25 | | 1851:19 1852:1,4, |
| 1637:5 1679:2 | objectives | odorize 1830:12 | oftentimes | 7 1855:19 1860:8 |
| 1698:20 1732:20 | 1642:23 1643:1 | | 1762:13 | |
| 1820:21 1821:13 | obligated | odorized | oil 1626:2,5 | operation 1579:6 |
| 1823:10 1864:8 | 1705:20,21 | 1829:21,23 | 1627:1,5 1628:5, | 1585:15 1593:21 |
| | | odorless 1675:4 | 21 1649:24 | 1596:20 1602:17, |
| objected 1570:13 | obligation | | 1681:25 1690:13, | 25 1635:24 |
| objecting | 1711:20 1714:8, | off-loading | 19 1726:14 | 1636:8,11,17 |
| 1823:17 | 10 1730:6 | 1761:15 | 1746:19,20,21 | 1652:25 1654:3 |
| | 1731:15,20 | offended | 1761:7,9,11,12, | 1663:9,11,12,13 |
| objection 1567:5 | obstruction | 1716:11 | 20,21,22,23 | 1667:14 1683:2, |
| 1569:16 1570:8, | 1671:12 | 1710.11 | 1762:23 1766:7 | 14 1703:9 |
| 16 1571:9 | | offer 1567:3 | 1772:6 1790:19 | 1706:12 1711:3,4 |
| 1574:25 1577:2,3 | obtain 1693:17 | 1569:7 1606:16 | 1791:21 | 1720:11 1729:24 |
| 107 1.20 107 1.2,0 | | | 1/91.21 | 1700 1 1701 0 |
| 1588:22,23 | 1803:20 | 1615:6 1632:14, | | 1730:4 1731:2 |
| | | 1615:6 1632:14, 23 1672:7 | oldest 1829:5 | 1745:11 1762:3 |
| 1588:22,23 | obtained 1619:7 | | oldest 1829:5 | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 |
| 1588:22,23 1589:10,11,12 | obtained 1619:7 1692:16 1778:14, | 23 1672:7 | oldest 1829:5 Oliver 1616:18 | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 1810:12 1828:25 |
| 1588:22,23 1589:10,11,12 1590:19,20 | obtained 1619:7 1692:16 1778:14, 16 1838:8 | 23 1672:7 1678:25 1682:11 | oldest 1829:5 Oliver 1616:18 1717:25 1718:5 | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 1810:12 1828:25 1847:21 1851:21 |
| 1588:22,23 1589:10,11,12 1590:19,20 1592:1 1594:2,15 | obtained 1619:7 1692:16 1778:14, 16 1838:8 1841:25 | 23 1672:7 1678:25 1682:11 1683:9 1684:10 | oldest 1829:5 Oliver 1616:18 1717:25 1718:5 on-site 1662:5 | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 1810:12 1828:25 1847:21 1851:21 1852:7,14 |
| 1588:22,23 1589:10,11,12 1590:19,20 1592:1 1594:2,15 1595:10 1606:16, | obtained 1619:7 1692:16 1778:14, 16 1838:8 | 23 1672:7 1678:25 1682:11 1683:9 1684:10 1692:6 1696:13 | oldest 1829:5 Oliver 1616:18 1717:25 1718:5 | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 1810:12 1828:25 1847:21 1851:21 |
| 1588:22,23 1589:10,11,12 1590:19,20 1592:1 1594:2,15 1595:10 1606:16, 19 1615:9 1630:7, | obtained 1619:7 1692:16 1778:14, 16 1838:8 1841:25 obtains 1706:18 | 23 1672:7 1678:25 1682:11 1683:9 1684:10 1692:6 1696:13 1698:16 1699:14 | oldest 1829:5 Oliver 1616:18 1717:25 1718:5 on-site 1662:5 1769:20,22 | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 1810:12 1828:25 1847:21 1851:21 1852:7,14 1863:13 |
| 1588:22,23 1589:10,11,12 1590:19,20 1592:1 1594:2,15 1595:10 1606:16, 19 1615:9 1630:7, 8 1631:14,15 | obtained 1619:7 1692:16 1778:14, 16 1838:8 1841:25 obtains 1706:18 OCA 1704:3,6,11 | 23 1672:7 1678:25 1682:11 1683:9 1684:10 1692:6 1696:13 1698:16 1699:14 1700:2,8 1711:11 | oldest 1829:5 Oliver 1616:18 1717:25 1718:5 on-site 1662:5 1769:20,22 onboard 1647:21 | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 1810:12 1828:25 1847:21 1851:21 1852:7,14 1863:13 operational |
| 1588:22,23 1589:10,11,12 1590:19,20 1592:1 1594:2,15 1595:10 1606:16, 19 1615:9 1630:7, 8 1631:14,15 1633:3 1634:3,4,5 | obtained 1619:7 1692:16 1778:14, 16 1838:8 1841:25 obtains 1706:18 OCA 1704:3,6,11 1800:7 1840:9,11 | 23 1672:7 1678:25 1682:11 1683:9 1684:10 1692:6 1696:13 1698:16 1699:14 1700:2,8 1711:11 1713:9 1715:12 | oldest 1829:5 Oliver 1616:18 1717:25 1718:5 on-site 1662:5 1769:20,22 | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 1810:12 1828:25 1847:21 1851:21 1852:7,14 1863:13 operational 1596:16,18 |
| 1588:22,23 1589:10,11,12 1590:19,20 1592:1 1594:2,15 1595:10 1606:16, 19 1615:9 1630:7, 8 1631:14,15 1633:3 1634:3,4,5 1637:3,4 1643:6,7 | obtained 1619:7 1692:16 1778:14, 16 1838:8 1841:25 obtains 1706:18 OCA 1704:3,6,11 | 23 1672:7 1678:25 1682:11 1683:9 1684:10 1692:6 1696:13 1698:16 1699:14 1700:2,8 1711:11 1713:9 1715:12 1716:2,4,5 1815:14,18 | oldest 1829:5 Oliver 1616:18 1717:25 1718:5 on-site 1662:5 1769:20,22 onboard 1647:21 1847:20 one-compressor | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 1810:12 1828:25 1847:21 1851:21 1852:7,14 1863:13 operational 1596:16,18 1597:5 1636:11 |
| 1588:22,23 1589:10,11,12 1590:19,20 1592:1 1594:2,15 1595:10 1606:16, 19 1615:9 1630:7, 8 1631:14,15 1633:3 1634:3,4,5 1637:3,4 1643:6,7 1652:1,2 1656:18, | obtained 1619:7 1692:16 1778:14, 16 1838:8 1841:25 obtains 1706:18 OCA 1704:3,6,11 1800:7 1840:9,11 OCA's 1569:20 | 23 1672:7 1678:25 1682:11 1683:9 1684:10 1692:6 1696:13 1698:16 1699:14 1700:2,8 1711:11 1713:9 1715:12 1716:2,4,5 1815:14,18 offered 1606:16 | oldest 1829:5 Oliver 1616:18 1717:25 1718:5 on-site 1662:5 1769:20,22 onboard 1647:21 1847:20 | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 1810:12 1828:25 1847:21 1851:21 1852:7,14 1863:13 operational 1596:16,18 1597:5 1636:11 1639:8 |
| 1588:22,23 1589:10,11,12 1590:19,20 1592:1 1594:2,15 1595:10 1606:16, 19 1615:9 1630:7, 8 1631:14,15 1633:3 1634:3,4,5 1637:3,4 1643:6,7 1652:1,2 1656:18, 19 1657:12 | obtained 1619:7 1692:16 1778:14, 16 1838:8 1841:25 obtains 1706:18 OCA 1704:3,6,11 1800:7 1840:9,11 OCA's 1569:20 OCC 1846:17,19, | 23 1672:7 1678:25 1682:11 1683:9 1684:10 1692:6 1696:13 1698:16 1699:14 1700:2,8 1711:11 1713:9 1715:12 1716:2,4,5 1815:14,18 offered 1606:16 1715:13,22 | oldest 1829:5 Oliver 1616:18 1717:25 1718:5 on-site 1662:5 1769:20,22 onboard 1647:21 1847:20 one-compressor 1764:8 | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 1810:12 1828:25 1847:21 1851:21 1852:7,14 1863:13 operational 1596:16,18 1597:5 1636:11 1639:8 operations |
| 1588:22,23 1589:10,11,12 1590:19,20 1592:1 1594:2,15 1595:10 1606:16, 19 1615:9 1630:7, 8 1631:14,15 1633:3 1634:3,4,5 1637:3,4 1643:6,7 1652:1,2 1656:18, 19 1657:12 1664:18,19,21 | obtained 1619:7 1692:16 1778:14, 16 1838:8 1841:25 obtains 1706:18 OCA 1704:3,6,11 1800:7 1840:9,11 OCA's 1569:20 | 23 1672:7 1678:25 1682:11 1683:9 1684:10 1692:6 1696:13 1698:16 1699:14 1700:2,8 1711:11 1713:9 1715:12 1716:2,4,5 1815:14,18 offered 1606:16 | oldest 1829:5 Oliver 1616:18 1717:25 1718:5 on-site 1662:5 1769:20,22 onboard 1647:21 1847:20 one-compressor | 1745:11 1762:3 1785:2 1807:6 1810:12 1828:25 1847:21 1851:21 1852:7,14 1863:13 operational 1596:16,18 1597:5 1636:11 1639:8 |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

1767:5 1769:13, 25 1770:5 1771:13,21 1789:19 1846:16 1849:13 1852:25 1857:4 1859:3

operator 1585:13 1597:15 1603:18 1732:4 1775:21

operators 1748:24 1830:24

Opex 1766:15

opinion 1625:7 1659:1 1722:7 1732:10 1734:11 1739:1 1743:19 1746:17 1765:12 1850:18

OPOS 1860:1

opportunities 1646:3 1718:3 1754:4 1786:12

opportunity 1613:9 1646:21 1690:7 1702:9 1716:3 1752:25 1795:5 1838:2 1863:20

oppose 1806:12

opposed 1575:24 1577:12, 18 1591:22 1633:9 1681:5 1682:6

opposition 1566:22 1569:3,4

option 1599:23 1740:8 1756:3 1836:10

Orange 1603:23 order 1564:14

1570:6 1617:16 1625:21 1688:21 1689:5 1700:1 1701:5,10,18 1743:1 1789:3 1794:9,17 1807:3 1819:2 1842:13 1853:6

orderly 1605:1 ordinances 1732:16 organization 1584:16 1653:6,9 1771:23 1795:14 organizations 1787:22 organized 1623:3 1777:22 original 1579:11 **OSRO** 1772:5 other-populated 1775:16 others' 1693:25 outcome 1565:18 outdoor 1673:9 1674:16 outdoors 1670:11 outlay 1764:17 outlined 1623:10 output 1586:3,18 1587:2 1590:3 1593:13 1619:10 1689:15 1724:13 1725:4,7 1728:9 1747:16 outputs 1585:18 1614:4 1678:11 outreach 1856:6, 11 outstanding 1566:12

overland

1608:21,23 1609:8,11 1726:6 1727:19 1731:22 1776:14 1860:2

overlapping 1787:12

overlay 1607:23 1674:18

overruled

1571:10 oversight 1593:11 1596:15 1603:19 1652:25 1653:8 1655:16 1656:10

overstep 1727:10

overview 1614:8

Overwatch 1695:20 1793:2, 12,13

Overwatch's 1793:4

owing 1798:3

owned 1580:12 1583:15 1622:21 1647:12,13

owner 1634:1 1655:15 1796:4,6

owners 1571:25 1572:1,6 1575:6 1580:25 1581:1 1608:1 1747:25 1796:3

ownership 1572:24,25 1573:19,21,25 1623:3 1633:18 1783:18,21,23 1784:9

owns 1572:17 oxygen 1627:2,3 1751:25 1790:23

1791:9

Р

p.m. 1658:9,10,12 1740:18,19 1826:8,9,10 1864:14

pace 1841:16

package 1763:10,20

packages 1573:6,13 pages 1826:22, 23 1841:22

paid 1780:5 1841:13

paper 1707:25 1708:5,6,9

paragraph 1709:7,11,25 1710:13 1713:20

paragraphs 1713:7

parallel 1663:10 1851:24

parallels 1735:20 1736:14,18

parcel 1600:21 1601:20 1808:7 1810:14,21,25 1811:2,5 1812:1, 3,10 1813:7 1820:25 1821:2

parcels 1778:4,6 1810:20

pardon 1628:2 1712:2

parent 1778:24

park 1740:5

part 1570:25 1575:8 1581:16 1582:3 1584:15 1596:11 1615:14 1620:8 1654:25 1656:4 1664:7 1671:10 1674:1 1699:1 1704:18, 24 1723:16 1739:6 1748:3,4, 6,13 1755:8 1772:23 1774:9 1777:6 1784:22 1788:4,13 1828:5 1834:25 1848:20 1850:18

particular

1570:12 1574:3 1582:11 1599:3 1637:15 1761:2 1821:2 Index: operator..paying

particularly 1564:18 1642:4

parties 1564:16, 24 1566:3 1603:7 1691:16 1748:7 1826:4 1863:19

partnering 1620:9

partners 1614:14 1621:10 1622:19 1647:5,11 1691:11 1718:25 1719:12 1783:18

partnership 1581:15 1698:9

parts 1608:20 1672:20,23,25 1673:4,8,9 1674:5,21 1676:17 1679:8 1722:13 1776:18, 19

party 1642:5 1666:10 1700:7 1704:17 1705:9, 12,18 1706:12 1788:10 1824:20

pass 1744:5

passerby 1705:19

passing 1671:7

past 1712:16 1820:18 1837:18

pasture 1804:24

pathway 1578:9

pathways 1669:24

pause 1700:10

pay 1622:12 1648:7 1703:8,18 1704:15,20 1708:16,25 1710:20 1711:9, 13,16,23 1716:7 1721:2 1816:21 1840:12

paying 1633:25 1710:1

Index: peak..pipeline

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| peak 1624:15 | period 1625:13 | 10 | philosophy | 1671:18 1795:18 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| pending 1699:18 | 1774:10 1801:15 | personal 1799:2, | 1705:6,7 | picture 1785:10 |
| Pentagon | periodically 1649:3 1665:12 | 5,13 | PHMSA 1585:12 1586:5,6,18 | pieces 1581:10 |
| 1588:15 | | personally | 1587:15 1593:12, | pigs 1843:19 |
| people 1577:17 | permanent 1601:6,11 1711:2 | 1572:24 1584:10 1660:25 1726:21 | 15,16 1595:22,25 | pin 1624:18 |
| 1593:3,4 1607:15, | 1720:7 1792:1 | 1727:6 1787:25 | 1596:14 1603:14, | • |
| 17 1619:1,5 | 1807:13 1808:1,7, | 1795:22 | 17,19,25 1604:13 | pinhole 1665:9, |
| 1645:7 1669:7 | 12 1812:24 | | 1608:16,23 | 19 |
| 1675:5 1693:17 1723:14 1737:6 | permanently | personnel 1668:22 1671:1 | 1609:4 1613:5 1636:2 1645:15 | pinned 1647:9 |
| 1748:8 1759:20 | 1625:17 1629:1 | 1769:25 1770:21 | 1651:4,14 1652:3, | pipe 1593:21 |
| 1770:7,10,17,18, | 1691:12,17 | 1771:9,11 | 6,24 1653:5,11, | 1599:14 1601:10 |
| 25 1771:25 | 1791:14 | 1789:19 1847:21, | 19,20,25 1654:6, | 1610:3 1611:7,8, |
| 1774:12 1775:1,6, | normit 4504.00 | 23 1852:25 | 8,19,25 1655:6, | 24 1651:2,8,10 |
| 18 1777:18 | permit 1594:23 1660:3 1661:17 | 1855:17 1856:2 | 12,16,23 1657:13, | 1687:18 1688:6,8 |
| 1822:13 1831:8 | 1662:4,24 1663:1 | 1859:3 | 15,17 1662:10 | 1722:20 1759:18 |
| 1849:12 1850:9 | 1683:11 1688:18 | persons 1573:20 | 1664:21,22 | 1827:20,21 |
| 1853:20 | 1689:21 1690:23, | 1602:7 1692:19 | 1669:13 1678:8, | 1832:18,20,21 |
| perceive 1605:11 | 24 1715:6,11 | | 19 1684:22 | 1834:14 1835:17 |
| - | 1717:1,4,25 | perspective | 1687:12 1699:17 | 1843:10,21 |
| percent 1572:17 | 1729:21,22,25 | 1602:4 1653:9 | 1723:20 1724:12, 20 1730:4 | 1844:4 1845:12, |
| 1577:22 1585:24 1598:1 1600:2 | 1735:2 1791:22, | 1764:17 1828:4,8 1845:20 1846:13 | 1731:15 1732:6 | 16 1858:8 |
| 1619:6,10 1632:1 | 25 1798:22 | 1045.20 1040.13 | 1743:22 1744:25 | pipeline 1579:7 |
| 1641:20 1687:14 | 1801:14 1806:21, | persuade | 1745:15 1748:21, | 1581:20 1583:25 |
| 1690:22 1703:14 | 22 1807:4 | 1745:18 | 25 1749:3,10 | 1585:15,21 |
| 1708:6,8 1718:14, | 1842:13 | petition 1688:19 | 1750:3 1772:19 | 1588:8 1593:5 |
| 19 1777:25 | permits 1659:12, | 1719:6 1818:3 | 1774:24 1775:19 | 1594:23 1595:5 |
| 1778:3,5,9,10,11 | 24 1661:12 | | 1788:2 1831:20 | 1596:5,7,8,17,23 |
| 1802:3,4,5,16 | 1667:8 1683:1 | petitioning | 1842:22 1845:10 | 1597:4,7,12,15, |
| 1805:24 1806:3, | 1718:11 1767:11 | 1819:18 | 1854:2,10 | 18,25 1599:12 1600:10 1601:5 |
| 14 1814:8 | 1778:13,14,21 | Petroleum | 1860:16 | 1602:9,16 1604:2 |
| 1822:13 1828:11 | 1803:20 1861:14 | 1849:6 | PHMSA's | 1607:4 1608:12 |
| 1845:11 | 1862:2 | phase 1635:19 | 1649:15 1656:8 | 1609:2,5,7,23 |
| percentage | permitted | 1636:14,21,22 | 1684:11 1775:10 | 1610:21,22 |
| 1609:1 1719:1 | 1753:18 1771:21 | 1637:15,16,17 | 1828:18 1859:14 | 1611:18,19,23 |
| 1805:24 1836:9 | 1847:19 | 1659:17 1685:9, | phone 1588:15 | 1613:2 1614:12 |
| 1838:20 1842:8 | normitting | 11,13,19,22,24 | 1668:15 1670:15 | 1616:15 1617:20 |
| perfect 1567:8 | permitting 1690:3 | 1686:1 1688:25 | 1857:18 | 23 1619:3 |
| 1834:22,23 | | 1717:8,10,11,12, | | 1628:24 1629:17 |
| | perpetual | 13 1729:17 | phrase 1742:21 | 1630:1,5 1631:8, |
| perfectly | 1681:21 1720:7 | 1756:25 1757:2,8, | phrased 1608:17 | 13,24,25 1632:2 |
| 1657:25 | perpetuity | 9,10,11,15,23 | - | 1635:24,25 |
| perform 1585:13 | 1710:11 | 1763:22 1768:1,3, | physical 1581:17 | 1636:2,3,4 1639: |
| 1602:15 1659:7 | | 8,9,21 1773:24 | 1635:12 1669:24 | 1641:4,5,10 |
| 1770:12 | person 1582:5 | 1774:1 1838:13 | physically | 1643:18 1648:11 1650:23 1651:2 |
| performed | 1584:2,9,12 1602:12 1614:2 | phases 1637:8 | 1776:7 1843:7 | 1653:1 1654:2 |
| 1731:21 1773:5 | 1645:20 1647:15 | 1685:9 1757:1 | 1855:12 | 1655:8,9 1656:5 |
| | 1672:2 1673:18 | phasing 1638:16 | physics 1611:5 | 1659:2,4,14 |
| performing | 1690:6 1710:15 | | | 1662:1 1663:10, |
| 1731:23 | 1786:21 1832:4 | PHAST 1670:8 | pick 1576:24 | 11,15 1666:10,19 |
| _ | | Phillips' 1763:24 | 1577:10,11 | 24,25 1667:14 |
| perimeter | person's 1751:9, | | 1615:4 1639:14 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Index: pipelines..population

| 1671:7 1672:1 | 1835:15,25 | 1744:12,14,19 | plant 1614:13 | 1752:22 1816:15 |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1676:25 1677:4, | 1836:2,8 1837:12 | placards 1571:18 | 1622:11 1629:25 | 1834:19 1853:18 |
| 13 1678:21 | 1845:13 1846:2,7 | | 1630:20 1646:15 | plays 1664:9 |
| 1679:25 1681:14, | 1847:7 1848:5 | place 1581:14 | 1649:19,22 | |
| 25 1683:14,22,23 | 1849:8,13,17,19, | 1588:3 1616:25 | 1660:1 1662:7 | plenty 1605:18 |
| 1686:5,23 1687:1, | 25 1850:21,24 | 1663:1 1682:10 | 1680:18,23 | plow 1857:15 |
| 17,22 1691:2,6, | 1851:4,19,21 | 1708:7 1730:3 | 1681:23 1719:14 | piow 1057.15 |
| 16,23 1694:13 | 1852:8 1853:15 | 1745:4 1765:23 | 1752:2,9,18 | plowing 1858:13 |
| 1703:10 1705:8, | 1854:20 1856:16 | 1767:13 1780:24 | 1755:5,7 1756:1 | plume 1584:23 |
| 20 1706:11 | 1857:3,13,19,25 | 1781:5,10 1788:5 | 1758:24 1759:14, | • |
| 1708:17 1710:21 | 1858:4,10,14,25 | 1791:22 1793:13 | 17,19,22 1763:3 | 1599:19 1626:16 |
| 1711:3,8,16,24 | 1860:21 1862:12 | 1803:25 1806:21 | 1764:1,18 1784:4, | 1676:4,10 |
| 1712:13 1714:10, | 1863:9 | 1828:1 1829:4 | 5 1833:5 | POET 1647:12 |
| 20 1715:11 | ninalinas 1502.6 | 1834:4 1835:24 | niontio 1600.00 | |
| 1720:9,11,12 | pipelines 1583:6 1588:1 1589:8 | 1864:5 | plant's 1623:22 | point 1564:20 |
| 1722:13 1723:14 | | nlaaamant | planting 1804:22 | 1583:4 1590:15 |
| 1724:1,2 1731:2,4 | 1592:15 1593:11, | placement | 1857:17 | 1591:2,23 |
| 1732:2,11 1733:2, | 16,19,22 1594:12 | 1623:10 1649:11 | alanta 1505.0 | 1594:17 1601:23 |
| 11,17 1734:10 | 1595:9,12,23 | 1650:17 | plants 1565:2 | 1602:14 1621:1 |
| 1735:9 1736:23 | 1617:14 1618:23 | places 1617:25 | 1576:3,4,5,21,22, | 1630:4 1631:1,6 |
| 1737:11 1738:18, | 1646:25 1653:14 | 1636:14 1831:2,3 | 25 1618:15,19 | 1646:6 1663:23 |
| 20 1739:2 1744:1 | 1654:12 1658:24 | | 1620:9,12,17 | 1667:18 1671:9 |
| 1745:3,24 | 1678:17 1682:5 | placing 1667:14 | 1621:12 1622:24 | 1674:23 1694:8, |
| 1746:15,18,19 | 1733:18 1734:4, | 1671:4 | 1623:3 1624:9 | 14,15 1699:20 |
| 1748:11 1749:17, | 10 1735:21 | Plains 1649:19 | 1628:14 1629:7 | 1700:9 1701:14 |
| 20,25 1750:4,9 | 1736:15,18 | 1681:6 | 1631:5 1635:1 | 1705:16 1723:11 |
| 1751:14 1752:4,7 | 1743:24 1745:23 | 1001.0 | 1636:9 1639:19 | 1740:8 1744:1,1 |
| 1753:17 1757:13 | 1746:2,4 1748:14 | plan 1584:3,7 | 1641:2,5,13 | 1755:22,24 |
| 1758:7,11 1761:5, | 1761:7,12 1779:7, | 1603:18,21 | 1644:8,14 | 1767:6 1769:1 |
| 6,13,16,20,21 | 12 1787:12,13,14, | 1633:14 1651:14, | 1647:10 1660:2 | 1786:17 1801:6, |
| 1762:7,9,18,19, | 17 1801:6 1829:1, | 15,17,18,21 | 1680:22 1681:8, | 1813:13 1833:4 |
| 20,23 1763:23 | 21 1849:5 | 1652:3 1656:13 | 13 1717:15 | 1846:10 1849:9 |
| 1766:5 1768:4,11, | piping 1839:9 | 1667:1 1690:20 | 1719:2,5,15,16 | 1858:25 1863:5 |
| 15 1769:2 1770:4, | piping 1059.9 | 1725:23 1726:9 | 1720:17 1727:2 | |
| | Pirolli 1564:18 | 1732:1,7,8 | 1750:14 1751:17, | pointed 1570:12 |
| 7,11,14 1771:2,19 | 1623:1 1625:23 | 1734:1,3 1745:11 | 18,21 1752:12,19, | 1669:20 1772:18 |
| 1772:11,22 | 1630:20 1632:7, | 1764:12 1777:19 | 20,22 1753:6,16, | points 1663:6 |
| 1773:12 1775:14 | 10 1634:15,19 | 1791:20 1803:21 | 20,21,22,23,24 | 1664:10 1681:20 |
| 1776:5,7,11 | 1635:9 1640:1 | 1828:14 1846:25 | 1754:9,10,13,20 | 1684:9 1768:10 |
| 1777:4,5,6,16 | 1647:14 1680:20 | 1848:24 1858:7 | 1755:4,14 1760:1, | 1850:22 |
| 1778:19 1780:23 | 1692:3 1719:3 | | 2,5 1764:13 | |
| 1783:7 1786:13 | 1752:10 1753:10 | planet 1624:23 | 1765:16,17,20 | pole 1661:15 |
| 1787:10 1788:16 | 1754:2 1755:3,17 | planned 1690:9 | 1783:16,17,18,24 | police 1696:7 |
| 1789:20 1795:16, | 1756:15 1765:23 | 1787:13 1838:18 | 1784:7,14 | |
| 18 1799:17,21 | 1779:3 1783:20 | 1707.15 1050.10 | 1786:15 1787:6 | policies 1800:1, |
| 1800:20,23 | 1784:13,18 | planners | 1788:19 1789:13, | 12 |
| 1801:8,12,14 | 1785:12,16 | 1748:23 | 15 1791:16,18 | |
| 1804:7,14,16,18 | 1786:9,11 | nlanning 40040 | 1801:2 1837:22 | policy 1682:23, |
| 1805:8 1807:6,13 | | planning 1604:9 | | 24 1683:8 1800: |
| 1809:19 1810:8, | Pirolli's 1707:24 | 1605:25 1606:1 | plants' 1620:25 | 14,18 1803:3 |
| 12,25 1811:20 | 1721:9 | 1690:5 1733:6,10 | 1645:7 1721:18 | populated |
| 1819:14 1822:16 | nitoh 4000-40 | 1734:8 1777:12 | plot 1000-10 | 1598:9,11,12 |
| 1823:24 1827:8, | pitch 1608:10 | 1815:21 1816:14 | plat 1808:19 | 1746:5 1775:2 |
| 11,12,16 1828:1, | 1617:9 1624:5 | plans 1583:23 | platform 1847:18 | 1827:24 1828:2 |
| 3,18 1830:24 | 1644:18 | 1604:1,3 1671:22 | • | |
| | Pivvot 1583:14 | 1759:1,6,8 | play 1576:5 | population |
| 1831:8,22 1832:3 | | | 1622:4 1724:16 | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

pore 1690:22 portfolio 1579:18 1719:16 portion 1784:23 1837:14 portions 1809:3 posed 1699:24 1826:22 position 1593:3 1624:7 1642:17 1651:11 1725:5 possession 1592:25 1629:20 possibility 1628:21,24 1629:2 1815:18, 22 1824:12 possibly 1681:4 post 1858:6 postcombustion 1751:17,18 1754:4 posthole 1858:1 postholes 1857:17 potential 1585:8, 19 1601:19,25 1607:8 1621:11 1640:15 1642:9 1643:1 1653:23 1660:23 1674:19 1677:18 1681:4 1684:25 1685:15, 25 1747:22 1786:18 1790:19 1830:20 1841:10 1842:15 1863:10 potentially 1588:4 1593:24 1601:17 1602:24 1603:7 1612:20 1617:11 1639:9, 18 1641:21 1655:14 1680:17 1706:3 1748:7 1749:21 1751:11 1754:11 1777:14 1786:23 1818:23

1857:8 preempted 1652:6 Pottawattamie 1680:2 preemption 1652:4 1657:13, pounds 1599:16 16 1664:22 1833:4 1852:4 1699:17 1728:15 **Powell** 1564:18 1567:15,16,17,20 1568:1,10,12 1569:7 1570:23 1574:17 1590:23 1591:10.22 1615:13 1634:12 1658:5,14,20 1695:10 1702:5, 18 1704:9 1716:21,25 1740:23 1765:3 1794:1,21 1797:4 1800:13 1806:5 1822:3 1823:22 1826:16 1862:11 1864:1 Powell's 1568:25 1606:15,17,22 1650:7 power 1591:9,10 1752:2 1754:18, 21 1755:20 1805:9 1814:11 1839:18,22 1840:4,5,23 1841:4,20 1844:6 practical 1627:8 1722:17 1730:10 1759:15 1860:20 practice 1603:4 1722:18 1731:24 pre-combustion 1751:16 precedents 1565:22 precise 1609:10 precision 1703:6 precondition 1798:22 predict 1768:10 1815:23 predominantly 1617:6 1838:4

preexisting 1673:17 prefer 1657:20 1672:4 1714:23 1858:5 1862:2 preferably 1744:2 preference 1600:14 1617:13 1702:1 1761:15 1762:17 1819:11 1824:18 preferences 1601:24 preferred 1599:23 1804:24 prefiled 1566:3,5 1568:13,20 1570:4 1765:6 preliminary 1564:7 1582:24 1583:11 1605:3 premature 1602:13 premise 1623:18 premium 1639:20 1803:8 premiums 1816:18,20,22 preparation 1672:18 prepared 1729:1, 3 1731:4 preparedness 1730:5 1732:8 preparing 1671:22 prerequisite 1625:16

prescribed

prescriptive 1578:12 1848:8 present 1601:24 1602:13,14

1845:10

1691:16 1757:3 1824:19

presentations 1690:6

presented 1567:1 1573:24 1601:4 1602:6,9 1679:3 1708:8 1818:24

presently 1634:23,25

presents 1607:12

pressure 1612:10 1659:16 1663:16,19,20,22, 23 1664:8 1665:21,24 1666:2,11 1763:9, 11,14 1832:18,19, 23,24 1833:1,6 1835:16 1843:12 1847:13 1849:17, 23 1851:22 1852:4 1854:25 1855:21

pressured 1833:10

pressures 1768:17 1832:2

Pretend 1599:11

pretty 1598:8,12 1677:8 1678:16 1722:20 1768:20 1772:10 1809:8 1812:5 1824:24 1836:10

prevalent 1828:2 1851:22

prevent 1690:15 1732:16

prevented 1586:10

Index: pore..probability

prevention 1770:13

previous 1565:22

previously 1628:17 1634:5 1672:16 1707:21 1814:23 1820:16

price 1622:4,5 1623:5,6,17 1716:5,6,9 1750:15,21

prices 1621:2,15, 16,19,22 1622:1 1623:11,16 1642:19,20 1750:9,10,18,25 1751:4

pricing 1622:17

primarily 1653:15 1667:20 1722:9 1779:14

primary 1584:14 1624:8 1642:23 1767:19 1840:4 1851:10 1862:14

principal 1622:12

prior 1577:18 1584:4,23 1586:4 1597:1 1599:1 1655:9 1659:13 1667:14 1683:2 1715:8,22 1821:5, 10 1853:4

priorities 1650:4 1738:11

priority 1661:14

private 1572:1,4 1575:7 1580:15 1623:10 1695:25 1798:6 1805:8 1836:6,15,18

privilege 1795:4

probability 1585:19 1608:6 1672:17 1678:2 1782:6 1800:24

1726:13 1747:11

products

1761:9

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS **IUB HEARING 09/05/2023**

| UB HEARING 09/08 | 5/2023 |
|---|---|
| probable 1702:25 probing 1718:23 problem 1651:21 1652:13,14 1699:24 1760:14, 18 1803:10 1806:8 1849:19 1850:3 1852:15 | 1694:5 1706:15 1710:14 1721:19 1729:17 1731:7 1733:1 1734:9 1751:23 1754:14, 20,22 1755:8,12, 24 1770:20 1778:13 1814:15 1816:23 1825:18, 20 1827:21 |
| Probst 1785:14, 19 | 1830:5 1841:19 processes |
| procedural 1570:6 procedure 1700:24 | 1694:1 1751:19 proclaiming 1800:7 procure 1841:15 |
| procedures 1771:10 1774:7 1848:7 1860:9 | produce 1564:15 1586:16 1587:1 1590:17 1625:4 1651:24 1689:14 |
| proceed 1567:13 1571:17 1589:15 1664:25 1697:17 1717:2,24 1731:8 1740:21 1800:16 1811:22 | produced 1581:11 1586:22 1588:9 1589:18, 24 1590:12,21,24 1592:12 1594:25 1607:5 1642:2,3 |
| proceeded 1739:21 proceeding 1592:18 1613:9 | 1607.5 1642.2,3 1678:4 1685:22 1689:16 1692:17 1701:4 1719:1,2, 11 1758:3 |
| 1645:17 1677:16 1693:4 1694:7 1710:17 1725:9 1772:15 | producer 1761:25 |
| proceedings 1564:20 1570:14 1651:20,22 | producers 1751:5 produces 1620:21 |
| 1664:14,17 1688:24 1692:25 1693:21 1695:10 1696:4,11 1737:11 1793:9 | product 1617:18 1619:4,9 1631:24 1641:8 1644:17 1645:9 1653:2 1659:17,20 |
| process 1575:8 1578:11,17 1583:21 1600:7 1603:3 1605:2,8 1623:23 1624:13 1625:2,8 1630:20 | 1669:23,25 1691:10 1730:24 1743:6 1744:20 1762:20 1768:6 1782:8 1830:1 |
| 1640:3 1644:20 1660:5 1661:1 1671:19 1682:8,9 1692:16 1693:16 | production 1628:5 1660:19 1690:19 1718:15 1719:17 1837:22 |

694:5 1706:15 10:14 1721:19 29:17 1731:7 733:1 1734:9 751:23 1754:14,),22 1755:8,12, 1770:20 78:13 1814:15 316:23 1825:18,) 1827:21 330:5 1841:19 cesses 694:1 1751:19 oclaiming 800:7 ocure 1841:15 duce 1564:15 586:16 1587:1 590:17 1625:4 51:24 1689:14 oduced 581:11 1586:22 588:9 1589:18, 1590:12,21,24 592:12 1594:25 607:5 1642:2,3 678:4 1685:22 89:16 1692:17 01:4 1719:1,2, 1758:3 oducer 61:25 oducers 751:5 oduces 620:21 duct 1617:18 619:4,9 1631:24 641:8 1644:17 645:9 1653:2 659:17.20 69:23.25 691:10 1730:24 43:6 1744:20 62:20 1768:6 782:8 1830:1 oduction 628:5 1660:19 690:19 1718:15

professional 1582:3 1647:21, 24 1725:10 1761:4 1792:10 1807:25 professionals 1667:11 1729:20 1780:16 1822:21 profile 1655:17 1734:15 1746:25 1747:1 1803:6 profit 1575:13,16, 22 1576:16,18 1836:15,17 profitability 1576:4 1623:12 profitable 1576:22 1615:3 1620:16 1721:1 profits 1575:10, 18 1645:4,7 program 1583:7, 8,13,14 1609:3 1677:25 1712:23 1744:15,17,19 1774:5,17,19 1776:2 1777:1,3,7 programs 1714:17 1720:24 progressed 1829:15 prohibited 1814:24 prohibits 1749:1 project 1572:10 1575:9.10.21.25 1576:11,12,20 1577:13,18 1579:12,14,25 1580:2 1601:8 1605:6 1607:12 1614:9 1615:22 1616:12 1617:10, 17 1618:14,21

21 1625:4 1626:20 1629:13 1633:15 1635:7, 23 1640:17 1641:24 1642:9. 13,22 1643:4,23, 24 1644:12 1646:1,9 1647:22 1648:24,25 1649:15 1654:1 1681:1 1693:15 1698:4,8,12,23 1699:1,2 1717:8 1729:18 1730:3 1738:17 1739:6 1741:15.16 1743:3,8 1744:12 1745:21 1753:3, 12 1756:8,10,13 1765:10.15 1767:25 1787:22 1792:14,15 1806:23 1827:11, 22,23 1829:4 1834:10 1836:7, 11,13,17 1838:13 1848:21 1856:6 project-wide 1634:22 projected 1789:19 1851:23 projecting 1771:20 projection 1662:3 projects 1652:19 1654:1 1747:10, 11 1752:22 1762:2 1827:12 1830:7 1836:9,22 prominently 1827:18 promote 1641:24 promoter 1579:11 prompt 1817:15 promptly 1817:5, 9,11,15

proof 1625:12

1700:1 1709:13

1635:15,22 1637:19,23 1638:1,4 1742:18 property 1578:21 1648:5 1671:6 1683:11 1694:12 1703:16 1705:24 1706:2,4 1739:10, 13 1745:5 1747:25 1777:4, 17 1804:13.19 1808:6,12,18,22 1809:3,14,25 1810:13 1813:2,8, 13 1819:14 1825:10 1834:11 1835:3 1850:25

Index: probable..proposing

propagation

1675:11,25

proper 1773:4

properly 1564:22

1575:16 1578:16

1726:14

1734:17

properties

propane

1656:3,4,7,9

1856:18 proportion 1719:11

proportional 1719:10

proposal 1596:25 1706:25

propose 1565:1 1617:24

proposed 1572:9 1575:21 1585:10, 22 1588:7 1610:2. 9,21 1614:11 1629:25 1634:22 1636:5 1671:7 1678:21 1679:25 1680:16 1686:5,7, 23 1689:21 1707:1 1735:8 1736:23 1804:7

proposing

1610:7 1624:19 1687:6.8.9 1691:6

SUSAN FRYE COURT REPORTING | 515-284-1972 300 Walnut Street, #36, Des Moines, IA 50309-2224

1619:16 1620:10

1622:23 1624:5,

Index: proprietary..quickly

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| proprietary | provision 1789:8 | 1679:16 1696:19 | 1643:9 | 1647:15 1656:24 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1743:21 | - | 1837:9 1850:22 | | 1657:5 1662:8 |
| protoct 1050-04 | provisions | mulled 4000.40 | push 1639:23 | 1668:1 1690:11 |
| protect 1658:24 1745:4,5 1759:19 | 1720:10 | pulled 1696:18 1840:11 | 1647:4,7 | 1699:25 1711:22 |
| 1745.4,5 1759.19 | proximity 1727:3 | | pushed 1605:8 | 1719:10,19 |
| protected | 1735:2 1757:12 | pulling 1606:11 | put 1576:24 | 1729:5 1731:20 |
| 1586:20 1609:21 | 1770:1,2 1781:13 | 1623:22 1696:21 | 1585:18 1599:7 | 1732:9 1736:12 |
| 1613:6 1723:21 | 1782:18 1844:3 | 1698:18 1850:25 | 1616:24 1635:6 | 1737:13 1743:13 |
| protecting | 1853:1,8,9,14 | pulls 1763:19 | 1668:11 1671:12 | 1752:15 1756:12 |
| 1658:22 1723:14 | 1863:10 | • | 1722:23 1728:19 | 1783:15,20 |
| | prudent 1573:17 | pump 1648:18 | 1731:1 1743:6 | 1784:13,18 1788:7,25 |
| protection 1845:19 1846:5 | 1732:4 1733:6,21 | 1649:8 1650:12 | 1752:4,6 1762:6 | 1792:25 1793:2 |
| 1845:19 1846:5 | 1739:22 1777:18 | 1763:22 1771:6 | 1763:9 1789:20 | 1794:24 1795:12 |
| proud 1822:12 | PSC 1616:13 | 1833:8,10 1838:7, 11,17 1839:4,7,20 | 1802:2 1810:20 | 1797:7 1798:9 |
| prove 1626:23,25 | 1627:22 1628:2 | 1840:1,6 1842:6, | 1812:23 1843:20 | 1800:11 1804:2 |
| 1662:19 1819:1 | 1632:23 1688:18 | 25 1843:1 | 1846:1,3,13 | 1810:13 1815:3,7 |
| 1820:8 | 1689:17,19 | 1847:11 1851:5 | 1853:20 1858:23 | 1817:11 1818:8 |
| | · | 1852:21 | puts 1564:15 | 1820:2,13,22 |
| proved 1683:6 | psig 1599:12 | | 1812:9 | 1821:18 1822:5 |
| provide 1582:7 | public 1586:20 | pumps 1839:8 | nutting 1010.11 | 1823:10,18,19 |
| 1592:20 1593:10 | 1587:18 1588:5 | 1848:4 | putting 1818:11 | 1836:25 1849:3 |
| 1603:10,25 | 1589:9 1590:17, | punt 1830:22 | 1858:1,6 | 1859:12 |
| 1604:19 1605:13, | 22 1592:22 | 1853:11 | | questioning |
| 17,18,24 1610:4 | 1603:15 1607:10 | purchase | Q | 1657:23 1697:16 |
| 1612:18 1646:2 | 1609:16 1615:14 | 1715:20 1797:22 | | 1702:11 |
| 1664:5 1671:25 | 1618:8 1639:17 | 1831:15 1839:22 | QA/QC 1827:21 | |
| 1676:21 1690:8 | 1652:8 1653:23 | | 1828:22 | questions |
| 1723:23 1724:21 | 1657:8 1664:13 | purchased | qualify 1755:14 | 1565:17 1568:16 |
| 1730:12,13,21 | 1668:2 1671:25 | 1581:21 | | 1569:21 1570:9 1592:4 1595:15 |
| 1742:10 1744:6 | 1681:17 1692:17 1720:16,20 | pure 1623:16 | qualifying | 1632:8,12 |
| 1749:5,8 1780:1 1782:3 1791:20 | 1724:9 1748:4 | 1751:23,24,25 | 1715:24 | 1658:17,21 |
| 1798:18 1799:7 | 1774:17,18 | purple 1607:8 | quality 1631:23 | 1707:3,11 1715:3 |
| 1822:15 1851:18 | 1776:1 1777:1,3, | | 1691:24 | 1716:14 1734:25 |
| | 6,19 1798:2 | purported | quantitativa | 1764:21 1774:16 |
| provided | 1829:3,9 1836:18 | 1621:9 1750:8 | quantitative 1645:18 1655:14 | 1777:21 1788:22 |
| 1586:20 1650:20 | 1846:21 1856:5,7, | purpose 1575:9 | 1677:16 1860:5 | 1793:17 1794:4 |
| 1672:3 1689:1 | 11 1857:7 | 1614:8,11,13,23 | | 1799:12,15 |
| 1701:21 1703:23 | 1858:24 1860:14 | 1615:22 1616:11 | quantity 1638:21 | 1814:3 1821:22 |
| 1709:16,22 | publicly 1583:9 | 1617:9,17 | quarter 1744:13 | 1822:7,22 1826:2, |
| 1732:12 1742:7, 12 1800:6 | 1607:4 1613:18 | 1628:20 1642:16, | 1811:5,20 | 4,5,12,15,18,22, |
| | 1644:21 1681:18 | 21 1644:2 | | 25 1827:2 |
| provider 1583:12 | 1743:20 1796:13 | 1706:23 1742:24 | question | 1831:12,14 |
| 1766:25 1787:8 | 1797:25 1798:6, | 1748:20 1792:1 | 1569:12 1573:14 | 1832:2,17 1862:7 |
| 1840:5,20 | 10 1836:14 | purposes | 1574:6,12,20,23 | 1863:16,20,22 |
| providers | | 1585:1,3 1604:9 | 1575:1,19 1576:13 1578:19 | 1864:7,9 |
| 1633:23 1766:6, | published | 1610:19 1624:5 | 1584:16 1588:25 | quick 1656:23 |
| 17 1839:23 | 1794:17 1820:15 | 1815:7 1823:10, | 1591:21,24 | 1699:4 1740:13, |
| provides | PUC 1586:23 | 18 | 1595:18 1596:21 | 23 |
| 1596:15 1818:20 | 1589:22 1590:2, | nursuant 1701.5 | 1607:22 1610:19, | quicker 1641:6 |
| | 24 1628:1 | pursuant 1701:5 | 24 1616:3 1624:2 | • |
| providing 1696:4 | pull 1606:6,8 | pursue 1784:10 | 1630:13,17 | quickly 1664:10 |
| 1758:2 1780:10 | 1627:14 1659:21 | purview 1576:23 | 1635:8 1637:9 | 1707:21 1725:12 |
| 1793:5 1798:21 | 1667:22 1672:13 | 1577:5 1642:25 | 1643:20 1646:13 | 1768:14,25 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| quo 1646:23 | rati |
|--|------------------------------------|
| quote 1646:2,4 1656:5,7 1665:23 | 15 raw |
| 1718:17 | re-l |
| | rea |
| R | 16 16 |
| radius 1734:4 1777:9 | 17 |
| rail 1617:12 1762:14,16,21 | rea 17 |
| 1861:13,23 | rea |
| railroad 1861:1, 3,8 | rea 15 |
| railroads 1861:16 1862:1 | rea |
| rainfall 1774:9 | rea 16 |
| raise 1567:21 1581:2 1797:24 | 17 17 17 |
| raised 1760:15 | rea |
| ramp 1730:2 | 17 |
| ran 1762:2,3 1809:20 | rea rea |
| rancher 1751:12 | 17 |
| range 1660:20 1764:10 1766:12, 14 1769:8 1833:9 | rea 16 16 16 |
| ranging 1609:23 1719:16 | 18 18 |
| rare 1809:12 | rea |
| rarely 1829:22 | rea |
| Rastetter 1579:8, 23 1641:24,25 | 17 rea |
| 1692:19 1693:1 | 15 |
| 1697:9 1797:14 Rastetter's | 16 16 |
| 1579:15,17 | 16 17 |
| rate 1599:15 1612:9 1663:15 1802:6 1803:10, 12,17 1847:13 1851:23 1852:5 | rea 15 25 16 17 |
| rating 1750:23 | 17 |
| rational 1597:1 | rea 16 |
| | |

| .023 | |
|--|---|
| rationale 1578:23 raw 1827:19 | reasons 1590:22 1624:20 1723:12 1735:1 1739:7 1740:1 1772:19 |
| e-look 1655:22 | rebuffed 1587:6 |
| each 1577:23 1619:23,25 1659:17 1670:15, | rebut 1569:7 1570:24 |
| 17 1727:22 reached 1577:21 1777:24 | rebuttal 1568:13, 25 1569:5,8,18,22 1570:1,7,9,19,21 1571:1,10 |
| reaches 1623:24 reaching 1567:11 1806:18 reaction 1668:21 | 1669:12 1684:7, 22 1688:16 1690:11 1697:11 1707:24 1731:10 1859:12,20 |
| read 1610:15 | rebutting 1570:2 |
| 1688:21 1698:22 1709:7,9 1713:19 1718:19 1763:24 1790:11 1859:23 | recall 1565:16 1578:23 1702:5 1706:22 1710:22 1712:25 1723:16, |
| readily 1689:25 1728:8 | 19 1725:24 1741:4,6,18 1744:10 1772:21 |
| reading 1601:22 ready 1606:3 1732:14 1841:21 | 1790:22 1808:10 recalled 1701:22 |
| eal 1594:19 1663:2,8,12 1670:7,12 1691:5 1699:4 1769:9 1813:14 1847:14 1851:13 | receive 1625:11, 22 1639:9 1661:12 1662:24 1683:1 1729:25 1763:8 1837:25 received 1699:8 |
| real-life 1852:13 | 1704:3,9 1814:18 1815:15 1819:13 |
| realize 1690:15 1779:22 | 1861:15 receiver 1839:9 |
| reason 1574:3 1593:15 1595:20 1602:18,22 1609:15 1640:16 1688:17 1715:19 | 1843:18 1851:7 receiving 1694:17 1843:22 recent 1600:25 |
| 1740:3 1762:19 | 1718:18 1815:14 |
| reasonable 1587:8 1596:8,10, 25 1611:22 1616:23 1689:19 | recently 1588:15 1607:5 1708:15 1760:14 1779:5 1794:9 |
| 1706:5 1722:17 | receptive |

1736:2 1817:17 reasonably 1621:20 1680:13

itting 1570:2

receptive 1739:20

recess 1658:3,9 1740:18 1826:8

recessed 1864:14

1864:11

reclaim 1834:11, 16,17 1835:3

reclaimed 1804:20 1850:25

recognize 1704:12

recollection 1578:11 1737:5 1743:11

recommend 1625:5

recommendatio **n** 1772:5 1781:6

recommendatio **ns** 1780:13

recommends 1586:18 1749:1

reconcile 1734:14

reconsideration 1688:19

record 1564:6 1566:1,7 1571:1 1591:7 1633:10 1658:3,13 1689:4 1699:15 1740:16, 22 1746:3,4 1823:11,18 1826:7.11 1841:24 1843:8 1846:17 1864:6

recorded 1820:16

records 1692:17, 22

recovery 1626:5 1627:1,5 1628:21 1649:24 1690:13 1790:19 1791:21

redirect 1863:19, 21,22

reduce 1614:17 1624:9 1641:19 1644:14 1646:19 Index: quo..regulated

1661:25 1691:13 1791:9 1815:9.18

reduced 1828:19

reduces 1750:22

reducing 1620:25 1721:17, 18 1817:3

reduction 1708:5 1755:22,24

reductions 1708:24

redundancy 1848:24

redundant 1862:18

refer 1783:17 1808:21 1841:24 1846:17

reference 1580:4 1586:9 1704:2 1810:6

referenced 1798:15

referencing 1617:6 1642:2

referring 1641:23 1659:7 1749:4

refine 1697:11 1743:5

refined 1726:13 1747:11 1761:9

reflect 1709:12, 25

refresh 1586:2

refused 1586:16 1689:13

refusing 1574:11 1605:12,16

regional 1787:21

registered 1790:4

regular 1577:1 1600:16 1733:18

regulated

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS **IUB HEARING 09/05/2023**

| /2023 |
|---|
| 1749:1 1780:18, 23 1782:6,11 1799:18 1809:13, 17 1810:6,9 1850:15 1863:11 |
| released 1592:16 1610:25 1656:17 1669:24 releases 1670:7, |
| 8,9 1675:25 1722:5 releasing 1723:13 |
| relevance 1569:14 |
| relevant 1603:10 1697:21 |
| reliability 1678:17 1746:3 1801:6 1849:11 1862:16 |
| reliant 1769:23 |
| relied 1653:6 |
| relocate 1601:5 rely 1629:3 1640:15 1653:12 1668:20 1678:6 1849:10 relying 1587:20 1592:10 1621:3 |
| 1669:17 |
| remain 1576:22 1654:17 1750:14 1815:11 remainder 1808:17,21 |
| 1809:2 remaining 1577:24 1619:24 |
| remedy 1564:19 remember 1620:19 1647:25 1651:9 1660:4 1665:7 1673:22 1680:8 1691:4 1703:9 1708:2 1724:5 1739:14 1746:11 1749:20 |
| |

49:1 1780:18. 1782:6.11 99:18 1809:13, 1810:6,9 50:15 1863:11 eased 1592:16 16 10:25 1656:17 69:24 eases 1670:7, 9 1675:25 22:5 easing 23:13 evance 69:14 evant 1603:10 97:21 ability 678:17 1746:3 301:6 1849:11 62:16 ant 1769:23 ed 1653:6 ocate 1601:5 **y** 1629:3 40:15 1653:12 68:20 1678:6 49:10 ying 1587:20 592:10 1621:3 69:17 nain 1576:22 654:17 1750:14 315:11 nainder 308:17.21 309:2 naining 77:24 1619:24 nedy 1564:19 nember 20:19 1647:25 51:9 1660:4

65:7 1673:22 80:8 1691:4 03:9 1708:2 24:5 1739:14

1757:16 1761:2 1762:15 1766:11 1799:14,16

remind 1658:6,14 1700:15 1746:9,

reminder 1740:23

remorse 1823:8 remote 1769:13

1836:3 1853:1,2

remotely 1862:23

> remove 1682:6 1705:21

removed 1624:13

removing 1625:7

renewable 1641:17 1642:3, 12 1707:25 1803:5 1839:25

repair 1683:23 1711:2,14

repairs 1711:2 1833:14

reparation 1603:16

repeat 1595:18 1667:15 1759:4 1777:23 1797:7

repeating 1611:13

rephrase 1745:6 1789:4 1818:8

replace 1846:10

replicate 1729:12

reply 1591:1 1679:6

report 1647:19 1648:15 1651:5 1669:13 1684:11 1742:6,8 1744:6,8 1757:20,22,23,25 1758:2,5 1763:25 1770:11 1859:23

reported 1668:21 reporter 1735:7 reporting 1798:5 repose 1861:19

represent 1728:24 1794:3, 21 1808:25

represented 1818:22 1860:5

request 1566:5 1616:19 1642:1 1661:17 1662:5 1681:3 1692:17 1704:3,11 1709:12,22 1742:6 1800:7,9

requested 1590:2 1601:25 1667:17 1793:15 1831:25 1842:4

requesting 1660:16 1838:9 1842:1

requests 1592:19 1612:17 1650:20 1667:18 1692:8 1709:23

require 1593:12, 15 1627:6 1688:3 1719:25 1724:21 1730:4 1763:2 1791:1 1818:2 1831:20,21 1843:23 1844:6 1854:19 1861:17

required

1667:13,15 1677:1 1686:2,11 1701:21 1806:14 1821:15 1854:9 1856:6

requirement

1625:16.21 1671:8 1677:20 1706:17 1722:19 1744:25 1749:22 1788:18 1806:21 1861:8,25

requirements

1603:16 1608:17 1626:12 1645:15 1653:18 1654:20 1668:10 1706:25 1762:8 1787:19 1798:5 1819:24 1834:16 1849:15 requires 1585:12 1603:18 1608:23

1609:4 1625:12 1655:12 1683:5 1687:12

requiring 1717:7 1718:10

requisite 1685:14

reroute 1599:3 1842:23 1843:3

rerouted 1600:10

reroutes 1600:19.20 1601:25 1843:3,4

research 1735:25 1829:13 1854:12

researched 1861:6

Reserve 1712:22

residences 1748:14

residential 1598:16 1661:13 1829:22 1831:19

residents 1608:1 1747:25 1748:7

resolution 1588:10

resource 1712:4 1730:8

resources

1580:10,12 1605:19,20 1627:21 1628:4 1650:2 1660:6,7, 23 1661:2,8,11,20 1726:17 1747:23 1772:8 1793:14

SUSAN FRYE COURT REPORTING | 515-284-1972 300 Walnut Street, #36, Des Moines, IA 50309-2224

Index: regulating..resources

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

respect 1570:11 1667:1 1668:24 1595:12 1596:1 1652:5 1681:10 22 1682:13 1739:24 1740:2,3 1704:2,10,24 1781:13 1783:16 1709:7,12,22 1785:25 1790:16 1797:11 1798:16 15,22 1729:14 1801:13 1736:9,11 respectful 1753:10 respectively 1771:10,14 1569:1 1772:6,10 respiratory 1673:16 respond 1569:21 11 1810:2 1570:20 1591:11 1824:14,16 1668:19 1669:3 1724:25 1747:23 1853:5,7,11,23 1771:25 1817:5, 12,15 1853:2 1854:9 1859:17 1860:13,14 responded 1862:20,21 1742:8 1863:2,8 responder response-type 1604:4 1605:4 1772:7 1781:1 1783:10 responses responders 1692:7 1713:22 1602:7,20 1782:19 1603:20,22 responsibility 1604:11,15,17,25 1593:10 1785:1 1607:14,25 1847:8 1667:3,4,10 1668:18.21 responsible 1725:8 1726:16 1730:7,17 1785:10 1793:8 1747:15.16 1824:20 1748:23 1772:2 rest 1580:8 1780:17 1782:19 1830:24 1831:13, 18,22 1853:13,14 restate 1577:7 1854:5 1857:1 responding 1570:3 1605:4 restatement 1670:23 1780:19 1702:24 1817:10 1860:19 restating response 1664:21 1566:11 1569:6 1571:7 1574:18 Restoration 1587:23 1589:24 1714:12 1602:15 1603:16, restriction 18,21,23 1604:1,6 1748:16 1632:18 1653:24

restrictions 1670:19 1671:1. 1701:11 1759:16 restrictive 1759:23 1721:25 1725:10, result 1706:10 1712:22 1742:10 1730:5,21 1732:7 resulting 1703:8, 18 1743:13 1747:20 1749:9 1760:12 results 1600:12 1623:12 1745:1, 17 1748:9 1749:2 1779:16 1780:11, resumed 12,16,17 1782:16 1658:10 1740:19 1795:8 1800:10, 1826:9 1817:16 1821:17 retained 1742:14 retroactive 1829:11 1840:12 1654:21 retrofitting 1655:8 return 1658:4,6,7 1716:25 1802:7 returns 1582:1 revenue 1756:10 1784:20,23 1786:6,7 **reverse** 1748:6 1780:25 1782:24 1783:2.7 reverts 1720:13 1577:16 1582:12 **review** 1667:13 1672:18 1678:9, 10,11 1692:21 1713:7 1756:24 1757:21 1641:13 1651:25 reviewed 1651:15 1656:13 1637:12 1656:23 1697:3 1797:9 1823:15 rewarded 1816:20 reword 1574:25 1589:2 1594:8,9 1643:11 1693:11 1818:8 1820:2,23 Rextag 1743:24 Reynolds 1692:18,19

1693:1 right-of-way 1601:11 1667:9 1683:11 1804:20 1805:13,14 1806:23 1812:24 1816:5,8,10,11,13 1834:13 rights 1805:5 1807:1,2,24 1814:5,13 1838:9 1842:1 rights-of-way 1722:4 ring 1698:5 risk 1585:18 1586:19 1590:3,9 1592:23 1593:6, 14 1596:9,16 1597:1 1602:10, 19 1604:18,19 1607:12,23 1608:4,6 1610:22 1613:7 1645:1,11, 14,16,18,21 1655:13,14,17 1672:16 1673:2 1674:18 1675:15 1677:14,16 1678:1,11,14,15, 24 1681:11,12 1722:21 1723:6 1724:17,18 1726:16 1734:15 1738:8,9 1739:1 1745:9,12,14 1746:7,18,25 1747:13 1748:20, 24 1757:6,8,11,12 1774:4 1775:22 1780:24 1800:20, 21,22,23 1801:11 1803:6,21,24 1822:16 1824:10 1830:2,3,10 1834:4 1835:25 1716:10 1778:8 1853:15 1857:4 1815:1 1816:16 1860:5,12,19 1825:13 1838:16, risks 1613:2 18,24 1842:5,9 1623:9 1669:16, roughly 1811:21 19 1672:21 1837:20 1677:9 1682:5 1685:15 1698:13

Index: respect..roughly

1739:25 1760:5 risky 1734:11 river 1773:12 1845:15,25 1846:7 1850:5 **road** 1722:3,5,11, 15 1777:15 1810:14 1811:14, 16,17 1813:20,21, 23 1839:15,17 1841:4 1844:3 1845:14.15 1846:8,9 roads 1862:4 roadside 1671:14 roadsides 1671:10 roadway 1722:21 1773:14 robust 1621:25 1644:6 1677:16 1731:12,14 robustly 1605:9 rock 1687:3 Rockford 1758:13 **rocky** 1686:5,9, 25 Rod 1725:8 1779:22 1780:15 1782:18 1783:10 role 1579:8 1582:11 1654:5 1656:10 1698:7 room 1565:8 1686:22 Rorie 1684:1 1710:16 1713:22 1714:14,24

Index: route..segregated

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| route 1582:13,17, 20,25 1583:3,11, | ruling 1565:18 1591:18 1699:19 | sake 1639:14 | 1599:18 1705:9 1708:8 1725:19 | seats 1564:4 1740:21 |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| 18 1584:5,19,24 | 1794:25 | same 1568:12,16, | 1726:18 1730:21 | _ |
| 1585:10,15 | | 17 1573:23 | 1761:25 1781:25 | second 1564:23 |
| 1588:16 1594:19 | run 1620:2 | 1589:12 1594:15 | 1782:2,3,4 | 1565:19 1570:11 |
| 1595:1 1597:12 | 1671:18 1734:5 | 1595:10 1613:14 | 1785:11 1813:12 | 1599:16 1627:17 |
| | 1743:25 1745:25 | 1634:5 1638:7,8, | 1703.11 1013.12 | 1765:25 1794:10 |
| 1599:1,6,11,23 | 1851:24 | 11 1641:11,13 | scenarios | 1802:20 1837:9 |
| 1600:6,25 1601:1, | | 1646:25 1649:10 | 1708:7 1747:22 | |
| 2,4,10,19 1636:5 | runner 1575:23 | 1657:12 1693:24 | 1776:10 | secondary |
| 1648:10 1649:4 | running 1831:16 | 1702:7 1712:11 | | 1840:5 1849:11 |
| 1680:16 1685:16 | | 1715:12,21 | schedule | seconds 1853:22 |
| 1689:7,8,10,21 | runs 1811:1 | 1724:8 1726:15 | 1742:20 1743:1 | 30001103 1000.22 |
| 1706:2 1733:10 | NURWEN 1000-10 | 1727:12 1731:20 | scheduled | Secretary 1790:4 |
| 1735:8,23 | runway 1639:16 | 1748:10 1749:24 | 1857:6 | |
| 1737:11,15 | rupture 1585:8 | | 0.1001 | secretly 1694:21 |
| 1739:22 1742:17 | 1599:15 1653:15 | 1751:11 1752:15 | schematics | sectionalizing |
| 1743:15 1744:1,5, | 1670:21 1746:20 | 1756:6 1776:4 | 1847:7 | 1854:17 |
| 8,13,24 1745:18 | 1782:4 1795:18 | 1797:17 1802:24 | | |
| 1759:15,22 | 1799:21 1800:21, | 1803:7 1824:14 | schools 1770:19 | secure 1778:21 |
| 1770:11 1771:1, | 22 1863:2 | 1825:25 1844:10, | 1782:14 1857:2 | 1805:15 1814:5 |
| 19 1772:11 | 22 1003.2 | 16 1845:24 | Schovanec | 1838:17 1843:1 |
| 1775:24 1783:7 | ruptured 1651:3 | 1848:18 | 1584:15,17 | 1844:15 |
| 1784:7 1787:9 | rupturoc 1747.0 | sample 1808:25 | 1598:20,22,23 | coourad 1007.0 |
| 1817:24 1818:18, | ruptures 1747:8 | - | 1610:4 1648:24 | secured 1667:9 |
| 21 1819:1,3,8 | rural 1598:16 | Satartia 1651:3 | | 1767:11 1793:10 |
| 1820:8,17 1821:2, | 1766:1,3,19,20 | 1653:15,16 | 1649:5,6,9 | 1800:12 1805:5, |
| | | 1669:13 1684:8, | 1685:23 1757:17 | 12 1838:19,21 |
| 5,6,7,9,11,12 | Ryon 1764:24,25 | 12,23 1772:18 | 1758:4 1769:6 | 1842:9 |
| 1824:12,17 | 1765:2 1789:4,6 | 1773:10,14,23 | 1832:25 1833:11 | securing |
| 1831:8 1842:11, | 1793:17 | 1828:15 1859:18 | 1838:13 1840:16 | 1622:16 1806:23 |
| 14 1863:9 | | 1860:11 1862:22 | 1841:7 1850:13 | 1842:6 |
| routed 1745:3 | S | | 1855:2,3 1861:24 | |
| 1746:12 | | satellite 1843:14 | scientific | security 1588:2 |
| | a a h a t a wa 17011 | 1851:11 1862:13 | 1619:20 1636:23 | 1694:24 1695:15, |
| | sabotage 1724:1 | | | 10 00 00 05 |
| routes 1818:4,11 | cabelage | satisfaction | 1652.20 | 19,22,23,25 |
| 1819:18,25 | - | satisfaction | 1652:20 | 1696:3,4,10 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, | Sac 1679:24 | 1745:15 | 1652:20 scientifically | |
| 1819:18,25 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 | | | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 safe 1608:12 | 1745:15 | scientifically 1644:13 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 | scientifically 1644:13 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 safe 1608:12 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 safely 1597:7 safer 1641:6 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 safely 1597:7 safer 1641:6 safest 1609:7 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 safely 1597:7 safer 1641:6 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching 1857:14 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 rule 1649:15 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 safely 1597:7 safer 1641:6 safest 1609:7 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 satisfy 1744:24 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 1817:6 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 rule 1649:15 1664:23 1749:3,7, | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 safely 1597:7 safer 1641:6 safest 1609:7 1617:15 1829:1 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 satisfy 1744:24 save 1614:23,25 1655:1 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching 1857:14 screen 1611:17 1668:9 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 1817:6 seeks 1807:12 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 rule 1649:15 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 safely 1597:7 safer 1641:6 safest 1609:7 1617:15 1829:1 safety 1564:12 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 satisfy 1744:24 save 1614:23,25 1655:1 SCADA 1847:18 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching 1857:14 screen 1611:17 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 1817:6 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 rule 1649:15 1664:23 1749:3,7, | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 Safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 Safely 1597:7 Safer 1641:6 Safest 1609:7 1617:15 1829:1 Safety 1564:12 1587:18 1588:5 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 satisfy 1744:24 save 1614:23,25 1655:1 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching 1857:14 screen 1611:17 1668:9 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 1817:6 seeks 1807:12 sees 1852:8 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 rule 1649:15 1664:23 1749:3,7, 11 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 Safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 Safely 1597:7 Safer 1641:6 Safest 1609:7 1617:15 1829:1 Safety 1564:12 1587:18 1588:5 1593:18,20,22 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 satisfy 1744:24 save 1614:23,25 1655:1 SCADA 1847:18 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching 1857:14 screen 1611:17 1668:9 screens 1847:6 scroll 1607:1 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 1817:6 seeks 1807:12 sees 1852:8 segment 1611:8 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 rule 1649:15 1664:23 1749:3,7, 11 ruled 1591:15 1592:3 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 Safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 Safely 1597:7 Safer 1641:6 Safest 1609:7 1617:15 1829:1 Safety 1564:12 1587:18 1588:5 1593:18,20,22 1596:17,19 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 satisfy 1744:24 save 1614:23,25 1655:1 SCADA 1847:18 1851:17 scale 1672:22 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching 1857:14 screen 1611:17 1668:9 screens 1847:6 scroll 1607:1 scrubber | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 1817:6 seeks 1807:12 sees 1852:8 segment 1611:8 1663:15,17 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 rule 1649:15 1664:23 1749:3,7, 11 ruled 1591:15 1592:3 rulemaking | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 Safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 Safely 1597:7 Safer 1641:6 Safest 1609:7 1617:15 1829:1 Safety 1564:12 1587:18 1588:5 1593:18,20,22 1596:17,19 1597:4,5,6 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 satisfy 1744:24 save 1614:23,25 1655:1 SCADA 1847:18 1851:17 scale 1672:22 1674:11 1791:8 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching 1857:14 screen 1611:17 1668:9 screens 1847:6 scroll 1607:1 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 1817:6 seeks 1807:12 sees 1852:8 segment 1611:8 1663:15,17 1680:6 1681:9,14 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 rule 1649:15 1664:23 1749:3,7, 11 ruled 1591:15 1592:3 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 Safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 Safely 1597:7 Safer 1641:6 Safest 1609:7 1617:15 1829:1 Safety 1564:12 1587:18 1588:5 1593:18,20,22 1596:17,19 1597:4,5,6 1652:25 1723:13 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 satisfy 1744:24 save 1614:23,25 1655:1 SCADA 1847:18 1851:17 scale 1672:22 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching 1857:14 screen 1611:17 1668:9 screens 1847:6 scroll 1607:1 scrubber 1630:19 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 1817:6 seeks 1807:12 sees 1852:8 segment 1611:8 1663:15,17 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 rule 1649:15 1664:23 1749:3,7, 11 ruled 1591:15 1592:3 rulemaking | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 Safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 Safely 1597:7 Safer 1641:6 Safest 1609:7 1617:15 1829:1 Safety 1564:12 1587:18 1588:5 1593:18,20,22 1596:17,19 1597:4,5,6 1652:25 1723:13 1733:2 1739:1 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 satisfy 1744:24 save 1614:23,25 1655:1 SCADA 1847:18 1851:17 scale 1672:22 1674:11 1791:8 scare 1578:10 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching 1857:14 screen 1611:17 1668:9 screens 1847:6 scroll 1607:1 scrubber 1630:19 seasons 1639:12 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 1817:6 seeks 1807:12 sees 1852:8 segment 1611:8 1663:15,17 1680:6 1681:9,14 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 rule 1649:15 1664:23 1749:3,7, 11 ruled 1591:15 1592:3 rulemaking 1653:14,20,21 rules 1565:15 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 Safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 Safely 1597:7 Safer 1641:6 Safest 1609:7 1617:15 1829:1 Safety 1564:12 1587:18 1588:5 1593:18,20,22 1596:17,19 1597:4,5,6 1652:25 1723:13 1733:2 1739:1 1746:4 1827:6,12, | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 satisfy 1744:24 save 1614:23,25 1655:1 SCADA 1847:18 1851:17 scale 1672:22 1674:11 1791:8 scare 1578:10 scary 1645:2 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching 1857:14 screen 1611:17 1668:9 screens 1847:6 scroll 1607:1 scrubber 1630:19 seasons 1639:12 1768:22 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 1817:6 seeks 1807:12 sees 1852:8 segment 1611:8 1663:15,17 1680:6 1681:9,14 1848:5,6 |
| 1819:18,25 1820:5,15 1821:1, 14 routine 1810:11 routing 1585:1,3 1595:8 1601:2 1715:16 1743:19, 23 1759:11 1817:19 1828:3,5 rule 1649:15 1664:23 1749:3,7, 11 ruled 1591:15 1592:3 rulemaking 1653:14,20,21 | Sac 1679:24 1680:16 Safe 1608:12 1824:13 1864:3 Safely 1597:7 Safer 1641:6 Safest 1609:7 1617:15 1829:1 Safety 1564:12 1587:18 1588:5 1593:18,20,22 1596:17,19 1597:4,5,6 1652:25 1723:13 1733:2 1739:1 1746:4 1827:6,12, 15 1828:4,8,24 | 1745:15 satisfactory 1760:19 satisfied 1656:14 1730:4 satisfies 1706:25 satisfy 1744:24 save 1614:23,25 1655:1 SCADA 1847:18 1851:17 scale 1672:22 1674:11 1791:8 scare 1578:10 | scientifically 1644:13 scope 1629:13 1649:1 score 1620:25 scratching 1857:14 screen 1611:17 1668:9 screens 1847:6 scroll 1607:1 scrubber 1630:19 seasons 1639:12 | 1696:3,4,10 1793:2,5,9 security- checked 1695:14 seek 1601:12 1602:8 1807:8 seeking 1801:14 1805:8 1814:11 1817:6 seeks 1807:12 sees 1852:8 segment 1611:8 1663:15,17 1680:6 1681:9,14 1848:5,6 segregated |

Index: selected..simulator

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| selected 1648:11 1685:15 1687:13 | September 1564:5 1761:1 | 1854:10 | shipped 1620:11 1691:18 | 1697:20 1814:8 1825:3,9 |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| | 1864:15 | seven 1648:25 | | ,- |
| selecting 1584:4 | 1004.15 | 1688:3 1710:10 | shipper 1629:18 | signage 1668:2 |
| | sequester | 1716:22 1728:22, | 1633:17 1761:17 | 1670:14 |
| selection | 1614:16 1628:16 | 24 | 1762:23 | |
| 1582:14 1656:15 | | 27 | 1702.20 | signed 1564:24 |
| 1744:24 | 1629:2,9,21 | several 1572:3,4 | shippers 1632:2 | 1565:9 1620:5 |
| | 1690:21 1691:12, | 1612:14 1680:1 | 1633:16,21 | 1735:22 1753:5,6, |
| self-contained | 17 1707:9 1718:5 | 1764:1 1779:23 | 1691:9 | 22,23 1789:2 |
| 1604:10 1669:1, | 1789:21 | | 1091.9 | - |
| 11 | | 1786:5 1814:14 | shipping | 1805:25 1814:22 |
| | sequestered | 1823:2 1824:11 | 1620:13 1837:13 | 1816:1 1822:14, |
| sell 1615:1 | 1625:12,18 | 1830:8 1853:12 | 1020.10 1007.10 | 17 1823:5,23 |
| 1621:11 1645:9 | 1788:17 1791:14, | | short 1761:19 | 1824:9 |
| 1739:9 1786:16, | 18 | severe 1833:25 | 1774:10 | |
| 23 1787:6 | - | severity 1585:19 | | signer 1823:8 |
| 23 1101.0 | sequestering | • | shortly 1592:4 | aignificant |
| selling 1620:13 | 1628:11 1718:3 | 1608:7 1666:13 | 1740:15 | significant |
| 1640:15 1786:10 | _ | shake 1693:3 | | 1622:10 1646:20 |
| | sequestration | | show 1605:22 | 1666:9 1669:19 |
| send 1855:11,16 | 1690:18 1698:10 | shallower 1688:5 | 1612:12 1615:16 | 1755:25 1774:9 |
| 1856:15 1858:9 | 1707:8 1717:14, | chara 4000-40 | 1696:14 1747:16 | 1842:23 1843:3 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 16 1720:18 | share 1602:19 | - h | 1860:17 |
| sense 1597:22 | 1778:19 1792:2 | 1648:22 1724:11 | showed 1708:5 | |
| 1644:3 1663:4 | 1847:10 | 1725:18 1726:1,4 | 1776:19 | significantly |
| 1721:14 1730:20 | 1047.10 | 1727:12 1728:18 | | 1614:17 1627:4 |
| 1811:24 1834:6,7, | serial 1791:6 | 1733:25 1784:14 | showing 1607:3, | 1644:15 1661:25 |
| 24 | • | 1786:11 | 8 1610:8 1725:25 | 1750:21 1852:9 |
| | series 1763:13 | | shown 1679:8 | |
| sense-check | 1826:17 1827:2 | shared 1609:15, | 1680:23 | signing 1578:10 |
| 1663:12 | 1847:5 | 20 1667:2 | 1060.23 | 1824:2 |
| | | 1671:23 1732:13 | shows 1570:21 | |
| sense-checked | serious 1672:21 | | | signs 1671:5 |
| | | 1747:14 1757:19 | 1610.14 | eigne ist ne |
| 1670:6 | 1855:25 | 1747:14 1757:19 1784:23 | 1610:14 | - |
| 1670:6 | 1855:25 | 1747:14 1757:19 1784:23 | 1610:14 shut 1661:25 | similar 1651:7 |
| | 1855:25 service 1592:17 | | | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 |
| 1670:6 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 | 1784:23 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 | <pre>shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17</pre> | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 | <pre>shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12</pre> | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 | <pre>shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12</pre> | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 | <pre>shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7</pre> | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 Shenandoah | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 1792:16 1794:22 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 set 1570:6 1586:8 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 1813:21 1833:8 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 1792:16 1794:22 sentence 1614:9 1650:11 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 set 1570:6 1586:8 1663:6,21,23 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 Sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 Shenandoah 1758:19 1840:19 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated 1663:10,13 1852:3 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 1792:16 1794:22 sentence 1614:9 1650:11 sentiment | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 set 1570:6 1586:8 1663:6,21,23 1664:2,4,10 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 Shenandoah 1758:19 1840:19 sheriff's 1696:7 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 1813:21 1833:8 1843:13 1858:7 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated 1663:10,13 1852:3 simulates |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 1792:16 1794:22 sentence 1614:9 1650:11 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 set 1570:6 1586:8 1663:6,21,23 1664:2,4,10 1734:25 1832:24 1833:3 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 Shenandoah 1758:19 1840:19 sheriff's 1696:7 ship 1634:2 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 1813:21 1833:8 1843:13 1858:7 sideline 1752:23 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated 1663:10,13 1852:3 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 1792:16 1794:22 sentence 1614:9 1650:11 sentiment 1693:5 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 set 1570:6 1586:8 1663:6,21,23 1664:2,4,10 1734:25 1832:24 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 Shenandoah 1758:19 1840:19 sheriff's 1696:7 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 1813:21 1833:8 1843:13 1858:7 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated 1663:10,13 1852:3 simulates 1851:20 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 1792:16 1794:22 sentence 1614:9 1650:11 sentiment 1693:5 separate 1569:16 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 set 1570:6 1586:8 1663:6,21,23 1664:2,4,10 1734:25 1832:24 1833:3 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 Shenandoah 1758:19 1840:19 sheriff's 1696:7 ship 1634:2 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 1813:21 1833:8 1843:13 1858:7 sideline 1752:23 Sierra 1794:9 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated 1663:10,13 1852:3 simulates 1851:20 simulating |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 1792:16 1794:22 sentence 1614:9 1650:11 sentiment 1693:5 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 set 1570:6 1586:8 1663:6,21,23 1664:2,4,10 1734:25 1832:24 1833:3 setback 1749:11, 13,14,21 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 Shenandoah 1758:19 1840:19 sheriff's 1696:7 ship 1634:2 1690:14 1761:20 1762:8 1838:14 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 1813:21 1833:8 1843:13 1858:7 sideline 1752:23 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated 1663:10,13 1852:3 simulates 1851:20 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 1792:16 1794:22 sentence 1614:9 1650:11 sentiment 1693:5 separate 1569:16 1638:6 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 set 1570:6 1586:8 1663:6,21,23 1664:2,4,10 1734:25 1832:24 1833:3 setback 1749:11, | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 Shenandoah 1758:19 1840:19 sheriff's 1696:7 ship 1634:2 1690:14 1761:20 1762:8 1838:14 shipments | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 1813:21 1833:8 1843:13 1858:7 sideline 1752:23 Sierra 1794:9 sight 1671:8 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated 1663:10,13 1852:3 simulates 1851:20 simulating |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 1792:16 1794:22 sentence 1614:9 1650:11 sentiment 1693:5 separate 1569:16 1638:6 separation | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 set 1570:6 1586:8 1663:6,21,23 1664:2,4,10 1734:25 1832:24 1833:3 setback 1749:11, 13,14,21 setbacks 1596:1 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 Shenandoah 1758:19 1840:19 sheriff's 1696:7 ship 1634:2 1690:14 1761:20 1762:8 1838:14 | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 1813:21 1833:8 1843:13 1858:7 sideline 1752:23 Sierra 1794:9 sight 1671:8 sign 1620:1 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated 1663:10,13 1852:3 simulates 1851:20 simulating 1670:12 simulator |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 1792:16 1794:22 sentence 1614:9 1650:11 sentiment 1693:5 separate 1569:16 1638:6 | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 set 1570:6 1586:8 1663:6,21,23 1664:2,4,10 1734:25 1832:24 1833:3 setback 1749:11, 13,14,21 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 Shenandoah 1758:19 1840:19 sheriff's 1696:7 ship 1634:2 1690:14 1761:20 1762:8 1838:14 shipments | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 1813:21 1833:8 1843:13 1858:7 sideline 1752:23 Sierra 1794:9 sight 1671:8 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated 1663:10,13 1852:3 simulates 1851:20 simulating 1670:12 |
| 1670:6 sensing 1665:21 sensitive 1597:14 1599:24 sensitivities 1673:16 1676:7, 23 sensitivity 1663:3,25 1665:3 sensors 1849:16 sent 1697:9 1704:11 1737:20 1792:16 1794:22 sentence 1614:9 1650:11 sentiment 1693:5 separate 1569:16 1638:6 separation | 1855:25 service 1592:17 1641:2 1720:12 1766:6,16,24 1829:22 1856:17 services 1757:4 1793:5 servicing 1680:17 session 1565:10, 20 1634:8 1700:14 1701:24 1789:1 set 1570:6 1586:8 1663:6,21,23 1664:2,4,10 1734:25 1832:24 1833:3 setback 1749:11, 13,14,21 setbacks 1596:1 | 1784:23 shareholder 1796:14 shareholders 1647:8 1783:25 1784:4 1836:9 sharing 1574:14 1650:17 1724:9 Shelby 1680:1 shelter 1780:24 sheltering 1781:5,10 Shenandoah 1758:19 1840:19 sheriff's 1696:7 ship 1634:2 1690:14 1761:20 1762:8 1838:14 shipments | shut 1661:25 1670:25 1847:25 1848:4 1855:15, 25 shuts 1855:16,20 1856:1 shutting 1663:17 1848:12 sic 1798:17 1822:7 side 1571:18 1689:7 1763:11, 21 1810:15 1811:1,13,16,17 1813:21 1833:8 1843:13 1858:7 sideline 1752:23 Sierra 1794:9 sight 1671:8 sign 1620:1 | similar 1651:7 1675:1 1711:22 1797:14 1799:12 1844:1 1856:4 similarly 1796:18 simple 1674:24 1747:6 1839:10 1843:15 1844:8 simply 1596:9 1606:3 1637:14 1681:22 1736:10 1744:24 1745:7 1808:14 1812:23 simulated 1663:10,13 1852:3 simulates 1851:20 simulating 1670:12 simulator |

Index: single..spoke

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

Solutions 1568:2 single 1576:8 1797:14 1806:6 10 1696:2 1717:7. 1780:13 1787:2 1645:20 1646:14. 1811:25 1812:24 1571:24 1573:5. 13 1731:19 1790:15 1808:19 1746:14 1752:21 15 1656:3 1833:23 1834:9 21 1575:11,15 1809:6.19 1680:18 1759:8 1835:1 1855:14 1578:25 1579:9, 1758:12 1810:15 1834:15 1861:20 1823:25 20 1580:25 1811:16 1813:21 six-foot 1687:8,9 specifically 1581:7 1603:13 1816:6,14 1831:3 sink 1675:4 1628:9,10 1636:5 size 1593:21 1613:22 1699:9, 1838:1,3 1653:14 1658:24 sinks 1836:2 1626:15 1738:15 10 1778:15,23 southeast 1684:2 1697:2 1784:3 1764:7 1791:8 **Sioux** 1746:15 1810:20 1811:10, 1718:25 1766:2, 1812:5 someday 1626:4 22 1812:12 19 1807:5 1767:22,23 1813:1,12,23 1824:23 1770:9 **SK** 1580:10 sooner 1728:21 sir 1571:24 skid 1763:10,12, southwest specification sort 1770:24 1812:10.21 1651:8 1572:7 1584:9 13,18 1764:10 1771:24,25 1813:22 1588:14 1592:10 specificity skip 1639:1 1777:9 1782:15 1596:19 1607:3,6 southwestern 1765:6 1795:18 1813:5 1610:8 1612:21 skirted 1746:13 1829:14 1839:12 1680:18 specifics 1573:8 1621:13 1624:18 slated 1613:8 1843:23 1852:19 soybeans 1676:19 1714:2 1627:19 1633:13 1634:14 1637:14 sought 1807:22 1804:23 1729:7 1774:20 **sleeping** 1675:5, 1808:15 1651:1 1655:18 1786:4 1800:17 8 space 1690:22 1657:2 1667:25 sound 1598:2 specified **slight** 1600:20 1672:16 1679:20 spaced 1612:6 1845:11 1665:25 1758:21 1684:21 1692:16 sloughs 1773:15 1764:2,5 1803:1 spacing 1612:3 1697:8 1698:4 specs 1631:23 **slow** 1594:19 1649:16 1842:19, 1691:25 1702:12 1797:7 sounded 1665:4 20 1844:22 1838:24 1597:17 1779:7 speculate span 1770:9 slowly 1807:10 sit 1662:16 sounds 1573:17 1596:12 1611:25 1667:10 1799:19 1680:12 1801:21 1612:7,12 1636:7, speak 1579:2 small 1600:20 1831:10 1863:14 10 1675:22 1813:12,24 1612:2 1640:1 1609:1 1663:7 1848:9 1642:6,10 1665:10 1773:10 site 1581:14 speculating 1645:17 1655:19 1593:16 1595:22 source 1675:21 1592:7 1612:9 smart 1843:19 1684:2 1706:19 1596:5 1661:18 1619:23 1718:16,22 1747:17 1751:3,7 smartphone 1690:18 1707:8 1721:4 1747:2 1755:17 1756:2 speculation 1831:4 1717:14,16 1779:20 1784:20 1761:13,18 1592:5 1595:16 1718:3 1843:1 1790:9,15 Smith 1859:6 1780:22 1814:6 1623:16,19,20 1845:1,3 1851:2 1791:15 1816:16 1823:25 1624:1 1640:12 software sites 1698:10 1644:1 1662:2 sources 1661:6 1583:12,18 speaking 1718:7 1838:17 1662:3,6 1691:9 1693:8,25 1670:3,5 1613:16 1722:25 1839:2 1842:7,9 1786:6 1830:25 1799:25 1787:2 1806:7 soil 1772:20,21 1844:17 **speed** 1599:18 sourcing 1807:5,12 1773:1,2 1833:14 siting 1594:11 1828:21 1813:10 1676:9,11 1729:8 solar 1755:20 1595:4,8,23,25 south 1572:16 **spec** 1844:4 speeds 1676:13, 1839:25 1840:7 1596:2,7,8 1573:18 1586:23 17 1678:20.23 special 1694:17 sold 1744:20 1589:17,22 1738:7 1843:23 spend 1694:10 1792:22,23 1590:1,13,22 sits 1773:14 1591:6 1592:18 **specific** 1594:24 spent 1710:2 **sole** 1686:25 1593:7 1599:20 1604:3 1609:4 sitting 1712:15 **spill** 1746:20 solely 1603:12 1607:5 1609:19 1636:9 1649:7 1769:23 1847:4 1772:6 1610:9 1615:15, 1654:16 1659:7 solicited 1605:5 situated 1567:19 18 1659:11 1669:3 1674:12 spirit 1604:12 solidly 1646:7 1674:20 1679:25 1688:22 1716:2 situation 1612:8 spoke 1667:7 1680:16 1687:7, 1718:21 1743:24 1725:14 1781:20

Index: spoken..subsidiaries

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | ,2020 | | indext | oponormousoralario |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| spoken 1783:14 | 1596:6 1632:6 | statement | stay 1621:20 1708:14 | striking 1666:10 |
| spot 1710:6 | 1751:2 1814:21 | 1580:14 1581:12 | 1708:14 | stringent |
| 1761:17 1762:22 | 1826:15 1827:4 | 1583:2,3 1584:13 1634:21 1636:12 | stayed 1646:23 | 1626:12 |
| square 1775:2 | starting 1569:11 1844:25 | 1639:21 1676:3 | staying 1623:17 | strong 1566:21 |
| 1810:14 1811:6 | state 1577:3,22 | 1689:11 1703:11 1714:5 1738:1 | stays 1621:24,25 | stronger 1681:24 |
| stabilizes | 1581:23 1582:9 | 1750:12 1755:12 | 1675:25 | struck 1683:21 |
| 1768:25 1769:3 | 1588:3,23 | 1765:21 1796:16 | stem 1827:1 | 1810:8 |
| stable 1621:20 | 1589:11 1590:20 1591:5 1593:23 | 1823:19 1832:4,6 | step 1698:13 | structure |
| staff 1590:1 | 1594:12 1595:21 | statements | 1729:17 1807:10 | 1573:25 1623:3 |
| 1696:20 1822:20 | 1597:24 1599:2 | 1621:18 1627:20 | 1864:2 | 1666:20 1683:3 |
| 1837:9 1850:9 | 1603:16 1616:23 | 1708:12 | steps 1596:19 | 1749:17 1750:4 |
| stage 1602:1 | 1618:25 1619:2,4 | states 1573:20 | 1654:4,6 | 1779:4 1839:8 |
| 1738:16 1833:24 | 1627:20 1630:8 | 1592:8 1639:6,24 | | 1844:8 |
| | 1631:15 1633:14 | 1640:3,13 1656:5 | stick 1839:21 | structured |
| stages 1659:21, | 1634:4 1635:11, | 1661:8 1752:17, | sticking 1830:17 | 1778:25 |
| 22 1763:16,19 | 12 1636:16,19 | 19 1767:16 | 1831:11 | |
| stand 1567:15 | 1637:1,4,20 | 1779:13 1790:3,6 | | structures |
| 1621:18 1643:24 | 1641:21 1643:7, | 1792:24 1816:5 | stipulate 1646:14 | 1711:23,24 |
| 1794:16 | 18 1645:20,23 | 1827:25 1828:21 | 1660:13 | 1712:5 |
| otondord 40404 | 1646:11,17,18 | 1831:3 1840:12 | stipulated | struggle 1729:6 |
| standard 1612:1 1617:5 1638:16 | 1652:2 1654:9 | statewide | 1566:3 | study 1641:23 |
| 1639:15 1640:23 | 1656:19 1661:2 | 1593:25 | stock 1796:12 | 1642:1,2 1710:6 |
| 1654:17,18 | 1664:19 1666:18, | | 1801:22 | 1741:17,20 |
| 1655:13 1687:24 | 24 1667:3 | stating 1578:20 | | 1742:7,11,13,22 |
| 1722:18 1749:12 | 1680:22 1681:25 | 1601:8 1651:6 | stocks 1796:13 | 1768:12 |
| 1845:12 | 1682:1,9 1686:3, | station 1771:6 | stop 1848:3 | |
| | 14 1688:16 | 1833:8,10 | 1855:21 | stuff 1854:7 |
| standards | 1693:7,19,23 1695:1 1701:5,6 | 1839:10,20 | | subdivide |
| 1570:16 1586:6,8, | 1712:20 1721:10 | 1843:1 | stops 1579:3 | 1812:3 |
| 9,11,13 1593:21 1639:25 1640:4 | 1732:19 1736:5 | stationary | 1613:12 | subject 1612:10 |
| 1653:10 1654:11, | 1745:25 1746:12 | 1835:21 | storage 1617:1 | subject 1613:10, 11 1701:18 |
| 13,20 1655:21 | 1748:12 1750:22 | | 1755:16 | 1717:1 1727:9 |
| 1755:15 1790:8 | 1752:13 1753:15, | stationed | Strasser 1584:14 | 1788:24 |
| 1839:6 | 20 1757:19 | 1668:19 1771:1,4 | | |
| standby 1581:1 | 1780:8 1788:21 1790:4 1794:14 | stations 1648:19 | strategic 1579:4 | subjected 1681:21 |
| - | 1801:12 1819:22 | 1649:8 1650:12 | strategy 1816:17 | |
| standing 1675:5 | 1823:24 1833:2 | 1838:7,12,17 | stream 1627:4 | submit 1651:19 |
| 1696:1 | 1837:12 1852:25 | 1839:4,7 1840:1,6 | 1628:22 1659:3, | 1706:17 |
| standpoint | | 1842:6,25 1851:5 1852:22 | 22 1675:12,23 | submitted |
| 1733:2 1860:7 | state's 1660:6,7 | | 1756:10 1830:1,3 | 1582:21 1708:1 |
| star 1680:6,7 | stated 1608:25 | statistic 1718:16 | strength 1845:12 | 1737:10 |
| stark 1827:13 | 1616:11 1624:24 | statistics | - | submitting |
| Stark 1027.15 | 1638:19 1642:11 | 1718:18 | strictly 1807:2 | 1651:21 |
| start 1571:19 | 1645:19 1647:3 | stats 1718:21 | strike 1666:14 | subsequent |
| 1673:6 1715:22, | 1650:19 1661:24 | | 1677:21 1703:2, | 1844:23 |
| 25 1730:24 | 1687:10 1703:7 1706:23 1708:16 | status 1646:23 | 12 1706:7 | |
| 1765:5,9 1770:20 | 1710:19 1718:10, | 1741:14 1832:20 | 1725:20 1848:16 | subsequently |
| 1771:22 1841:19 | 13 1798:1 | statute 1818:2 | strikes 1666:19, | 1744:20 |
| 1848:3 | 1816:24 1829:3 | 1819:24 1821:15 | 25 1722:2,5 | subsidiaries |
| started 1565:25 | 1831:24 | | , - | 1572:20 |
| | | | | |

1760:13 1764:13

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

1680:21 1685:4

summer 1768:21

Summit 1565:1

1567:5 1568:2

1571:24 1573:4,

15,21 1574:3,16

1576:2 1578:24

1579:9,13,15,18,

24 1581:6,18,21

22 1584:15,22

1585:3,13

1608:10,22

19,20,21 1580:11,

1582:1,8 1583:16,

1586:10 1601:18

1602:6 1603:13

1604:24 1605:21

1612:25 1614:10

1615:9 1616:25

1622:19 1624:7

1625:22 1627:23

1628:2 1629:15,

22 1630:3,10,23

1631:10 1642:16

1644:25 1645:10,

1653:4 1659:11

1662:9 1668:16,

1688:17 1690:13

1692:20 1693:18

1699:9 1703:8,18

1707:6,7 1708:16,

1711:8,11,15,20,

1714:18 1715:6.

1717:6 1718:25

1720:6 1724:21

1731:11 1739:23

1741:11 1742:7,

10.25 1743:2,9

1746:15 1754:6

1756:8,12,25

12,20,22 1716:3,4

1694:14 1698:7

1704:15,19,25

24,25 1709:12

1706:14,16

1710:14,19

23 1712:12

21 1650:16

18 1686:22

1575:4,10,15

sum 1656:8

summary

1710:24

1716:10 1769:6.9

substance

substantial

substantially

substantiate

1621:5,7,10

substation

1840:21,23

subsurface

subterfuge

successful

1589:7

1753:1

1833:8

15,17 1631:9

1614:16 1626:14,

succinct 1614:10

suction 1763:11

sudden 1665:24

1666:5,6,11

sue 1578:14,19

sued 1796:15

1709:20

sugarcane

1792:14

sufficient 1617:1

1618:20 1665:18

suggest 1565:11

1674:9 1675:14,

1733:5 1734:18

1762:5 1802:12

24 1811:4,6,21

1819:5 1858:23

suggests 1570:8

suggested

1737:23

suitability

1750:23

suite 1640:10

suited 1632:11

1634:15 1635:9

1803:15 1810:18,

17 1701:22

1568:17 1621:22

1580:16

1656:8

1635:18 1637:21

1765:17.19 1660:1 1662:19 1622:1 1669:7 1766:23 1772:2 swath 1777:14 1778:14,17,23 support 1605:19 1783:19,25 swing 1859:8 1619:21 1621:16 1784:2,20 1642:13 1801:11 switch 1769:22 1785:25 1786:5 1803:24 1787:10,21 sworn 1568:3 1789:24 1790:3 supported **Synfuels** 1791:24 1793:6,8, 1843:11 1649:19 10,11 1795:1,17, supportive 25 1796:20 synonymous 1692:20 1797:10,22 1744:17 1798:18 1803:16 suppose 1732:11 system 1581:20 1804:2 1805:4,7 1746:24 1749:23 1610:9 1628:24 1806:12,17,19 supposed 1636:3 1656:5 1807:12.22 1579:24 1648:8,9 1659:18 1663:3 1814:4,20 1815:9, 1665:5,7 1666:23 18 1816:6,14,17 surety 1683:6 1669:9 1670:25 1817:5,11,14 surface 1626:17 1691:17,22,23,24 1818:17 1819:14 1686:24 1857:14 1711:12 1754:15 1820:9,16,25 1755:6 1767:24 1821:10 1838:8 surge 1664:6,7, 1830:17.18 1840:12 1841:25 12 1831:16,19 1856:12 1859:13 surprised 1837:12 1841:21 1863:18,19 1728:20 1729:4 1847:7 1848:3,12 Summit's 1737:1,9,14,17 1849:10 1850:12 1567:13 1593:3 1772:25 1851:8,17 1616:11,14,19 1854:20 surrounding 1623:7,10 1660:24 systematically 1632:24 1691:16 1702:23 1692:7 1700:12 surveillance 1709:7,11 1710:1 systems 1597:25 1665:10,18 1758:10 1787:22 1710:21 1771:3 1774:12 1849:12 1794:24 1807:25 1783:8 survey 1578:14, 1818:2 1819:17 17 1583:1 supercritical т 1600:12 1602:3 1635:10,11,14,16, 1821:10,11 17,25 1636:20,25 tactical 1602:15 surveying 1637:15,25 1604:1 1671:22 1696:1 1808:1 1638:7,11 1768:1, 1780:10 1782:16 3 1833:2 surveys 1582:22 takes 1630:10 1685:10,19 superior 1651:11 1631:11 1677:17 1757:5 1758:18 1767:12 1813:6 sustain 1614:19 1828:1 supervisor 1628:4 1750:15, 1849:4 taking 1631:2 16 1670:24 1690:9 supervisors sustained 1708:7 1729:16 1690:4 1739:19 1574:25 1589:14 1754:13,15 1771:21 1592:1 1594:7 1804:8 1857:14 supplier 1786:23 1643:10 1652:10 talk 1564:11 1664:24 1695:4 supplies 1831:15 1599:10 1613:10 1708:17 1710:21 1625:10 1630:20

supply 1576:3

SUSAN FRYE COURT REPORTING | 515-284-1972 300 Walnut Street, #36, Des Moines, IA 50309-2224

sustaining

Index: talked..thicker

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

1643:14 1646:1 20,22 1756:7,13 temperature 1686:15 1692:2 testifying 1648:10.14 1784:15.19 1636:1.8 1676:12 1705:1 1706:14 1590:23 1648:1 1763:15 1768:7, 1785:25 1786:1,2, 1650:14 1665:17 1650:22,23 1711:25 1715:5,7, 12,14,16,18,20,23 1658:22 1669:12 9 12 1716:11 1785:15,22 1678:5 1682:18 1769:3.4 1833:3 1722:15 1724:9 1788:6,9,12 taxes 1648:5 1684:7,8 1685:4, 1847:13 1849:24 1764:16 1784:16 testimony 23 1694:11 taxpayer 1720:23 1851:3,4 1815:8,16 1827:5, 1566:3,5,8,17 1721:24 1727:4 11 1861:16 1567:3 1568:13, **Taylor** 1564:7,8 temporary 1734:2 1788:15 1711:2 1807:18 terraces 1711:17 20,25 1569:10,19 1565:13 1566:24, 1817:1 1819:7 1808:2,8,13 25 1740:12,25 1712:6 1570:1,2,3,7,10, talked 1585:11 18,22 1571:10 1741:1,3,9 ten 1598:5 Terracon 1586:5 1634:20 1742:12 1745:6 1573:23 1601:22 1638:17 1639:15 1583:15.17.22 1648:11 1666:17 1747:15 1748:10 1614:7 1618:5 1802:7 1812:4,21 1743:14 1744:11, 1672:16 1676:20 1633:13 1650:7, 1751:3 1752:16 1846:8 1853:22 16,20 1726:25 1749:10 1753:11,13 24 1658:21 1758:4 1759:4 terrain 1599:19 1665:23 1667:25 1772:10.12 ten-acre 1813:22 1780:10 1805:17 1668:1 1679:3 1760:9,10,11,25 1686:9,10 ten-day 1578:18 1829:12 1842:10 1764:21 1684:8,22 terrain-aided 1850:3 1857:9 1702:24 1706:23, ten-plus 1644:10 team 1573:4,7,10 1608:23,24 24 1712:17 talking 1592:7 1580:2,5 1582:4 tenant 1825:22 1669:22 1676:24 1718:13 1721:8 1596:19,20 1618:10,13 1776:14 1731:10 1765:6 tenants 1823:4 1612:3,9 1613:19 1631:5 1642:10 1767:4 1795:20 **terrible** 1801:24 1620:3 1637:7 1667:10 1705:7 tend 1675:4,20 1800:6 1804:6 1640:19 1643:22 1706:19 1724:15 terrorist 1587:21 1779:19 1806:2 1814:24 1657:16,17 1730:16 1739:17, 1588:19 1817:20 1818:10, tender 1566:18 1673:14 1707:22 20 1743:5 terrorists 14 1819:23,25 1571:15 1760:18 1765:18 1712:4 1723:9 1820:4 1827:3 1588:6,21 1728:22 1751:8, 1772:11 1780:15 tendered 1837:7,9 1838:6 18 1753:8 1786:3 1790:12 test 1645:19 1570:22 1841:23 1843:18, 1761:22 1762:22 1792:7 1804:5 1670:7 1730:12 25 1845:6 tendering 1782:2 1789:23 1838:16 1851:25 1846:22 1851:13 1568:23 1792:9 1799:16 tech 1770:19 1852:25 1854:16 tested 1674:3 1802:8 1805:24 term 1618:12 1859:13,20 1852:12 1808:11 1816:25 technical 1628:5 1635:17 testing 1655:21 1839:1 1582:12 1653:2,8 testified 1568:4 1636:23 1677:5,6 1670:10 1656:11 1688:23 1761:18,19 1569:6 1686:16 talks 1690:11 1833:25 1796:24 1799:25 tests 1670:11 technically tall 1671:11 1801:10 1804:6 1689:23 1844:25 terminated tether 1625:16 1814:7 1815:3,12 target 1575:16 1815:1 1816:8,12 technician 1817:21 1818:16 Texas 1779:14 1825:16 targeted 1593:4 1855:11 1790:13 1850:12 testifies 1613:25 terms 1569:10 targeting technicians 1777:13 1805:4 text 1830:17 1572:16 1573:3, 1582:23 1596:22 1766:7 1853:5 1815:2 1825:13 18 1575:5 1581:1. 1600:21 themes 1827:6 1863:6 techniques 18 1593:20,22,24 tariff 1762:8 1828:10,22 theoretically testify 1572:8 1598:15,16 1604:8 1617:4 1573:15,25 1665:6 task 1849:14 technologies 1579:24 1645:17 1619:9 1623:16 1827:13,17 theory 1587:20, tax 1582:1,2,3 1648:2 1654:23 1634:7,14 1639:5 24 1620:8 technology 1625:11,15,22 1672:18 1677:15 1642:15 1644:19 1635:5 1647:19, 1848:18,20 there'll 1687:4 1684:5 1710:17 1647:16 1655:8 21,24 1648:7 1855:13 1848:7 1725:8 1739:11 1659:6,10 1660:8 1719:20,21 1663:25 1673:16 1772:15 1781:8 thicker 1845:18 telling 1591:22 1720:21,22 1798:25 1799:3 1675:19 1678:6 1704:25 1721:3,6,13,15, 1800:11,14 1681:16 1684:2

Index: thickness..train

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| thickness | 1739:21 1742:13 | tile 1687:16 | 1786:5 1791:12 | 1687:18 1688:6,8 |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1835:23 | 1753:14 1756:18 | 1688:1,2,4,10 | 1805:13 1814:14 | 1718:17 1722:20 |
| 1033.23 | | | | |
| thing 1578:1 | 1780:3 1827:5 | 1710:20 1711:6, | 1823:2 1824:11, | 1735:13 1757:17, |
| 1590:16 1597:13 | 1848:18 | 12 1822:21 | 17 1829:20 | 23 1835:17 |
| 1608:13 1639:1 | thousand 1674:4 | 1833:14 | 1856:23 1860:25 | 1836:2 1846:2,3 |
| | | | | 1 |
| 1677:6 1706:21 | 1779:8 | timber 1834:3 | timing 1569:18 | topic 1612:21 |
| 1748:11 1749:24 | threat 1578:1 | time 1566:20 | 1570:10 1840:3 | 1654:9 1732:10 |
| 1756:6 1762:11 | 1588:1,5 1736:2 | | title 1610:00 | topography |
| 1826:1 1827:4,22 | • | 1567:2 1568:21 | title 1612:22 | topography |
| 1833:17 1845:25 | 1814:12 | 1571:2 1602:20 | 1630:3,4,11,14,24 | 1773:6,20,22 |
| 1848:18 1856:8, | threatened | 1603:8,11 | 1631:1,11 | topsoil 1835:6 |
| 10 1859:2 | 1817:3 1825:15 | 1605:17,18,21 | today 1564:0 11 | 100301 1003.0 |
| 10 1659.2 | 1017.3 1025.15 | 1606:17 1627:9, | today 1564:9,11 | total 1656:8 |
| things 1564:8 | threatening | 22 1636:8 | 1568:16 1587:1 | 1719:8 1778:4 |
| 1569:22 1590:12, | 1814:25 | 1657:19,25 | 1590:17 1600:11 | 1779:6 1803:21 |
| 13 1599:17 | 1014.25 | 1662:12 1663:2,8, | 1604:25 1613:4 | 1773.0 1003.21 |
| | threatens | | 1640:18 1646:12 | totally 1769:23 |
| 1604:11 1609:6 | 1816:10 | 12 1670:13,19 | 1662:16 1672:18 | - |
| 1611:13 1614:4 | 1010.10 | 1689:1 1694:10 | 1675:14 1696:2 | totem 1661:15 |
| 1628:6 1645:3 | three 1590:11 | 1710:2 1713:5 | 1702:25 1719:7 | 1 |
| 1657:4 1666:6 | 1611:2,9,20 | 1721:14 1729:22 | | touched 1690:12 |
| 1672:17 1702:21 | 1625:13 1681:13 | 1741:15 1742:25 | 1772:9 1774:22 | 1862:20 |
| 1730:14 1732:3,8 | 1687:13 1688:4,6, | 1743:20 1748:18 | 1777:21,24 | touchos 1750.17 |
| 1733:7 1742:19 | | 1755:10 1767:6, | 1784:25 1791:12 | touches 1758:17, |
| | 8 1708:23 | | 1799:19 1801:18 | 18 |
| 1744:4 1757:14 | 1710:11 1713:4 | 12 1768:4 | 1802:2,23 1803:4, | toward 1714:24 |
| 1765:7 1766:8 | 1750:2 1755:22 | 1774:10 1785:13 | 14 1805:18 | 1782:13 |
| 1775:23 1779:25 | 1763:20 1766:13 | 1792:13,23 | | 1762.13 |
| 1781:2 1826:19, | 1769:2 1815:24 | 1797:23 1800:2 | 1816:25 1817:20 | towards 1671:18 |
| 23,24 1827:1 | 1839:7 | 1801:17,19 | 1818:10 1831:10 | 1684:22 |
| 1828:10 1829:7 | 1000.1 | 1805:11,16 | 1833:16 1835:12 | 1004.22 |
| 1838:6 1846:15 | three- | 1806:24 1814:25 | 1863:14 | tower 1851:8 |
| | compressor | | 4-1-1 4700 40 | |
| 1850:4 1859:10 | 1764:9 | 1817:17 1819:13, | told 1706:13 | town 1773:9,14 |
| 1861:2,6 | 1764.5 | 19 1820:13 | 1748:25 1756:23 | toxic 1674:24 |
| thinking 1597:11 | three-foot | 1825:19,25 | 1763:1 1784:1 | |
| - | 1749:15 | 1829:11 1837:16, | 1824:25 | 1746:23 |
| 1730:14,16 | | 19 1840:2 1842:7 | | toxicity 1674:7 |
| thinks 1587:11 | threshold 1636:1 | 1847:14 1851:14 | tolerance | |
| 1732:25 | 1663:20,21 | 1853:5,7,11,17,23 | 1663:21 | TPG 1580:10,19 |
| 1732.23 | 1664:1 1666:12 | 1854:10 1859:3 | 100000000 | |
| third 1666:10 | 1674:21 1768:7 | | tomorrow | tracer 1776:10 |
| 1704:17 1705:9, | 1806:14,18 | 1862:20,21 | 1690:16 | trook 1700:24 |
| 11 1706:11 | 1800.14,18 | 1863:2,8 | ton 1626:23 | track 1709:24 |
| 1744:12 | thresholds | timeline 1803:7 | 1020.23 | 1792:17 1812:15 |
| 1744.12 | 1674:15,23 | | tons 1618:2,6 | 1861:3 |
| third-party | 107 1.10,20 | timelines | 1624:16 1634:21 | tracks 1861:2 |
| 1666:19,24 | throughput | 1790:14 | 1635:2 1649:2 | LIACKS 1001.2 |
| 1677:21,22 | 1753:2 | | 1791:9 1837:13, | tract 1813:22 |
| | | timely 1730:22 | | |
| 1703:2,12,19 | throwing | | 21,24,25 1838:15 | tracts 1778:6,10 |
| 1706:7 1722:2 | 1722:19 | times 1600:11 | 1852:5 | |
| 1725:20 1766:24 | 1. 1575.00 | 1611:9,20 | tool 17/2:19 10 | traded 1796:13 |
| 1772:6 1848:14 | tie 1575:23 | 1612:14 1613:17 | tool 1743:18,19 | 1797:25 1798:6 |
| 1849:9 | tied 1750:22 | 1629:6 1635:23 | 1745:9 1809:21 | 1836:14 |
| | 160 1700.22 | 1636:17,19 | 1849:22 | troin 4750 44 |
| thought 1640:3 | Tiger 1580:9,19 | 1645:5 1646:10 | tools 1729:11 | train 1753:14 |
| 1651:9 1693:16 | - | 1671:1 1723:11 | 10013 1723.11 | 1763:12,19 |
| 1694:1,11 | tight 1663:22 | | top 1579:2 | 1764:9 1770:18 |
| 1697:13,15 | tightor 1010-00 | 1738:6 1766:13 | 1627:17 1650:6, | 1847:22 |
| 1710:10 1736:7 | tighter 1842:20 | 1779:18 1780:9 | 11,24 1680:6,8 | |
| | | 1782:24 1784:25 | 11,2-11000.0,0 | |
| | | | | |

Index: trained..unimpeded

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| UB HEARING 09/00 | | | | k: trainedunimpede |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| trained 1668:23 1730:25 1732:14 | trees 1781:16 | turn 1624:11 1719:21 1730:23 | 1785:7,8 1789:8 1798:25 1843:13 | 22 1606:15 1607:12 1610:13 |
| 1771:9,13,14 | trench 1687:5 | 1731:18 1798:14 1826:13 1863:18 | 1860:20 | 1617:16 1635:11, 13,14 1643:20,22 |
| training 1780:1 1831:13,15,17,21, | trending 1861:11 | turned 1847:11 | types 1742:18 1744:3 1766:9 | 1644:5,18 1656:7 |
| 24 | trick 1729:5 | turnover 1603:1 | 1771:7 1828:10 1859:9 | 1662:15,21 1685:14 1699:19 |
| transcript 1606:20 | tricky 1691:5 | 1667:21 1779:20 1780:2,6 | typical 1722:17 | 1726:16 1730:8 1756:15 1787:1, |
| transfer 1630:4 | triggers 1664:2 | twelve 1783:11 | 1812:5 | 18 1796:11,14 1807:16 1808:11 |
| 1631:1 1805:14 1839:6 | trip 1666:11 | 1835:4 | typically 1583:3, 6 1584:7 1600:20, | 1815:5 1817:20 |
| transient 1663:2, 9 1851:14 | truck 1761:15 1762:14 | twenty-five 1764:11 | 22 1603:23 1671:13 1674:17 | 1818:14,25 1819:16 1820:3 1836:19 1859:24 |
| transmission | trucked 1617:19, 21 | twice 1675:13 1851:2 | 1678:8 1718:20 1757:16,18 1758:24 1766:13 | understanding 1566:13,15 |
| 1829:22 1840:25 | trucking 1617:11 | two 1578:5 | 1758.24 1766.13 | 1582:10 1596:2 |
| transport 1599:12 1614:15 1618:2,5,23 | trucks 1618:1 1730:11 | 1581:4 1602:24 1616:19 1639:7, 11,14 1654:8 | 1839:3,14 1840:21 1844:2, 19 1859:6 1862:4 | 1601:13 1604:6 1616:21 1620:24 1625:24 1626:19, |
| 1628:12,15,20 1629:8,16 1631:8 1633:25 1717:15 | true 1573:3 1578:7 1579:11 1581:6,8 1582:16, | 1665:11 1666:6 1680:25 1681:20 1685:9,13,19 | typo 1837:18 | 22 1639:24 1640:2 1647:5 1648:3 1653:22 |
| 1768:1 1789:20 1791:20 | 20 1583:16,21 1584:1,21 | 1686:1 1688:25 1694:5,9 1727:2,3 | U | 1654:15 1660:11, 12 1661:3,4,15, |
| transportation 1617:15 1630:5 1781:24 | 1586:21 1590:1 1599:8,9 1602:6 1610:17 1611:10 | 1733:12 1734:4 1735:16,20 1736:14,18 | U.S. 1572:21 1779:7 | 16,17 1663:8 1702:5 1717:9 1719:13 1728:2 |
| transported 1617:19 1762:13 | 1616:12 1625:20 1628:8 1646:22 1649:22 1657:9 | 1752:11 1753:4, 23 1757:1,9,10,15 1764:10 1769:2 | ultimate 1848:11 ultimately | 1755:3 1781:7 1783:1 1789:24 1793:7 1796:5 |
| 1791:17 1792:1 transporting | 1659:10 1660:16 1662:22 1679:9 | 1773:24 1774:1 1776:11,23 | 1682:4 1729:6 1828:25 | 1798:18 1831:23 1842:3,8 1860:19 |
| 1617:12 1623:23 1629:19 | 1686:6 1687:19 1689:6,15 1695:9, 15 1708:10 | 1785:21 1826:21 1829:8 1830:15 1833:20 1836:25 | unable 1707:8 unanimously | 1862:22 understands |
| transports 1619:3 | 1719:25 1720:3 1724:20 1727:23 | 1838:13 1839:7 1850:4 1852:2 | 1616:14 uncomfortable | 1566:14 understood |
| travel 1599:19 | 1751:20 1765:21 | 1857:25 1860:25 | 1858:3,18 | 1591:19 1760:20 |
| 1669:25 1675:20 traveling | trued 1852:11 trust 1652:24 | two-compressor 1764:9 | unconsciousnes s 1674:7 | undertaken 1731:11 |
| 1835:20 1849:13 travels 1676:1 | 1653:5 1802:19 1822:24 | two-story 1847:1 tying 1851:1 | underestimated 1684:24 | undetectable 1665:4 |
| 1833:7 traverses | trusting 1802:22 1855:8 | type 1589:6 1608:13 1637:1 | underground 1626:24 | unfortunate 1653:17 |
| 1681:25 1776:6 traversing | Tundra 1698:4, 11 1718:6 | 1651:1,7 1665:14 1666:9 1669:6 | underlie 1621:16 | unfortunately 1681:20 |
| 1742:17 1834:13 | tuned 1850:10 tuning 1850:8 | 1671:4 1674:18 1695:21 1700:25 1722:21 1723:20, | underpriced 1825:2 | uniformity 1593:21 |
| treatment | | 1122.21 1123.20. | understand | 1000.21 |

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| JE REAKING 09/00 |)/ Z |
|--|--------|
| unintended 1611:1 | ι ι |
| unique 1824:16 1827:22 | ι |
| unit 1763:18 | ι |
| United 1573:20 1792:24 1828:21 | ι |
| units 1763:21 | ι |
| unlike 1814:23 | ι |
| unlikely 1718:2 1731:2 1747:1 | |
| unmanageable 1618:3 | ι |
| unnecessary 1834:1 1835:2 | |
| unrelated 1825:20 | ι |
| unsafe 1587:22 | ι |
| unusual 1861:11 | • |
| unwillingly 1593:4 | ι |
| update 1598:5 1600:15 1655:23 1857:7 | _ |
| updated 1672:5 | ۷ |
| updates 1718:21 | ١ |
| upfront 1841:13 | ۷ |
| upgrade 1840:21 | |
| upgrades | |
| 1840:13,14 1841:14 | \ |
| uphill 1773:12 | V |
| upload 1699:24 | |
| 1700:7,16,21 | V |
| uploaded 1601:1 1606:9,14 1700:3 1701:14 | |
| uploading 1700:5 1702:3 | |
| upper 1742:4 | |
| upstream 1663:18 1761:6 1854:25 | v |

urban 1746:5 urge 1570:17 usage 1659:24 1660:8 1778:13 **USC** 1655:19 users 1660:24 1661:13 Utesch 1835:8 utilities 1594:22 1596:3 1717:3 1841:9,16 utility 1615:15 1682:10 1694:6, 18 1695:21 1793:15 1846:18 1848:16 utilize 1584:22 1690:25 utilized 1584:4 1648:16 1673:1 1685:2 UTVS 1730:15 V vague 1669:5 Valero 1647:12 validate 1647:23 1653:1 1742:25 valleys 1727:17 valuable 1746:8 values 1624:12 1643:3 1750:16, 1841:4 1842:21 1843:11 1844:2, 21,23 1847:12 1851:5 1855:1,9, 15,16,20,25 1856:1 1862:23 valves 1612:4

1649:13.16 1650:17 1663:20 1664:11 1769:18, 19 1828:19 1841:23 1844:7 1848:1,4 1852:22 1854:17 vapor 1726:6 1782:11 1856:20 vaporizes 1832:13 variance 1663:14,16,21 variation

varies 1840:16

vary 1650:23 11

1774:13

1638:21,25

venue 1695:22

valve 1612:3 1649:10,15 1650:10 1663:24 1664:2 1730:23, 24 1769:20,21

19

1663:23

1663:15 1863:8,

vehicle 1717:15

vehicles 1604:11 1640:10,21

Velco 1849:5

1793:16

verbatim 1673:22

verification 1709:19

verified 1647:18, 20 1679:7,9 1732:6

verify 1698:21

versed 1623:2 1713:23 1719:4

versus 1647:12 1651:10 1670:8 1734:16 1762:21 1768:8 1776:18 1835:21 1836:10 1853:17 VI 1690:24

viability 1661:6 viable 1635:7

1641:8 1721:5 1743:7 1750:14 1785:7 1786:24 vicinity 1680:24

1776:7 1777:5 1799:17

view 1601:23 1724:8 1819:17

violation 1653:18

virtue 1611:5

visit 1708:11

visualization 1607:23

volume 1610:25 1611:7,8 1626:15 1634:23,24 1635:7 1638:20 1660:19 1719:8 1765:13 1789:18 1832:13

volumes 1599:14

voluntarily 1591:4 1613:4 1620:5 1822:13

voluntary 1578:1 1602:25 1620:4 1715:21

volunteer 1667:20 1668:20 1779:19 1780:3 1853:16

vote 1616:13

W

wait 1591:18 1634:18 1655:22 1694:22 1695:13

waiting 1702:4 1713:6

waive 1749:21 waivers 1662:10,

12 walk 1614:2 1665:12,13

1716:11 1807:11

Index: unintended..weeks

wall 1835:23 1845:16.18 wanded 1694:23 1695:14 wanted 1565:13 1648:14 1695:22 1700:20 1796:11 1841:19 wanting 1625:1 1693:20 1822:14 warming 1624:6, 23 1642:16

warning 1671:5 1697:20 1830:17 warns 1628:4

warranted 1757:15

warrants 1757:7,

Washington 1644:7

water 1659:10,12, 21,24 1660:1,4, 13,23,24,25 1661:4,5,6,9,11, 23 1662:4,6 1763:19 1778:12, 13

waterways 1598:10 1712:6 1775:4

ways 1755:13 1805:15

weather 1695:13 1834:8 1836:1 1860:17

weather-related 1725:11

website 1679:21 1690:1

week 1612:16 1781:9 1815:2

weeks 1665:11 1679:22 1694:9 1712:16 1826:21, 25 1829:8 1830:15 1832:2

Index: weight..zoom

IN RE: SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS IUB HEARING 09/05/2023

| | 5,2020 | | | mack. weight200 |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1833:20 1837:1 1861:1 | 1676:9,11,13,16 1729:8 1755:20 | 14 1754:7 1780:15,16 | 1792:15 1817:22 wrote 1765:7 | 1647:18 1648:2 1751:1 1763:24 |
| weight 1679:14 | window 1639:10 | 1803:22 1819:13 | | |
| 1697:25 1699:6 | winners 1576:24 | 1829:14 1834:8, 10,20,24 1835:2 | Wyoming 1762:20 1779:14 | Z |
| welcomes | 1577:10 | 1841:16,17 | | |
| 1739:24 | winter 1639:11, | 1851:15 1854:21, 23 | Х | zero 1674:4 1676:17 |
| well-known | 12 1768:21 | | | zone 1726:1 |
| 1626:25 | wishes 1739:25 | worked 1755:4 1759:4 1761:4 | X-52 1650:25 | |
| wells 1616:19 | 1740:3 | 1762:1 1792:13 | X-70 1650:25 | zones 1590:9 |
| west 1638:22 | withdraw | 1816:19 | 1651:10,11,13 | zoning 1690:5 1732:16 1733:6 |
| 1641:1 1644:6 1691:2 1698:11 | 1797:19 1821:18 | worker 1674:13 | X-80 1651:10,13 | 1734:8 |
| 1718:6 1786:22 1850:12 | withstand 1832:21 | workers 1576:3 | x-ray 1828:12 | zoom 1610:18 |
| western 1679:24 | witness's 1820:4 | working 1650:1 1659:25 1660:1 | Y | |
| 1773:19 | witness-by- | 1739:20 1741:10 1781:11,22 | Yazoo 1773:12 | |
| wet 1833:15,18, | witness 1566:16 | 1781:11,22 1783:10 1792:11 | | |
| 19,20,22 | witnessed | 1830:6,23 1831:9, | year 1636:8 1648:4 1660:10, | |
| whatnot 1831:14, | 1675:25 | 13 1838:17 1841:12 1848:19 | 17 1716:1 | |
| 16 | witnesses | 1863:5 | 1719:17 1727:25 | |
| whatsoever | 1564:11,17,22 1565:3 1566:4,17 | | 1731:9 1740:7 | |
| 1619:17 | 1569:20 1573:15 | works 1683:19 | 1741:5 1803:5 | |
| wheel 1769:22 | 1613:8 1701:21 | 1782:25 1783:4 1855:13 1858:17 | 1806:13 1814:17 1819:7 1823:3 | |
| Whipple | 1737:22 | | 1824:14 1837:13 | |
| 1565:24,25 | Wolf 1753:23 | workshops | 1841:11 | |
| 1566:12 1716:17, | 1787:20 | 1770:6 | | |
| 18,20,24 1719:3 | | world 1627:7 | years 1578:5 | |
| 1720:9 1729:16 | wondering | 1772:24 1774:9 | 1581:4 1598:5 1602:24 1618:11 | |
| 1732:22,23 | 1720:6,15 1738:3 | 1828:6 | 1621:21,23 | |
| 1733:23 1736:8,9, | Wood 1741:21, | world-class | 1625:13 1638:17 | |
| 16,21,22 1740:6,9 | 23,24 1742:1,6,8, | 1633:15 | 1639:7,14,15,20 | |
| 1758:7 1760:12 | 10,15 | worrisome | 1644:11 1647:1 | |
| 1799:13,16 1815:13 1817:21 | word 1611:11 | 1693:20 | 1661:22 1694:5 | |
| | 1614:25 1623:15 | | 1708:23 1710:10, | |
| whoa 1657:7 | 1638:6 1656:1 | worry 1608:13,15 | 11 1711:7 1720:4, | |
| whomever | 1665:6 1690:15 | worst 1585:23 | 9,13 1721:7 1742:3 1752:11 | |
| 1702:5 | 1703:14 1729:3 1741:7,8 1742:21 | 1781:15 1850:18 | 1753:4 1757:14 | |
| wide 1777:13 | 1755:2 1809:8 | worst-case | 1761:5 1801:15 | |
| 1807:15 | 1812:7 | 1599:18 1615:17 | 1802:7,16,21,24 | |
| wiggle 1686:22 | words 1723:19 | 1708:8 1725:19 1730:21 1781:16 | 1803:4,17 1827:7 1830:8 1836:7 | |
| Willingham 1737:21 | work 1580:4,6 1584:10 1601:14, | worthiness 1652:19 | yellow 1680:6,7 | |
| Williston | 23 1602:4 | | yield 1708:20,24 | |
| 1690:19 | 1603:24 1621:8 | write 1859:21 | 1709:13,17,19 | |
| win 1575:18 | 1653:1,2 1660:10 1671:20 1682:24 | written 1570:18 | 1710:7,15 1804:11 1845:11 | |
| | 1683:3 1710:3 | wrong 1698:13 | young 1621:4,7 | |
| wind 1599:18 | 1725:21 1743:5,6, | 1761:18 1778:18 | Joung 1021.4,7 | |
| | | | | |